Image Analysis and Processing**Transforms**

Laurent Najman

laurent.najman@esiee.fr

ESIEE Paris

Université Paris-Est, Laboratoire d'Informatique Gaspard-Monge, Équipe A3SI

Before we start

- •How are we doing?
- \bullet Questions?
- \bullet Concerns?
- Tutorial problems?
	- \circ Programming problems?

Syllabus

- 1. Introduction, image perception and representation
- 2. Enhancements Histogram & pixelwise transforms.
- 3. **Transforms – FFT, Laplace, Z, Hough.**
- 4. Filtering Linear filters.
- 5. Segmentation I
- 6. Segmentation II
- 7. Applications

What are transforms?

Let *I* be an image represented as a function, $I : I\!R^n \longrightarrow I\!R$,
Then $\mathcal T$ a transform is simply an operator on *I*. Then $\mathcal T$, a transform, is simply an operator on I :

 $J = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{I})$

In practice however most operators are not called "transforms" ; this term is derived from "integral transforms" of which the FOURIER and LAPLACE transforms are parts of.

By extension, transforms are those that define broad classes ofoperators, and/or which allows for ^a different *representation* of the same data using different bases.

Example of transforms relevant to IP

- •FOURIER transform and its derivatives: DFT, FFT.
- •Discrete cosine transform (DCT): used in coding (JPEG).
- \bullet KARHUNEN-LOÈVE transform (KLT): optimal coding.
- •LAPLACE and ^Z transforms: exponential filters (1D).
- \bullet HOUGH transform: line and curve detection.
- •Wavelet transforms: coding, filtering, texture representation.

The Fourier transform

Background

- •• Named after JEAN BAPTISTE JOSEPH FOURIER (b. 1768)
- \bullet 1807: memoir, 1822: Book: "Théorie Analytique de la Chaleur".
- \bullet Translated 1878 (FREEMAN) "Analytic theory of heat".
- • Idea that periodic signals could be decomposed as series of sinesand cosines (FOURIER series)
- \bullet Idea that non-periodic but finite-area functions can also berepresented as an integral sum of sines and cosines: theFOURIER transform.
- • Practical and useful idea that took more than 100 years to be"digested".
- \bullet Really took off with the advent of computers and the FFT 50years ago.

Periodic signals as sum of sines

sin(x) ⁺ ² * sin(2 *x)+ 0.6 *sin(8*x) ⁺ 0.5 \star image processing, transforms – p. 8/46cos(12*x)

Continuous FT

- \bullet The ^FOURIER transform is ^a prime example of *integral* transform:
- \bullet Forward transform:

$$
F(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)e^{-j2\pi ux} dx
$$

- Inverse transform: $f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u)e^{j2\pi ux} du$
- FOURIER pairs: $f(x) \Leftrightarrow F(u)$
- •• x and u complex.
- •Existence subject to: $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)| dx$ exists and is finite, f has a
finite number of discontinuities, f has bounded variations finite number of discontinuities, f has bounded variations.

2D FOURIER transform

Let $f(x, y)$ be a 2D image, a function $I\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow I\mathbb{R}$. Its FOURIER
transform can be derived in the following fashion (separability): transform can be derived in the following fashion (separability):

$$
F_1(u, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y)e^{-j2\pi xu} dx
$$

\n
$$
F(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F_1(u, y)e^{-j2\pi yv} dy
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y)e^{-j2\pi xu} dx \right] e^{-j2\pi yv} dy
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y)e^{-j2\pi (xu + yv)} dx dy
$$

Similarly: $f(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u, v)e^{j2\pi(xu + yv)} dx dy$

Discrete FOURIER transform

Let f be a discrete function $[0, M[\subset \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}]$, then its DFT is given by by

$$
F(u) = \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} f(x)e^{-j(2\pi xu)/M}
$$

Similarly as before, the inverse DFT is given by:

$$
f(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} F(u)e^{j(2\pi xu)/M}
$$

•Q1: how many operations to compute the DFT (as a function of M)?

