

# Reconsidering Cartesian differential categories

CIRM Marseille

Geoff Cruttwell  
University of Ottawa

February 24th, 2012

# Reconsidering Cartesian differential categories

We'll discuss:



# Reconsidering Cartesian differential categories

We'll discuss:

- the definition of Cartesian differential categories;

# Reconsidering Cartesian differential categories

We'll discuss:

- the definition of Cartesian differential categories;
- a problematic non-example and a solution;

# Reconsidering Cartesian differential categories

We'll discuss:

- the definition of Cartesian differential categories;
- a problematic non-example and a solution;
- (generalized) Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras;

# Reconsidering Cartesian differential categories

We'll discuss:

- the definition of Cartesian differential categories;
- a problematic non-example and a solution;
- (generalized) Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras;
- an application: de Rham cohomology.

# Cartesian differential categories

Goal of Cartesian differential categories: abstract the essential properties of the category of smooth maps between the Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Cartesian differential categories

Goal of Cartesian differential categories: abstract the essential properties of the category of smooth maps between the Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- For a smooth map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , the Jacobian is a smooth map

$$J(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Lin}[\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m].$$



# Cartesian differential categories

Goal of Cartesian differential categories: abstract the essential properties of the category of smooth maps between the Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- For a smooth map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , the Jacobian is a smooth map

$$J(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Lin}[\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m].$$

- We don't want to assume any closed structure, so we uncurry, thinking of the Jacobian as a map

$$J(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m,$$

which is linear in the first variable.

# Cartesian left additive categories

To describe a category with a Jacobian, we need:

# Cartesian left additive categories

To describe a category with a Jacobian, we need:

- a category with products;

# Cartesian left additive categories

To describe a category with a Jacobian, we need:

- a category with products;
- which has the ability to add any two maps in the same hom-set;

# Cartesian left additive categories

To describe a category with a Jacobian, we need:

- a category with products;
- which has the ability to add any two maps in the same hom-set;
- with these structures being compatible (for example, the projections preserve addition).

# Cartesian left additive categories

To describe a category with a Jacobian, we need:

- a category with products;
- which has the ability to add any two maps in the same hom-set;
- with these structures being compatible (for example, the projections preserve addition).

Call this a **Cartesian left additive category**.

# Definition

## Definition

(Blute/Cockett/Seely) A **Cartesian differential category** consists of a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbb{X}$ , which has for each map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , a map  $D[f] : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ , such that:

# Definition

## Definition

(Blute/Cockett/Seely) A **Cartesian differential category** consists of a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbb{X}$ , which has for each map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , a map  $D[f] : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ , such that:

- ①  $D(f + g) = D(f) + D(g)$ ,  $D(0) = 0$ ;
- ②  $D(\langle f, g \rangle) = \langle Df, Dg \rangle$ ;
- ③  $D(1) = \pi_0$ ,  $D(\pi_0) = \pi_0\pi_0$ ,  $D(\pi_1) = \pi_0\pi_1$ ;
- ④  $D(fg) = \langle Df, \pi_1 f \rangle D(g)$ ;
- ⑤  $\langle a + b, c \rangle D(f) = \langle a, c \rangle D(f) + \langle b, c \rangle D(f)$ ,  $\langle 0, c \rangle D(f) = 0$ ;
- ⑥  $\langle \langle a, 0 \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle D(D(f)) = \langle a, c \rangle D(f)$ ;
- ⑦  $\langle \langle 0, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle D(D(f)) = \langle \langle 0, c \rangle, \langle b, d \rangle \rangle D(D(f))$ .



# Linear maps

## Definition

Say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **linear** if  $D(f) = \pi_0 f$ .

For example,  $x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x$ .

# Linear maps

## Definition

Say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **linear** if  $D(f) = \pi_0 f$ .

For example,  $x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x$ .

## Definition

Say that a map  $g : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is **linear in the first variable** if

$$\langle \pi_0, 0, \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle D(f) = \langle \pi_0, \pi_2 \rangle f.$$

# Linear maps

## Definition

Say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **linear** if  $D(f) = \pi_0 f$ .

