

Formalization of Nested Multisets, Hereditary Multisets, and Syntactic Ordinals

Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Mathias Fleury, and Dmitriy Traytel

May 26, 2024

Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization introduces a nested multiset datatype and defines Dershowitz and Manna’s nested multiset order. The order is proved well founded and linear. By removing one constructor, we transform the nested multisets into hereditary multisets. These are isomorphic to the syntactic ordinals—the ordinals can be recursively expressed in Cantor normal form. Addition, subtraction, multiplication, and linear orders are provided on this type.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	More about Multisets	1
2.1	Basic Setup	1
2.2	Lemmas about Intersection, Union and Pointwise Inclusion	2
2.3	Lemmas about Filter and Image	2
2.4	Lemmas about Sum	3
2.5	Lemmas about Remove	3
2.6	Lemmas about Replicate	5
2.7	Multiset and Set Conversions	6
2.8	Duplicate Removal	6
2.9	Repeat Operation	7
2.10	Cartesian Product	7
2.11	Transfer Rules	10
2.12	Even More about Multisets	10
2.12.1	Multisets and Functions	10
2.12.2	Multisets and Lists	10
2.12.3	More on Multisets and Functions	11
2.12.4	More on Multiset Order	12
3	Signed (Finite) Multisets	12
3.1	Definition of Signed Multisets	12
3.2	Basic Operations on Signed Multisets	12
3.2.1	Conversion to Set and Membership	14
3.2.2	Union	15
3.2.3	Difference	15
3.2.4	Equality of Signed Multisets	16
3.3	Conversions from and to Multisets	16
3.3.1	Pointwise Ordering Induced by <i>zcount</i>	18
3.3.2	Subset is an Order	19
3.4	Replicate and Repeat Operations	19
3.4.1	Filter (with Comprehension Syntax)	20
3.5	Uncategorized	21
3.6	Image	21
3.7	Multiset Order	21

4	Nested Multisets	23
4.1	Type Definition	23
4.2	Dershowitz and Manna’s Nested Multiset Order	23
5	Hereditar(il)y (Finite) Multisets	25
5.1	Type Definition	26
5.2	Restriction of Dershowitz and Manna’s Nested Multiset Order	26
5.3	Disjoint Union and Truncated Difference	27
5.4	Infimum and Supremum	28
5.5	Inequalities	28
6	Signed Hereditar(il)y (Finite) Multisets	29
6.1	Type Definition	29
6.2	Multiset Order	29
6.3	Embedding and Projections of Syntactic Ordinals	29
6.4	Disjoint Union and Difference	30
6.5	Infimum and Supremum	31
7	Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form	31
7.1	Natural (Hessenberg) Product	31
7.2	Inequalities	32
7.3	Embedding of Natural Numbers	34
7.4	Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers	34
7.5	Head Omega	35
7.6	More Inequalities and Some Equalities	35
7.7	Conversions to Natural Numbers	38
7.8	An Example	38
8	Signed Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form	39
8.1	Natural (Hessenberg) Product	39
8.2	Embedding of Natural Numbers	39
8.3	Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers	40
8.4	Inequalities and Some (Dis)equalities	40
8.5	An Example	42
9	Bridge between Huffman’s Ordinal Library and the Syntactic Ordinals	43
9.1	Missing Lemmas about Huffman’s Ordinals	43
9.2	Embedding of Syntactic Ordinals into Huffman’s Ordinals	43
10	Termination of McCarthy’s 91 Function	44
11	Termination of the Hydra Battle	44
12	Termination of the Goodstein Sequence	45
12.1	Lemmas about Division	45
12.2	Hereditary and Nonhereditary Base- n Systems	45
12.3	Encoding of Natural Numbers into Ordinals	46
12.4	Decoding of Natural Numbers from Ordinals	47
12.5	The Goodstein Sequence and Goodstein’s Theorem	48
13	Towards Decidability of Behavioral Equivalence for Unary PCF	48
13.1	Preliminaries	48
13.2	Types	48
13.3	Terms	49
13.4	Substitution	51
13.5	Typing	52
13.6	Definition 10 and Lemma 11 from Schmidt-Schauß’s paper	53

1 Introduction

This Isabelle/HOL formalization introduces a nested multiset datatype and defines Dershowitz and Manna’s nested multiset order. The order is proved well founded and linear. By removing one constructor, we transform the nested multisets into hereditary multisets. These are isomorphic to the syntactic ordinals—the ordinals can be recursively expressed in Cantor normal form. Addition, subtraction, multiplication, and linear orders are provided on this type.

In addition, signed (or hybrid) multisets are provided (i.e., multisets with possibly negative multiplicities), as well as signed hereditary multisets and signed ordinals (e.g., $\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1$).

We refer to the following conference paper for details:

Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Mathias Fleury, Dmitriy Traytel:
Nested Multisets, Hereditary Multisets, and Syntactic Ordinals in Isabelle/HOL.
FSCD 2017: 11:1-11:18
<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01599176/document>

2 More about Multisets

```
theory Multiset_More
  imports
    HOL-Library.Multiset_Order
    HOL-Library.Sublist
begin
```

Isabelle’s theory of finite multisets is not as developed as other areas, such as lists and sets. The present theory introduces some missing concepts and lemmas. Some of it is expected to move to Isabelle’s library.

2.1 Basic Setup

```
declare
  diff_single_trivial [simp]
  in_image_mset [iff]
  image_mset.compositionality [simp]

  mset_subset_eqD [dest, intro?]

  Multiset.in_multiset_in_set [simp]
  inter_add_left1 [simp]
  inter_add_left2 [simp]
  inter_add_right1 [simp]
  inter_add_right2 [simp]

  sum_mset_sum_list [simp]
```