- •Q2: is existence ^a problem?
- •Q3: 2D versions?

Warning: be alert (not alarmed)

- • We'll use the continuous ^FOURIER transform (CFT) or the DFTsomewhat interchangably.
- \bullet We'll show proofs on the CFT if convenient.
- \bullet We'll use the 1-D DFT for basic properties, moving to 2-D and more later.
- We'll try to repeat things in different contexts (1-D, 2-D, continuous/discrete).

Frequency domain

 \bullet Other way to write the DFT:

$$
F(u) = \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} f(x) [\cos(2\pi ux/M) - j\sin(2\pi ux/M)]
$$

- \bullet • Each term of F is the sum of all values of f weighted by sines and cosines of various frequencies. F is the *frequency domain* representation of f .
- \bullet Polar representation:

$$
F(u) = ||F(u)||e^{-j\phi(u)};
$$

$$
||F(u)|| = \left[\text{Re}(F(u))^2 + \text{Im}(F(u))^2 \right]^{1/2}, \phi(u) = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\text{Im}(F(u))}{\text{Re}(F(u))} \right]
$$

Note on sampling

- We sample $f(x)$ at $x = 0, 1, 2, ...$
- \bullet These are *not* necessarily integer samples. The sampling is uniform of width Δx but arbitrary, we mean:

$$
f(x) = f(x_0 + x * \Delta x)
$$

 \bullet • Similarly, $F(u)$ is also sampled, but always starts at zero, i.e:

$$
F(u) = F(u\Delta u)
$$

•We have the following relationship between samplings:

$$
\Delta u = \frac{1}{M\Delta x}
$$

 \bullet The DFT does not have infinite domain: assumption ofperiodicity.

Example on periodic signal

^x <- seq(0,2 *pi,length=1024)

s <- sin(x) + 2 * sin(2*x)+ 0.6*sin(8*x) + 0.5 * cos(12

DFT output analysis

Raw DFT Output of preceding signal looks likes this:

Need to recenter the signal, only plot useful bits:

Analysis of periodic signal:

Signal: $s = sin(x) + 2 * sin(2*x) + 0.6*sin(8*x) + 0.5 * cos(12*x)$

 $dft(s)[1] = (0,0), dft(s)[2] = (0.5, -\pi/2),$

 $dft(s)[3] = (1, -\pi/2), dft(s)[9] = (0.3, -\pi/2), dft(s)[13] = (0.25, 0)$

Non-periodic example: step

```
x < - seq(0,0,length=1024)
x[0:32] < -1
```


Non-periodic example

DFT of ^a step:

Index

Close form CFT of the box function

Let f be the box function:

$$
f(x) = 1 \text{ if } 1 \ge x \ge -1
$$

$$
f(x) = 0 \text{ otherwise}
$$

$$
F(f(x))(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)e^{-j2\pi xu} dx
$$

=
$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} e^{-j2\pi xu} dx
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{-j2\pi u} [e^{-j2\pi xu}]_{-1}^{+1}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\sin 2\pi u}{\pi u}
$$

Properties of the FOURIER transform

- Linearity: $F(a.f + b.g) = a.F(f) + b.F(g)$ (from linearity of the integral)
- Translation invariance: $f(x x_0) \Leftrightarrow e^{-j2\pi x_0 u} F(u)$.
note that $||F(f(x x_0))|| = ||F(f(x))||$ note that $||F(f(x - x_0))|| = ||F(f(x))||$.
- Conversely $F(u u_0) \Leftrightarrow f(x)e^{j2\pi u_0 x}$. Useful for recentering the DFT the DFT.
	- [○] in discrete form: $f(x)e^{j2\pi xu_0/M}$ ⇔ $F(u u_0)$
	- $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ if $u_0 = M/2, e^{j2\pi x u_0/M} = e^{j\pi x} = (-1)^x$
	- \circ then, $f(x)(-1)^x$ \Leftrightarrow $F(u-M/2)$.
- FOURIER transform of the derivative: $F(\frac{d^n f}{dx^n}) = (2\pi j u)^n F(f)$
- \bullet • Derivative of the FOURIER transform:

