

The roles and values of wild foods in agricultural systems

Zareen Bharucha and Jules Pretty

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 2010 **365**, 2913-2926 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0123

References	This article cites 101 articles, 5 of which can be accessed free http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/365/1554/2913.full.html#ref-list-1
EXiS Open Choice	This article is free to access
Rapid response	Respond to this article http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/letters/submit/royptb;365/1554/2913
Subject collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
	environmental science (420 articles)
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click $here$

To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B go to: http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions



Review

The roles and values of wild foods in agricultural systems

Zareen Bharucha and Jules Pretty*

Interdisciplinary Centre for Environment and Society and Department of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, Essex, UK

Almost every ecosystem has been amended so that plants and animals can be used as food, fibre, fodder, medicines, traps and weapons. Historically, wild plants and animals were sole dietary components for hunter-gatherer and forager cultures. Today, they remain key to many agricultural communities. The mean use of wild foods by agricultural and forager communities in 22 countries of Asia and Africa (36 studies) is 90-100 species per location. Aggregate country estimates can reach 300-800 species (e.g. India, Ethiopia, Kenya). The mean use of wild species is 120 per community for indigenous communities in both industrialized and developing countries. Many of these wild foods are actively managed, suggesting there is a false dichotomy around ideas of the agricultural and the wild: hunter-gatherers and foragers farm and manage their environments, and cultivators use many wild plants and animals. Yet, provision of and access to these sources of food may be declining as natural habitats come under increasing pressure from development, conservation-exclusions and agricultural expansion. Despite their value, wild foods are excluded from official statistics on economic values of natural resources. It is clear that wild plants and animals continue to form a significant proportion of the global food basket, and while a variety of social and ecological drivers are acting to reduce wild food use, their importance may be set to grow as pressures on agricultural productivity increase.

Keywords: wild foods; hunters and gatherers; conservation; ecosystem services

1. INTRODUCTION

'Any bloke hungry in this country just silly'

- (Yarralin man, Northern Territory, Australia: in Bird Rose 1996), p. 99
- 'What do you mean by weeds? There is nothing like a weed in our agriculture'

(Woman farmer, Deccan plateau, India: in Mazhar et al. 2007), p. 18.

Globally, an estimated 1.02 billion people are undernourished (FAO 2009). The literature on vulnerability, food security and ecosystem services has tended to emphasize cultivated foods (MEA 2005; Ericksen et al. 2009). However, there is substantial evidence that wild foods are an important part of the global food basket. At regional and national level, food balances guide policies on trade, aid and the declaration of food crises. Notably absent from these is the contribution made by wild edible species. With the routine underestimation of wild foods comes the danger of neglecting the provisioning ecosystems and supportive local knowledge systems that sustain these

* Author for correspondence (jpretty@essex.ac.uk).

While the Government Office for Science commissioned this review, the views are those of the author(s), are independent of Government, and do not constitute Government policy.

food chains (Grivetti & Ogle 2000; Mazhar et al. 2007; Pilgrim et al. 2008).

We summarize the best available evidence for the importance and values of wild foods (see Scoones et al. 1992; Heywood 1999; Posey 1999; MEA 2005; Kuhnlein et al. 2009).

2. HUNTER-GATHERERS, FORAGERS, **FISHERS AND CULTIVATORS** (a) Stereotypes

A central assumption about non-agricultural societies has been that they represent an earlier stage of cultural evolution, or the outcome of cultural devolution (Barnard 1999). It was long supposed that cultures progressed from hunter-gatherer to agricultural to industrial. Beginning with Hobbes's 1651 observation that the life of 'natural man' was 'solitary, poore, nasty, brutish and short', cultural evolutionary viewsdistinguishing between 'natural' and 'civilized' peoples-persisted from the eighteenth to the late twentieth centuries (Meggers 1954; Lathrap 1968). Lathrap, for example, uses terms such as devolution, degradation and wreckage of former agricultural societies to describe communities in the Amazon that engage in hunting, gathering and foraging (Barnard 1999).

Evidence has revealed the limitations of these perspectives (Kent 1989; Kelly 1995). The landmark Man the Hunter conference and book (Lee & DeVore

One contribution of 23 to a Theme Issue 'Food security: feeding the world in 2050'.

1968) showed hunter-gatherers to be rich, knowledgeable, sophisticated and above all different from one another. There was no single stage of human development, just different adaptations to ecological and social circumstances. It is now better accepted, though not universally, that cultures are adapted to localities, and thus are configured with a wide variety of land uses and livelihoods. As a result, foraging and farming across the world are actually 'overlapping, interdependent, contemporaneous, coequal and complementary' (Sponsel 1989). This suggests that many rural people and their cultures might be better known as variants of cultivator-hunters or farmerforagers rather than just farmers or hunter-gatherers. Culture and nature are thus bound together (Berkes 1999; Pretty et al. 2010).

Another long-standing stereotype suggests that hunter-gatherers are nomadic and cultivators sedentary. Again, the evidence shows a bewildering array of adaptations and cultural choices. Some horticulturalists move, some hunter-gatherers are sedentary (Vickers 1989; Kelly 1995). Some groups maintain gardens for cultivated food as well as to attract antelopes, monkeys and birds for hunting (Posey 1985). Many apparently hunter-gatherer and forager cultures farm; many agricultural communities use large numbers of non-domesticated resources. The Hohokam are well-known as sophisticated canal irrigators and desert farmers of the American southwest, yet they were hunters, gatherers and foragers too. Szuter & Bayham (1989) thus observed that the 'convenient labels of hunter-gatherer or farmer are of minimal value... The two activities are complementary'.

(b) The management of non-agricultural environments

What has also become clear is that farmers, hunters, gatherers, fishers and foragers do not simply take resources from a compliant environment. Thev manage and amend resources in much the same way as is standard practice on farms (table 1). Foragers maintain resources by intentional sowing of wild seeds, irrigation of stands of grasses, burning to stimulate plant growth, selective culling of game animals and fish, replanting of portions of roots, enrichment planting of trees and extraction of only parts of honeycombs so that sites are not deserted by bees (Steward 1938; Lawton et al. 1976; Woodburn 1980; Kelly 1995). All these activities have agricultural equivalents, and are variously designed to increase the productivity and stability of useful plants and animals.

Many cultures and groups directly manage trees on and off the farm. The forest islands of Amazonia were found by Posey (1985) to have emerged as a result of Kayapo directly planting-up mounds. In the lower Amazon, smallholder farmers enrich the forests with desirable fruit, timber and medicinal trees, often broadcasting seeds when cutting timber (Brookfield & Padoch 2007). In dryland Kenya, *Acacia tortilis* tree recruitment occurs on the sites of abandoned pastoralist corrals that are high in organic matter and nutrients from the penned livestock. Acacia seedpods are a favoured fodder, and some pass through the animals to then germinate in the next season. The result is circular woodlands of dense Acacia (Reid & Ellis 1995; Berkes 1999). In China, there is widespread use of wild trees in integrated systems of land management, and wild plants and animals are gathered from a variety of microenvironments, such as dykes, woods, ponds and irrigation ditches (Li Wenhua 2001).

Farmers also widely transplant species from the wild. In northern Nigeria, they plant Hibiscus on field boundaries; in South Africa, wild fruit trees and edible herbs are grown on farms; and in northeastern Thailand, a quarter of all the 159 wild food species gathered from field boundaries, irrigation canals, swamps and roadsides are transplanted and propagated by rice farmers (Price 1997; High & Shackleton 2000; Harris & Mohammed 2003). Home gardens are particularly important for many rural smallholders, and are notably diverse, sometimes containing more than 200 useful species (Eyzaguirre & Linares 2004). In northeast Thailand, 88 per cent of home gardens contain wild species. Home gardens are often a refuge for wild species threatened by deforestation and urbanization, and in periods of drought when the wild relatives suffer, those surviving in the home gardens provide considerable additional value to farm households.

Burning is a widespread management practice. Australian Aborigines call it 'firestick farming', and used fire to make the 'country happy', to keep it 'clean' (Bird Rose 1996). Burning allowed people to walk without fear of snakes and the nuisance of grass seeds; it created new food for kangaroos and wallabies; and made it easy to see animal tracks and burrows. The observation of smoke is still taken to be a sign that the country is healthy. Burning was also common in North America, helping to create the 'parkland' type environments of Yosemite and Vancouver Island, and used by plains groups to increase herd size on the prairies (Berkes 1999; Lee & Daly 1999).

To many cultures, the ideas of wild, wildlife and wilderness remain problematic. The term wild is commonly used today to refer to ecosystems and situations where people have not interfered, yet we now know that people influence, interfere with and manage most if not all ecosystems and their plants and animals. In Papua New Guinea, wild and domesticated pigs are central to many subsistence strategies (Rosman & Rubel 1989). Wild pigs are hunted and managed: boars and sows are brought together to breed, females are followed to their nests, litters and piglets removed for raising, and wild pigs are fed with sago and roots. Some groups raise extra gardens of sweet potatoes just for pigs. Forest-dwelling cassowaries are never bred, but their chicks are captured, tamed and raised. Similar merging of the wild and raised occurs reindeer (caribou) herding and hunting in communities of Siberia (e.g. Evenki, Anderson 1999).