For example,  $x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x$ .

## Definition

Say that a map  $g : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is **linear in the first variable** if

$$\langle \pi_0, 0, \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle D(f) = \langle \pi_0, \pi_2 \rangle f.$$

- The second last axiom says  $D(f) : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is itself linear in its first variable.

# Linear maps

## Definition

Say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **linear** if  $D(f) = \pi_0 f$ .

For example,  $x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x$ .

## Definition

Say that a map  $g : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is **linear in the first variable** if

$$\langle \pi_0, 0, \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle D(f) = \langle \pi_0, \pi_2 \rangle f.$$

- The second last axiom says  $D(f) : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is itself linear in its first variable.
- If the Cartesian closed structure is closed, one asks that  $\text{ev} : [X, Y] \times X \rightarrow Y$  be linear in its first variable (Bucciarelli/Ehrhard/Manzonetto).

# Examples

Examples of Cartesian differential categories:

- the category whose objects are Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , maps are smooth maps;

# Examples

Examples of Cartesian differential categories:

- the category whose objects are Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , maps are smooth maps;
- for any ring  $R$ , a category of “polynomials in  $R$ ”: objects are natural numbers, a map  $f : n \rightarrow m$  is  $m$  polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $R$ ;

# Examples

Examples of Cartesian differential categories:

- the category whose objects are Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , maps are smooth maps;
- for any ring  $R$ , a category of “polynomials in  $R$ ”: objects are natural numbers, a map  $f : n \rightarrow m$  is  $m$  polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $R$ ;
- “convenient vector spaces” (Kriegl/Michor 1997) and smooth maps between them form a Cartesian differential category (Blute/Ehrhard/Tasson 2011);

# Examples

Examples of Cartesian differential categories:

- the category whose objects are Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , maps are smooth maps;
- for any ring  $R$ , a category of “polynomials in  $R$ ”: objects are natural numbers, a map  $f : n \rightarrow m$  is  $m$  polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $R$ ;
- “convenient vector spaces” (Kriegl/Michor 1997) and smooth maps between them form a Cartesian differential category (Blute/Ehrhard/Tasson 2011);
- models of differential lambda calculus (Ehrhard/Regnier 2003).



# Examples

Examples of Cartesian differential categories:

- the category whose objects are Cartesian spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , maps are smooth maps;
- for any ring  $R$ , a category of “polynomials in  $R$ ”: objects are natural numbers, a map  $f : n \rightarrow m$  is  $m$  polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $R$ ;
- “convenient vector spaces” (Kriegl/Michor 1997) and smooth maps between them form a Cartesian differential category (Blute/Ehrhard/Tasson 2011);
- models of differential lambda calculus (Ehrhard/Regnier 2003).

# A non-example

One problematic example, however:

# A non-example

One problematic example, however:

- The category whose objects are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 's, maps smooth maps, is not an example.

# A non-example

One problematic example, however:

- The category whose objects are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 's, maps smooth maps, is not an example.
- The derivative of a smooth map  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  does not have type

$$D(f) : U \times U \rightarrow V.$$

# A non-example

One problematic example, however:

- The category whose objects are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 's, maps smooth maps, is not an example.
- The derivative of a smooth map  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  does not have type

$$D(f) : U \times U \rightarrow V.$$

- Instead, it has type

$$D(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m.$$

# The domain of $D(f)$ plays two roles

The problem is the following:

- in the type of the derivative

$$D(f) : X \times X \longrightarrow Y,$$

the two  $X$ 's play different roles:

# The domain of $D(f)$ plays two roles

The problem is the following:

- in the type of the derivative

$$D(f) : X \times X \longrightarrow Y,$$

the two  $X$ 's play different roles:

- the first  $X$  one thinks of as “vectors”, the second as “points”.