$$
\frac{d^n F(f(x))(u)}{du^n} = (-2\pi jx)^n F(f(x))(u)
$$

Proof of the derivative property

$$
F\left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{df(x)}{dx} e^{-j2\pi xu} dx
$$

$$
\int a'b = [ab] - \int ab' \text{ (integration by part)}
$$

$$
= [fe^{-j2\pi xu}]_{x=-\infty}^{x=-\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)(-j2\pi u) e^{-j2\pi ux} dx
$$

$$
= 0 + j2\pi u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} fe^{-j2\pi ux} dx
$$

$$
= j2\pi u F(f)(u)
$$

Note that: $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ ∞ $-\infty$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|dx < +\infty \Rightarrow f(-\infty) = f(+\infty) = 0$ Repeat the process to get the final result: $F(\frac{d^{n}j}{dx^{n}})$ $\, n \,$ $\frac{d^n f}{dx^n}$ $(\frac{J}{n})=(2\pi ju)^n$ ${}^n F(f).$

2-D DFT

• Forward 2-D DFT

$$
F(u, v) = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} f(x, y) e^{-j2\pi(ux/M + yv/N)}
$$

• Inverse 2-D DFT

$$
f(x,y) = \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} F(u,v)e^{j2\pi(ux/M + vy/N)}
$$

• Polar version

$$
||F(u, v)|| = [Re(F(u, v))^2 + Im(F(u, v))^2]^{1/2}
$$

$$
\phi(u, v) = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{Im(F(u, v))}{Re(F(u, v))} \right]
$$

Properties of the 2-D DFT

 \bullet DC component:

$$
F(0,0) = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} f(x,y)
$$

•Symmetry:

$$
F(u, v) = F^*(-u, -v),
$$
 therefore $||F(u, v)|| = ||F(-u, -v)||$

• Sampling:
$$
\Delta u = \frac{1}{M\Delta x}, \Delta v = \frac{1}{N\Delta y}
$$

- Re-centering: $f(x, y)e^{j2\pi(u_0x/M y)}$ \bullet 2 $2\pi(u_0x/M{+}v$ $(v_0y/N) \Leftrightarrow F(u - u_0, v - v_0)$ (Q:how?)
- \bullet Translation invariance: $f(x-x_0, y-y_0) \Leftrightarrow F(u, v)e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ \dot{j} 2 $2\pi(\frac{ux_0}{M}+\frac{vy_0}{N})$ $'$ (Q:why?)

Properties of the 2-D DFT (2)

- \bullet Linear (as in the 1-D case)
	- \circ Scaling: $F(a.f) = a.F(f)$
	- \circ Distributivity: $F(f+g) = F(f) + F(g)$
	- \circ f(ar bu) \leftrightarrow $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} F(u/a, u)$ \circ f(ax, by) ⇔ 1 $|ab|$ $F(u/a,v/b)$
	- \circ *Note:* $F(f.g) \neq F(f).F(g)$
- Rotation in spatial and frequency domain linked. Let: \bullet

$$
x = r\cos\theta, y = r\sin\theta, u = \omega\cos\phi, v = \omega\sin\phi
$$

Then $f(x, y)$ and $F(u, v)$ become $f(r, \theta)$ and $F(\omega, \phi)$ respectively, and:

$$
f(r, \theta + \theta_0) \Leftrightarrow F(\omega, \phi + \theta_0)
$$

(using the FOURIER transform in Polar coordinates).