What is common in all cases is that people pay close attention to what the land is telling them. Such knowledge and understanding is then encoded into norms, rules, institutions and stories, and thus forms the basis for continued adaptive management over generations (Basso 1996; Pretty 2007; Berkes 2009). This knowledge is an important capital resource. The Table 1. The management of non-agricultural ecosystems by farmers, hunter-gatherers and foragers. Sources: Kent (1989), Rosman & Rubel (1989), Kelly (1995), Bird Rose (1996), Balée (1998), Fowler & Turner (1999), Kehoe (1999), Pretty (2002, 2007), Harris & Mohammed (2003), Anderson & Nuttall (2004), Berkes (1999, 2009), Brookfield & Padoch (2007), Stephenson & Möller (2009) and Heckenberger (2009).

practice	detail	agricultural equivalent	examples
harvesting and	hunting of particular species or	crop harvesting	muttonbird (sooty shearwater)
hunting	individuals, at particular times sparing young animals and fish rotational hunting and no-take zones closed fishing areas and closed season allowing portion of fish catch to	livestock raising	gathering by Maori aboriginal caretakers beaver bosses of Cree, rested hunting and trapping areas sparing lead caribou individuals (as have knowledge of migration
	escape taboos and rituals for certain people and animals nomination of stewards to regulate		routes) Pacific island closed fishing areas and seasons
planting	hunting enrichment planting of fruit and medicinal trees in forests and home gardens scattering seeds and roots	planting of domesticated seeds	tree, palm and bamboo enrichment by Amazonian cultures aboriginal wild gardens distribution and reproduction of
	replacing portions of roots replanting of propagules selectively tended wild gardens agroforestry on and off farms		mongongo nut trees by San transplanting willow for basketry by Shoshone
raising animals	selective culling and sparing transplanting eggs and young feeding young animals	raising domesticated animals	managing wild pigs in Papua New Guinea
nutrient additions	human and animal wastes near settlements mulching and charcoal as soil amendments feed for fish and wild pigs	fertilizer, compost, animal manure	pastoralist corrals in Sub-Saharan Africa leading to Acacia woodlands wild pig management in Papua New Guinea
pest management	protection by removal of weeds, pests or predators	pest management	management of oyster beds in UK
habitat amendment and creation	coppicing and thinning of trees to increase yields and biodiversity creation of ponds and fleets creation of maize and sorghum game cover clearing of forest glades	habitat amendment for agriculture	swidden agriculturalists farmers creating ponds for fishing or wildfowling in UK farmers maintaining woodland and game cover for shooting
	creation of rock cairns to attract lizards creation of hunting gardens		
water management	diversion of streams to irrigate wild strands of grasses channel diversion for fish trapping clearing of stream-beds for fish	irrigation drainage	irrigation by Hohokam in USA
fire use	spawning burning to increase grass yields to encourage game, reveal burrows and	burning crop stubbles and straw	firestick farming by Australian Aborigines
	tracks broadcasting seeds of annuals and perennials after burning	clearing swiddens burning heather moors	creation of parklands by Native Americans (Yosemite and Vancouver Island) burning of prairies by Blackfoot to improve grasses for wild herds

result is a huge variety of subsistence strategies that vary spatially as well as over time (Kelly 1995).

(c) Farmers and wild foods

In both agricultural and hunter-gatherer systems, there are no easy distinctions between 'wild' and

'cultivated' foods. While food research and policy tend to consider these separately, the differences are rarely mirrored by local communities. Plant foods can thus be envisioned as 'existing along a continuum ranging from the entirely wild to the semi-domesticated, or from no noticeable human intervention to selective harvesting, transplanting, and propagation by seed and graft' (Harris 1989). Moreover, since 'domestication grew out of food gathering, which almost imperceptibly led to cultivation', many wild edible species can be considered to be 'in various stages of domestication as a result of human selection, however slight' (Heywood 1999). Many farmers continually blur the distinction between the cultivated and the uncultivated (Mazhar *et al.* 2007).

Wild foods have long provided farmers a 'hidden harvest', as they have used co-evolved species and other wild biodiversity in and around their farms to supplement their foods and earnings (Harris & Hillman 1989; Scoones et al. 1992; Heywood 1999; Grivetti & Ogle 2000). Many species are found within the fields themselves. The harvesting of wild species from paddy fields is an excellent example; in Thailand, farmers harvest wild herbs, insects, trees and vines (Price 1997; Halwart 2008); in Bangladesh, 102 species of greens and 69 of fish (Mazhar et al. 2007) are collected. In Svay Rieng, Cambodia, wild fish from in and around paddies contribute up to 70 per cent of total protein intake as well as being a source of income. Their relevance as a buffer against hunger is considerable in this area since rice yields here are among the lowest in southeast Asia (Guttman 1999). Table 2 summarizes the range of species used by rice-based agricultural communities in four Asian countries, with total use varying from 51 to 102 species (overall mean: 83; plants: 17; animals: 66).

Farmers also transplant species onto or near fields. In northeast Thailand, a quarter of the 159 wild food species gathered are deliberately propagated (Price 1997; High & Shackleton 2000; Harris & Mohammed 2003). Smallholders' home gardens are another example—these are notably diverse, sometimes containing more than 200 useful species (Eyzaguirre & Linares 2004).

Wild food species are declining in many agricultural landscapes (MEA 2005). The spread of agriculture and the homogenization of agricultural landscapes increasingly limits the availability and use of wild foods of nutritional importance to agricultural communities, but most of all to the landless poor and other vulnerable groups (Scoones *et al.* 1992; Pretty 2002). Their continued availability depends on the maintenance of synergies between farming and wild biodiversity (Pretty 2007; Royal Society 2009).

3. THE IMPORTANCE OF WILD FOODS

By FAO estimates, around 'one billion people use wild foods in their diet' (Aberoumand 2009). Forests provide livelihoods and food for some 300 million people in the form of non-timber forest products (NTFPs). In general, food security and NTFPs are strongly interlinked in rural communities, especially for the most vulnerable groups (Belcher *et al.* 2005), even among agricultural communities (Vincetti *et al.* 2008). Urban communities also rely on wild foods. For instance, affluent urban households are willing to pay 43–157% more for bushmeat in Zambia and Mozambique (Barnett 2000). In Rajasthan, India, wild foods benefit both urban and rural children (Rathore 2009). Titus *et al.* (2009) explored the Table 2. The diversity of aquatic wild food species within rice agroecosystems in four Asian contexts (adapted from Halwart 2008).

	Cambodia	China	Laos	Vietnam
plants	13	20	20	15
amphibians	2	3	10	3
crustaceans	6	4	5	3
fishes	70	54	26	14
molluscs	1	5	8	7
reptiles	8	1	7	3
insects	2		16	6
total	102	87	92	51

importance of wild game in Alaska, where 80 per cent of the population is urban, and found urban households routinely consuming significant amounts of wild game.

(a) The diversity of wild foods used

Food security has come to depend on a small handful of widely cultivated species. Over 50 per cent of the world's daily requirement of proteins and calories comes from three crops-wheat, maize and rice (Jaenicke & Höschle-Zeledon 2006); 12 species contribute 80 per cent of total dietary intake. By contrast, wild foods provide a greater dietary diversity to those who rely on them. Ethnobotanical surveys of wild plants indicate that more than 7000 species have been used for human food at some stage in human history (Grivetti & Ogle 2000; MEA 2005). Some indigenous communities use over 200 (Kuhnlein et al. 2009); in India, 600 plant species are known to have food value (Rathore 2009); DeFoliart (1992) records 1000 species of edible insects used worldwide. Some 1069 species of wild fungi consumed worldwide are important sources of protein and income (Boa 2004). Bushmeat and fish provide 20 per cent of protein in at least 60 developing countries (Bennet & Robinson 2000).

Additionally, wild plants in particular have diverse uses. In Nepal, 80 per cent of 62 wild food plants have multiple uses (Shrestha & Dhillon 2006). Tanzanian Batemi agro-pastoralists use species as food (31 species), thirst quenchers (six species), for chewing (seven species), as flavourants (two species) and for honey beer (one species). A further 35 wild edible plants are cultivated (Johns *et al.* 1996). In the Mekong Delta and Central Vietnamese Highlands, several wild food species are used as medicine and livestock feed; one-fifth are used as all three (Ogle *et al.* 2003).

We summarize evidence on the use of wild species in tables 3-5. Surveys of even small sample sizes yield surprisingly high numbers of species used. Table 3 illustrates the use of wild foods in 12 Asian contexts; table 4 in 10 countries across Africa. From these 36 studies in 22 countries of Asia and Africa, the mean use of wild foods (discounting country- or continent-wide aggregates) is 90-100 species per place and community group. Individual country

country	area characteristics	number of species	references
Bangladesh	floodplain rice farming communities	102	Mazhar et al. (2007)
Cambodia	rice field agroecosystem, lower Mekong basin	20	Shams et al. (undated)
Cambodia	rice field agroecosystem, Tonle Sap, Mekong basin	102	Balzer et al. (2003)
China	rice field agroecosystem in Xishuangbanna, Yunan Province	92	Halwart (2008)
India	general countrywide estimate	600	Rathore (2009)
India	tribal/non-tribal; cultivation and livestock, deciduous forest	73	Kala (2009)
India	tribal and non-tribal, transhumance and rainfed agriculture, temperate forests	21	Misra et al. (2008)
India	Mornaula Reserve Forest in western Himalaya	114	Pant & Samant (2006)
India	Sikkim Himalaya	190	Sundriyal & Sundriyal (2001)
India	rainfed agricultural community of Deccan Plateau; 79 species of plants used, plus hunting of monitor lizards, wild pigs, rabbits and fishes	79	Mazhar <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Jordan	arid, countrywide estimate	56	Tukan et al. (1998)
Lebanon	dry mediterranean, rural	6	Jeambey et al. (2009)
Mongolia	steppe, nomadic pastoralists	77	Huai & Pei (2000)
Nepal	rural, forest dwelling	62	Shrestha & Dhillon (2006)
Nepal	Chepang community, shifting cultivation	85	Aryal et al. (2009)
Palestinian Authority	rural agricultural communities (irrigated and rainfed) on West Bank	100	Ali-Shtayeh et al. (2008)
Thailand	irrigated rice in northeast and tropical/sub-tropical forest	159	Price (1997)
Thailand	Pwo Karen community; swidden cultivation in dry mixed deciduous forest	134	Delang (2006)
Turkey	western and central Anatolia	121	Dogan et al. (2004)
Vietnam	cultivation and livestock, Mekong Delta and Central Highlands	90	Ogle et al. (2003)

Table 3. The diversity of species of wild foods used in selected countries of Asia.

estimates can reach 300–800 species (India, Ethiopia, Kenya). Table 5 illustrates the use of wild foods by 12 indigenous communities (seven agricultural; five hunter–gatherer) across both industrialized and developing countries. The mean use of wild species is 120 per community, rising to 194 for those seven communities formally designated as agricultural.