2.2 Lemmas about Intersection, Union and Pointwise Inclusion

```
lemma subset_mset_imp_subset_add_mset:  $A \subseteq\# B \implies A \subseteq\# \text{add\_mset } x B$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma subset_add_mset_notin_subset_mset:  $\langle A \subseteq\# \text{add\_mset } b B \implies b \notin\# A \implies A \subseteq\# B \rangle$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma subset_msetE [elim!]:  $\llbracket A \subset\# B; \llbracket A \subseteq\# B; \neg B \subseteq\# A \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma Diff_triv_mset:  $M \cap\# N = \{\#\} \implies M - N = M$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma diff_intersect_sym_diff:  $(A - B) \cap\# (B - A) = \{\#\}$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *subseq_mset_subseteq_mset*: $\text{subseq } xs \ ys \implies \text{mset } xs \subseteq\# \text{mset } ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite_mset_set_inter*:
 ⟨finite $A \implies$ finite $B \implies \text{mset_set } (A \cap B) = \text{mset_set } A \cap\# \text{mset_set } B$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

2.3 Lemmas about Filter and Image

lemma *count_image_mset_ge_count*: $\text{count } (\text{image_mset } f \ A) \ (f \ b) \geq \text{count } A \ b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_image_mset_inj*:
assumes ⟨inj f ⟩
shows ⟨ $\text{count } (\text{image_mset } f \ M) \ (f \ x) = \text{count } M \ x$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_image_mset_le_count_inj_on*:
 inj_on $f \ (\text{set_mset } M) \implies \text{count } (\text{image_mset } f \ M) \ y \leq \text{count } M \ (\text{inv_into } (\text{set_mset } M) \ f \ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_filter_compl*: $\text{mset } (\text{filter } p \ xs) + \text{mset } (\text{filter } (\text{Not } \circ \ p) \ xs) = \text{mset } xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

Near duplicate of *filter_eq_replicate_mset*: $\{\#y \in\# \ ?D. \ y = \ ?x\#\} = \text{replicate_mset } (\text{count } \ ?D \ \ ?x) \ \ ?x$.

lemma *filter_mset_eq*: $\text{filter_mset } ((=) \ L) \ A = \text{replicate_mset } (\text{count } A \ L) \ L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter_mset_cong[fundef_cong]*:
assumes $M = M' \ \wedge \ a. \ a \in\# \ M \implies P \ a = Q \ a$
shows $\text{filter_mset } P \ M = \text{filter_mset } Q \ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image_mset_filter_swap*: $\text{image_mset } f \ \{\#x \in\# \ M. \ P \ (f \ x)\#\} = \{\#x \in\# \ \text{image_mset } f \ M. \ P \ x\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image_mset_cong2*:
 $(\wedge x. \ x \in\# \ M \implies f \ x = g \ x) \implies M = N \implies \text{image_mset } f \ M = \text{image_mset } g \ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter_mset_empty_conv*: $\langle \text{filter_mset } P \ M = \{\#\} \rangle = \langle \forall L \in\# \ M. \ \neg \ P \ L \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multiset_filter_mono2*: $\langle \text{filter_mset } P \ A \subseteq\# \ \text{filter_mset } Q \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in\# \ A. \ P \ a \longrightarrow Q \ a) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image_filter_cong*:
assumes ⟨ $\wedge C. \ C \in\# \ M \implies P \ C \implies f \ C = g \ C$ ⟩
shows $\langle \{\#f \ C. \ C \in\# \ \{\#C \in\# \ M. \ P \ C\#\}\#\} = \{\#g \ C \mid C \in\# \ M. \ P \ C\#\} \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image_mset_filter_swap2*: $\langle \{\#C \in\# \ \{\#P \ x. \ x \in\# \ D\#\}. \ Q \ C \ \#\} = \{\#P \ x. \ x \in\# \ \{\#C \mid C \in\# \ D. \ Q \ (P \ C)\#\}\#\} \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *image_mset_cong2* [cong]

lemma *filter_mset_empty_if_finite_and_filter_set_empty*:
assumes
 { $x \in X. \ P \ x$ } = {} and
 finite X
shows $\{\#x \in\# \ \text{mset_set } X. \ P \ x\#\} = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.4 Lemmas about Sum

lemma *sum_image_mset_sum_map[simp]*: $\text{sum_mset } (\text{image_mset } f \text{ (mset } xs)) = \text{sum_list } (\text{map } f \text{ } xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_image_mset_mono*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{canonically_ordered_monoid_add}$
assumes $sub: A \subseteq\# B$
shows $(\sum m \in\# A. f \ m) \leq (\sum m \in\# B. f \ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_image_mset_mono_mem*:
 $n \in\# M \implies f \ n \leq (\sum m \in\# M. f \ m)$ **for** $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{canonically_ordered_monoid_add}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_sum_mset_if_1_0*: $\langle \text{count } M \ a = (\sum x \in\# M. \text{if } x = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_mset_dvd*:
fixes $k :: 'a::\text{comm_semiring_1_cancel}$
assumes $\forall m \in\# M. k \ \text{dvd} \ f \ m$
shows $k \ \text{dvd} \ (\sum m \in\# M. f \ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_mset_distrib_div_if_dvd*:
fixes $k :: 'a::\text{unique_euclidean_semiring}$
assumes $\forall m \in\# M. k \ \text{dvd} \ f \ m$
shows $(\sum m \in\# M. f \ m) \ \text{div} \ k = (\sum m \in\# M. f \ m \ \text{div} \ k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.5 Lemmas about Remove

lemma *set_mset_minus_replicate_mset[simp]*:
 $n \geq \text{count } A \ a \implies \text{set_mset } (A - \text{replicate_mset } n \ a) = \text{set_mset } A - \{a\}$
 $n < \text{count } A \ a \implies \text{set_mset } (A - \text{replicate_mset } n \ a) = \text{set_mset } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *removeAll_mset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset}$ **where**
 $\text{removeAll_mset } C \ M \equiv M - \text{replicate_mset } (\text{count } M \ C) \ C$

lemma *mset_removeAll[simp, code]*: $\text{removeAll_mset } C \ (\text{mset } L) = \text{mset } (\text{removeAll } C \ L)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *removeAll_mset_filter_mset*: $\text{removeAll_mset } C \ M = \text{filter_mset } ((\neq) \ C) \ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *remove1_mset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset}$ **where**
 $\text{remove1_mset } C \ M \equiv M - \{\#C\#\}$

lemma *removeAll_subseteq_remove1_mset*: $\text{removeAll_mset } x \ M \subseteq\# \text{remove1_mset } x \ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_remove1_mset_neq*:
assumes $ab: a \neq b$
shows $a \in\# \text{remove1_mset } b \ C \longleftrightarrow a \in\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size_mset_removeAll_mset_le_iff*: $\text{size } (\text{removeAll_mset } x \ M) < \text{size } M \longleftrightarrow x \in\# M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size_remove1_mset>If*: $\langle \text{size } (\text{remove1_mset } x \ M) = \text{size } M - (\text{if } x \in\# M \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size_mset_remove1_mset_le_iff*: $\text{size } (\text{remove1_mset } x \ M) < \text{size } M \longleftrightarrow x \in\# M$

<proof>

lemma *remove_1_mset_id_iff_notin*: $\text{remove1_mset } a \ M = M \longleftrightarrow a \notin \# \ M$
<proof>

lemma *id_remove_1_mset_iff_notin*: $M = \text{remove1_mset } a \ M \longleftrightarrow a \notin \# \ M$
<proof>

lemma *remove1_mset_eqE*:
 $\text{remove1_mset } L \ x1 = M \implies$
 $(L \in \# \ x1 \implies x1 = M + \{\#L\# \} \implies P) \implies$
 $(L \notin \# \ x1 \implies x1 = M \implies P) \implies$
 P
<proof>

lemma *image_filter_ne_mset[simp]*:
 $\text{image_mset } f \ \{\#x \in \# \ M. \ f \ x \neq y\# \} = \text{removeAll_mset } y \ (\text{image_mset } f \ M)$
<proof>

lemma *image_mset_remove1_mset_if*:
 $\text{image_mset } f \ (\text{remove1_mset } a \ M) =$
 $(\text{if } a \in \# \ M \text{ then } \text{remove1_mset } (f \ a) \ (\text{image_mset } f \ M) \text{ else } \text{image_mset } f \ M)$
<proof>

lemma *filter_mset_neq*: $\{\#x \in \# \ M. \ x \neq y\# \} = \text{removeAll_mset } y \ M$
<proof>

lemma *filter_mset_neq_cond*: $\{\#x \in \# \ M. \ P \ x \wedge x \neq y\# \} = \text{removeAll_mset } y \ \{\#x \in \# \ M. \ P \ x\# \}$
<proof>

lemma *remove1_mset_add_mset>If*:
 $\text{remove1_mset } L \ (\text{add_mset } L' \ C) = (\text{if } L = L' \text{ then } C \text{ else } \text{remove1_mset } L \ C + \{\#L'\# \})$
<proof>

lemma *minus_remove1_mset_if*:
 $A - \text{remove1_mset } b \ B = (\text{if } b \in \# \ B \wedge b \in \# \ A \wedge \text{count } A \ b \geq \text{count } B \ b \text{ then } \{\#b\# \} + (A - B) \text{ else } A - B)$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_eq_add_mset_ne*:
 $a \neq b \implies \text{add_mset } a \ A = \text{add_mset } b \ B \longleftrightarrow a \in \# \ B \wedge b \in \# \ A \wedge A = \text{add_mset } b \ (B - \{\#a\# \})$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_eq_add_mset*: $\langle \text{add_mset } a \ M = \text{add_mset } b \ M' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(a = b \wedge M = M') \vee (a \neq b \wedge b \in \# \ M \wedge \text{add_mset } a \ (M - \{\#b\# \}) = M' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_remove_trivial_iff*: $\langle N = \text{add_mset } a \ (N - \{\#b\# \}) \longleftrightarrow a \in \# \ N \wedge a = b \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *trivial_add_mset_remove_iff*: $\langle \text{add_mset } a \ (N - \{\#b\# \}) = N \longleftrightarrow a \in \# \ N \wedge a = b \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *remove1_single_empty_iff[simp]*: $\langle \text{remove1_mset } L \ \{\#L'\# \} = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow L = L' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_less_imp_less_remove1_mset*:
assumes xM_lt_N : $\text{add_mset } x \ M < N$
shows $M < \text{remove1_mset } x \ N$
<proof>

lemma *remove_diff_multiset[simp]*: $\langle x13 \notin \# \ A \implies A - \text{add_mset } x13 \ B = A - B \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *removeAll_notin*: $\langle a \notin \# A \implies \text{removeAll_mset } a A = A \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_drop_upto*: $\langle \text{mset } (\text{drop } a N) = \{\#N!i. i \in \# \text{mset_set } \{a..<\text{length } N\}\#\} \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.6 Lemmas about Replicate

lemma *replicate_mset_minus_replicate_mset_same*[simp]:
 $\text{replicate_mset } m x - \text{replicate_mset } n x = \text{replicate_mset } (m - n) x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_mset_subset_iff_lt*[simp]: $\text{replicate_mset } m x \subset \# \text{replicate_mset } n x \longleftrightarrow m < n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_mset_subseteq_iff_le*[simp]: $\text{replicate_mset } m x \subseteq \# \text{replicate_mset } n x \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_mset_lt_iff_lt*[simp]: $\text{replicate_mset } m x < \text{replicate_mset } n x \longleftrightarrow m < n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_mset_le_iff_le*[simp]: $\text{replicate_mset } m x \leq \text{replicate_mset } n x \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_mset_eq_iff*[simp]:
 $\text{replicate_mset } m x = \text{replicate_mset } n y \longleftrightarrow m = n \wedge (m \neq 0 \longrightarrow x = y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_mset_plus*: $\text{replicate_mset } (a + b) C = \text{replicate_mset } a C + \text{replicate_mset } b C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_replicate_replicate_mset*: $\text{mset } (\text{replicate } n L) = \text{replicate_mset } n L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set_mset_single_iff_replicate_mset*: $\text{set_mset } U = \{a\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists n > 0. U = \text{replicate_mset } n a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex_replicate_mset_if_all_elems_eq*:
assumes $\forall x \in \# M. x = y$
shows $\exists n. M = \text{replicate_mset } n y$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.7 Multiset and Set Conversions

lemma *count_mset_set_if*: $\text{count } (\text{mset_set } A) a = (\text{if } a \in A \wedge \text{finite } A \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_set_set_mset_empty_mempty*[iff]: $\text{mset_set } (\text{set_mset } D) = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_mset_set_le_one*: $\text{count } (\text{mset_set } A) x \leq 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_set_set_mset_subseteq*[simp]: $\text{mset_set } (\text{set_mset } A) \subseteq \# A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_sorted_list_of_set*[simp]: $\text{mset } (\text{sorted_list_of_set } A) = \text{mset_set } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_sorted_list_of_multiset*[simp]:
 $\text{sorted } (\text{sorted_list_of_multiset } (M :: 'a::\text{linorder multiset}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_take_subseteq*: $mset (take\ n\ xs) \subseteq_{\#} mset\ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_list_of_multiset_eq_Nil[simp]*: $sorted_list_of_multiset\ M = [] \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.8 Duplicate Removal

definition *remdups_mset* :: $'v\ multiset \Rightarrow 'v\ multiset$ **where**
remdups_mset $S = mset_set (set_mset\ S)$

lemma *set_mset_remdups_mset[simp]*: $\langle set_mset (remdups_mset\ A) = set_mset\ A \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_remdups_mset_eq_1*: $a \in_{\#} remdups_mset\ A \longleftrightarrow count (remdups_mset\ A)\ a = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_empty[simp]*: $remdups_mset\ \{\#\} = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_singleton[simp]*: $remdups_mset\ \{\#a\} = \{\#a\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_eq_empty[iff]*: $remdups_mset\ D = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_singleton_sum[simp]*:
 $remdups_mset (add_mset\ a\ A) = (if\ a \in_{\#} A\ then\ remdups_mset\ A\ else\ add_mset\ a (remdups_mset\ A))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_remdups_remdups_mset[simp]*: $mset (remdups\ D) = remdups_mset (mset\ D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *mset_remdups_remdups_mset[symmetric, code]*

lemma *count_remdups_mset_If*: $\langle count (remdups_mset\ A)\ a = (if\ a \in_{\#} A\ then\ 1\ else\ 0) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *notin_add_mset_remdups_mset*:
 $\langle a \notin_{\#} A \implies add_mset\ a (remdups_mset\ A) = remdups_mset (add_mset\ a\ A) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.9 Repeat Operation

lemma *repeat_mset_compower*: $repeat_mset\ n\ A = (((+) A) \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_mset_prod*: $repeat_mset (m * n) A = (((+) (repeat_mset\ n\ A)) \overset{\sim}{\sim} m) \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.10 Cartesian Product

Definition of the cartesian products over multisets. The construction mimics of the cartesian product on sets and use the same theorem names (adding only the suffix *_mset* to *Sigma* and *Times*). See file `~/src/HOL/Product_Type.thy`

definition *Sigma_mset* :: $'a\ multiset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b\ multiset) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b)\ multiset$ **where**
Sigma_mset $A\ B \equiv \sum_{\#} \{\#\{\#\{a, b\}. b \in_{\#} B\ a\#\}. a \in_{\#} A\ \#\}$

abbreviation *Times_mset* :: $'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'b\ multiset \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b)\ multiset$ (**infix** $\times_{\#}$ 80) **where**
Times_mset $A\ B \equiv Sigma_mset\ A (\lambda_{\cdot}. B)$

hide-const (**open**) *Times_mset*

Contrary to the set version $A \times B$, we use the non-ASCII symbol $\in\#$.

syntax

$_Sigma_mset :: [pttrn, 'a\ multiset, 'b\ multiset] \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ multiset$
 $((3SIGMAMSET _ \in\# _ / _) [0, 0, 10] 10)$

translations

$SIGMAMSET\ x \in\# A. B == CONST\ Sigma_mset\ A\ (\lambda x. B)$

Link between the multiset and the set cartesian product:

lemma $Times_mset_Times: set_mset\ (A \times\# B) = set_mset\ A \times set_mset\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetI\ [intro!]: \llbracket a \in\# A; b \in\# B\ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset\ A\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetE\ [elim!]: \llbracket c \in\# Sigma_mset\ A\ B; \bigwedge x\ y. \llbracket x \in\# A; y \in\# B\ x; c = (x, y) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elimination of $(a, b) \in\# A \times\# B$ – introduces no eigenvariables.

lemma $Sigma_msetD1: (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset\ A\ B \Longrightarrow a \in\# A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetD2: (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset\ A\ B \Longrightarrow b \in\# B\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetE2: \llbracket (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset\ A\ B; \llbracket a \in\# A; b \in\# B\ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_cong:$

$\llbracket A = B; \bigwedge x. x \in\# B \Longrightarrow C\ x = D\ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (SIGMAMSET\ x \in\# A. C\ x) = (SIGMAMSET\ x \in\# B. D\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $count_sum_mset: count\ (\sum\# M)\ b = (\sum P \in\# M. count\ P\ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_plus_distrib1\ [simp]: Sigma_mset\ (A + B)\ C = Sigma_mset\ A\ C + Sigma_mset\ B\ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_plus_distrib2\ [simp]:$

$Sigma_mset\ A\ (\lambda i. B\ i + C\ i) = Sigma_mset\ A\ B + Sigma_mset\ A\ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Times_mset_single_left: \{\#a\#\} \times\# B = image_mset\ (Pair\ a)\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Times_mset_single_right: A \times\# \{\#b\#\} = image_mset\ (\lambda a. Pair\ a\ b)\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Times_mset_single_single\ [simp]: \{\#a\#\} \times\# \{\#b\#\} = \{\#(a, b)\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $count_image_mset_Pair:$

$count\ (image_mset\ (Pair\ a)\ B)\ (x, b) = (if\ x = a\ then\ count\ B\ b\ else\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $count_Sigma_mset: count\ (Sigma_mset\ A\ B)\ (a, b) = count\ A\ a * count\ (B\ a)\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_empty1\ [simp]: Sigma_mset\ \{\#\}\ B = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_empty2\ [simp]: A \times\# \{\#\} = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma_mset_mono*:

assumes $A \subseteq\# C$ **and** $\bigwedge x. x \in\# A \implies B x \subseteq\# D x$

shows $\text{Sigma_mset } A B \subseteq\# \text{Sigma_mset } C D$

<proof>

lemma *mem_Sigma_mset_iff*[*iff*]: $((a,b) \in\# \text{Sigma_mset } A B) = (a \in\# A \wedge b \in\# B a)$

<proof>

lemma *mem_Times_mset_iff*: $x \in\# A \times\# B \longleftrightarrow \text{fst } x \in\# A \wedge \text{snd } x \in\# B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_empty_iff*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in\# I. X i) = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in\# I. X i = \{\#\})$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_subset_mset_cancel1*: $x \in\# A \implies (A \times\# B \subseteq\# A \times\# C) = (B \subseteq\# C)$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_subset_mset_cancel2*: $x \in\# C \implies (A \times\# C \subseteq\# B \times\# C) = (A \subseteq\# B)$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_eq_cancel2*: $x \in\# C \implies (A \times\# C = B \times\# C) = (A = B)$

<proof>

lemma *split_paired_Ball_mset_Sigma_mset*[*simp*]:

$(\forall z \in\# \text{Sigma_mset } A B. P z) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in\# A. \forall y \in\# B x. P (x, y))$

<proof>

lemma *split_paired_Bex_mset_Sigma_mset*[*simp*]:

$(\exists z \in\# \text{Sigma_mset } A B. P z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in\# A. \exists y \in\# B x. P (x, y))$

<proof>

lemma *sum_mset_if_eq_constant*:

$(\sum x \in\# M. \text{if } a = x \text{ then } (f x) \text{ else } 0) = (((+) (f a)) \overset{\sim}{\sim} (\text{count } M a)) 0$

<proof>

lemma *iterate_op_plus*: $((+) k) \overset{\sim}{\sim} m) 0 = k * m$

<proof>

lemma *untion_image_mset_Pair_distribute*:

$\sum\# \{\#\text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } x) (C x). x \in\# J - I\#\} =$
 $\sum\# \{\#\text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } x) (C x). x \in\# J\#\} - \sum\# \{\#\text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } x) (C x). x \in\# I\#\}$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Un_distrib1*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (I \cup\# J) C = \text{Sigma_mset } I C \cup\# \text{Sigma_mset } J C$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Un_distrib2*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in\# I. A i \cup\# B i) = \text{Sigma_mset } I A \cup\# \text{Sigma_mset } I B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Int_distrib1*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (I \cap\# J) C = \text{Sigma_mset } I C \cap\# \text{Sigma_mset } J C$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Int_distrib2*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in\# I. A i \cap\# B i) = \text{Sigma_mset } I A \cap\# \text{Sigma_mset } I B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Diff_distrib1*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (I - J) C = \text{Sigma_mset } I C - \text{Sigma_mset } J C$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Diff_distrib2*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in\# I. A i - B i) = \text{Sigma_mset } I A - \text{Sigma_mset } I B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Union*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (\sum\# X) B = (\sum\# (\text{image_mset } (\lambda A. \text{Sigma_mset } A B) X))$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_Un_distrib1*: $(A \cup\# B) \times\# C = A \times\# C \cup\# B \times\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_Int_distrib1*: $(A \cap\# B) \times\# C = A \times\# C \cap\# B \times\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_Diff_distrib1*: $(A - B) \times\# C = A \times\# C - B \times\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_empty[simp]*: $A \times\# B = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow A = \{\#\} \vee B = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_insert_left*: $A \times\# \text{add_mset } x B = A \times\# B + \text{image_mset } (\lambda a. \text{Pair } a x) A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_insert_right*: $\text{add_mset } a A \times\# B = A \times\# B + \text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } a) B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst_image_mset_times_mset [simp]*:
 $\text{image_mset } \text{fst } (A \times\# B) = (\text{if } B = \{\#\} \text{ then } \{\#\} \text{ else } \text{repeat_mset } (\text{size } B) A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *snd_image_mset_times_mset [simp]*:
 $\text{image_mset } \text{snd } (A \times\# B) = (\text{if } A = \{\#\} \text{ then } \{\#\} \text{ else } \text{repeat_mset } (\text{size } A) B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *product_swap_mset*: $\text{image_mset } \text{prod.swap } (A \times\# B) = B \times\# A$
 ⟨proof⟩

context
begin

qualified definition *product_mset* :: $'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ multiset}$ **where**
 [code_abbrev]: $\text{product_mset } A B = A \times\# B$

lemma *member_product_mset*: $x \in\# \text{product_mset } A B \longleftrightarrow x \in\# A \times\# B$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *count_Sigma_mset_abs_def*: $\text{count } (\text{Sigma_mset } A B) = (\lambda(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{count } A a * \text{count } (B a) b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_image_mset1*: $\text{image_mset } f A \times\# B = \text{image_mset } (\lambda(a, b). (f a, b)) (A \times\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_image_mset2*: $A \times\# \text{image_mset } f B = \text{image_mset } (\lambda(a, b). (a, f b)) (A \times\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_le_singleton*: $A \subseteq \{x\} \Longrightarrow \text{sum } f A = (\text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_assoc*: $(A \times\# B) \times\# C = \text{image_mset } (\lambda(a, b, c). ((a, b), c)) (A \times\# B \times\# C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.11 Transfer Rules

lemma *plus_multiset_transfer[transfer_rule]*:
 $(\text{rel_fun } (\text{rel_mset } R) (\text{rel_fun } (\text{rel_mset } R) (\text{rel_mset } R))) (+) (+)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *minus_multiset_transfer[transfer_rule]*:
assumes [transfer_rule]: $\text{bi_unique } R$

shows $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_mset R))) (-) (-)$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *rel_mset_Zero*[*transfer_rule*]

lemma *count_transfer*[*transfer_rule*]:
assumes *bi_unique R*
shows $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun R (=))) count count$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseq_multiset_transfer*[*transfer_rule*]:
assumes [*transfer_rule*]: *bi_unique R right_total R*
shows $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun (rel_mset R) (=)))$
 $(\lambda M N. filter_mset (Domainp R) M \subseteq\# filter_mset (Domainp R) N) (\subseteq\#)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_mset_transfer*[*transfer_rule*]:
 $R \ 0 \ 0 \implies rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+) \implies (rel_fun (rel_mset R) R) sum_mset sum_mset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Sigma_mset_transfer*[*transfer_rule*]:
 $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun (rel_fun R (rel_mset S)) (rel_mset (rel_prod R S))))$
 $Sigma_mset Sigma_mset$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12 Even More about Multisets

2.12.1 Multisets and Functions

lemma *range_image_mset*:
assumes $set_mset \ Ds \subseteq range \ f$
shows $Ds \in range (image_mset \ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12.2 Multisets and Lists

lemma *length_sorted_list_of_multiset*[*simp*]: $length (sorted_list_of_multiset \ A) = size \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *list_of_mset* :: 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
 $list_of_mset \ m = (SOME \ l. m = mset \ l)$

