

Rapid Diagnosis of Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in Cuba

To the Editor: During 2005–2008, the Cuban National Influenza Center (NIC) at the Pedro Kourí Institute in Havana, Cuba, implemented a protocol for influenza surveillance proposed by the Pan American Health Organization and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (1). One of the most essential features of this protocol was strengthening laboratory capacity for surveillance of seasonal influenza and timely detection of a new influenza virus with pandemic potential.

On April 26, 2009, in response to an outbreak of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in Mexico, NIC proposed an algorithm with 2 phases for processing specimens. The purpose of the algorithm was to identify the novel virus, effectively monitor its circulation in Cuba, and make these data available to the national health authorities and the World Health Organization (WHO) (2).

The first phase of the algorithm was used during April–September 2009. Following the recommendations of WHO (3), this phase included fluorescent antigen tests, nucleic acid extraction by using QIAamp Viral RNA and QIAamp Viral DNA Kits (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), and 3 reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) assays for typing and subtyping of influenza A viruses. RT-PCRs were used for differential diagnoses, which included 15 other respiratory viruses (4–7).

At the same time, on the basis of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus sequences published on the Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data website (<http://platform.gisaid.org/dante-cms/struktur.jdante?aid=1131>), we designed a primer set specific for the hemagglutinin gene and we developed an in-house, conventional RT-PCR

was designed to enable virus-specific identification. Confirmation was performed by subsequent sequencing of hemagglutinin, nucleoprotein, or neuraminidase genes by using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Quick Start Kit (Beckman Coulter Inc., Krefeld, Germany).

Several sequences obtained were submitted to GenBank under accession nos. HM159409–159418 and HM176606–HM17639) (8,9). BLAST (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) search analysis on sequences obtained from the first cases identified indicated the highest alignment score ($\geq 98\%$ identity) with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus strains.

The second phase of the algorithm was used during September 2009–August 2010. This phase included automated nucleic acid extraction (QIAcube; QIAGEN) and real-time RT-PCR kits (Pan American Health Organization, Washington, DC, USA, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA) for detection and characterization of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus (10).

During January 3–July 31, 2009, a total of 2,156 specimens were submitted to the NIC for influenza surveillance. During January 2009–August 10, 2010, a total of 14,692 clinical samples were processed. In the next 6 months, the number of specimens submitted to NIC doubled. More specimens (7,978) were submitted during January 1–August 10, 2010, than during all of 2009.

Most (5,601) clinical specimens processed were from patients with influenza-like illness. The highest percentage (45.9%) of influenza-positive samples was detected in specimens from these patients, followed by specimens from patients during outbreaks (18.0%).

Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus infection peaked during epidemiologic weeks 39–41, 2009 (online Appendix Figure, wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/18/2/11-0547-FA1.htm) and co-

circulated with influenza A virus (H3N2). This period coincided with the start of school. A total of 285 and 211 specimens were positive for pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus and influenza A virus (H3N2), respectively. During April 2010, a second peak of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 was detected, and 303 cases were confirmed during epidemiologic weeks 13–16 (online Appendix Figure).

By the time WHO declared the end of the pandemic on August 10, 2010, public health authorities recognized 1,805 cases of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in Cuba. On the basis of laboratory results, during April 2009–2010, two peaks of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 were observed in Cuba.

During August 2010, Cuba experienced active transmission of seasonal influenza A (H3N2) virus, which displaced pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus as the predominant virus. Similarly, seasonal influenza virus (H1N1) was displaced by pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. The last case of seasonal influenza (H1N1) detected in Cuba was in June 2009.

In this context, we believe that implementing national diagnostic algorithm enabled timely identification of the novel virus and effective monitoring of its circulation, even before international diagnostic protocols and reagents were available in Cuba. This study shows the need for nucleic acid amplification tools in laboratory diagnosis and surveillance of influenza viruses. As we prepare for future influenza pandemics, new and appropriate diagnostic methods and periodic assessment of influenza surveillance methods are needed as new information becomes available.

Acknowledgments

We thank the physicians and laboratory personnel for providing samples and clinical data; the technicians and researchers of the Virology Department and Microbiology Division of the Pedro Kourí Institute, Havana, Cuba, for

collaborating in the diagnosis of pandemic influenza; Thais dos Santos and Mauricio Cerpa for revising the manuscript; and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Chinese Centers for Disease Control (Beijing, China), and the Carlos III Institute (Madrid, Spain) for providing test kits and positive controls.

This study was supported in part by the Cuban National Program of Surveillance and Control for Acute Respiratory Infection of the Ministry of Health and the Pan American Health Organization.