Wild foods are still used in industrialized countries, though both use and traditional ecological knowledge appear to be declining (Mabey 1996; Pilgrim *et al.* 2008). In New Zealand, however, more than 60 species are still in common use, largely because of traditions of Maori groups. These include muttonbird (sooty shearwater), seagull, possum, rabbit, deer, wild pig, goat, salmon, trout, eel, water-cress, sea lettuce, gorse and many berries (Newman & Moller 2005; NZFSA 2007; Stephenson & Moller 2009). In the Wallis Lake catchment, Australia, 88 species are in general use (Gray *et al.* 2005). In the swamps of Louisiana, large numbers of people still hunt and fish regularly for their own food (Roland 2006).

(b) The nutritional value of wild foods

Malnutrition is a major health burden in developing countries, and the recognition that nutritional security and biodiversity are linked is fundamental for enlisting policy support to secure wild food use and preserve habitats for wild edible species. Comprehensive food composition data is a critical first step (McBurney *et al.* 2004; Flyman & Afolayan 2006; Frison *et al.* 2006). This is especially important for communities most vulnerable to malnutrition (Misra *et al.* 2008; Afolayan & Jimoh 2009). However, understanding of wild foods' micro- and macro-nutritional properties currently lags behind that of cultivated species (Vincetti *et al.* 2008).

Though several studies have found that wild foods are important sources of micronutrients, their energy-density is generally low (with the exception of honey and high-fat organs or in-season fat deposits) (Samson & Pretty 2006; McMichael et al. 2007). In the Sahel, several edible desert plants are sources of essential fatty acids, iron, zinc and calcium (Glew et al. 1997). In the arid Ferlo region of Senegal, some 50 per cent of all plants have edible parts, and those that are commonly consumed are critical suppliers of vitamins A, B2 and C, especially during seasonal lean periods (Becker 1983). Lockett et al. (2000) found that among the plants used by the Fulani in Nigeria, those available during the dry season (and thus important for ensuring yearround nutritional security in the face of possible food shortages) were superior in energy and micronutrient content compared with those from the wet season.

The contribution of dietary energy from traditional food species in 12 indigenous communities has been found to range from 30% to 93% of total dietary energy (Kuhnlein *et al.* 2009). For many indigenous communities, especially Artic and sub-Arctic, traditional wild foods outweigh modern store-bought items in terms of nutrient content. Their gradual replacement by store-bought produce causes discernable and

2918 Z. Bharucha & J. Pretty Review. Wild foods in a

country	summarized area characteristics	number of species	references
Africa	continent-wide estimate (insects only)	600	DeFoliart (1992)
Africa	sub-Saharan Africa (insects only)	250	van Huis (2003)
Africa	Central and West Africa (plants only)	1500	Chege (1994)
Botswana	Tyua grow crops and use wild plants, animals, birds, fish and insects	171	Hitchcock (1999)
Congo	Mbuti Pygmies of forest: cultivators of cassava and plantain plus users of 230 animal and 100 plant species	330	Ishikawa (1999)
Ethiopia	subsistence agriculture, animal husbandry, semi-arid to humid	44	Fentahun & Hager (2009)
Ethiopia	country-wide estimate	203	Asfaw & Tadesse (2001)
Ethiopia	country-wide estimate	300	Asfaw (2009)
Ethiopia	agricultural, arid, open woodland (50% of plants in region edible)	25	Becker (1983)
Ethiopia	humid to semi-arid; forest to savannah, three ethnic groups in south Ethiopia	66	Balemie & Kebebew (2006)
Kenya	country-wide estimate for agricultural communities (plants only)	800	Maundu (1996)
Kenya	Turkana agro-pastoralists and rural fishing communities, arid and semi-arid	14	Levine & Crosskey (2006)
Madagascar	forest-dwelling, swidden cultivation in tropical forest	150	Styger et al. (1999)
Namibia	agriculture and livestock; tropical wetland, swamp and woodland in Caprivi	21	Mulonga (2003)
Nigeria	agricultural, savanna, semi-arid	121	Harris & Mohammed (2003)
Tanzania	agricultural, tropical forest, East Usambara mountains	28	Kessey (1998)
Tanzania	agricultural, tropical forest, East Usambara mountains	46	Hårkönen & Vainio-Mattila (1998)
Tanzania	Batemi agropastoralists, semi-arid (with 35 wild species cultivated)	44	Johns et al. (1996)
Uganda	agricultural households in southwest Uganda (some wild species cultivated and gathered from the wild)	94	Musinguzi et al. (2006)
Zambia	country-wide estimate	15 - 25	Pegler & Piearce (1980)

Table 4. The diversity of species of wild foods used in selected countries of Africa.

significantly negative impacts on nutritional security at household and community levels (Samson & Pretty 2006).

4. THE ECONOMIC VALUE OF WILD FOODS

(a) Aggregate values

There is no comprehensive global estimate of the economic value of wild foods. Quantitative analyses face methodological difficulties. First, case studies using different valuation methods and diverse scales are rarely comparable. Second, sale of wild products (particularly bushmeat) is often illegal, and therefore under-reported. Trade is often informal or occurs at local markets and is therefore missed by conventional accounting mechanisms (Jaarsveld *et al.* 2005). The MEA (2005) cautions that the extent of freshwater fish catches might be under-reported by up to a factor of two because of inaccurate measures of informal fisheries.

While exact estimates of the economic value or volumes involved is difficult, what is not in dispute is that trade in and use of wild foods provide an important supplement to general incomes and are especially critical during economic hardship. Among the Tsimane' of Bolivia, only 3 per cent of goods consumed in the household comes from the market; a significant proportion comes from freshwater and forest (Reyes-García *et al.* 2008). In DR

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B (2010)

Congo, almost 90 per cent of harvested bushmeat and fish is sold rather than consumed (de Merode *et al.* 2003). In table 6, we summarize findings from economic valuations of direct use values for wild foods in selected African countries. From the limited data available, it is clear that wild plants and animals can provide 170-900 worth of value to rural households in South Africa and Tanzania. In Ghana, the bushmeat market is worth 275million annually.

(b) Values to the poorest households

An important aspect of wild food use is the relative importance of wild foods to poorer households. The conventional understanding holds that poorer households depend more on wild foods. However, detailed analyses do not show simple correlations between wealth and resource use (de Merode *et al.* 2003; Allebone-Webb 2009). A range of context-specific social and economic factors (e.g. price, individual or cultural preference, and wealth) are also relevant.

In some countries, household consumption of wild foods increases with wealth—with the exception of bushmeat in Africa (IIED & Traffic 2002). de Merode (2003) found that the poorest households among those sampled in DR Congo were unable to capitalize on the most valuable food products and concluded that household use of wild foods depends less

Table 5. The diversity of species of wild foo	ds used by 12 indigenous communities	(adapted from Kuhnlein <i>et al.</i> 2009) ^a .

	study area					
no.	cultural group	region	ecosystem	flora	fauna	total species used
1	Awajan	Peruvian Amazon	tropical forest	93	113	206
2	Bhil	Gujarat, India	tropical forest	68	23	91
3	Dalit	Andhra Pradesh, India	semi-arid	179	40	212
4	Karen	Thungyai Naresuan National Wildlife Sanctuary, Thailand	tropical; paddy cultivation	252	63	315
5	Mand (Pohnpei)	Pacific Ocean, Federated States of Micronesia	tropical	67	162	229
6	Igbo	Southern Nigeria	tropical	171	45	216
7	Ingano	Colombian Amazon	tropical forest	x^{b}	92	(92 + x)
8	Ainu	Saru River Valley, Japan	riverine	10	3	13
9	Maasai	Kajiado District, Kenya	semi-arid	33	21	54
10	Inuit	Canadian Territory of Nunavut	polar	15	64	79
11	Nuxalk	Bella Coola, British Columbia	polar	42	25	67
12	Tetlit Gwich'in	Canadian Arctic	polar	15	35	50

^aCommunities 1–7 are formally seen as farming communities.

^bTotal cannot be accurately ascertained from original text as named traditional species are a mix of wild and cultivated.