lemma *list_of_mset_exi*: $\exists l. m = mset \ l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_list_of_mset*[*simp*]: $mset (list_of_mset \ m) = m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length_list_of_mset*[*simp*]: $length (list_of_mset \ A) = size \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *range_mset_map*:
assumes $set_mset \ Ds \subseteq range \ f$
shows $Ds \in range (\lambda Cl. mset (map \ f \ Cl))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *list_of_mset_empty*[*iff*]: $list_of_mset \ m = [] \longleftrightarrow m = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_mset_conv_nth*: $(x \in\# mset \ xs) = (\exists i < length \ xs. xs \ ! \ i = x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_mset_sum_list*:
assumes $L \in\# LL$

assumes $LL \in \text{set } Ci$
shows $L \in\# \text{sum_list } Ci$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_mset_sum_list2*:
assumes $L \in\# \text{sum_list } Ci$
obtains LL **where**
 $LL \in \text{set } Ci$
 $L \in\# LL$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_mset_sum_list_iff*: $a \in\# \text{sum_list } \mathcal{A} \longleftrightarrow (\exists A \in \text{set } \mathcal{A}. a \in\# A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subsetq_list_Union_mset*:
assumes $\text{length } Ci = n$
assumes $\text{length } CAi = n$
assumes $\forall i < n. Ci ! i \subseteq\# CAi ! i$
shows $\sum\# (\text{mset } Ci) \subseteq\# \sum\# (\text{mset } CAi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *same_mset_distinct_iff*:
 $\langle \text{mset } M = \text{mset } M' \implies \text{distinct } M \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct } M' \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12.3 More on Multisets and Functions

lemma *subsetq_mset_size_eq*: $X \subseteq\# Y \implies \text{size } Y = \text{size } X \implies X = Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image_mset_of_subset_list*:
assumes $\text{image_mset } \eta C' = \text{mset } lC$
shows $\exists qC'. \text{map } \eta qC' = lC \wedge \text{mset } qC' = C'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image_mset_of_subset*:
assumes $A \subseteq\# \text{image_mset } \eta C'$
shows $\exists A'. \text{image_mset } \eta A' = A \wedge A' \subseteq\# C'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *all_the_same*: $\forall x \in\# X. x = y \implies \text{card } (\text{set_mset } X) \leq \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Melem_subsetq_Union_mset[simp]*:
assumes $x \in\# T$
shows $x \subseteq\# \sum\# T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Melem_subset_eq_sum_list[simp]*:
assumes $x \in\# \text{mset } T$
shows $x \subseteq\# \text{sum_list } T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less_subset_eq_Union_mset[simp]*:
assumes $i < \text{length } CAi$
shows $CAi ! i \subseteq\# \sum\# (\text{mset } CAi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less_subset_eq_sum_list[simp]*:
assumes $i < \text{length } CAi$
shows $CAi ! i \subseteq\# \text{sum_list } CAi$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12.4 More on Multiset Order

lemma *less_multiset_doubletons*:

assumes

$$y < t \vee y < s$$

$$x < t \vee x < s$$

shows

$$\{\#y, x\# \} < \{\#t, s\# \}$$

<proof>

end

3 Signed (Finite) Multisets

theory *Signed_Multiset*

imports *Multiset_More*

abbrevs

$$!z = z$$

begin

unbundle *multiset.lifting*

3.1 Definition of Signed Multisets

definition *equiv_zmset* :: 'a multiset \times 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \times 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**

$$\text{equiv_zmset} = (\lambda(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). Mp + Nn = Np + Mn)$$

quotient-type 'a zmset = 'a multiset \times 'a multiset / *equiv_zmset*

<proof>

3.2 Basic Operations on Signed Multisets

instantiation *zmset* :: (type) *cancel_comm_monoid_add*

begin

lift-definition *zero_zmset* :: 'a zmset **is** ($\{\#\}$, $\{\#\}$) *<proof>*

abbreviation *empty_zmset* :: 'a zmset ($\{\#\}_z$) **where**

$$\text{empty_zmset} \equiv 0$$

lift-definition *minus_zmset* :: 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a zmset **is**

$$\lambda(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). (Mp + Nn, Mn + Np)$$

<proof>

lift-definition *plus_zmset* :: 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a zmset **is**

$$\lambda(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). (Mp + Np, Mn + Nn)$$

<proof>

instance

<proof>

end

instantiation *zmset* :: (type) *group_add*

begin

lift-definition *uminus_zmset* :: 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a zmset **is** $\lambda(Mp, Mn). (Mn, Mp)$

<proof>

instance

<proof>

end

lift-definition $zcount :: 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{int}$ is
 $\lambda(Mp, Mn) x. \text{int} (\text{count } Mp \ x) - \text{int} (\text{count } Mn \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zcount_inject: zcount \ M = zcount \ N \longleftrightarrow M = N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zmultiset_eq_iff: M = N \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. zcount \ M \ a = zcount \ N \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zmultiset_eqI: (\bigwedge x. zcount \ A \ x = zcount \ B \ x) \Longrightarrow A = B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zcount_uminus[simp]: zcount \ (- \ A) \ x = - \ zcount \ A \ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition $add_zmset :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset}$ is
 $\lambda x \ (Mp, Mn). (add_mset \ x \ Mp, Mn)$
 ⟨proof⟩

syntax

$_zmultiset :: \text{args} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \ (\{\#_(_) \#\}_z)$

translations

$\{\#x, xs\# \}_z == \text{CONST } add_zmset \ x \ \{\#xs\# \}_z$
 $\{\#x\# \}_z == \text{CONST } add_zmset \ x \ \{\#\}_z$

lemma $zcount_empty[simp]: zcount \ \{\#\}_z \ a = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zcount_add_zmset[simp]:$
 $zcount \ (add_zmset \ b \ A) \ a = (\text{if } b = a \ \text{then } zcount \ A \ a + 1 \ \text{else } zcount \ A \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zcount_single: zcount \ \{\#b\# \}_z \ a = (\text{if } b = a \ \text{then } 1 \ \text{else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $add_add_same_iff_zmset[simp]: add_zmset \ a \ A = add_zmset \ a \ B \longleftrightarrow A = B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $add_zmset_commute: add_zmset \ x \ (add_zmset \ y \ M) = add_zmset \ y \ (add_zmset \ x \ M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma

$singleton_ne_empty_zmset[simp]: \{\#x\# \}_z \neq \{\#\}_z$ **and**
 $empty_ne_singleton_zmset[simp]: \{\#\}_z \neq \{\#x\# \}_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma

$singleton_ne_uminus_singleton_zmset[simp]: \{\#x\# \}_z \neq - \{\#y\# \}_z$ **and**
 $uminus_singleton_ne_singleton_zmset[simp]: - \{\#x\# \}_z \neq \{\#y\# \}_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.2.1 Conversion to Set and Membership

definition $set_zmset :: 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $set_zmset \ M = \{x. zcount \ M \ x \neq 0\}$

abbreviation $elem_zmset :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $elem_zmset \ a \ M \equiv a \in set_zmset \ M$

notation

$elem_zmset \ ('(\in\#_z'))$ **and**
 $elem_zmset \ ((_ / \in\#_z _))$ [51, 51] 50

notation (ASCII)

$elem_zmset$ ($'(:\#z')$) **and**
 $elem_zmset$ ($(_/\ :\#z _)$ [51, 51] 50)

abbreviation $not_elem_zmset :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ zmset \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$not_elem_zmset\ a\ M \equiv a \notin set_zmset\ M$

notation

not_elem_zmset ($'(\notin\#z')$) **and**
 not_elem_zmset ($(_/\ \notin\#z _)$ [51, 51] 50)

notation (ASCII)

not_elem_zmset ($'(\sim\#z')$) **and**
 not_elem_zmset ($(_/\ \sim\#z _)$ [51, 51] 50)

context

begin

qualified abbreviation $Ball :: 'a\ zmset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$Ball\ M \equiv Set.Ball\ (set_zmset\ M)$

qualified abbreviation $Bex :: 'a\ zmset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$Bex\ M \equiv Set.Bex\ (set_zmset\ M)$

end

syntax

$_ZMBall :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($(\exists\forall_ \in\#z_./ _)$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
 $_ZMBex :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($(\exists\exists_ \in\#z_./ _)$ [0, 0, 10] 10)

syntax (ASCII)

$_ZMBall :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($(\exists\forall_:\#z_./ _)$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
 $_ZMBex :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($(\exists\exists_:\#z_./ _)$ [0, 0, 10] 10)

translations

$\forall x \in\#z A. P \equiv CONST\ Signed_Multiset.Ball\ A\ (\lambda x. P)$
 $\exists x \in\#z A. P \equiv CONST\ Signed_Multiset.Bex\ A\ (\lambda x. P)$

lemma $zcount_eq_zero_iff: zcount\ M\ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin\#z\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $not_in_iff_zmset: x \notin\#z\ M \longleftrightarrow zcount\ M\ x = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zcount_ne_zero_iff[simp]: zcount\ M\ x \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow x \in\#z\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zcount_inI:$

assumes $zcount\ M\ x = 0 \implies False$

shows $x \in\#z\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set_zmset_empty[simp]: set_zmset\ \{\#\}_z = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set_zmset_single: set_zmset\ \{\#b\}_z = \{b\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set_zmset_eq_empty_iff[simp]: set_zmset\ M = \{\} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\}_z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $finite_count_ne: finite\ \{x. count\ M\ x \neq count\ N\ x\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite_set_zmset*[*iff*]: *finite* (*set_zmset* *M*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zmultiset_nonemptyE*[*elim*]:
 assumes $A \neq \{\#\}_z$
 obtains *x* **where** $x \in \#_z A$
⟨*proof*⟩

3.2.2 Union

lemma *zcount_union*[*simp*]: *zcount* (*M* + *N*) *a* = *zcount* *M* *a* + *zcount* *N* *a*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *union_add_left_zmset*[*simp*]: *add_zmset* *a* *A* + *B* = *add_zmset* *a* (*A* + *B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *union_zmset_add_zmset_right*[*simp*]: *A* + *add_zmset* *a* *B* = *add_zmset* *a* (*A* + *B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *add_zmset_add_single*: ⟨*add_zmset* *a* *A* = *A* + $\{\#a\#_z\}$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

3.2.3 Difference

lemma *zcount_diff*[*simp*]: *zcount* (*M* - *N*) *a* = *zcount* *M* *a* - *zcount* *N* *a*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *add_zmset_diff_bothersides*: ⟨*add_zmset* *a* *M* - *add_zmset* *a* *A* = *M* - *A*⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_diff_zcount*: $a \in \#_z M - N \iff zcount\ N\ a \neq zcount\ M\ a$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *diff_add_zmset*:
 fixes *M* *N* *Q* :: 'a *zmultiset*
 shows $M - (N + Q) = M - N - Q$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insert_Diff_zmset*[*simp*]: *add_zmset* *x* (*M* - $\{\#x\#_z\}$) = *M*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *diff_union_swap_zmset*: *add_zmset* *b* (*M* - $\{\#a\#_z\}$) = *add_zmset* *b* *M* - $\{\#a\#_z\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *diff_add_zmset_swap*[*simp*]: *add_zmset* *b* *M* - *A* = *add_zmset* *b* (*M* - *A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *diff_diff_add_zmset*[*simp*]: (*M* :: 'a *zmultiset*) - *N* - *P* = *M* - (*N* + *P*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zmset_add*[*elim?*]:
 obtains *B* **where** *A* = *add_zmset* *a* *B*
⟨*proof*⟩

3.2.4 Equality of Signed Multisets

lemma *single_eq_single_zmset*[*simp*]: $\{\#a\#_z\} = \{\#b\#_z\} \iff a = b$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multi_self_add_other_not_self_zmset*[*simp*]: *M* = *add_zmset* *x* *M* $\iff False$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *add_zmset_remove_trivial*: ⟨*add_zmset* *x* *M* - $\{\#x\#_z\} = M$ ⟩

<proof>

lemma *diff_single_eq_union_zmset*: $M - \{x\}_z = N \iff M = \text{add_zmset } x \ N$
<proof>

lemma *union_single_eq_diff_zmset*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ M = N \implies M = N - \{x\}_z$
<proof>

lemma *add_zmset_eq_conv_diff*:
 $\text{add_zmset } a \ M = \text{add_zmset } b \ N \iff$
 $M = N \wedge a = b \vee M = \text{add_zmset } b \ (N - \{a\}_z) \wedge N = \text{add_zmset } a \ (M - \{b\}_z)$
<proof>

lemma *add_zmset_eq_conv_ex*:
 $(\text{add_zmset } a \ M = \text{add_zmset } b \ N) =$
 $(M = N \wedge a = b \vee (\exists K. M = \text{add_zmset } b \ K \wedge N = \text{add_zmset } a \ K))$
<proof>

lemma *multi_member_split*: $\exists A. M = \text{add_zmset } x \ A$
<proof>

3.3 Conversions from and to Multisets

lift-definition *zmset_of* :: $'a \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{zmultiset}$ is $\lambda f. (\text{Abs_multiset } f, \{\#\})$ *<proof>*

lemma *zmset_of_inject[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } M = \text{zmset_of } N \iff M = N$
<proof>

lemma *zmset_of_empty[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } \{\#\} = \{\#\}_z$
<proof>

lemma *zmset_of_add_mset[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } (\text{add_mset } x \ M) = \text{add_zmset } x \ (\text{zmset_of } M)$
<proof>

lemma *zcount_of_mset[simp]*: $\text{zcount } (\text{zmset_of } M) \ x = \text{int } (\text{count } M \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *zmset_of_plus*: $\text{zmset_of } (M + N) = \text{zmset_of } M + \text{zmset_of } N$
<proof>

lift-definition *mset_pos* :: $'a \ \text{zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset}$ is $\lambda(Mp, Mn). \text{count } (Mp - Mn)$
<proof>

lift-definition *mset_neg* :: $'a \ \text{zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset}$ is $\lambda(Mp, Mn). \text{count } (Mn - Mp)$
<proof>

lemma
zmset_of_inverse[simp]: $\text{mset_pos } (\text{zmset_of } M) = M$ **and**
minus_zmset_of_inverse[simp]: $\text{mset_neg } (- \text{zmset_of } M) = M$
<proof>

lemma *neg_zmset_pos[simp]*: $\text{mset_neg } (\text{zmset_of } M) = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma
count_mset_pos[simp]: $\text{count } (\text{mset_pos } M) \ x = \text{nat } (\text{zcount } M \ x)$ **and**
count_mset_neg[simp]: $\text{count } (\text{mset_neg } M) \ x = \text{nat } (- \text{zcount } M \ x)$
<proof>

lemma
mset_pos_empty[simp]: $\text{mset_pos } \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}$ **and**
mset_neg_empty[simp]: $\text{mset_neg } \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma

$mset_pos_singleton[simp]: mset_pos \{ \#x\# \}_z = \{ \#x\# \}$ **and**
 $mset_neg_singleton[simp]: mset_neg \{ \#x\# \}_z = \{ \# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$mset_pos_neg_partition: M = zmsset_of (mset_pos M) - zmsset_of (mset_neg M)$ **and**
 $mset_pos_as_neg: zmsset_of (mset_pos M) = zmsset_of (mset_neg M) + M$ **and**
 $mset_neg_as_pos: zmsset_of (mset_neg M) = zmsset_of (mset_pos M) - M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_pos_uminus[simp]: mset_pos (- A) = mset_neg A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_neg_uminus[simp]: mset_neg (- A) = mset_pos A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_pos_plus[simp]:$
 $mset_pos (A + B) = (mset_pos A - mset_neg B) + (mset_pos B - mset_neg A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_neg_plus[simp]:$
 $mset_neg (A + B) = (mset_neg A - mset_pos B) + (mset_neg B - mset_pos A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_pos_diff[simp]:$
 $mset_pos (A - B) = (mset_pos A - mset_pos B) + (mset_neg B - mset_neg A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_neg_diff[simp]:$
 $mset_neg (A - B) = (mset_neg A - mset_neg B) + (mset_pos B - mset_pos A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset_pos_neg_dual:$
 $mset_pos a + mset_pos b + (mset_neg a - mset_pos b) + (mset_neg b - mset_pos a) =$
 $mset_neg a + mset_neg b + (mset_pos a - mset_neg b) + (mset_pos b - mset_neg a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $decompose_zmsset_of2:$

obtains $A B C$ **where**
 $M = zmsset_of A + C$ **and**
 $N = zmsset_of B + C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.3.1 Pointwise Ordering Induced by $zcount$

definition $subseteq_zmsset :: 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\subseteq_{\#z}$ 50) **where**
 $A \subseteq_{\#z} B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. zcount A a \leq zcount B a)$

definition $subset_zmsset :: 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\subset_{\#z}$ 50) **where**
 $A \subset_{\#z} B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq_{\#z} B \wedge A \neq B$

abbreviation (*input*)

$supseteq_zmsset :: 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\supseteq_{\#z}$ 50)

where

$supseteq_zmsset A B \equiv B \subseteq_{\#z} A$

abbreviation (*input*)

$supset_zmsset :: 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\supset_{\#z}$ 50)

where

$supset_zmsset A B \equiv B \subset_{\#z} A$

notation (*input*)

$subseteq_zmsset$ (**infix** $\subseteq_{\#z}$ 50) **and**

supseteq_zmset (**infix** $\supseteq_{\#z}$ 50)

notation (*ASCII*)

subseq_zmset (**infix** $\subseteq_{\#z}$ 50) **and**
subset_zmset (**infix** $\subset_{\#z}$ 50) **and**
supseteq_zmset (**infix** $\supseteq_{\#z}$ 50) **and**
supset_zmset (**infix** $>_{\#z}$ 50)

interpretation *subset_zmset*: *ordered_ab_semigroup_add_imp_le* (+) (-) ($\subseteq_{\#z}$) ($\subset_{\#z}$)
(*proof*)

interpretation *subset_zmset*:

ordered_ab_semigroup_monoid_add_imp_le (+) 0 (-) ($\subseteq_{\#z}$) ($\subset_{\#z}$)
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_eqI*: ($\bigwedge a. \text{zcount } A \ a \leq \text{zcount } B \ a$) $\implies A \subseteq_{\#z} B$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_zcount*: $A \subseteq_{\#z} B \implies \text{zcount } A \ a \leq \text{zcount } B \ a$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_add_zmset_cancel*: $\langle \text{add_zmset } a \ A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{add_zmset } a \ B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq_{\#z} B \rangle$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_zmultiset_union_diff_commute*:

$A - B + C = A + C - B$ **for** $A \ B \ C :: 'a \ \text{zmultiset}$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_insertD*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ A \subseteq_{\#z} B \implies A \subset_{\#z} B$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_insertD*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ A \subset_{\#z} B \implies A \subset_{\#z} B$
(*proof*)

lemma *subset_eq_diff_conv_zmset*: $A - C \subseteq_{\#z} B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq_{\#z} B + C$
(*proof*)

lemma *multi_psub_of_add_self_zmset[simp]*: $A \subset_{\#z} \text{add_zmset } x \ A$
(*proof*)

lemma *multi_psub_self_zmset*: $A \subset_{\#z} A = \text{False}$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_subset_add_zmset[simp]*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ N \subset_{\#z} \text{add_zmset } x \ M \longleftrightarrow N \subset_{\#z} M$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_of_subseq_iff[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } M \subseteq_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } N \longleftrightarrow M \subseteq_{\#} N$
(*proof*)

lemma *zmset_of_subset_iff[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } M \subset_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } N \longleftrightarrow M \subset_{\#} N$
(*proof*)

lemma

mset_pos_supset: $A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } (\text{mset_pos } A)$ **and**
mset_neg_supset: $- A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } (\text{mset_neg } A)$
(*proof*)

lemma *subset_mset_zmsetE*:

assumes $M \subset_{\#z} N$

obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**

$M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A \subset_{\#} B$
(*proof*)

lemma *subseq_mset_zmsetE*:
assumes $M \subseteq\#_z N$
obtains $A B C$ **where**
 $M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A \subseteq\# B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.2 Subset is an Order

interpretation *subset_zmset*: *order* ($\subseteq\#_z$) ($\subset\#_z$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4 Replicate and Repeat Operations

definition *replicate_zmset* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset}$ **where**
 $\text{replicate_zmset } n \ x = (\text{add_zmset } x \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) \ \{\#\}_z$

lemma *replicate_zmset_0[simp]*: $\text{replicate_zmset } 0 \ x = \{\#\}_z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replicate_zmset_Suc[simp]*: $\text{replicate_zmset } (\text{Suc } n) \ x = \text{add_zmset } x \ (\text{replicate_zmset } n \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count_replicate_zmset[simp]*:
 $\text{zcount } (\text{replicate_zmset } n \ x) \ y = (\text{if } y = x \ \text{then } \text{of_nat } n \ \text{else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *repeat_zmset* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset}$ **where**
 $\text{repeat_zmset } 0 \ _ = \{\#\}_z$ |
 $\text{repeat_zmset } (\text{Suc } n) \ A = A + \text{repeat_zmset } n \ A$

lemma *count_repeat_zmset[simp]*: $\text{zcount } (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ A) \ a = \text{of_nat } i * \text{zcount } A \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_right[simp]*: $\text{repeat_zmset } a \ (\text{repeat_zmset } b \ A) = \text{repeat_zmset } (a * b) \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *left_diff_repeat_zmset_distrib'*:
 $\langle i \geq j \implies \text{repeat_zmset } (i - j) \ u = \text{repeat_zmset } i \ u - \text{repeat_zmset } j \ u \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *left_add_mult_distrib_zmset*:
 $\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + (\text{repeat_zmset } j \ u + k) = \text{repeat_zmset } (i+j) \ u + k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_distrib*: $\text{repeat_zmset } (m + n) \ A = \text{repeat_zmset } m \ A + \text{repeat_zmset } n \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_distrib2[simp]*:
 $\text{repeat_zmset } n \ (A + B) = \text{repeat_zmset } n \ A + \text{repeat_zmset } n \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_replicate_zmset[simp]*: $\text{repeat_zmset } n \ \{\#a\# \}_z = \text{replicate_zmset } n \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_distrib_add_zmset[simp]*:
 $\text{repeat_zmset } n \ (\text{add_zmset } a \ A) = \text{replicate_zmset } n \ a + \text{repeat_zmset } n \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_empty[simp]*: $\text{repeat_zmset } n \ \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}_z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4.1 Filter (with Comprehension Syntax)

lift-definition *filter_zmset* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset}$ **is**

$\lambda P (Mp, Mn). (\text{filter_mset } P \text{ } Mp, \text{filter_mset } P \text{ } Mn)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

syntax (ASCII)

$_ZMCollect :: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} ((1\{\#_ : \#z _./ _ \#\}))$

syntax

$_ZMCollect :: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} ((1\{\#_ \in \#z _./ _ \#\}))$

translations

$\{\#x \in \#z \text{ } M. P \#\} == \text{CONST filter_zmset } (\lambda x. P) \text{ } M$

lemma $\text{count_filter_zmset}[\text{simp}]$:

$\text{zcount } (\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } M) \text{ } a = (\text{if } P \text{ } a \text{ then } \text{zcount } M \text{ } a \text{ else } 0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter_empty_zmset}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}_z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter_single_zmset}$: $\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } \{\#x\# \}_z = (\text{if } P \text{ } x \text{ then } \{\#x\# \}_z \text{ else } \{\#\}_z)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter_union_zmset}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } (M + N) = \text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } M + \text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter_diff_zmset}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } (M - N) = \text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } M - \text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter_add_zmset}[\text{simp}]$:

$\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } (\text{add_zmset } x \text{ } A) =$

$(\text{if } P \text{ } x \text{ then } \text{add_zmset } x \text{ } (\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } A) \text{ else } \text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{zmultiset_filter_mono}$:

assumes $A \subseteq_{\#z} B$

shows $\text{filter_zmset } f \text{ } A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{filter_zmset } f \text{ } B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filter_filter_zmset}$: $\text{filter_zmset } P \text{ } (\text{filter_zmset } Q \text{ } M) = \{\#x \in \#z \text{ } M. Q \text{ } x \wedge P \text{ } x\# \}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

$\text{filter_zmset_True}[\text{simp}]$: $\{\#y \in \#z \text{ } M. \text{True}\# \} = M$ **and**

$\text{filter_zmset_False}[\text{simp}]$: $\{\#y \in \#z \text{ } M. \text{False}\# \} = \{\#\}_z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.5 Uncategorized

lemma $\text{multi_drop_mem_not_eq_zmset}$: $B - \{\#c\# \}_z \neq B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{zmultiset_partition}$: $M = \{\#x \in \#z \text{ } M. P \text{ } x \#\} + \{\#x \in \#z \text{ } M. \neg P \text{ } x\#\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.6 Image

definition $\text{image_zmset} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ zmultiset}$ **where**

$\text{image_zmset } f \text{ } M =$

$\text{zmset_of } (\text{fold_mset } (\text{add_mset } \circ f) \text{ } \{\#\} \text{ } (\text{mset_pos } M)) -$

$\text{zmset_of } (\text{fold_mset } (\text{add_mset } \circ f) \text{ } \{\#\} \text{ } (\text{mset_neg } M))$

3.7 Multiset Order

instantiation $\text{zmultiset} :: (\text{preorder}) \text{ } \text{order}$

begin