Properties of the 2-D DFT (3)

 \bullet Periodicity:

$$
F(u, v) = F(u + M, v) = F(u, v + N) = F(u + M, v + N)
$$

$$
f(x, y) = f(x + M, y) = f(x, y + N) = f(x + M, y + N)
$$

 \bullet Spectrum centered on origin (need to recenter):

$$
F(u, v) = F^*(-u, -v) \Longrightarrow |F(u, v)| = |F(-u, -v)|
$$

To re-center, multiply $f(x, y)$ by $(-1)^x$ $+ y$ _.

• Separability (from the continuous definition).

Example periodic image

Synthetic texture image Modulus of its DFT Image synthesized by setting random peaks (in symmetric pairs) in an empty image, then doing an inverse DFT.

Example non-periodic image

Box image Modulus of its DFT

Corresponding image to the step function in the 1-D case.

Contrast problem with DFT images

DFT image After log transform

If D is the image on the left, the image on the right is $log(D + 1)$. This reduces excessive contrast while keeping zeroes intact.

DFT of an edge

Thin edge Thin edge DFT

Thick edge Thick edge DFT

DFT of a real image

SEM micrograph its DFT

Notice: strongs edges at 45^o, extrusions, and corresponding features in the DFT.

Filtering in the frequency domain

Convolutions

 \bullet Continuous convolution (1-D):

$$
(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(h)g(x - h)dh
$$

Here is an [animation,](http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Convolucion_Funcion_Pi.gif) here is [another](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/images/gifs/convgaus.gif) one

• in 2-D discrete, f and g both of size $M \times N$:

$$
f(x,y) * g(x,y) = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(m,n)g(x-m, y-n)
$$

- •*Equivalent* to weighted moving average.
- \bullet Q: in the spatial domain, how many operations are needed forconvolving two $N \times N$ images?

Convolution and FOURIER transform

• Convolution theorem: Let f, g be a function pair and F, G their FOURIER transforms, then:

$$
f(x,y) * g(x,y) \Leftrightarrow F(u,v).G(u,v)
$$

and

$$
f(x, y).g(x, y) \Leftrightarrow F(u, v) * G(u, v)
$$

Where . is the element-by-element standard multiplication.

• if

$$
f(x,y) * h(x,y) \Leftrightarrow F(u,v) * H(u,v)
$$

Then

$$
h(x,y) \Leftrightarrow H(u,v)
$$

A filter designed in frequency domain yields ^a filter in the spatialdomain, and vice-versa.

Proof of the convolution theorem (1-D)

$$
\mathcal{F}_u((f * g)(x))(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (f * g)(x)e^{-j2\pi xu} dx
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(h)g(x-h)dh \right] e^{-j2\pi xu} dx
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(h) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x-h)e^{-j2\pi xu} dx \right] dh
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(h) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x-h)e^{-j2\pi(x-h)u} dx \right] e^{-j2\pi hu} dh
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(h)G(u)e^{-j2\pi hu} dh
$$

\n
$$
= G(u) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(h)e^{-j2\pi hu} dh
$$

\n
$$
= G(u) \mathcal{F}(u)
$$

Steps for filtering using the DFT

- 1. Forward DFT of the input image;
- 2. Recenter;
- 3. Design of filter;
- 4. Padding to avoid edge effects;
- 5. Product of DFT and filter. If filter is real, leaves the phase intact;
- 6. Decenter;
- 7. Inverse DFT;
- 8. Remove padding.

Q: in the frequency domain, how many operations are needed forconvolving two $N \times N$ images? For filter design, it is useful to remember that the FOURIER transformof ^a Gaussian is ^a Gaussian.