Table 6. Direct use values of wild foods valued either as contributions to household consumption or income from sale (selected African countries; 1999–2009). Note: GDP *per capita* (2009) figures in US\$ (IMF 2009): DR Congo \$171; Ghana \$639; Namibia \$4341; South Africa \$5635; Tanzania \$547; Zambia \$1027.

country	consumption value within household	sale value (in US\$)	reference
DR Congo	bushmeat 10%, fish 16%, wild plants 6%	n.a.	de Merode <i>et al.</i> (2003)
DR Congo	3–10% of total value of food consumed in the household	25% of all household sales	de Merode <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Ghana, country- wide estimate	n.a.	305 000 tonnes wild meat sold annually (value US\$275 million)	IIED & Traffic (2002)
Namibia	21% respondents reported bushmeat cheaper than raised meat	wild plants value not recorded; fish: N\$350 wk ⁻¹	Mulonga (2003)
South Africa	wild foods comprise 31% of all plants on residential plots, and 72% of the value of all plant products consumed	28% of all plant products sold: US\$269 household ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , of which wild foods worth US\$83	High & Shackleton (2000)
South Africa	R2819—R7238 household ^{-1} yr ^{-1} (wild foods are a part)	US $367-941$ household ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Shackleton <i>et al.</i> (2002)
South Africa	$167 \text{ household}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$	US\$167 household ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Dovie et al. (2006)
Tanzania	n.a.	58% of cash income from sale of NTFPs and wild foods	Makonda & Gillah (2007)
Tanzania		US\$378 household ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ : \$265 plant- medicines; \$15 wild vegetables; \$27 wild fruit; \$21 leaves and stems; \$20 wild animals; \$10 insects; \$18–126 wild honey	Kasthala <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Zambia	n.a.	US\$2.15 kg ⁻¹ in rural areas (three to four times more in urban areas)	Jumbe et al. (2008)
Zambia	n.a.	US\$4 gallon ⁻¹ ; during season collectors can earn up to a month's salary for a general worker	Mbata et al. (2002)

on natural abundance than on socio-economic factors. In Honduras, the sale of forest products as an emergency response was relatively restricted to a minority of households and only certain conditions of cash need. Most households preferred other short-term measures such as the sale of stored crops, borrowing cash or doing wage labour (McSweeney 2005). Consumption is also influenced by price or individual or cultural preference.

5. DRIVERS OF CHANGE IN WILD FOOD AVAILABILITY AND USE

There are a number of important drivers for wild food availability and use. While some clearly increase or decrease the use of wild foods, the impact of others is ambiguous and context-dependent. The importance of understanding current trends for wild foods is underscored by the recognition that food insecurity is a particular problem among indigenous populations (Ford & Berrang-Ford 2009). For instance, Willows et al. (2009) find that of 35 000 households, 1528 of whom were aboriginal, 33 per cent of Aboriginal households were food-insecure, compared with 9 per cent of non-Aboriginal households, and that Aboriginal households were more prone to experience socio-demographic risk factors for food insecurity than non-Aboriginal households. The interaction between drivers also deserves attention. In assessing links between local knowledge and sociocultural continuity, Howard (2010) finds that cultural identity and agrobiodiversity are strongly associated: 'culture and ecosystems ... co-evolve'. Thus, a biophysical driver (e.g. climate change) could have knock-on effects on a cultural parameter (e.g. local knowledge), and the effect of the two combined could lead to either an increase or decrease in wild food use.

(a) Wild foods in a changing climate

Forecasting the precise impacts of the changing climate on the availability of wild foods is difficult (MEA 2005; Woodruff *et al.* 2006). Studying resilience and vulnerability in two communities in Tanzania and Niger, Strauch *et al.* (2009) concluded that there was insufficient evidence to predict the impacts that climate change would have on both human foraging and the interlinked processes of local ecological knowledge (LEK) transmission, cultural continuity and land-based subsistence livelihood.

At a regional level, White et al.'s (2007) study of the effects of a changing climate on wild food supplies in the Arctic focused on surface water regimes. There were multiple impacts brought by changes in hydrology for local communities. The stresses brought by a changed Arctic climate are compounded by rapid socio-cultural change in the region (Samson & Pretty 2006; Loring & Gerlach 2009). Wild food species offer a potentially critical role for buffering against food stress caused by a changing climate. Nevertheless, 'the innate resilience of wild species to rapid climate change, which is often lacking in exotic species', means that they could play an increasingly important role during periods of low agricultural productivity associated with climate events (Fentahun & Hager 2009).

(b) Land use change and degradation

Current trends in land use, including expansion of intensive agriculture, limit the capacity of ecosystems to sustain food production and maintain the habitats of wild food species (Foley *et al.* 2005). Changes in land use and agriculture expansion have significant implications for the availability of wild foods. The commercialization of agriculture—an important driver of land use change—potentially implies decreased reliance on wild foods (Treweek *et al.* 2006). Agricultural and land use policy, infrastructure development and widened access to markets all drive land use change, and are implicated in declines of wild species in Thailand (Schmidt-Vogt 2001; Padoch *et al.* 2007) and China (Xu *et al.* 2009).

Biodiversity in intensely managed swidden (shifting) fallows has traditionally provided communities with the means to increase incomes, improve diets and increase labour productivity. Most of the wild food species used by swiddeners come from fallows, rather than mature forests. With the replacement of swidden farming by annual or perennial crops (Bruun et al. 2009), wild foods that accompanied fallows are being lost, leading to decreased diversity, and with it downgraded nutritional status, health and income, and the removal of a vital 'safety net' for the rural poor (Rerkasem et al. 2009). Somnasang et al. (1998) report that in 20 villages surveyed in Thailand, deforestation had led to a decline in wild food species. Efforts by the local community to stem this loss by domesticating important species were unsuccessful, as many species do not survive outside their natural forested habitat.

Overall, the challenge of feeding a growing world population, if it does not focus on sustainable intensification (Royal Society 2009), will further threaten naturally biodiverse landscapes. Yet, ensuring dietary diversity and associated nutritional security rests on 'forestalling the imminent extinction of up to one quarter of the worlds' wild species and the loss of important agro-biodiversity'. This calls for a biodiversity-focused strategy in food, public health and poverty-alleviation policies (Johns & Sthapit 2004).

(c) Unsustainable harvesting

Sixteen of the world's biodiversity hotspots correspond with areas of malnutrition and hunger, placing pressure on biodiversity for food provision (Treweek *et al.* 2006). In these locations, unsustainable harvests have led to declines in wild food species.

The illegal use and trade of bushmeat is well documented. In the long term, over-harvesting will have a negative impact on wild food availability and thus on nutritional security for those communities that rely on bushmeat for protein. In some parts of Africa, unsustainable harvesting is putting added pressure on stocks. An important driver is the widespread availability of firearms (Jaarsveld et al. 2005). Nevertheless, despite the fact that unsustainable trade in bushmeat is regarded as a threat to wildlife, Cowlishaw et al. (2005) found some evidence of sustainable harvesting after the extinction (through historical hunting) of key species. After vulnerable species have been depleted, robust species (fast reproducers) are then harvested and traded at sustainable levels. Management policies might therefore benefit from according stricter protection to key species but allowing robust ones to continue being traded sustainably.

Where species have traditionally been harvested sustainably, the entry of the market and the commercialization of species hitherto used exclusively for local subsistence can also result in over-harvesting (Kala 2009). Unsustainable harvesting is a concern in the case of wild fisheries. At a global level, increasing average *per capita* consumption of seafood has led to catch rates that regularly exceed maximum sustainable yields (MEA 2005). Brashares *et al.* (2004) found links between unsustainable harvesting of bushmeat and fish stocks in Africa: years of poor fish catches coincided with increased hunting over a 30 year period.

(d) Deepening poverty, HIV/AIDS and conflict

In Africa, climate-induced vulnerabilities combined with HIV/AIDS have produced a decline in food security sufficiently great to have spurred new thinking on the origins of famine (e.g. New Variant Famine Hypothesis: de Waal & Whiteside 2003). Hlanze et al. (2005) state that 'increasingly it is becoming difficult to separate the food security impact of drought from that of HIV/ AIDS. The two work in tandem to cause poor harvests and reduced incomes.' For households afflicted by HIV/ AIDS, wild foods offer nutritious dietary supplements at low labour and financial costs. This is important when considering the negative impact of a household's HIV/AIDS status on income and food security (Kaschula 2008), together with the fact that deficiencies of micronutrients (in which many wild foods are rich) critical to immune-system function are 'commonly observed in people living with HIV in all settings' (Piwoz & Bentley 2005). Food stress associated with HIV/AIDS can drive households to intensify wild food use, putting unsustainable pressure on local resources especially when combined with deepening poverty or indeed conflict (Dudley et al. 2002). In South Africa, Kaschula (2008) found that wild food use was significantly more likely in households afflicted by HIV.

However, use of wild foods could also *decline* due to HIV/AIDS. For example, at one site, it was found that 'households suffering the loss of a head of household were actually less likely to gather from the bush' (Hunter *et al.* 2009). Further relevant drivers include the loss of ecological knowledge as adults die (Ansell *et al.* 2009), declines in household labour (de Waal & Whiteside 2003; Kaschula 2008) and the stigma attached to HIV/AIDS (Kaschula 2008).

Armed conflict and associated internal displacement of populations are associated with heavy subsistence use of wild foods by refugees, combatants and resident non-combatants alike, and the sale or barter of wildlife for food (Loucks *et al.* 2009), arms or other goods. Conflict—often positively correlated with areas of high biodiversity—is generally associated with landscape degradation (Loucks *et al.* 2009). It is conceivable that this could lead to a decline in the long-term use of wild food species. Climate change is also predicted to increase armed conflict in some developing countries (Buhaug *et al.* 2008).

(e) Loss of local ecological knowledge

LEK is required for the identification, collection and preparation of wild foods (Pilgrim *et al.* 2008). The distribution of LEK between individuals in a community is usually differentiated by gender, age or social role. Several studies show women score higher on food-related knowledge (Price 1997; Somnasang *et al.* 1998; Styger *et al.* 1999). In one Nepalese site, women above 35 years of age were able to describe the uses of 65 per cent of all edible species, while young men could only describe 23 per cent (Shrestha & Dhillon 2006). Men and women might also hold specialized LEK. Somnasang *et al.* (1998) found that while men had more knowledge of hunting and fishing, women had more knowledge of wild food plants, insects and shrimp. LEK is also differentiated by age: in Ethiopia, children gather fruit for consumption by the whole community, and unsurprisingly those under 30 had the most knowledge of wild fruits (Fentahun & Hager 2009).