```

lift-definition less_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool is
   $\lambda(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). Mp + Nn < Mn + Np$ 
  <proof>

definition less_eq_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  less_eq_zmultiset  $M' M \longleftrightarrow M' < M \vee M' = M$ 

instance
  <proof>

end

instance zmultiset :: (preorder) ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_add
  <proof>

instance zmultiset :: (preorder) ordered_ab_group_add
  <proof>

instantiation zmultiset :: (linorder) distrib_lattice
begin

definition inf_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a zmultiset where
  inf_zmultiset  $A B = (if A < B then A else B)$ 

definition sup_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a zmultiset where
  sup_zmultiset  $A B = (if B > A then B else A)$ 

lemma not_lt_iff_ge_zmset:  $\neg x < y \longleftrightarrow x \geq y$  for  $x y :: 'a zmultiset$ 
  <proof>

instance
  <proof>

end

lemma zmsset_of_less:  $zmsset\_of\ M < zmsset\_of\ N \longleftrightarrow M < N$ 
  <proof>

lemma zmsset_of_le:  $zmsset\_of\ M \leq zmsset\_of\ N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$ 
  <proof>

instance zmultiset :: (preorder) ordered_ab_semigroup_add
  <proof>

lemma uminus_add_conv_diff_mset[cancelation_simproc_pre]:  $\langle -a + b = b - a \rangle$  for  $a :: \langle 'a zmultiset \rangle$ 
  <proof>

lemma uminus_add_add_uminus[cancelation_simproc_pre]:  $\langle b - a + c = b + c - a \rangle$  for  $a :: \langle 'a zmultiset \rangle$ 
  <proof>

lemma add_zmsset_eq_add_NO_MATCH[cancelation_simproc_pre]:
   $\langle NO\_MATCH\ \{\#\}_z\ H \implies add\_zmsset\ a\ H = \{\#a\#\}_z + H \rangle$ 
  <proof>

lemma repeat_zmsset_iterate_add:  $\langle repeat\_zmsset\ n\ M = iterate\_add\ n\ M \rangle$ 
  <proof>

declare repeat_zmsset_iterate_add[cancelation_simproc_pre]

declare repeat_zmsset_iterate_add[symmetric, cancelation_simproc_post]