# The domain of $D(f)$ plays two roles

The problem is the following:

- in the type of the derivative

$$D(f) : X \times X \longrightarrow Y,$$

the two  $X$ 's play different roles:

- the first  $X$  one thinks of as “vectors”, the second as “points”.

We need to formalize this.



# Generalized definition

## Definition

A **generalized Cartesian differential category** consists of a Cartesian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , which has for each object  $X$ , an associated monoid  $(L(X), +_X, e_X)$  (preserving products and idempotent), and for each map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , an associated map  $D(f) : L(X) \times X \rightarrow L(Y)$ , such that:

# Generalized definition

## Definition

A **generalized Cartesian differential category** consists of a Cartesian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , which has for each object  $X$ , an associated monoid  $(L(X), +_X, e_X)$  (preserving products and idempotent), and for each map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , an associated map  $D(f) : L(X) \times X \rightarrow L(Y)$ , such that:

- ① for each  $X$ ,  $+_X$  and  $e_X$  are linear;
- ②  $D(\langle f, g \rangle) = \langle Df, Dg \rangle$ ;
- ③  $D(1) = \pi_0$ ,  $D(\pi_0) = \pi_0 \pi_0$ ,  $D(\pi_1) = \pi_0 \pi_1$ ;
- ④  $D(fg) = \langle Df, \pi_1 f \rangle D(g)$ ;
- ⑤  $\langle a + b, c \rangle D(f) = \langle a, c \rangle D(f) + \langle b, c \rangle D(f)$ ,  $\langle 0, c \rangle D(f) = 0$ ;
- ⑥  $\langle \langle a, 0 \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle D(D(f)) = \langle a, c \rangle D(f)$ ;
- ⑦  $\langle \langle 0, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle D(D(f)) = \langle \langle 0, c \rangle, \langle b, d \rangle \rangle D(D(f))$ .

# Faà di Bruno functor

Cockett and Seely showed a remarkable result: Cartesian differential categories are (almost) the coalgebras for a certain comonad.

# Faà di Bruno functor

Cockett and Seely showed a remarkable result: Cartesian differential categories are (almost) the coalgebras for a certain comonad.

## Definition

Given a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$  with:

- an object is a pair of objects  $(A, X)$ ;

# Faà di Bruno functor

Cockett and Seely showed a remarkable result: Cartesian differential categories are (almost) the coalgebras for a certain comonad.

## Definition

Given a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$  with:

- an object is a pair of objects  $(A, X)$ ;
- a map  $f : (A, X) \longrightarrow (B, Y)$  consists of a sequence of maps  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where:
  - $f_0 : X \longrightarrow Y$ ;
  - $f_1 : A \times X \longrightarrow Y$  (additive in the first variable);
  - $f_n : A^n \times X \longrightarrow Y$ ; (additive in each of the first  $n$  variables).

# Faà di Bruno functor

Cockett and Seely showed a remarkable result: Cartesian differential categories are (almost) the coalgebras for a certain comonad.

## Definition

Given a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a Cartesian left additive category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$  with:

- an object is a pair of objects  $(A, X)$ ;
- a map  $f : (A, X) \longrightarrow (B, Y)$  consists of a sequence of maps  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where:
  - $f_0 : X \longrightarrow Y$ ;
  - $f_1 : A \times X \longrightarrow Y$  (additive in the first variable);
  - $f_n : A^n \times X \longrightarrow Y$ ; (additive in each of the first  $n$  variables).
- composition of  $f_0$  is usual,  $f_1$  by chain rule, higher maps by “higher-order” chain rule.

# Faà di Bruno functor

- **Faà** is an endofunctor on Cartesian left additive categories;

# Faà di bruno functor

- **Faà** is an endofunctor on Cartesian left additive categories;
- with an obvious co-unit  $\eta : \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ ;



# Faà di Bruno functor

- **Faà** is an endofunctor on Cartesian left additive categories;
- with an obvious co-unit  $\eta : \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ ;
- and less obvious co-multiplication
 
$$\delta : \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}));$$

# Faà di bruno functor

- **Faà** is an endofunctor on Cartesian left additive categories;
- with an obvious co-unit  $\eta : \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ ;
- and less obvious co-multiplication  
 $\delta : \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X}))$ ;
- making it into a comonad.

# Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

# Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = (X, X)$ ,

# Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = (X, X)$ ,
- $C(f) = (f, D(f), D_2(f), D_3(f), \dots)$ .

# Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = (X, X)$ ,
- $C(f) = (f, D(f), D_2(f), D_3(f), \dots)$ .

Every coalgebra with  $C(X) = (X, X)$  is a Cartesian differential category, with derivative  $D(f) = C(f)_1$ .

# An alternate comonad

## Definition

Given a **category with products**  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a category **Faà**( $\mathbb{X}$ ) with:

# An alternate comonad

## Definition

Given a **category with products**  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$  with:

- an object consists of a pair  $((A, +, e), X)$  (a monoid object together with an arbitrary object),



# An alternate comonad

## Definition

Given a **category with products**  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$  with:

- an object consists of a pair  $((A, +, e), X)$  (a monoid object together with an arbitrary object),
- a map  $f : (A, X) \longrightarrow (B, Y)$  consists of a sequence of maps  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where:
  - $f_0 : X \longrightarrow Y$ ;
  - $f_1 : A \times X \longrightarrow Y$  (additive in the first variable);
  - $f_n : A^n \times X \longrightarrow Y$ ; (additive in each of the first  $n$  variables).

# An alternate comonad

## Definition

Given a **category with products**  $\mathbb{X}$ , define a category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$  with:

- an object consists of a pair  $((A, +, e), X)$  (a monoid object together with an arbitrary object),
- a map  $f : (A, X) \rightarrow (B, Y)$  consists of a sequence of maps  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where:
  - $f_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ ;
  - $f_1 : A \times X \rightarrow Y$  (additive in the first variable);
  - $f_n : A^n \times X \rightarrow Y$ ; (additive in each of the first  $n$  variables).
- composition of  $f_0$  is usual,  $f_1$  by chain rule, higher maps by “higher-order” chain rule.

# Generalized Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every generalized Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra  
 $C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

# Generalized Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every generalized Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = ((L(X), +_x, e_x), X)$ ,

# Generalized Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every generalized Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = ((L(X), +_x, e_x), X)$ ,
- $C(f) = (f, D(f), D_2(f), D_3(f), \dots)$ .

# Generalized Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every generalized Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = ((L(X), +_x, e_x), X)$ ,
- $C(f) = (f, D(f), D_2(f), D_3(f), \dots)$ .

Even better:

- *Every coalgebra is a generalized Cartesian differential category, with derivative  $D(f) = C(f)_1$ .*

# Generalized Cartesian differential categories as coalgebras

Every generalized Cartesian differential category is a coalgebra

$C : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ , with:

- $C(X) = ((L(X), +_x, e_x), X)$ ,
- $C(f) = (f, D(f), D_2(f), D_3(f), \dots)$ .

Even better:

- Every coalgebra is a generalized Cartesian differential category, with derivative  $D(f) = C(f)_1$ .
- So every Cartesian category  $\mathbb{X}$  has an associated generalized Cartesian differential category  $\mathbf{Faà}(\mathbb{X})$ .

# Points in favour of the generalized version

- allows for open subset examples;



## Points in favour of the generalized version

- allows for open subset examples;
- is what the definition “wants to be”;

## Points in favour of the generalized version

- allows for open subset examples;
- is what the definition “wants to be”;
- is the coalgebras for a more natural comonad.

# Introduction

Here, we'll define de Rham cohomology for any generalized Cartesian differential category:

# Introduction

Here, we'll define de Rham cohomology for any generalized Cartesian differential category:

- reproduces the usual definition when applied to Cartesian spaces;

# Introduction

Here, we'll define de Rham cohomology for any generalized Cartesian differential category:

- reproduces the usual definition when applied to Cartesian spaces;
- reproduces the de Rham cohomology for convenient vector spaces and their open subsets.