Research has pointed to declines in LEK (Pilgrim et al. 2008) as communities rely increasingly on store-bought foods and move away from land-based livelihoods. Somnasang et al. (1998) found that young people working outside the village did not have the chance, and in some cases the desire, to acquire food-relevant LEK. It is thus possible that as young adults leave land-based livelihoods, knowledge transmission to younger generations will be diminished. In other cases, individuals' preferences change as they grow and thus, their stock of LEK changes, even if they remain within their community. In Ethiopia, Fentahun & Hager (2009) found that '... grown-ups succumb to the culture of the society which regards the consumption of wild fruits (commonly consumed by children) as a source of shame' (insert added). As climate change alters habitats, so knock-on effects are expected on LEK (Strauch et al. 2009).

(f) Socio-economic change and the expansion of markets

The nutrition transition associated with industrialization and the modernization of diets poses challenges to public health worldwide (Popkin 1998). The replacement of wild foods by store-bought products is linked to reduced dietary diversity, rising rates of chronic lifestyle-related conditions such as obesity and type II diabetes, poor intake of micronutrients (Batal & Hunter 2007) and malnutrition (Erikson et al. 2008). Traditional species become undervalued and underused as exotic ones become available, as has been found in India (Rathore 2009) and the Amazon (Byron 2003). Yet, the importance of wild foods to nutritional security means that they are not necessarily replaced by store-bought foods providing the same amount of calories. Global trends indicate that more people will, however, come to depend solely on store-bought, cultivated foods (Johns & Maundu 2006), thus marginalizing wild foods.

In regions isolated from sweeping transformations, traditional food systems can persist. Pieroni (1999) suggests that the geographical isolation of the upper Serchio valley in northwest Tuscany has 'permitted a rich popular knowledge to be maintained'. Gastronomic traditions in the valley help to preserve influences dating from pre-Roman times and over 120 species form a well-preserved pharmacopoeia of food and medicine. In other regions too, wild food use seems to persist: 123 edible species are still used in Spain (Tardío *et al.* 2003); and in many Mediterranean countries, wild foods are still prevalent enough to be considered an important part of local diets (Leonti *et al.* 2006).

In the Arctic, the nutrition transition is driven by a changing climate as well as large-scale cultural changes. This transition produces significant negative effects to physical and mental health at community level (Samson & Pretty 2006; Loring & Gerlach 2009). In the Canadian Artic, children now obtain more than 40 per cent of their total energy from store-bought processed foods ('sweet' and 'fat' foods). In adults, however, the benefits of consuming traditional wild foods are clear: '... even a single portion of local animal or fish food resulted in increased (p < 0.05) levels of energy, protein, vitamin D, vitamin E, riboflavin, vitamin B-6, iron, zinc, copper, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, and potassium' (Kuhnlein & Receveur 2007). Though wild foods have traditionally played a critical role in circumpolar communities (Ford 2009; Ford et al. 2009; Titus et al. 2009), public health policy across many countries tends to operate within a model of food security that discounts the traditional food practices of these communities (Power 2008).

6. SECURING THE FUTURE FOR WILD FOODS

The MEA (2005) lists 250 mammalian, 262 avian and 79 amphibian species as threatened from overexploitation for food. Mechanisms such as CITES regulate cross-border trade in wild species, but require international cooperation. At national level, however, trade is generally poorly regulated and monitored. Challenges to sustainable harvesting include (i) lack of comprehensive data on species used and sustainable yields; (ii) lack of management regimes and institutions regulating ownership, access and harvesting rights; (iii) lack of legislation and policy for sustainable harvesting—in many cases a result of lack of information on use and trade of species (Schippmann *et al.* 2006).

Policy support is central to the conservation of species as well as LEK. Lack of policy support for relevant programmes has been implicated in the continued over-harvesting of African bushmeat (Scholes & Biggs 2005). By contrast, support for agroforestry systems have potentially ensured sustainable harvests from indigenous tree species in areas otherwise prone to deforestation (Sileshi et al. 2007). Management of common forests has recently become successful with the emergence of joint forest management and community-managed forest groups (Ostrom et al. 2002; Pretty 2003; Berkes 2004; Molnar et al. 2007). Worldwide, some 370 million ha of various habitats are estimated to be under community conservation, including 14 million ha managed by 65 000 community groups in India and 900 000 ha managed by 12 000 groups in Nepal. In Italy, Vitalini et al. (2009) linked the continued use of wild food and plants with a site's EU designation of 'Site of Community Interest'. The preservation of habitats bodes well for species conservation, but there are also concerns that protected area status might exclude local people from access and use.

In environments where LEK is being lost, it is important that it be recorded. Local communities might themselves desire to preserve wild food species through, for example, the establishment of community enterprises based on wild food resources in Nepal (Shrestha & Dhillon 2006) or through local women strengthening traditional community sanctions against overuse and enlisting the support of state law in northeast Thailand (Price 1997).

7. CONCLUSIONS

Wild food species form a significant portion of the total food basket for households from agricultural, hunter, gatherer and forager systems. However, the focus on the contribution of agriculture to total food security has resulted in the routine undervaluation of wild food species. The continued contribution of wild species to food and nutritional security is threatened by some of the processes that seek to increase agricultural production and enhance economic development. While wild foods cannot entirely bridge the existing supply and demand gaps, without them it would be much wider.

Edible species provide more than just food and income. In communities with a tradition of wild food use, it is part of a living link with the land, a keystone of culture (Pretty 2007; Pilgrim & Pretty 2010). The decline of traditional ways of life and decreased wild food use are interlinked. Research needs are twofold: (i) standardized, accessible and comparable studies on the nutritional and toxicological properties of currently underused wild species on a broad scale; (ii) the identification of priority areas for conservation of wild food species and the recording of food-relevant LEK. Polices on conservation, food-security and agriculture need to be integrated to recognize and preserve the importance of wild foods.

Recent initiatives indicate that this may be taking place. For example, traditional food revitalization projects aim to increase the consumption of wild foods, and are being used to provide health and cultural benefits to traditional communities otherwise subject to the nutrition transition (Pilgrim et al. 2009). The FAO recognizes that 'nutrition and biodiversity converge to a common path leading to food security and sustainable development' and that 'wild species and intraspecies biodiversity have key roles in global nutrition security' (FAO 2009). The evidence shows that wild foods provide substantial health and economic benefits to those who depend on them. It is now clear that efforts to conserve biodiversity and preserve traditional food systems and farming practices need to be combined and enhanced.

REFERENCES

- Aberoumand, A. 2009 Nutritional evaluation of edible *Portulaca oleracia* as plant food. *Food Analyt. Meth.* 2, 204–207. (doi:10.1007/s12161-008-9049-9)
- Afolayan, A. J. & Jimoh, F. O. 2009 Nutritional quality of some wild leafy vegetables in South Africa. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **60**, 424–431. (doi:10.1080/ 09637480701777928)
- Ali-Shtayeh, M. et al. 2008 Traditional knowledge of wild edible plants used in Palestine (Northern West Bank). J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 4, 13. (doi:10.1186/ 1746-4269-4-13)

- Allebone-Webb, S. M. 2009 Evaluating dependence on wildlife products in rural Equatorial Guinea. PhD thesis, London, UK: Imperial College.
- Anderson, D. G. 1999 Evenki. In Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters and gatherers (eds R. B. Lee & R. Daly), pp. 142–146. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Anderson, D. G. & Nuttall, M. (eds) 2004 Cultivating Arctic landscapes. New York/Oxford: Berghahn.
- Ansell, N., Robson, E., Hajdu, F., Blerk, L. & van Chipeta, L. 2009 The new variant famine hypothesis: moving beyond the household in exploring links between AIDS and food insecurity in southern Africa. *Progr. Dev. Stud.* 9, 187–207. (doi:10.1177/146499340800900302)
- Aryal, K. P., Berg, A. & Ogle, B. 2009 Uncultivated plants and livelihood support—a case study from the Chepang people of Nepal. *Ethnobo Res. Appl.* 7, 409–422.
- Asfaw, Z. 2009 The future of wild food plants in southern Ethiopia. *Acta Hortic.* 806, 701–708.
- Asfaw, Z. & Tadesse, M. 2001 Prospects for sustainable use and development of wild food plants in Ethiopia. *Econ. Bot.* 55, 47–62.
- Balée, W. 1998 *Principles of historical ecology*. New York, NY: Colombia University Press.
- Balemie, K. & Kebebew, F. 2006 Ethnobotanical study of wild edible plants in Derashe and Kucha Districts, S Ethiopia. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 2, 53. (doi:10.1186/ 1746-4269-2-53)
- Balzer, T., Balzer, P. & Pon, S. 2003 Traditional use and availability of aquatic biodiversity in rice-based ecosystems. In *Biodiversity and the ecosystem approach in agriculture, forestry and fisheries* (eds M. Halwart, D. Bartley & H. Guttman). Proceedings of the Ninth Regular Session of the Commission on Genetic Resources for food and Agriculture, Rome, 12–13 October 2002. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- Barnard, A. 1999 Images of hunter and gatherers in European social thought. In *Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters* and gatherers (eds R. B. Lee & R. Daly), pp. 375–383. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Barnett, R. 2000 Food for thought: the utilization of wild meat in Eastern and Southern Africa. TRAFFIC East and Southern Africa, Nairobi: IUCN and WWF.
- Basso, K. 1996 *Wisdom sits in places*. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press.
- Batal, M. & Hunter, E. 2007 Traditional Lebanese recipes based on wild plants. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 28(Suppl.), S303–S311.
- Becker, B. 1983 The contribution of wild plants to human nutrition in the Ferlo (N Senegal). *Econ. Bot.* 1, 257–267.
- Belcher, B., Ruíz-Pérez, M. & Achidiawan, R. 2005 Global patterns and trends in the use and management of commercial NTFPs. *World Dev.* 33, 1435–1452. (doi:10. 1016/j.worlddev.2004.10.007)
- Bennet, E. L. & Robinson, J. G. 2000 Hunting of wildlife in tropical forests, implications for biodiversity and forest peoples.
 Washington, DC: World Bank. Environment Dept Paper No. 76.
- Berkes, F. 1999 *Sacred ecology*. Philadelphia, PA: Taylor and Francis.
- Berkes, F. 2004 Rethinking community-based conservation. *Conserv. Biol.* 18, 621–630. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739. 2004.00077.x)
- Berkes, F. 2009 Community conserved areas. *Conserv. Lett.* **2**, 19–25.
- Bird Rose, D. 1996 *Dingo makes us human. Life and land in an Australian aboriginal culture.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Boa, E. 2004 Wild edible fungi: a global overview of their use and importance to people. Series on Non-Wood Forest Products, No. 17, Forestry Department, Rome, Italy: FAO.