  <ML>

```

lemma *zmset_subseteq_add_iff1*:
 $\langle j \leq i \implies (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + m \subseteq\#_z \text{ repeat_zmset } j \ u + n) = (\text{repeat_zmset } (i - j) \ u + m \subseteq\#_z n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmset_subseteq_add_iff2*:
 $\langle i \leq j \implies (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + m \subseteq\#_z \text{ repeat_zmset } j \ u + n) = (m \subseteq\#_z \text{ repeat_zmset } (j - i) \ u + n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmset_subset_add_iff1*:
 $\langle j < i \implies (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + m \subset\#_z \text{ repeat_zmset } j \ u + n) = (\text{repeat_zmset } (i - j) \ u + m \subset\#_z n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmset_subset_add_iff2*:
 $\langle i < j \implies (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + m \subset\#_z \text{ repeat_zmset } j \ u + n) = (m \subset\#_z \text{ repeat_zmset } (j - i) \ u + n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) ordered_ab_semigroup_add_imp_le
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

instance *zmultiset* :: (linorder) linordered_cancel_ab_semigroup_add
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less_mset_zmsetE*:
assumes $M < N$
obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**
 $M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A < B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less_eq_mset_zmsetE*:
assumes $M \leq N$
obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**
 $M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A \leq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset_eq_imp_le_zmset*: $M \subseteq\#_z N \implies M \leq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset_imp_less_zmset*: $M \subset\#_z N \implies M < N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt_imp_ex_zcount_lt*:
assumes $m_lt_n: M < N$
shows $\exists y. \text{zcount } M \ y < \text{zcount } N \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) no_top
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lifting-update *multiset.lifting*
lifting-forget *multiset.lifting*

end

4 Nested Multisets

theory *Nested_Multiset*
imports *HOL-Library.Multiset_Order*
begin

declare *multiset.map_comp* [*simp*]
declare *multiset.map_cong* [*cong*]

4.1 Type Definition

datatype 'a *nmultiset* =
 | *Elem* 'a
 | *MSet* 'a *nmultiset multiset*

inductive *no_elem* :: 'a *nmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 ($\bigwedge X. X \in \# M \Rightarrow \text{no_elem } X \Rightarrow \text{no_elem } (\text{MSet } M)$)

inductive-set *sub_nmset* :: ('a *nmultiset* \times 'a *nmultiset*) *set* **where**
 $X \in \# M \Rightarrow (X, \text{MSet } M) \in \text{sub_nmset}$

lemma *wf_sub_nmset*[*simp*]: *wf sub_nmset*
<proof>

primrec *depth_nmset* :: 'a *nmultiset* \Rightarrow *nat* ($| _ |$) **where**
 | *Elem* *a* | = 0
 | *MSet* *M* | = (let *X* = *set_mset* (*image_mset* *depth_nmset* *M*) in if *X* = {} then 0 else *Suc* (*Max* *X*))

lemma *depth_nmset_MSet*: $x \in \# M \Rightarrow |x| < |\text{MSet } M|$
<proof>

declare *depth_nmset.simps*(2)[*simp del*]

4.2 Dershowitz and Manna's Nested Multiset Order

The Dershowitz–Manna extension:

definition *less_multiset_ext_DM* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow 'a *multiset* \Rightarrow 'a *multiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
less_multiset_ext_DM *R M N* \longleftrightarrow
 ($\exists X Y. X \neq \{\#\} \wedge X \subseteq \# N \wedge M = (N - X) + Y \wedge (\forall k. k \in \# Y \longrightarrow (\exists a. a \in \# X \wedge R k a))$)

lemma *less_multiset_ext_DM_imp_mult*:
assumes
N_A: *set_mset* *N* \subseteq *A* **and** *M_A*: *set_mset* *M* \subseteq *A* **and** *less*: *less_multiset_ext_DM* *R M N*
shows (*M*, *N*) \in *mult* {(*x*, *y*). *x* \in *A* \wedge *y* \in *A* \wedge *R x y*}
<proof>

lemma *mult_imp_less_multiset_ext_DM*:
assumes
N_A: *set_mset* *N* \subseteq *A* **and** *M_A*: *set_mset* *M* \subseteq *A* **and**
trans: $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall z \in A. R x y \longrightarrow R y z \longrightarrow R x z$ **and**
in_mult: (*M*, *N*) \in *mult* {(*x*, *y*). *x* \in *A* \wedge *y* \in *A* \wedge *R x y*}
shows *less_multiset_ext_DM* *R M N*
<proof>

lemma *less_multiset_ext_DM_iff_mult*:
assumes
N_A: *set_mset* *N* \subseteq *A* **and** *M_A*: *set_mset* *M* \subseteq *A* **and**
trans: $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall z \in A. R x y \longrightarrow R y z \longrightarrow R x z$
shows *less_multiset_ext_DM* *R M N* \longleftrightarrow (*M*, *N*) \in *mult* {(*x*, *y*). *x* \in *A* \wedge *y* \in *A* \wedge *R x y*}
<proof>

instantiation *nmultiset* :: (*preorder*) *preorder*
begin

lemma *less_multiset_ext_DM_cong*[*fundef_cong*]:
 ($\bigwedge X Y k a. X \neq \{\#\} \Rightarrow X \subseteq \# N \Rightarrow M = (N - X) + Y \Rightarrow k \in \# Y \Rightarrow R k a = S k a$) \Rightarrow
less_multiset_ext_DM *R M N* = *less_multiset_ext_DM* *S M N*
<proof>

```

function less_nmultiset :: 'a nmultiset ⇒ 'a nmultiset ⇒ bool where
  less_nmultiset (Elem a) (Elem b) ↔ a < b
| less_nmultiset (Elem a) (MSet M) ↔ True
| less_nmultiset (MSet M) (Elem a) ↔ False
| less_nmultiset (MSet M) (MSet N) ↔ less_multiset_extDM less_nmultiset M N
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas less_nmultiset_induct =
  less_nmultiset.induct[case_names Elem_Elem Elem_MSet MSet_Elem MSet_MSet]

lemmas less_nmultiset_cases =
  less_nmultiset.cases[case_names Elem_Elem Elem_MSet MSet_Elem MSet_MSet]

lemma trans_less_nmultiset: X < Y ⇒ Y < Z ⇒ X < Z for X Y Z :: 'a nmultiset
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irrefl_less_nmultiset:
  fixes X :: 'a nmultiset
  shows X < X ⇒ False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma antisym_less_nmultiset:
  fixes X Y :: 'a nmultiset
  shows X < Y ⇒ Y < X ⇒ False
  ⟨proof⟩

definition less_eq_nmultiset :: 'a nmultiset ⇒ 'a nmultiset ⇒ bool where
  less_eq_nmultiset X Y = (X < Y ∨ X = Y)

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less_multiset_extDM_less: less_multiset_extDM (<) = (<)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation nmultiset :: (order) order
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation nmultiset :: (linorder) linorder
begin

lemma total_less_nmultiset:
  fixes X Y :: 'a nmultiset
  shows ¬ X < Y ⇒ Y ≠ X ⇒ Y < X
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma less_depth_nmset_imp_less_nmultiset: |X| < |Y| ⇒ X < Y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *less_nmultiset_imp_le_depth_nmultiset*: $X < Y \implies |X| \leq |Y|$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq_mlex_I*:
 fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ and $R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 assumes $\bigwedge X Y. f X < f Y \implies R X Y$ and *antisymp* R
 shows $\{(X, Y). R X Y\} = f <*\text{mlex}*\rangle \{(X, Y). f X = f Y \wedge R X Y\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

instantiation *nmultiset* :: (*wellorder*) *wellorder*
begin

lemma *depth_nmultiset_eq_0[simp]*: $|X| = 0 \longleftrightarrow (X = \text{MSet } \{\#\} \vee (\exists x. X = \text{Elem } x))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *depth_nmultiset_eq_Suc[simp]*: $|X| = \text{Suc } n \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists N. X = \text{MSet } N \wedge (\exists Y \in \# N. |Y| = n) \wedge (\forall Y \in \# N. |Y| \leq n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf_less_nmultiset_depth*:
 wf $\{(X :: 'a \text{ nmultiset}, Y). |X| = i \wedge |Y| = i \wedge X < Y\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf_less_nmultiset*: wf $\{(X :: 'a \text{ nmultiset}, Y :: 'a \text{ nmultiset}). X < Y\}$ (is wf ?R)
 ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

end

5 Hereditar(il)y (Finite) Multisets

theory *Hereditary_Multiset*
imports *Multiset_More Nested_Multiset*
begin

5.1 Type Definition

datatype *hmultiset* =
HMSet (*hmsetmset*: *hmultiset multiset*)

lemma *hmsetmset_inject[simp]*: $\text{hmsetmset } A = \text{hmsetmset } B \longleftrightarrow A = B$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *Rep_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *unit nmultiset* **where**
Rep_hmultiset (*HMSet* M) = *MSet* (*image_mset Rep_hmultiset* M)

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) *Abs_hmultiset* :: *unit nmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
Abs_hmultiset (*MSet* M) = *HMSet* (*image_mset Abs_hmultiset* M)

lemma *type_definition_hmultiset*: *type_definition Rep_hmultiset Abs_hmultiset* $\{X. \text{no_elem } X\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting *type_definition_hmultiset*

lemma *HMSet_alt*: $\text{HMSet} = \text{Abs_hmultiset } \circ \text{MSet } \circ \text{image_mset } \text{Rep_hmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *HMSet_transfer[transfer_rule]*: *rel_fun* (*rel_mset pcr_hmultiset*) *pcr_hmultiset* *MSet HMSet*
 ⟨proof⟩

5.2 Restriction of Dershowitz and Manna's Nested Multiset Order

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *linorder*

begin

lift-definition *less_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** ($<$) *<proof>*

lift-definition *less_eq_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** (\leq) *<proof>*

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *less_HMSet_iff_less_multiset_ext_DM*: $HMSet\ M < HMSet\ N \longleftrightarrow less_multiset_ext_DM\ (<) M\ N$
<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_less[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ M < hmsetmset\ N \longleftrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_le[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ M \leq hmsetmset\ N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$
<proof>

lemma *wf_less_hmultiset*: $wf\ \{(X :: hmultiset, Y :: hmultiset). X < Y\}$
<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *wellorder*

<proof>

lemma *HMSet_less[simp]*: $HMSet\ M < HMSet\ N \longleftrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

lemma *HMSet_le[simp]*: $HMSet\ M \leq HMSet\ N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$
<proof>

lemma *mem_imp_less_HMSet*: $k \in\# L \Longrightarrow k < HMSet\ L$
<proof>

lemma *mem_hmsetmset_imp_less*: $M \in\# hmsetmset\ N \Longrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

5.3 Disjoint Union and Truncated Difference

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *cancel_comm_monoid_add*

begin

definition *zero_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* **where**

$0 = HMSet\ \{\#\}$

lemma *hmsetmset_empty_iff[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ n = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow n = 0$
<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_0[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ 0 = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma

HMSet_eq_0_iff[simp]: $HMSet\ m = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = \{\#\}$ **and**

zero_eq_HMSet[simp]: $0 = HMSet\ m \longleftrightarrow m = \{\#\}$

<proof>

definition *plus_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**

$A + B = HMSet\ (hmsetmset\ A + hmsetmset\ B)$

definition *minus_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**

$A - B = HMSet\ (hmsetmset\ A - hmsetmset\ B)$

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *HMSet_plus*: $HMSet (A + B) = HMSet A + HMSet B$

<proof>

lemma *HMSet_diff*: $HMSet (A - B) = HMSet A - HMSet B$

<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_plus*: $hmsetmset (M + N) = hmsetmset M + hmsetmset N$

<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_diff*: $hmsetmset (M - N) = hmsetmset M - hmsetmset N$

<proof>

lemma *diff_diff_add_hmset[simp]*: $a - b - c = a - (b + c)$ **for** $a b c :: hmultiset$

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *comm_monoid_diff*

<proof>

<ML>

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_add*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_ab_semigroup_add_imp_le*

<proof>

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *order_bot*

begin

definition *bot_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* **where**

bot_hmultiset = 0

instance

<proof>

end

instance *hmultiset* :: *no_top*

<proof>

lemma *le_minus_plus_same_hmset*: $m \leq m - n + n$ **for** $m n :: hmultiset$

<proof>

5.4 Infimum and Supremum

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *distrib_lattice*

begin

definition *inf_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**

inf_hmultiset A B = (if A < B then A else B)

definition *sup_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**

sup_hmultiset A B = (if B > A then B else A)

instance

<proof>

end

5.5 Inequalities

lemma *zero_le_hmset[simp]*: $0 \leq M$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma
le_add1_hmset: $n \leq n + m$ **and**
le_add2_hmset: $n \leq m + n$ **for** $n :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *le_zero_eq_hmset[simp]*: $M \leq 0 \iff M = 0$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *not_less_zero_hmset[simp]*: $\neg M < 0$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *not_gr_zero_hmset[simp]*: $\neg 0 < M \iff M = 0$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *zero_less_iff_neq_zero_hmset*: $0 < M \iff M \neq 0$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *zero_less_HMSet_iff[simp]*: $0 < \text{HMSet } M \iff M \neq \{\#\}$
(proof)

lemma *gr_zeroI_hmset*: $(M = 0 \implies \text{False}) \implies 0 < M$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *gr_implies_not_zero_hmset*: $M < N \implies N \neq 0$ **for** $M N :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *add_eq_0_iff_both_eq_0_hmset[simp]*: $M + N = 0 \iff M = 0 \wedge N = 0$ **for** $M N :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *trans_less_add1_hmset*: $i < j \implies i < j + m$ **for** $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *trans_less_add2_hmset*: $i < j \implies i < m + j$ **for** $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *trans_le_add1_hmset*: $i \leq j \implies i \leq j + m$ **for** $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *trans_le_add2_hmset*: $i \leq j \implies i \leq m + j$ **for** $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *diff_le_self_hmset*: $m - n \leq m$ **for** $m n :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

end

6 Signed Hereditar(il)y (Finite) Multisets

theory *Signed_Hereditary_Multiset*
imports *Signed_Multiset Hereditary_Multiset*
begin

6.1 Type Definition

typedef *zhmultiset* = *UNIV* :: *hmultiset* *zmultiset* *set*
morphisms *zhmsetmset* *ZHMSet*

<proof>

lemmas $ZHMSet_inverse[simp] = ZHMSet_inverse[OF UNIV_I]$
lemmas $ZHMSet_inject[simp] = ZHMSet_inject[OF UNIV_I UNIV_I]$

declare

$zhmsetmset_inverse [simp]$
 $zhmsetmset_inject [simp]$

setup-lifting $type_definition_zhmultiset$

6.2 Multiset Order

instantiation $zhmultiset :: linorder$
begin

lift-definition $less_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ **is** $(<)$ *<proof>*
lift-definition $less_eq_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ **is** (\leq) *<proof>*

instance

<proof>

end

lemmas $ZHMSet_less[simp] = less_zhmultiset.abs_eq$
lemmas $ZHMSet_le[simp] = less_eq_zhmultiset.abs_eq$
lemmas $zhmsetmset_less[simp] = less_zhmultiset.rep_eq[symmetric]$
lemmas $zhmsetmset_le[simp] = less_eq_zhmultiset.rep_eq[symmetric]$