# Differential Forms

Fix an object  $R$  of the form  $R = L(R)$ .

# Differential Forms

Fix an object  $R$  of the form  $R = L(R)$ .

## Definition

If  $\mathbb{X}$  is a generalized Cartesian differential category and  $k \geq 1$ , a  $k$ -form is a map

$$\omega : L(X)^k \times X \longrightarrow R$$

with:

- $\omega$  is linear in each of its first  $k$  variables;

# Differential Forms

Fix an object  $R$  of the form  $R = L(R)$ .

## Definition

If  $\mathbb{X}$  is a generalized Cartesian differential category and  $k \geq 1$ , a  $k$ -form is a map

$$\omega : L(X)^k \times X \longrightarrow R$$

with:

- $\omega$  is linear in each of its first  $k$  variables;
- $\omega$  is alternating (equals 0 with any repeated variables).

A 0-form is a map  $\omega : X \longrightarrow R$  which has an additive inverse.



# Differential Forms

Fix an object  $R$  of the form  $R = L(R)$ .

## Definition

If  $\mathbb{X}$  is a generalized Cartesian differential category and  $k \geq 1$ , a  $k$ -form is a map

$$\omega : L(X)^k \times X \longrightarrow R$$

with:

- $\omega$  is linear in each of its first  $k$  variables;
- $\omega$  is alternating (equals 0 with any repeated variables).

A 0-form is a map  $\omega : X \longrightarrow R$  which has an additive inverse.

Let  $\Omega_k(X)$  be the set of  $k$ -forms of an object  $X$ ; they can be given the structure of an Abelian group.

# de Rham complex

This gives a cochain complex:

- each  $\Omega_k(X)$  is a contravariant functor to Abelian groups;

# de Rham complex

This gives a cochain complex:

- each  $\Omega_k(X)$  is a contravariant functor to Abelian groups;
- there are natural transformations  $d : \Omega_k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{k+1}(X)$  (“exterior differentiation”) defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \langle \pi_i, \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_i, \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_k \rangle D_X(\omega)$$

# de Rham complex

This gives a cochain complex:

- each  $\Omega_k(X)$  is a contravariant functor to Abelian groups;
- there are natural transformations  $d : \Omega_k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{k+1}(X)$  (“exterior differentiation”) defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \langle \pi_i, \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_i, \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_k \rangle D_X(\omega)$$

- which have  $d^2 = 0$ ;

# de Rham complex

This gives a cochain complex:

- each  $\Omega_k(X)$  is a contravariant functor to Abelian groups;
- there are natural transformations  $d : \Omega_k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{k+1}(X)$  (“exterior differentiation”) defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \langle \pi_i, \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_i, \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_k \rangle D_X(\omega)$$

- which have  $d^2 = 0$ ;

The resulting cohomology is de Rham cohomology.

# de Rham complex

This gives a cochain complex:

- each  $\Omega_k(X)$  is a contravariant functor to Abelian groups;
- there are natural transformations  $d : \Omega_k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{k+1}(X)$  (“exterior differentiation”) defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \langle \pi_i, \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \hat{\pi}_i, \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_k \rangle D_X(\omega)$$

- which have  $d^2 = 0$ ;

The resulting cohomology is de Rham cohomology.

- Note: this uses all the axioms of a generalized Cartesian differential category!

# Conclusion

This generalization is, in many respects, more natural than the original formulation:

# Conclusion

This generalization is, in many respects, more natural than the original formulation:

- allows for examples involving open subsets;



# Conclusion

This generalization is, in many respects, more natural than the original formulation:

- allows for examples involving open subsets;
- more natural as the coalgebras for a certain comonad;

# Conclusion

This generalization is, in many respects, more natural than the original formulation:

- allows for examples involving open subsets;
- more natural as the coalgebras for a certain comonad;
- while more general, it is still powerful enough to define constructions such as de Rham cohomology.