- Brashares, J. S., Arcese, P., Sam, M. K., Coppolillo, P. B., Sinclair, A. R. E. & Balmford, A. 2004 Bushmeat hunting, wildlife declines and fish supply in West Africa. *Science* **306**, 1180–1183. (doi:10.1126/science.1102425)
- Brookfield, H. & Padoch, C. 2007 Managing biodiversity in spatially and temporally complex agricultural landscapes.In *Managing biodiversity in agricultural systems* (eds D. I. Jarvis & C. Padoch & H. D. Cooper). New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Bruun, T. B., Neergaard, A., de Lawrence, D. & Ziegler, A. D. 2009 Environmental consequences of the demise in swidden cultivation in SE Asia. *Hum. Ecol.* **37**, 375–388. (doi:10.1007/s10745-009-9257-y)
- Buhaug, H., Gleditsch, N. P. & Theisen, O. M. 2008 Implications of climate change for armed conflict. *Social dimensions of climate change*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Byron, E. 2003 Market integration and health: morbidity, diet and nutritional status in the Tsimane' Ameridians of Lowland Bolivia. PhD thesis, University of Florida, Gainesville.
- Chege, N. 1994 Africa's non-timber forest economy. World Watch 7, 19–24.
- Cowlishaw, G., Mendelson, S. & Rowcliffe, J. M. 2005 Evidence for post-depletion sustainability in a mature bushmeat market. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 42, 460–468. (doi:10. 1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01046.x)
- DeFoliart 1992 Insects as human food. Crop Prot. 11, 395-399. (doi:10.1016/0261-2194(92)90020-6)
- Delang, C. 2006 Not just minor forest products: the economic rationale for the consumption of wild food plants by subsistence farmers. *Ecol. Econ.* 59, 64–73. (doi:10. 1016/j.ecolecon.2005.10.006)
- de Merode, E., Homewood, K. & Cowlishaw, G. 2003 The value of bushmeat and other wild foods to rural households living in extreme poverty in DR Congo. *Biol. Conserv.* 118, 573–581.
- de Waal, A. & Whiteside, A. 2003 New variant famine. Lancet **362**, 1234–1237. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14548-5)
- Dogan, Y., Baslar, S., Ay, G. & Mert, H. H. 2004 The use of wild edible food plants in western and central Anatolia. *Econ. Bot.* 58, 684–690. (doi:10.1663/0013-0001(2004)058[0684:TUOWEP]2.0.CO;2)
- Dovie, D. B. K., Shackleton, C. M. & Witkowski, E. T. F. 2006 Conceptualizing the human use of wild herbs for conservation in South African communal areas. *J. Environ. Manage.* 84, 146–156. (doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2006.05.017)
- Dudley, J. P., Ginsberg, J. R., Plumptre, A. J., Hart, J. A. & Campos, L. C. 2002 Effects of war and civil strife on wildlife and wildlife habitats. *Conserv. Biol.* 16, 319– 329. (doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00306.x)
- Ericksen, P. J., Ingram, J. S. I. & Liverman, D. M. 2009 Food security and global environmental change. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 12, 373–377. (doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2009.04.007)
- Erikson, M., Fang, J. & Dekens, J. 2008 How does climate change affect human health in the Hindu Kush-Himalaya region? *Reg. Health Forum* **12**, 11–15.
- Eyzaguirre, P. B. & Linares, O. F. (eds) 2004 Home gardens and agrobiodiversity Washington, DC: Smithsonian.
- FAO. 2009 The state of food insecurity in the world. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- Fentahun, M. T. & Hager, H. 2009 Exploiting locally available resources for food and nutritional security enhancement. *Food Sec.* **1**, 207–219. (doi:10.1007/ s12571-009-0017-z)
- Flyman, M. V. & Afolayan, A. J. 2006 The suitability of wild vegetables for alleviating human dietary deficiencies. S. Afr. J. Bot. 72, 492–497. (doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2006. 02.003)

2924 Z. Bharucha & J. Pretty Review. Wild foods in agricultural systems

- Foley, J. A. *et al.* 2005 Global consequences of land use. *Science* **309**, 570–574. (doi:10.1126/science.1111772)
- Ford, J. D. 2009 Vulnerability of Inuit food systems to food insecurity as a consequence of climate change. *Reg. Environ. Change* **9**, 83–100. (doi:10.1007/s10113-008-0060-x)
- Ford, J. D. & Berrang-Ford, L. 2009 Food insecurity in Igloolik, Nunavut. *Polar Record* 45, 225–236. (doi:10. 1017/S0032247408008048)
- Ford, J. D., Gough, W. A., Laidler, G. J., MacDonald, J., Irngaut, C. & Qrunnut, K. 2009 Sea ice, climate change, and community vulnerability in Northern Foxe Basin, Canada. *Clim. Res.* 38, 137–154. (doi:10.3354/ cr00777)
- Fowler, C. S. & Turner, N. J. 1999 Ecological/cosmological knowledge and land management among hunter gatherers. In *Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters and gatherers* (eds R. B. Lee & R. Daly), pp. 419–425. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Frison, E. A., Smith, I. F., Johns, T., Cherfas, J. & Eyzaguirre, P. B. 2006 Agricultural biodiversity, nutrition and health. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **27**, 167–179.
- Glew, R. H., VanderJagt, D. J., Lockett, C., Grivetti, L. E., Smith, G. C., Pastuszyn, A. & Millson, M. 1997 Amino-acid, fatty acid and mineral composition of 24 indigenous plants of Burkina Faso. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 10, 205–217. (doi:10.1006/jfca.1997.0539)
- Gray, M. C., Altman, J. C. & Halasz, N. 2005 The economic value of wild resources to the indigenous community of the Wallis Lakes catchment. Canberra: ANU. CAEPR Discussion Paper 272.
- Grivetti, L. E. & Ogle, B. M. 2000 Value of traditional foods in meeting macro- and micronutrient needs: the wild plant connection. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* **13**, 31–46. (doi:10. 1079/095442200108728990)
- Guttman, H. 1999 Rice field fisheries—a resource for Cambodia, Naga. *ICLARM Quart.* 22, 11–15.
- Halwart, M. 2008 Biodiversity, nutrition and livelihoods in aquatic rice-based ecosystems. *Biodiversity* **9**, 36–40.
- Hårkönen, M. & Vainio-Mattila, K. 1998 Some examples of natural products in the Eastern Arc Mountains. *J. East African Nat. Hist.* 87, 265–278. (doi:10.2982/0012-8317(1998)87[265:SEONPI]2.0.CO;2)
- Harris, D. R. 1989 An evolutionary continuum of people-plant interaction. In *Foraging and farming: the* evolution of plant exploitation (eds D. R. Harris & G. C. Hillman), pp. 11–26. London, UK: Unwin Hyman.
- Harris, D. R. & Hillman, G. C. (eds) 1989 Foraging and farming. London, UK: Unwin Hyman.
- Harris, F. M. A. & Mohammed, S. 2003 Relying on nature: wild foods in Northern Nigeria. *Ambio* **32**, 24–29.
- Heckenberger, M. 2009 Biocultural diversity in the southern Amazon. *Diversity* 1, 1–16.
- Heywood, V. 1999 Use and potential of wild plants in farm households. Rome, Italy: FAO Farm Systems Management Series.
- High, C. & Shackleton, C. M. 2000 The comparative value of wild and domestic plants in home gardens of a South African rural village. *Agroforestry Syst.* 48, 141–156. (doi:10.1023/A:1006247614579)
- Hitchcock, R. 1999 Introduction: Africa. In Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters and gatherers (eds R. B. Lee & R. Daly), pp. 175–184. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hlanze, Z., Gama, T. & Mondlane, S. 2005 In Impact of HIV/AIDS and drought on local knowledge systems for agrobiodiversity and food security. Rome, Italy: FAO. Report No. 50, LinKS Project.
- Howard, P. 2010 Culture and agrobiodiversity. In *Nature and culture* (eds S. E. Pilgrim & J. Pretty), pp. 163–184. London, UK: Earthscan.