6.3 Embedding and Projections of Syntactic Ordinals

abbreviation $zhmset_of :: hmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **where**
 $zhmset_of M \equiv ZHMSet (zmset_of (hsetmset M))$

lemma $zhmset_of_inject[simp]: zhmset_of M = zhmset_of N \iff M = N$
<proof>

lemma $zhmset_of_less: zhmset_of M < zhmset_of N \iff M < N$
<proof>

lemma $zhmset_of_le: zhmset_of M \leq zhmset_of N \iff M \leq N$
<proof>

abbreviation $hmset_pos :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow hmultiset$ **where**
 $hmset_pos M \equiv HMSet (mset_pos (zhmsetmset M))$

abbreviation $hmset_neg :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow hmultiset$ **where**
 $hmset_neg M \equiv HMSet (mset_neg (zhmsetmset M))$

6.4 Disjoint Union and Difference

instantiation $zhmultiset :: cancel_comm_monoid_add$
begin

lift-definition $zero_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset$ **is** $\{\#\}_z$ *<proof>*

lift-definition $plus_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **is**
 $\lambda A B. A + B$ *<proof>*

lift-definition $minus_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **is**
 $\lambda A B. A - B$ *<proof>*

lemmas $ZHMSet_plus = plus_zhmultiset.abs_eq[symmetric]$
lemmas $ZHMSet_diff = minus_zhmultiset.abs_eq[symmetric]$

lemmas $zhmsetmset_plus = plus_zhmultiset.rep_eq$
lemmas $zhmsetmset_diff = minus_zhmultiset.rep_eq$

lemma $zhmset_of_plus: zhmset_of (A + B) = zhmset_of A + zhmset_of B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hmsetmset_0: hmsetmset 0 = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma $zhmset_of_0: zhmset_of 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hmset_pos_plus:$
 $hmset_pos (A + B) = (hmset_pos A - hmset_neg B) + (hmset_pos B - hmset_neg A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hmset_neg_plus:$
 $hmset_neg (A + B) = (hmset_neg A - hmset_pos B) + (hmset_neg B - hmset_pos A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zhmset_pos_neg_partition: M = zhmset_of (hmset_pos M) - zhmset_of (hmset_neg M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zhmset_pos_as_neg: zhmset_of (hmset_pos M) = zhmset_of (hmset_neg M) + M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zhmset_neg_as_pos: zhmset_of (hmset_neg M) = zhmset_of (hmset_pos M) - M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hmset_pos_neg_dual:$
 $hmset_pos a + hmset_pos b + (hmset_neg a - hmset_pos b) + (hmset_neg b - hmset_pos a) =$
 $hmset_neg a + hmset_neg b + (hmset_pos a - hmset_neg b) + (hmset_pos b - hmset_neg a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zhmset_of_sum_list: zhmset_of (sum_list Ms) = sum_list (map zhmset_of Ms)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $less_hmset_zhmsetE:$
assumes $m_lt_n: M < N$
obtains $A B C$ **where** $M = zhmset_of A + C$ **and** $N = zhmset_of B + C$ **and** $A < B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $less_eq_hmset_zhmsetE:$
assumes $m_le_n: M \leq N$
obtains $A B C$ **where** $M = zhmset_of A + C$ **and** $N = zhmset_of B + C$ **and** $A \leq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation $zhmultiset :: ab_group_add$
begin

lift-definition $uminus_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **is** $\lambda A. - A$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $ZHMSet_uminus = uminus_zhmultiset.abs_eq[symmetric]$
lemmas $zhmsetmset_uminus = uminus_zhmultiset.rep_eq$

instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6.5 Infimum and Supremum

instance *zhmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_add*
⟨*proof*⟩

instance *zhmultiset* :: *ordered_ab_group_add*
⟨*proof*⟩

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *distrib_lattice*
begin

definition *inf_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **where**
inf_zhmultiset A B = (if A < B then A else B)

definition *sup_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **where**
sup_zhmultiset A B = (if B > A then B else A)

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

7 Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form

theory *Syntactic_Ordinal*
imports *Hereditary_Multiset* *HOL-Library.Product_Order* *HOL-Library.Extended_Nat*
begin

7.1 Natural (Hessenberg) Product

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *comm_semiring_1*
begin

abbreviation ω_exp :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* (ω^\wedge) **where**
 $\omega^\wedge \equiv \lambda m. HMSet \{ \#m\# \}$

definition *one_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* **where**
1 = $\omega^\wedge 0$

abbreviation ω :: *hmultiset* **where**
 $\omega \equiv \omega^\wedge 1$

definition *times_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
A * *B* = *HMSet* (*image_mset* (*case_prod* (+)) (*hmsetmset* A \times $\#$ *hmsetmset* B))

lemma *hmsetmset_times*:
hmsetmset (*m* * *n*) = *image_mset* (*case_prod* (+)) (*hmsetmset* *m* \times $\#$ *hmsetmset* *n*)
⟨*proof*⟩

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *empty_times_left_hmset[simp]*: *HMSet* { $\#$ } * *M* = 0
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *empty_times_right_hmset[simp]*: *M* * *HMSet* { $\#$ } = 0
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *singleton_times_left_hmset*[simp]: $\omega^{\wedge}M * N = HMSet (image_mset ((+) M) (hmsetmset N))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *singleton_times_right_hmset*[simp]: $N * \omega^{\wedge}M = HMSet (image_mset ((+) M) (hmsetmset N))$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.2 Inequalities

definition *plus_nmultiset* :: *unit nmultiset* \Rightarrow *unit nmultiset* \Rightarrow *unit nmultiset* **where**
plus_nmultiset X Y = *Rep_hmultiset* (Abs_hmultiset X + Abs_hmultiset Y)

lemma *plus_nmultiset_mono*:
assumes *less*: (X, Y) < (X', Y') **and** *no_elem*: *no_elem* X *no_elem* Y *no_elem* X' *no_elem* Y'
shows *plus_nmultiset* X Y < *plus_nmultiset* X' Y'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *plus_hmultiset_transfer*[transfer_rule]:
 (rel_fun pcr_hmultiset (rel_fun pcr_hmultiset pcr_hmultiset)) *plus_nmultiset* (+)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_monoL*:
assumes *less*: M < N **and** *Z_nemp*: Z \neq {#}
shows M \times # Z < N \times # Z
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_hmultiset_monoL*:
 a < b \implies 0 < c \implies a * c < b * c **for** a b c :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_semiring_strict*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult_le_mono1_hmset*: i \leq j \implies i * k \leq j * k **for** i j k :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult_le_mono2_hmset*: i \leq j \implies k * i \leq k * j **for** i j k :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult_le_mono_hmset*: i \leq j \implies k \leq l \implies i * k \leq j * l **for** i j k l :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less_iff_add1_le_hmset*: m < n \longleftrightarrow m + 1 \leq n **for** m n :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zero_less_iff_1_le_hmset*: 0 < n \longleftrightarrow 1 \leq n **for** n :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less_add_1_iff_le_hmset*: m < n + 1 \longleftrightarrow m \leq n **for** m n :: *hmultiset*
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_semiring*
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *zero_less_one*
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_semiring_1_strict*
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *bounded_lattice_bot*
 ⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_nonzero_semiring*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *semiring_no_zero_divisors*
<proof>

lemma *lt_1_iff_eq_0_hmset*: $M < 1 \longleftrightarrow M = 0$ **for** $M :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *zero_less_mult_iff_hmset[simp]*: $0 < m * n \longleftrightarrow 0 < m \wedge 0 < n$ **for** $m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *one_le_mult_iff_hmset[simp]*: $1 \leq m * n \longleftrightarrow 1 \leq m \wedge 1 \leq n$ **for** $m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_less_cancel2_hmset[simp]*: $m * k < n * k \longleftrightarrow 0 < k \wedge m < n$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_less_cancel1_hmset[simp]*: $k * m < k * n \longleftrightarrow 0 < k \wedge m < n$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel1_hmset[simp]*: $k * m \leq k * n \longleftrightarrow (0 < k \longrightarrow m \leq n)$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel2_hmset[simp]*: $m * k \leq n * k \longleftrightarrow (0 < k \longrightarrow m \leq n)$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_left1_hmset*: $y > 0 \implies x \leq x * y$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_left2_hmset*: $y \leq 1 \implies x * y \leq x$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_right1_hmset*: $y > 0 \implies x \leq y * x$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_right2_hmset*: $y \leq 1 \implies y * x \leq x$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *le_square_hmset*: $m \leq m * m$ **for** $m :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *le_cube_hmset*: $m \leq m * (m * m)$ **for** $m :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma
less_imp_minus_plus_hmset: $m < n \implies k < k - m + n$ **and**
le_imp_minus_plus_hmset: $m \leq n \implies k \leq k - m + n$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *gt_0_lt_mult_gt_1_hmset*:
fixes $m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
assumes $m > 0$ **and** $n > 1$
shows $m < m * n$
<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_comm_semiring_strict*
<proof>

7.3 Embedding of Natural Numbers

lemma *of_nat_hmset*: $\text{of_nat } n = \text{HMSet } (\text{replicate_mset } n\ 0)$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_inject_hmset[simp]*: $(\text{of_nat } m :: \text{hmultiset}) = \text{of_nat } n \longleftrightarrow m = n$

<proof>

lemma *of_nat_minus_hmset*: $of_nat (m - n) = (of_nat m :: hmset) - of_nat n$
<proof>

lemma *plus_of_nat_plus_of_nat_hmset*:
 $k + of_nat m + of_nat n = k + of_nat (m + n)$ **for** $k :: hmset$
<proof>

lemma *plus_of_nat_minus_of_nat_hmset*:
fixes $k :: hmset$
assumes $n \leq m$
shows $k + of_nat m - of_nat n = k + of_nat (m - n)$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_lt_omega[simp]*: $of_nat n < \omega$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_ne_omega[simp]*: $of_nat n \neq \omega$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_less_hmset[simp]*: $(of_nat M :: hmset) < of_nat N \longleftrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_le_hmset[simp]*: $(of_nat M :: hmset) \leq of_nat N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_times_omega_exp*: $of_nat n * \omega^{\wedge}m = HMSet (replicate_mset n m)$
<proof>

lemma *omega_exp_times_of_nat*: $\omega^{\wedge}m * of_nat n = HMSet (replicate_mset n m)$
<proof>

7.4 Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers

primrec *hmset_of_enat* :: $enat \Rightarrow hmset$ **where**
 $hmset_of_enat (enat n) = of_nat n$
 $| hmset_of_enat \infty = \omega$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_0[simp]*: $hmset_of_enat 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *hmset_of_enat_1[simp]*: $hmset_of_enat 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma *hmset_of_enat_of_nat[simp]*: $hmset_of_enat (of_nat n) = of_nat n$
<proof>

lemma *hmset_of_enat_numeral[simp]*: $hmset_of_enat (numeral n) = numeral n$
<proof>

lemma *hmset_of_enat_le_omega[simp]*: $hmset_of_enat n \leq \omega$
<proof>

lemma *hmset_of_enat_eq_omega_iff[simp]*: $hmset_of_enat n = \omega \longleftrightarrow n = \infty$
<proof>

7.5 Head Omega

definition *head_omega* :: $hmset \Rightarrow hmset$ **where**
 $head_omega M = (if M = 0 then 0 else \omega^{\wedge}(Max (set_mset (hmsetmset M))))$

lemma *head_omega_subseteq*: $hmsetmset (head_omega M) \subseteq\# hmsetmset M$
<proof>

lemma *head_ω_eq_0_iff[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega m = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_0[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega 0 = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_1[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega 1 = 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_of_nat[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (\text{of_nat } n) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_numeral[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (\text{numeral } n) = 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_ω[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega \omega = \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *le_imp_head_ω_le*:
 assumes *m_le_n*: $m \leq n$
 shows $\text{head}_\omega m \leq \text{head}_\omega n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_lt_imp_lt*: $\text{head}_\omega m < \text{head}_\omega n \implies m < n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_plus[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (m + n) = \text{sup } (\text{head}_\omega m) (\text{head}_\omega n)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_times[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (m * n) = \text{head}_\omega m * \text{head}_\omega n$
⟨proof⟩