FOURIER transform of ^a Gaussian is ^a Gaussian

First:

$$
F(-2\pi jxf(x))(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} -2\pi jxf(x)e^{-2\pi jxu}dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)\frac{d}{du}(e^{-2\pi jxu})dx
$$

$$
= \frac{d}{du}F(f(x))(u) = -2\pi jxF(f(x))(u)
$$

Then, if $f = e^{-ax^2}$ is a Gaussian (*a* is positive real): $\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -2axf(x)$ We take the FOURIER transform of both sides:

$$
F\left(\frac{df(x)}{dx}\right)(u) = 2\pi j u F(u) \text{ (derivative of a FOURIER transform)}
$$

$$
F(-2axf(x))(u) = \frac{a}{\pi j} F(-2\pi jxf(x)) = \frac{a}{\pi j} \frac{d}{du} F(f(x))(u) \text{ (above)}
$$

putting things together:

$$
2\pi juF(f(x))(u) = \frac{a}{\pi j} \frac{d}{du} (F(f(x))(u))
$$

$$
\frac{d}{du} (F(f(x))(u)) = -\frac{2\pi^2}{a} uF(f(x))(u) \Longrightarrow \left\| F(u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{a}u^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2
$$

Historically, certain computer programs were written using only two digits rather than four to define the applicable year. Accordingly, the company's software may recognize a date using "00" as 1900 rather than the year 2000.

Corrupted text

Historically, certain computer programs were written using only two digits rather than four to define the applicable year. Accordingly, the company's software may recognize a date using "00" as 1900 rather than the year 2000.

Filtered text

DFT of text

Low-pass filter using Gaussian

Corrupted Moon scene

Filtered Moon scene

DFT of corrup^t scene

Designed filter

The Fast Fourier Transform

History of the FFT

- • Invented by C.F. Gauss in 1803, for doing astronomy-relatedhand calculation. Never published (found in notes).
- \bullet First modern algorithm attributed to Cooley and Tukey, 1963, IBM. IBM thought the algorithm was so important they decidedto pu^t it immediately in the public domain.
- Many implementations exist.
- •A good one: [FFTW](http://www.fftw.org), the Fastest ^FOURIER Transform in the West.

Decimation in time

Assume we want to do ^a DFT of ^a length which is ^a power of 2, i.e: $M = 2ⁿ$. The DFT is written:

$$
F(u) = \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} f(x)e^{-j(2\pi xu)/M}
$$

We do the sums in two halves:

$$
F(u) = \sum_{x=0}^{\frac{M}{2}-1} f(2x)e^{-2j\pi(2x)u/M} + \sum_{x=0}^{\frac{M}{2}-1} f(2x+1)e^{-2\pi j(2x+1)u/M}
$$

$$
= \sum_{x=0}^{\frac{M}{2}-1} f_{even}(x)e^{-2j\pi xu/(M/2)} + e^{-2\pi ju/M} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{\frac{M}{2}-1} f_{odd}(x)e^{-2j\pi xu/(M/2)}
$$

What have we gained?

- Seeminly nothing much, however...
- Now we are doing two $M/2$ -length DFTs instead of a single M-length DFT.
- This means instead of doing M^2 operations, we do $2 \times (\frac{M}{2})^2 = \frac{M^2}{2}$ operations.
- Why stop here? Indeed we can decimate the two $M/2$ DFTs again, and so on recursively.
- In the final recursive structure, there are $n = \log_2(M)$ levels.
- \bullet At each levels there are M operations to perform.
- \bullet The final number of operations is

$M.\log_2(M)$

•• Note: there are algorithms that are not limited to 2^n vector lengths (Singleton 1969).

What difference does it make?

Conclusion

What have we learned?

- • Transforms are ^a change of basis representation. They allow torepresen^t the *same* data in ^a different way.
- \bullet • One very important tranform is the FOURIER transform and its discrete equivalent the DFT.
- The FOURIER transform allows users to represent the data in the frequency domain, like ^a prism for light.
- We can now do 1-D and 2-D DFTs
- \bullet The DFT allows users to compute convolution more quickly andeasily.
- We learned how to do useful filterings using the DFTs do doenhancements using the frequency domain.
- \bullet There exists an efficient implementation of the DFT: the FastFOURIER Transform, which makes all the previous operations all the more worthwhile.