- Huai, H. & Pei, S. 2000 Wild plants in the diet of Arhorchin Mongol herdsmen in inner Mongolia. *Econ. Bot.* 54, 528–536.
- Hunter, L. M., Patterson, L. & Twine, W. 2009 HIV/AIDS, food security and the role of the natural environment. In Working Paper of Population Programme. Boulder, CO: Institute of Behavioral Science, University of Colorado.
- IIED and Traffic. 2002 Making a killing or making a living? London, UK: IIED.
- IMF 2009 World economic outlook database. Washington, DC.
- Ishikawa, M. 1999 Mbuti. In Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters and gatherers (eds R. B. Lee & R. Daly), pp. 210–214. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jaarsveld, A. S., van Biggs, R., Scholes, R. J., Bohensky, E., Reyers, B., Lynam, T., Musvoto, C. & Fabricius, C. 2005 Measuring conditions and trends in ecosystem services at multiple scales: the Southern African Millenium Ecosystem Assessment (SAfMA). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 360, 425–441. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2004.1594)
- Jaenicke, H. & Höschle-Zeledon, I. (eds) 2006 Strategic framework for underutilized plant species research and development. Rome, Italy: ICUC, Colombo and Global Facilitation Unit for Underutilized Species.
- Jeambey, Z., Johns, T., Talhouk, S. & Batal, M. 2009 Perceived health and medicinal properties of six species of wild edible plants in NE Lebanon. *Publ. Health Nutr.* **12**, 1902–1911. (doi:10.1017/S136898000 9004832)
- Johns, T. & Maundu, P. 2006 Forest biodiversity, nutrition and population health in market-oriented food systems. *Unasylva* 224, 34–40.
- Johns, T. & Sthapit, B. R. 2004 Biocultural diversity in the sustainability of developing-country food systems. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 25, 143–155.
- Johns, T., Mohoro, E. B. & Sanaya, P. 1996 Food plants and masticants of the Batemi of Ngorongoro District, Tanzania. *Econ. Bot.* **50**, 115–121.
- Jumbe, C. B. L., Bwalya, S. M. & Husselman, M. 2008 Contribution of dry forests to rural livelihoods and the national economy in Zambia. World Bank and CIFOR.
- Kala, C. P. 2009 Aboriginal uses and management of ethnobotanical species in deciduous forests of Chhattisgarh state in India. *J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed.* 5, 20. (doi:10. 1186/1746-4269-5-20)
- Kaschula, S. A. 2008 Wild foods and household food security responses to AIDS. *Popn. Environ.* **29**, 162–185.
- Kasthala, G., Hepelwa, A., Hamiss, H., Kwayu, E., Emerton, L., Springate-Baginski, O., Allen, D. & Darwall, W. 2008 An integrated assessment of the biodiversity, livelihood and economic value of wetlands in Mtanza-Msona, Tanzania. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: IUCN.
- Kehoe, A. B. 1999 Blackfoot. In Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters and gatherers (eds R. B. Lee & R. Daly), pp. 36–40. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kelly, R. L. 1995 The foraging spectrum: diversity in huntergatherer lifeways. Washington, DC: Smithsonian.
- Kent, S. (ed.) 1989 Farmers as hunters: the implications of sedentism. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kessey, J. F. 1998 Conservation and utilization of natural resources in the east usambara forest reserves: conventional views and local perspectives. WAU dissertation no. 2396, Wageningen Agricultural University, Wageningen.
- Kuhnlein, H. V. & Receveur, O. 2007 Local cultural animal food contributes high levels of nutrients for Arctic Canadian indigenous adults and children. *J. Nutr.* 137, 1110–1114.
- Kuhnlein, H., Erasmus, B. & Spigelski, D. 2009 *Indigenous peoples' food systems*. Rome, Italy: FAO. Centre for Indigenous People's Nutrition and Environment.

- Lathrap, D. W. 1968 The hunting economics of the tropical forest zone of South America. In *Man the hunter* (eds R. B. Lee & I. DeVore), pp. 23–29. Chicago, IL: Aldine.
- Lawton, H., Wilkie, P., Decker, M. & Mason, W. 1976 Agriculture among the Paiute of Owens Valley. J. Calif. Anthropol. 3, 13-50.
- Lee, R. B. & Daly, R. (eds) 1999 Cambridge encyclopedia of hunters and gatherers. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, R. B. & DeVore, I. (eds) 1968 Man the hunter. Chicago, IL: Aldine.
- Leonti, M., Nebel, S., Rivera, D. & Heinrich, M. 2006 Wild gathered food plants in the European Mediterranean. *Econ. Bot.* **60**, 130–142. (doi:10.1663/0013-0001(2006) 60[130:WGFPIT]2.0.CO;2)
- Levine, S. & Crosskey, A. 2006 Household economy assessment of north east Turkana. Nairobi, Kenya: Oxfam.
- Li, W. 2001 Agro-ecological farming systems in china. Paris, France: UNESCO.
- Lockett, C. T., Calvert, C. C. & Grivetti, L. E. 2000 Energy and micronutrient composition of dietary and medicinal wild plants consumed during drought. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **51**, 195–208.
- Loring, P. A. & Gerlach, S. C. 2009 Food, culture and human health in Alaska. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 12, 466–478. (doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2008.10.006)
- Loucks, C., Mascia, M. B., Maxwell, A., Huy, K., Duong, K., Chea, N., Long, B., Cox, N. & Seng, T. 2009 Wildlife decline in Cambodia, 1953–2005: exploring the legacy of armed conflict. *Conserv. Lett.* 2, 82–92. (doi:10.1111/j. 1755-263X.2008.00044.x)
- Mabey, R. 1996 Flora Britannica. London, UK: Sinclair Stevenson.
- Makonda, F. B. S. & Gillah, P. R. 2007 Balancing wood and non-wood products in Miombo Woodlands. Working Papers Finnish Forest Res. Inst. 50, 64-70.
- Maundu, P. M. 1996 Utilization and conservation status of wild food plants in Kenya. In *The biodiversity of African plants* (eds L. J. G. van der Maesen, X. M. van der Burgt & J. M. van Medenbach de Rooy), pp. 678–683. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Mazhar, F., Buckles, D., Satheesh, P. V. & Akhter, F. 2007 Food sovereignty and uncultivated biodiversity in South Asia. New Delhi, India: Academic Foundation.
- Mbata, K. J., Chidumayo, E. N. & Lwatula, C. M. 2002 Traditional regulation of edible caterpillar exploitation in the Kopa area of Mpika district in northern Zambia. *J. Insect Conserv.* 6, 115–130. (doi:10.1023/ A:1020953030648)
- McBurney, R. P. H., Griffin, C., Paul, A. A., David, D. C. & Greenberg, C. 2004 The nutritional composition of African wild food plants. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 17, 277–289. (doi:10.1016/j.jfca.2004.03.008)
- McMichael, A. J., Powles, J. W., Butler, C. D. & Uauy, R. 2007 Food, livestock production, energy, climate change, and health. *The Lancet* **370**, 1253–1263. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61256-2)
- McSweeny, K. 2005 Forest product sale as financial insurance: evidence from smallholders. *ODI Wildlife Policy Briefing* **10**, 1–4.
- Meggers, B. J. 1954 Environmental limitations on the development of culture. Am. Anthropol. 56, 801–824. (doi:10.1525/aa.1954.56.5.02a00060)
- Milleniumosystem Assessment (MEA). 2005 Current state and trends. Washington, DC.
- Misra, S., Maikhuri, R. K., Kala, C. P., Rao, K. S. & Saxena, K. G. 2008 Wild leafy vegetables: a study of their subsistence dietetic support to the inhabitants of Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve, India. *J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed.* 4, 15. (doi:10.1186/1746-4269-4-15)