7.6 More Inequalities and Some Equalities

lemma *zero_lt_ω[simp]*: $0 < \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *one_lt_ω[simp]*: $1 < \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *numeral_lt_ω[simp]*: $\text{numeral } n < \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *one_le_ω[simp]*: $1 \leq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_le_ω[simp]*: $\text{of_nat } n \leq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *numeral_le_ω[simp]*: $\text{numeral } n \leq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_lt_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega < 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_lt_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega < \text{of_nat } n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_lt_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega < \text{numeral } n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_le_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega \leq 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_le_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega \leq \text{of_nat } n$
(proof)

lemma *not_ω_le_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega \leq \text{numeral } n$
(proof)

lemma *zero_ne_ω[simp]*: $0 \neq \omega$
(proof)

lemma *one_ne_ω[simp]*: $1 \neq \omega$
(proof)

lemma *numeral_ne_ω[simp]*: $\text{numeral } n \neq \omega$
(proof)

lemma
ω_ne_0[simp]: $\omega \neq 0$ **and**
ω_ne_1[simp]: $\omega \neq 1$ **and**
ω_ne_of_nat[simp]: $\omega \neq \text{of_nat } m$ **and**
ω_ne_numeral[simp]: $\omega \neq \text{numeral } n$
(proof)

lemma
hmset_of_enat_inject[simp]: $\text{hmset_of_enat } m = \text{hmset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow m = n$ **and**
hmset_of_enat_less[simp]: $\text{hmset_of_enat } m < \text{hmset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow m < n$ **and**
hmset_of_enat_le[simp]: $\text{hmset_of_enat } m \leq \text{hmset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *lt_ω_imp_ex_of_nat*:
assumes *M_lt_ω*: $M < \omega$
shows $\exists n. M = \text{of_nat } n$
(proof)

lemma *le_ω_imp_ex_hmset_of_enat*:
assumes *M_le_ω*: $M \leq \omega$
shows $\exists n. M = \text{hmset_of_enat } n$
(proof)

lemma *lt_ω_lt_ω_imp_times_lt_ω*: $M < \omega \implies N < \omega \implies M * N < \omega$
(proof)

lemma *times_ω_minus_of_nat[simp]*: $m * \omega - \text{of_nat } n = m * \omega$
(proof)

lemma *times_ω_minus_numeral[simp]*: $m * \omega - \text{numeral } n = m * \omega$
(proof)

lemma *ω_minus_of_nat[simp]*: $\omega - \text{of_nat } n = \omega$
(proof)

lemma *ω_minus_1[simp]*: $\omega - 1 = \omega$
(proof)

lemma *ω_minus_numeral[simp]*: $\omega - \text{numeral } n = \omega$
(proof)

lemma *hmset_of_enat_minus_enat[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } (m - \text{enat } n) = \text{hmset_of_enat } m - \text{of_nat } n$
(proof)

lemma *of_nat_lt_hmset_of_enat_iff*: $\text{of_nat } m < \text{hmset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow \text{enat } m < n$
(proof)

lemma *of_nat_le_hmset_of_enat_iff*: $of_nat\ m \leq hmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow enat\ m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity*: $hmset_of_enat\ x < \omega \longleftrightarrow x \neq \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *minus_diff_sym_hmset*: $m - (m - n) = n - (n - m)$ **for** $m\ n :: hmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diff_plus_sym_hmset*: $(c - b) + b = (b - c) + c$ **for** $b\ c :: hmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_diff_plus_sym_hmset*: $a * (c - b) + a * b = a * (b - c) + a * c$ **for** $a\ b\ c :: hmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_of_nat_minus_left*:
 $(of_nat\ m - of_nat\ n) * l = of_nat\ m * l - of_nat\ n * l$ **for** $l :: hmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_of_nat_minus_right*:
 $l * (of_nat\ m - of_nat\ n) = l * of_nat\ m - l * of_nat\ n$ **for** $l :: hmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lt_omega_imp_times_minus_left*: $m < \omega \implies n < \omega \implies (m - n) * l = m * l - n * l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lt_omega_imp_times_minus_right*: $m < \omega \implies n < \omega \implies l * (m - n) = l * m - l * n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_pair_decompose*:
 $\exists k\ n1\ n2. m1 = k + n1 \wedge m2 = k + n2 \wedge (head_omega\ n1 \neq head_omega\ n2 \vee n1 = 0 \wedge n2 = 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_pair_decompose_less*:
assumes $m1_lt_m2$: $m1 < m2$
shows $\exists k\ n1\ n2. m1 = k + n1 \wedge m2 = k + n2 \wedge head_omega\ n1 < head_omega\ n2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_pair_decompose_less_eq*:
assumes $m1 \leq m2$
shows $\exists k\ n1\ n2. m1 = k + n1 \wedge m2 = k + n2 \wedge (head_omega\ n1 < head_omega\ n2 \vee n1 = 0 \wedge n2 = 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mono_cross_mult_less_hmset*:
fixes $Aa\ A\ Ba\ B :: hmultiset$
assumes A_lt : $A < Aa$ **and** B_lt : $B < Ba$
shows $A * Ba + B * Aa < A * B + Aa * Ba$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *triple_cross_mult_hmset*:
 $An * (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp - (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp))$
 $+ (Cn * (An * Bp + Bn * Ap - (An * Bn + Ap * Bp)))$
 $+ (Ap * (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp - (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp)))$
 $+ Cp * (An * Bn + Ap * Bp - (An * Bp + Bn * Ap)) =$
 $An * (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp - (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp))$
 $+ (Cn * (An * Bn + Ap * Bp - (An * Bp + Bn * Ap)))$
 $+ (Ap * (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp - (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp)))$
 $+ Cp * (An * Bp + Bn * Ap - (An * Bn + Ap * Bp))$
for $Ap\ An\ Bp\ Bn\ Cp\ Cn\ Dp\ Dn :: hmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.7 Conversions to Natural Numbers

definition *offset_hmset* :: $hmultiset \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$offset_hmset\ M = count\ (hmsetmset\ M)\ 0$

lemma $offset_hmset_of_nat[simp]$: $offset_hmset\ (of_nat\ n) = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $offset_hmset_numeral[simp]$: $offset_hmset\ (numeral\ n) = numeral\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $sum_coefs :: hmset ⇒ nat$ **where**
 $sum_coefs\ M = size\ (hmsetmset\ M)$

lemma $sum_coefs_distrib_plus[simp]$: $sum_coefs\ (M + N) = sum_coefs\ M + sum_coefs\ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $sum_coefs_gt_0$: $sum_coefs\ M > 0 \longleftrightarrow M > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.8 An Example

The following proof is based on an informal proof by Uwe Waldmann, inspired by a similar argument by Michel Ludwig.

lemma $ludwig_waldmann_less$:
fixes $\alpha1\ \alpha2\ \beta1\ \beta2\ \gamma\ \delta :: hmset$
assumes
 $\alpha\beta2\gamma_lt_alpha\beta1\gamma$: $\alpha2 + \beta2 * \gamma < \alpha1 + \beta1 * \gamma$ **and**
 $\beta2_le_beta1$: $\beta2 \leq \beta1$ **and**
 γ_lt_delta : $\gamma < \delta$
shows $\alpha2 + \beta2 * \delta < \alpha1 + \beta1 * \delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

8 Signed Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form

theory $Signed_Syntactic_Ordinal$
imports $Signed_Hereditary_Multiset\ Syntactic_Ordinal$
begin

8.1 Natural (Hessenberg) Product

instantiation $zhmultiset :: comm_ring_1$
begin

abbreviation $\omega_z_exp :: hmset ⇒ zhmultiset\ (\omega_z\ \frown)$ **where**
 $\omega_z\ \frown \equiv \lambda m. ZHMSet\ \{\#m\}_z$

lift-definition $one_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset$ **is** $\{\#0\}_z$ ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation $\omega_z :: zhmultiset$ **where**
 $\omega_z \equiv \omega_z\ \frown 1$

lemma $\omega_z_as_omega$: $\omega_z = zhmsset_of\ \omega$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition $times_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset ⇒ zhmultiset ⇒ zhmultiset$ **is**
 $\lambda M\ N.$
 $zhmsset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_pos\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_pos\ N)))$
 $- zhmsset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_pos\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_neg\ N)))$
 $+ zhmsset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_neg\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_neg\ N)))$
 $- zhmsset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_neg\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_pos\ N)))$ ⟨proof⟩

lemmas $zhmssetmset_times = times_zhmultiset.rep_eq$

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *zhmset_of_1*: *zhmset_of 1 = 1*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_times*: *zhmset_of (A * B) = zhmset_of A * zhmset_of B*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_prod_list*:

zhmset_of (prod_list Ms) = prod_list (map zhmset_of Ms)

<proof>

8.2 Embedding of Natural Numbers

lemma *of_nat_zhmset*: *of_nat n = zhmset_of (of_nat n)*

<proof>

lemma *of_nat_inject_zhmset[simp]*: *(of_nat m :: zhmultiset) = of_nat n \longleftrightarrow m = n*

<proof>

lemma *plus_of_nat_plus_of_nat_zhmset*:

k + of_nat m + of_nat n = k + of_nat (m + n) for k :: zhmultiset

<proof>

lemma *plus_of_nat_minus_of_nat_zhmset*:

fixes *k :: zhmultiset*

assumes *n \leq m*

shows *k + of_nat m - of_nat n = k + of_nat (m - n)*

<proof>

lemma *of_nat_lt_omega_z[simp]*: *of_nat n < ω_z*

<proof>

lemma *of_nat_ne_omega_z[simp]*: *of_nat n \neq ω_z*

<proof>

8.3 Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers

primrec *zhmset_of_enat* :: *enat \Rightarrow zhmultiset* **where**

zhmset_of_enat (enat n) = of_nat n

| *zhmset_of_enat ∞ = ω_z*

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_0[simp]*: *zhmset_of_enat 0 = 0*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_1[simp]*: *zhmset_of_enat 1 = 1*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_of_nat[simp]*: *zhmset_of_enat (of_nat n) = of_nat n*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_numeral[simp]*: *zhmset_of_enat (numeral n) = numeral n*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_le_omega_z[simp]*: *zhmset_of_enat n \leq ω_z*

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_eq_omega_z_iff[simp]*: *zhmset_of_enat n = ω_z \longleftrightarrow n = ∞*

<proof>

8.4 Inequalities and Some (Dis)equalities

instance *zhmultiset* :: *zero_less_one*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *linordered_idom*
begin

definition *sgn_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* ⇒ *zhmultiset* **where**
sgn_zhmultiset *M* = (if *M* = 0 then 0 else if *M* > 0 then 1 else -1)

definition *abs_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* ⇒ *zhmultiset* **where**
abs_zhmultiset *M* = (if *M* < 0 then - *M* else *M*)

lemma *gt_0_times_gt_0_imp*:
fixes *a b* :: *zhmultiset*
assumes *a_gt0*: *a* > 0 **and** *b_gt0*: *b* > 0
shows *a * b* > 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *le_zhmsset_of_pos*: *M* ≤ *zhmsset_of* (*hmsset_pos* *M*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *minus_zhmsset_of_pos_le*: - *zhmsset_of* (*hmsset_neg* *M*) ≤ *M*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zhmsset_of_nonneg[simp]*: *zhmsset_of* *M* ≥ 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
fixes *n* :: *zhmultiset*
assumes *0* ≤ *m*
shows
le_add1_hmsset: *n* ≤ *n* + *m* **and**
le_add2_hmsset: *n* ≤ *m* + *n*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *less_iff_add1_le_zhmsset*: *m* < *n* ↔ *m* + 1 ≤ *n* **for** *m n* :: *zhmultiset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *gt_0_lt_mult_gt_1_zhmsset*:
fixes *m n* :: *zhmultiset*
assumes *m* > 0 **and** *n* > 1
shows *m* < *m * n*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero_less_iff_1_le_zhmsset*: 0 < *n* ↔ 1 ≤ *n* **for** *n* :: *zhmultiset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *less_add_1_iff_le_hmsset*: *m* < *n* + 1 ↔ *m* ≤ *n* **for** *m n* :: *zhmultiset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset*:
fixes *x y z* :: *zhmultiset*
assumes *x*: 0 ≤ *x* **and** *y*: 0 < *y* **and** *z*: *x* ≤ *z*
shows *x* ≤ *y * z*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_semiring*

$\langle proof \rangle$
instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_semiring_1_strict*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
instance *hmultiset* :: *bounded_lattice_bot*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
instance *hmultiset* :: *zero_less_one*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_nonzero_semiring*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
instance *hmultiset* :: *semiring_no_zero_divisors*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *zero_lt_omega_z[simp]*: $0 < \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *one_lt_omega_z[simp]*: $1 < \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *numeral_lt_omega_z[simp]*: *numeral* $n < \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *one_le_omega_z[simp]*: $1 \leq \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *of_nat_le_omega_z[simp]*: *of_nat* $n \leq \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *numeral_le_omega_z[simp]*: *numeral* $n \leq \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *not_omega_z_lt_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z < 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *not_omega_z_lt_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z < \text{of_nat } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *not_omega_z_lt_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z < \text{numeral } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *not_omega_z_le_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *not_omega_z_le_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z \leq \text{of_nat } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *not_omega_z_le_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z \leq \text{numeral } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *zero_ne_omega_z[simp]*: $0 \neq \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *one_ne_omega_z[simp]*: $1 \neq \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *numeral_ne_omega_z[simp]*: *numeral* $n \neq \omega_z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma

$\omega_z_ne_0[simp]: \omega_z \neq 0$ **and**
 $\omega_z_ne_1[simp]: \omega_z \neq 1$ **and**
 $\omega_z_ne_of_nat[simp]: \omega_z \neq of_nat\ m$ **and**
 $\omega_z_ne_numeral[simp]: \omega_z \neq numeral\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma

$zhmset_of_enat_inject[simp]: zhmset_of_enat\ m = zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow m = n$ **and**
 $zhmset_of_enat_lt_iff_lt[simp]: zhmset_of_enat\ m < zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow m < n$ **and**
 $zhmset_of_enat_le_iff_le[simp]: zhmset_of_enat\ m \leq zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $of_nat_lt_zhmset_of_enat_iff: of_nat\ m < zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow enat\ m < n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $of_nat_le_zhmset_of_enat_iff: of_nat\ m \leq zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow enat\ m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $zhmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity: zhmset_of_enat\ x < \omega_z \longleftrightarrow x \neq \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.5 An Example

A new proof of $[[? \alpha 2.0 + ? \beta 2.0 * ? \gamma < ? \alpha 1.0 + ? \beta 1.0 * ? \gamma; ? \beta 2.0 \leq ? \beta 1.0; ? \gamma < ? \delta]] \implies ? \alpha 2.0 + ? \beta 2.0 * ? \delta < ? \alpha 1.0 + ? \beta 1.0 * ? \delta$:

lemma

fixes $\alpha 1\ \alpha 2\ \beta 1\ \beta 2\ \gamma\ \delta :: hmultiset$
assumes
 $\alpha \beta 2 \gamma_lt_ \alpha \beta 1 \gamma: \alpha 2 + \beta 2 * \gamma < \alpha 1 + \beta 1 * \gamma$ **and**
 $\beta 2_le_ \beta 1: \beta 2 \leq \beta 1$ **and**
 $\gamma_lt_ \delta: \gamma < \delta$
shows $\alpha 2 + \beta 2 * \delta < \alpha 1 + \beta 1 * \delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Syntactic_Ordinal_Bridge*

imports *HOL-Library.Sublist Ordinal.OrdinalOmega Syntactic_Ordinal*

abbrevs

$!h = h$

begin

9 Bridge between Huffman's Ordinal Library and the Syntactic Ordinals

9.1 Missing Lemmas about Huffman's Ordinals

instantiation $ordinal :: order_bot$
begin

definition $bot_ordinal :: ordinal$ **where**
 $bot_ordinal = 0$

instance

⟨proof⟩

end

lemma $insort_bot[simp]: insort\ bot\ xs = bot \# xs$ **for** $xs :: 'a::\{order_bot,linorder\}$ *list*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *insort_0_ordinal*[simp] = *insort_bot*[of *xs* :: *ordinal list* for *xs*, *unfolded bot_ordinal_def*]

lemma *from_cnf_less_omega_exp*:
 assumes $\forall k \in \text{set } ks. k < l$
 shows *from_cnf* *ks* < $\omega ** l$
 <proof>

lemma *from_cnf_0_iff*[simp]: *from_cnf* *ks* = 0 \longleftrightarrow *ks* = []
 <proof>

lemma *from_cnf_append*[simp]: *from_cnf* (*ks* @ *ls*) = *from_cnf* *ks* + *from_cnf* *ls*
 <proof>

lemma *subseq_from_cnf_less_eq*: *Sublist.subseq* *ks* *ls* \implies *from_cnf* *ks* \leq *from_cnf* *ls*
 <proof>