**Belsy Acosta, Alexander Piñón,
Odalys Valdés, Clara Savón,
Amely Arencibia, Elías Guilarte,
Gonzalez Grehete,
Suset Oropesa,
Gonzalez Guelsys,
Bárbara Hernández,
Angel Goyenechea,
Mayra Muné, Vivian Kouri,
María G. Guzmán,
and Alina Llop**

Author affiliation: Instituto Pedro Kourí, Havana, Cuba

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1802.110547>

References

1. Generic protocol influenza surveillance, PAHO-CDC [cited 2011 Mar 4]. <http://www.paho.org/English/AD/DPC/CD/flu-snl-gpis.pdf>
2. Panamerican Health Organization, World Health Organization. PAHO's director newsletter. Issue 7. April 26, 2009 [cited 2011 Mar 3]. http://www.paho.org/English/D/D_DNewsLetters_eng.asp
3. World Health Organization. WHO information for laboratory diagnosis of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus in humans [cited 2011 Mar 3]. http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/swineflu/diagnostic_recommendations/en/index.html
4. Coiras MT, Perez-Brena P, Garcia ML, Casas I. Simultaneous detection of influenza A, B, and C viruses, respiratory syncytial virus, and adenoviruses in clinical samples by multiplex reverse transcription nested-PCR assay. *J Med Virol.* 2003;69:132–44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.10255>
5. Coiras MT, Aguilar JC, Garcia ML, Casas I, Perez-Brena P. Simultaneous detection of fourteen respiratory viruses in clinical specimens by two multiplex reverse transcription nested-PCR assays. *J Med Virol.* 2004;72:484–95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.20008>
6. Pozo F, Garcia-Garcia ML, Calvo C, Cuesta I, Perez-Brena P, Casas I. High incidence of human bocavirus infection in children in Spain. *J Clin Virol.* 2007;40:224–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2007.08.010>
7. López-Huertas MR, Casas I, Acosta-Herrera B, Garcia ML, Coiras MT, Perez-Brena P. Two RT-PCR based assays to detect human metapneumovirus in nasopharyngeal aspirates. *J Virol Methods.* 2005;129:1–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2005.05.004>
8. Valdés O, Piñón A, Acosta B, Savón C, González G, Arencibia A, et al. Design and implementation of a molecular method for influenza A virus (H1N1) in Cuba. *Rev Cubana Med Trop.* 2011;63:15–20.
9. Piñón A, Acosta B, Valdés O, Arencibia A, Savón C, González G, et al. Cuban strategy for the molecular characterization of the pandemic influenza A virus (H1N1). *Rev Cubana Med Trop.* 2011;63:21–9.
10. World Health Organization. CDC protocol of real-time RT-PCR for influenza A H1N1. April 28, 2009 [cited 2011 Mar 3]. http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/swineflu/CDCRealtimeRTPCR_SwineH1Assay-2009_20090430.pdf

Address for correspondence: Belsy Acosta, Instituto Pedro Kourí, Autopista Novia del Mediodía Km 6½, La Lisa, CP 17 100, Havana, Cuba; email: betsy@ipk.sld.cu

Letters

Letters commenting on recent articles as well as letters reporting cases, outbreaks, or original research are welcome. Letters commenting on articles should contain no more than 300 words and 5 references; they are more likely to be published if submitted within 4 weeks of the original article's publication. Letters reporting cases, outbreaks, or original research should contain no more than 800 words and 10 references. They may have 1 Figure or Table and should not be divided into sections. All letters should contain material not previously published and include a word count.

Hand, Foot, and Mouth Disease Caused by Coxsackievirus A6, Japan, 2011

To the Editor: Coxsackievirus A6 (CVA6) belongs to human enterovirus species A of the genus *Enterovirus*. According to a Japanese Infectious Agents Surveillance Report, this virus is one of the major causes of herpangina, an acute febrile disease characterized by vesicles, ulcers, and redness around the uvula, which occurs mainly in young children and infants. (1).

In June 2011, a sudden increase in cases of hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD) at pediatric sentinel sites (≈3,000 pediatric hospitals and clinics) was reported to the National Epidemiologic Surveillance of Infectious Diseases System in Japan. Compared with past numbers of cases over 30 years of surveillance, the number of cases of HFMD per sentinel site peaked in week 28 (July) of 2011 (10.97 cases per sentinel), particularly in western Japan (2). According to the Infectious Agents Surveillance Report (as of September, 18, 2011), CVA6 was detected in 709 HFMD cases and 156 herpangina cases throughout Japan (1).

Clinical samples (throat swab specimens and feces) obtained from sentinel sites in Shimane, Hyogo, Hiroshima, and Shizuoka, Japan, were screened for enteroviruses by using an enterovirus-specific reverse transcription PCR and sequence analysis of the partial viral protein (VP)4/VP2 or VP1 region (3). Among 93 clinical samples from 108 HFMD case-patients, we identified 74 case-patients as CVA6 positive by sequence analysis.

On the basis of sequence analysis of the entire VP1 region (GenBank accession nos. AB649286–AB649291), the consensus sequence