- Molnar, A., Scherr, S. J. & Khare, A. 2007 Community stewardship of biodiversity. In *Farming with nature: the science and practice of ecoagriculture* (eds S. J. Scherr & J. A. McNeely), pp. 268–285. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Mulonga, S. 2003. Wild food: use of natural resources for food in eastern Caprivi. DEA Research Discussion Paper No. 62. Namibia: Directorate of Environmental Affairs, Ministry of Environment and Tourism.
- Musinguzi, E., Kikafunda, J. K. & Kiremire, B. T. 2006 Utilization of indigenous food plants in Uganda: a case-study of south-western Uganda. *Afr. J. Food Agric. Dev.* **6**, 2.
- Newman, J. & Moller, H. 2005 Use of Matauranga (Maori traditional knowledge) and science to guide a seabird harvest: getting the best of both worlds? *Senri Ethnol. Stud.* 67, 303–321.
- New Zealand Food Safety Agency. 2007 Non-commercial wild food in New Zealand. Wellington: NZFSA. Position Paper 02/07.
- Ogle, B. M., Tuyet, H. T., Duyet, H. N. & Dung, N. X. 2003 Food, feed or medicine: the multiple functions of edible wild plants in Vietnam. *Econ. Bot.* 57, 103–117. (doi:10.1663/0013-0001(2003)057[0103:FFOMTM]2. 0.CO;2)
- Ostrom, E., Dietz, T., Dolsak, N., Stern, P. C., Stonich, S. & Weber, E. U. (eds) 2002 *The drama of the commons*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Padoch, C., Coffey, K., Mertz, O., Leisz, S. J., Fox, J. & Wadley, R. L. 2007 The demise of swidden in Southeast Asia? *Danish J. Geogr.* 107, 29–41.
- Pant, S. & Samant, S. S. 2006 Diversity, distribution, uses and conservation status of plant species of the Mornaula Reserve Forests, West Himalaya, India. *Int. J. Biodiv. Sci. Manage.* 2, 97–104. (doi:10.1080/17451590609618102)
- Pegler, D. N. & Piearce, G. D. 1980 The edible mushrooms of Zambia. *Kew Bull.* **35**, 475–489. (doi:10.2307/ 4110017)
- Pieroni, A. 1999 Gathered wild foods in the upper valley of the Serchio River (Garfagnana), Central Italy. *Econ. Bot.* 53, 327–341.
- Pilgrim, S. E. & Pretty, J. (eds) 2010 Nature and culture. London, UK: Earthscan.
- Pilgrim, S., Cullen, L., Smith, D. J. & Pretty, J. 2008 Ecological knowledge is lost in wealthier communities and countries. *Environ. Sci. Tech.* 42, 1004–1009. (doi:10. 1021/es070837v)
- Pilgrim, S., Samson, C. & Pretty, J. 2009 Rebuilding lost connections: how revitalisation projects contribute to cultural continuity and improve the environment. Colchester, UK: University of Essex. iCES Occasional Paper 2009-01.
- Piwoz, E. G. & Bentley, M. E. 2005 Women's voices, women's choices. *J. Nutr.* 135, 933–937.
- Popkin, B. M. 1998 The nutrition transition and its health implications in lower-income countries. *Publ. Health* Nutr. 1, 5–21.
- Posey, D. 1985 Indigenous management of tropical forest ecosystems. *Agroforestry Syst.* **3**, 139–158. (doi:10.1007/ BF00122640)
- Posey, D. (ed) 1999 Cultural and spiritual values of biodiversity. London, UK: IT Publ and UNEP.
- Power, E. 2008 Conceptualising food security for Aboriginal people in Canada. *Can. J. Publ. Health* **99**, 95–97.
- Pretty, J. 2002 Agri-Culture. London, UK: Earthscan.
- Pretty, J. 2003 Social capital and the collective management of resources. *Science* **302**, 1912–1915. (doi:10.1126/ science.1090847)
- Pretty, J. 2007 The earth only endures. London, UK: Earthscan.
- Pretty, J. et al. 2010 The intersections of biological diversity and cultural diversity. *Conserv. Soc.* 7, 100–112. (doi:10. 4103/0972-4923.58642)

- 2926 Z. Bharucha & J. Pretty Review. Wild foods in agricultural systems
- Price, L. L. 1997 Wild plant food in agricultural environments. *Hum. Org.* 56, 209–221.
- Rathore, M. 2009 Nutrient content of important fruit trees from arid zone of Rajasthan. J. Hort. Forestry 1, 103–108.
- Reid, R. S. & Ellis, J. E. 1995 Impacts of pastoralists in South Turkanba, Kenya. *Ecol. Appl.* 5, 978–992. (doi:10.2307/2269349)
- Rerkasem, K., Lawrence, D., Padoch, C., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Ziegler, A. D. & Bruun, T. B. 2009 Consequences of swidden transitions for crop and fallow biodiversity in SE Asia. *Hum. Ecol.* 37, 347–360. (doi:10.1007/ s10745-009-9250-5)
- Reyes-García, V., Vadez, V., Marti, N., Huanca, T., Leonard, W. R. & Tanner, S. 2008 Ethnobotanical knowledge and crop diversity in swidden fields. *Hum. Ecol.* 36, 569–580. (doi:10.1007/s10745-008-9177-2)
- Roland, G. 2006 *Atchafalaya Houseboat*. Baton Rouge, LO: Louisiana State University Press.
- Rosman, A. & Rubel, P. G. 1989 Stalking the wild pig. In Farmers as hunters: the implications of sedentism (ed. S. Kent), pp. 27–36. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Royal Society. 2009 *Reaping the benefits*. London, UK: Royal Society.
- Samson, C. & Pretty, J. 2006 Environmental and health benefits of hunting lifestyles and diets for the Innu of Labrador. *Food Pol.* **31**, 528–553. (doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2006.02.001)
- Schippmann, U., Leaman, D. & Cunningham, A. B. 2006 A comparison of cultivation and wild collection of medicinal and aromatic plants under sustainability aspects. In *Medicinal and aromatic plants* (eds R. J. Boger, L. E. Craker & D. Lange), pp. 75–95. The Netherlands: Springer.
- Schmidt-Vogt, D. 2001 Secondary forests in swidden agriculture in the highlands of Thailand. J. Tropic. For. Sci. 13, 748-767.
- Scholes, R. J. & Biggs, R. 2005 A biodiversity intactness index. Nature 434, 45–49. (doi:10.1038/nature03289)
- Scoones, I., Melnyk, M. & Pretty, J. 1992 Hidden harvest: wild foods and agricultural systems. London, UK: IIED.
- Shackleton, S. E., Shackleton, C. M., Netshiluvhi, T. R., Geach, B. S., Balance, A. & Fairbanks, D. H. K. 2002 Use patterns and value of savanna resources in three rural villages in South Africa. *Econ. Bot.* 56, 130–146.
- Shams, N., Samran, T., Gutierrez, D., Phanny, M. & Sameoun, N. Undated. Much more than rice: rice field biodiversity and food security in SE Cambodia. Svay Rieng, Cambodia: Catholic Relief Services.
- Shrestha, P. M. & Dhillon, S. S. 2006 Diversity and traditional knowledge concerning wild food species in a locally managed forest in Nepal. *Agroforest. Syst.* 66, 55–63.
- Sileshi, G., Akinnifesi, F. K., Ajayi, O. C., Chakeredza, S., Kaonga, M. & Matakala, P. W. 2007 Contributions of agroforestry to ecosystem services in the miombo ecoregion of eastern and southern Africa. *Afr. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1, 60–68.
- Somnasang, P., Moreno, G. & Chusil, K. 1998 Indigenous knowledge of wild food hunting and gathering in NE Thailand. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 19, 359–365.
- Sponsel, L. E. 1989 Farming and foraging: a necessary complementarity in Amazonia? In *Farmers as hunters: the implications of sedentism* (ed. S. Kent), pp. 37–45. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Stephenson, J. & Moller, H. 2009 Cross-cultural environmental research and management. J. R. Soc. NZ 39, 139-149.

- Steward, J. H. 1938 Basin plateau aboriginal sociopolitical groups. Washington, DC: Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 120.
- Strauch, A. M., Muller, J. M. & Almedom, A. M. 2009 Exploring the dynamics of social-ecological resilience in East and West Africa. *Afr. Health Sci.* 8, 28–35.
- Styger, E., Rakotoarimanana, J. E. M., Rabevohitra, R. & Fernandes, E. C. M. 1999 Indigenous fruit trees of Madagascar. *Agroforest. Syst.* 46, 289–310. (doi:10. 1023/A:1006295530509)
- Sundriyal, M. & Sundriyal, R. C. 2001 Wild edible plants of the Sikkim Himalaya. *Econ. Bot.* 55, 377–390.
- Szuter, C. R. & Bayham, F. E. 1989 Sedentism and prehistoric animal procurement among desert horticulturalists of the North American Southwest. In *Farmers as hunters: the implications of sedentism* (ed. S. Kent), pp. 80–95. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Tardío, J., Pascual, H. & Morales, R. 2003 Wild food plants traditionally used in the province of Madrid, Central Spain. *Econ. Bot.* 59, 122–136.
- Titus, K., Haynes, T. L. & Paragi, T. F. 2009 The importance of moose, caribou, deer and small game in the diets of Alaskans. In *Ingestion of lead from spent ammunition* (eds R. Watson, M. Fuller, M. Pokras & G. Hunt), pp. 137–143. Boise, ID: Peregrine Fund.
- Treweek, J. R., Brown, C. & Bubb, P. 2006 Assessing biodiversity impacts of trade. *Impact Assess Proj. Appraisal* 2, 299–309.
- Tukan, S. K., Takruri, H. R. & Al-Eisawi, D. M. 1998 The use of wild edible plants in the Jordanian diet. Int. J. Fd. Sci. Nutr. 49, 225–235.
- van Huis, A. 2003 Insects as food in sub-Saharan Africa. Insect Sci. Appl. 23, 163–185.
- Vickers, W. T. 1989 Patterns of foraging and gardening in a semi-sedentary Amazonian community. In *Farmers as hunters: the implications of sedentism* (ed. S. Kent), pp. 46–59. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Vincetti, B., Eyzaguirre, P. & Johns, T. 2008 The nutritional role of forest plant foods for rural communities. In *Human health and forests: a global overview of issues practice and policy* (eds C. J. P. Coler), pp. 63–96. London, UK: Earthscan.
- Vitalini, S., Tome, F. & Fico, G. 2009 Traditional uses of medicinal plants in Valvestino (Italy). *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 121, 106–116.
- White, D. M., Gerlach, S. C., Loring, P., Tidwell, A. C. & Chambers, M. C. 2007 Food and water security in a changing Arctic climate. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2, 045618. (doi:10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/045018)
- Willows, N. D., Veugelers, P., Raine, K. & Kuhle, S. 2009 Prevalence and sociodemographic risk factors related to household food security in Aboriginal peoples in Canada. *Publ. Health Nutr.* 12, 1150–1156. (doi:10. 1017/S1368980008004345)
- Woodburn, J. 1980 Hunters and gatherers today and reconstruction of the past. In *Soviet and western anthropology* (ed. A. Gellner), pp. 95–117. London, UK: Duckworth.
- Woodruff, R. E., McMicheal, T. & Butler, C. 2006 Action on climate change. *Aus. NZ J. Publ. Health* **30**, 567–571. (doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.2006. tb00788.x)
- Xu, J., Lebel, L. & Sturgeon, J. 2009 Functional links between biodiversity, livelihoods, and culture in a Hani swidden landscape in southwest China. *Ecol. Soc.* 14, 20.