9.2 Embedding of Syntactic Ordinals into Huffman's Ordinals

abbreviation ω_h :: *hmultiset* where
 $\omega_h \equiv \text{Syntactic_Ordinal}.\omega$

abbreviation ω_h^{\wedge} :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* (ω_h^{\wedge}) where
 $\omega_h^{\wedge} \equiv \text{Syntactic_Ordinal}.\omega_exp$

primrec *ordinal_of_hmset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *ordinal* where
 ordinal_of_hmset (*HMSet* *M*) =
 from_cnf (*rev* (*sorted_list_of_multiset* (*image_mset* *ordinal_of_hmset* *M*)))

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_0*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* 0 = 0
 <proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_suc*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* (*k* + 1) = *ordinal_of_hmset* *k* + 1
 <proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_1*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* 1 = 1
 <proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_omega*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* ω_h = ω
 <proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_singleton*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* ($\omega_h^{\wedge} k$) = $\omega ** \text{ordinal_of_hmset } k$
 <proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_iff*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* *k* = 0 \longleftrightarrow *k* = 0
 <proof>

lemma *less_imp_ordinal_of_hmset_less*: *k* < *l* \implies *ordinal_of_hmset* *k* < *ordinal_of_hmset* *l*
 <proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_less*[simp]: *ordinal_of_hmset* *k* < *ordinal_of_hmset* *l* \longleftrightarrow *k* < *l*
 <proof>

end

10 Termination of McCarthy's 91 Function

theory *McCarthy_91*
imports *HOL-Library.Multiset_Order*
begin

lemma *funpow_rec*: $f^{\wedge} n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } id \text{ else } f \circ f^{\wedge} (n - 1))$
 <proof>

The f function captures the semantics of McCarthy's 91 function. The g function is a tail-recursive implementation of the function, whose termination is established using the multiset order. The definitions follow Dershowitz and Manna.

```
definition  $f :: int \Rightarrow int$  where
   $f\ x = (if\ x > 100\ then\ x - 10\ else\ 91)$ 
```

```
definition  $\tau :: nat \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int\ multiset$  where
   $\tau\ n\ z = mset\ (map\ (\lambda i. (f\ \hat{\sim}\ nat\ i)\ z)\ [0..int\ n - 1])$ 
```

```
function  $g :: nat \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int$  where
   $g\ n\ z = (if\ n = 0\ then\ z\ else\ if\ z > 100\ then\ g\ (n - 1)\ (z - 10)\ else\ g\ (n + 1)\ (z + 11))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
declare  $g.simps$  [ $simp\ del$ ]
```

```
end
```

11 Termination of the Hydra Battle

```
theory  $Hydra\_Battle$ 
imports  $Syntactic\_Ordinal$ 
begin
```

```
hide-const (open)  $Nil\ Cons$ 
```

The h function and its auxiliaries f and d represent the hydra battle. The $encode$ function converts a hydra (represented as a Lisp-like tree) to a syntactic ordinal. The definitions follow Dershowitz and Moser.

```
datatype  $lisp =$ 
   $Nil$ 
|  $Cons\ (car: lisp)\ (cdr: lisp)$ 
where
   $car\ Nil = Nil$ 
|  $cdr\ Nil = Nil$ 
```

```
primrec  $encode :: lisp \Rightarrow hmultiset$  where
   $encode\ Nil = 0$ 
|  $encode\ (Cons\ l\ r) = \omega^{\sim}(encode\ l) + encode\ r$ 
```

```
primrec  $f :: nat \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp$  where
   $f\ 0\ y\ x = x$ 
|  $f\ (Suc\ m)\ y\ x = Cons\ y\ (f\ m\ y\ x)$ 
```

```
lemma  $encode\_f: encode\ (f\ n\ y\ x) = of\_nat\ n * \omega^{\sim}(encode\ y) + encode\ x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
function  $d :: nat \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp$  where
   $d\ n\ x =$ 
   $(if\ car\ x = Nil\ then\ cdr\ x$ 
   $else\ if\ car\ (car\ x) = Nil\ then\ f\ n\ (cdr\ (car\ x))\ (cdr\ x)$ 
   $else\ Cons\ (d\ n\ (car\ x))\ (cdr\ x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
declare  $d.simps$ [ $simp\ del$ ]
```

```
function  $h :: nat \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp$  where
   $h\ n\ x = (if\ x = Nil\ then\ Nil\ else\ h\ (n + 1)\ (d\ n\ x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
termination
```

<proof>

declare *h.simps[simp del]*

end

12 Termination of the Goodstein Sequence

theory *Goodstein_Sequence*

imports *Multiset_More Syntactic_Ordinal*

begin

The *goodstein* function returns the successive values of the Goodstein sequence. It is defined in terms of *encode* and *decode* functions, which convert between natural numbers and ordinals. The development culminates with a proof of Goodstein's theorem.

12.1 Lemmas about Division

lemma *div_mult_le*: $m \text{ div } n * n \leq m$ **for** $m \ n :: \text{nat}$

<proof>

lemma *power_div_same_base*:

$b \wedge y \neq 0 \implies x \geq y \implies b \wedge x \text{ div } b \wedge y = b \wedge (x - y)$ **for** $b :: 'a::\text{semidom_divide}$

<proof>

12.2 Hereditary and Nonhereditary Base- n Systems

context

fixes $\text{base} :: \text{nat}$

assumes base_ge_2 : $\text{base} \geq 2$

begin

inductive *well_base* :: $'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$(\forall n. \text{count } M \ n < \text{base}) \implies \text{well_base } M$

lemma *well_base_filter*: $\text{well_base } M \implies \text{well_base } \{\#m \in\# M. p \ m\# \}$

<proof>

lemma *well_base_image_inj*: $\text{well_base } M \implies \text{inj_on } f \ (\text{set_mset } M) \implies \text{well_base } (\text{image_mset } f \ M)$

<proof>

lemma *well_base_bound*:

assumes

$\text{well_base } M$ **and**

$\forall m \in\# M. m < n$

shows $(\sum m \in\# M. \text{base} \wedge m) < \text{base} \wedge n$

<proof>

inductive *well_base_h* :: $\text{hmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$(\forall N \in\# \text{hmsetmset } M. \text{well_base}_h \ N) \implies \text{well_base } (\text{hmsetmset } M) \implies \text{well_base}_h \ M$

lemma *well_base_h_mono_hmset*: $\text{well_base}_h \ M \implies \text{hmsetmset } N \subseteq\# \text{hmsetmset } M \implies \text{well_base}_h \ N$

<proof>

lemma *well_base_h_imp_well_base*: $\text{well_base}_h \ M \implies \text{well_base } (\text{hmsetmset } M)$

<proof>

12.3 Encoding of Natural Numbers into Ordinals

function *encode* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**

$\text{encode } e \ n =$

$(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{of_nat } (n \text{ mod } \text{base}) * \omega \wedge (\text{encode } 0 \ e) + \text{encode } (e + 1) \ (n \text{ div } \text{base}))$

<proof>

termination

<proof>

declare *encode.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *encode_0*[*simp*]: *encode e 0 = 0*

<proof>

lemma *encode_Suc*:

*encode e (Suc n) = of_nat (Suc n mod base) * ω^(encode 0 e) + encode (e + 1) (Suc n div base)*

<proof>

lemma *encode_0_iff*: *encode e n = 0 ↔ n = 0*

<proof>

lemma *encode_Suc_exp*: *encode (Suc e) n = encode e (base * n)*

<proof>

lemma *encode_exp_0*: *encode e n = encode 0 (base^e * n)*

<proof>

lemma *mem_hmsetmset_encodeD*: *M ∈# hmsetmset (encode e n) ⇒ ∃ e' ≥ e. M = encode 0 e'*

<proof>

lemma *less_imp_encode_less*: *n < p ⇒ encode e n < encode e p*

<proof>

inductive *aligned_e* :: *nat ⇒ hmultiset ⇒ bool* **where**

(∀ m ∈# hmsetmset M. m ≥ encode 0 e) ⇒ aligned_e e M

lemma *aligned_e_encode*: *aligned_e e (encode e M)*

<proof>

lemma *well_base_h_encode*: *well_base_h (encode e n)*

<proof>

12.4 Decoding of Natural Numbers from Ordinals

primrec *decode* :: *nat ⇒ hmultiset ⇒ nat* **where**

decode e (HMSet M) = (∑ m ∈# M. base^{decode 0 m}) div base^e

lemma *decode_unfold*: *decode e M = (∑ m ∈# hmsetmset M. base^{decode 0 m}) div base^e*

<proof>

lemma *decode_0*[*simp*]: *decode e 0 = 0*

<proof>

inductive *aligned_d* :: *nat ⇒ hmultiset ⇒ bool* **where**

(∀ m ∈# hmsetmset M. decode 0 m ≥ e) ⇒ aligned_d e M

lemma *aligned_d_0*[*simp*]: *aligned_d 0 M*

<proof>

lemma *aligned_d_mono_exp_Suc*: *aligned_d (Suc e) M ⇒ aligned_d e M*

<proof>

lemma *aligned_d_mono_hmset*:

assumes *aligned_d e M* **and** *hmsetmset M' ⊆# hmsetmset M*

shows *aligned_d e M'*

<proof>

lemma *decode_exp_shift_Suc*:

assumes *align_d: aligned_d (Suc e) M*

shows *decode e M = base * decode (Suc e) M*

<proof>

lemma *decode_exp_shift*:

assumes *aligned_d e M*

shows *decode 0 M = base ^ e * decode e M*

<proof>

lemma *decode_plus*:

assumes *align_d M: aligned_d e M*

shows *decode e (M + N) = decode e M + decode e N*

<proof>

lemma *less_imp_decode_less*:

assumes

well_base_h M and

aligned_d e M and

aligned_d e N and

M < N

shows *decode e M < decode e N*

<proof>

lemma *inj_decode*: *inj_on (decode e) {M. well_base_h M ∧ aligned_d e M}*

<proof>

lemma *decode_0_iff*: *well_base_h M ⇒ aligned_d e M ⇒ decode e M = 0 ↔ M = 0*

<proof>

lemma *decode_encode*: *decode e (encode e n) = n*

<proof>

lemma *encode_decode_exp_0*: *well_base_h M ⇒ encode 0 (decode 0 M) = M*

<proof>

end

lemma *well_base_h mono_base*:

assumes

well_h: well_base_h base M and

two: 2 ≤ base and

bases: base ≤ base'

shows *well_base_h base' M*

<proof>

12.5 The Goodstein Sequence and Goodstein's Theorem

context

fixes *start :: nat*

begin

primrec *goodstein :: nat ⇒ nat where*

goodstein 0 = start

| *goodstein (Suc i) = decode (i + 3) 0 (encode (i + 2) 0 (goodstein i)) - 1*

lemma *goodstein_step*:

assumes *gi_gt_0: goodstein i > 0*

shows *encode (i + 2) 0 (goodstein i) > encode (i + 3) 0 (goodstein (i + 1))*

<proof>

theorem *goodsteins_theorem*: $\exists i. \text{goodstein } i = 0$

<proof>

end

end

13 Towards Decidability of Behavioral Equivalence for Unary PCF

theory *Unary_PCF*

imports

HOL-Library.FSet
HOL-Library.Countable_Set_Type
HOL-Library.Nat_Bijection
Hereditary_Multiset
List-Index.List_Index

begin

13.1 Preliminaries

lemma *prod_UNIV*: $UNIV = UNIV \times UNIV$

<proof>

lemma *infinite_cartesian_productI1*: $infinite\ A \implies B \neq \{\} \implies infinite\ (A \times B)$

<proof>

13.2 Types

datatype *type* = $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}) \mid Fun\ type\ type$ (**infixr** \rightarrow 65)

definition *mk_fun* (**infixr** $\rightarrow\rightarrow$ 65) **where**

$Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow T = fold\ (\rightarrow)\ (rev\ Ts)\ T$

primrec *dest_fun* **where**

$dest_fun\ \mathcal{B} = []$

$| dest_fun\ (T \rightarrow U) = T \# dest_fun\ U$

definition *arity* **where**

$arity\ T = length\ (dest_fun\ T)$

lemma *mk_fun_dest_fun[simp]*: $dest_fun\ T \rightarrow\rightarrow \mathcal{B} = T$

<proof>

lemma *dest_fun_mk_fun[simp]*: $dest_fun\ (Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow T) = Ts @ dest_fun\ T$

<proof>

primrec δ **where**

$\delta\ \mathcal{B} = HMSet\ \{\#\}$

$| \delta\ (T \rightarrow U) = HMSet\ (add_mset\ (\delta\ T)\ (hmsetmset\ (\delta\ U)))$

lemma δ_mk_fun : $\delta\ (Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow T) = HMSet\ (hmsetmset\ (\delta\ T) + mset\ (map\ \delta\ Ts))$

<proof>

lemma *type_induct* [*case_names Fun*]:

assumes

$(\bigwedge T. (\bigwedge T1\ T2. T = T1 \rightarrow T2 \implies P\ T1) \implies$

$(\bigwedge T1\ T2. T = T1 \rightarrow T2 \implies P\ T2) \implies P\ T)$

shows $P\ T$

<proof>

13.3 Terms

type-synonym *name* = *string*

type-synonym *idx* = *nat*

datatype *expr* =

$Var\ name * type\ (\langle_ \rangle) \mid Bound\ idx \mid B\ bool$

$| Seq\ expr\ expr\ (\mathbf{infixr}\ ?\ 75) \mid App\ expr\ expr\ (\mathbf{infixl}\ \cdot\ 75)$

$| Abs\ type\ expr\ (\bigwedge\langle_ \rangle\ _ [100, 100]\ 800)$

declare $[[coercion_enabled]]$

declare $[[coercion\ B]]$

declare $[[\text{coercion Bound}]]$

notation (output) $B \ (_)$

notation (output) $\text{Bound} \ (_)$

primrec $\text{open} :: \text{idx} \Rightarrow \text{expr} \Rightarrow \text{expr} \Rightarrow \text{expr} \text{ where}$

$\text{open } i \ t \ (j :: \text{idx}) = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } t \text{ else } j)$
| $\text{open } i \ t \ \langle yU \rangle = \langle yU \rangle$
| $\text{open } i \ t \ (b :: \text{bool}) = b$
| $\text{open } i \ t \ (e1 \ ? \ e2) = \text{open } i \ t \ e1 \ ? \ \text{open } i \ t \ e2$
| $\text{open } i \ t \ (e1 \cdot e2) = \text{open } i \ t \ e1 \cdot \text{open } i \ t \ e2$
| $\text{open } i \ t \ (\Lambda \langle U \rangle \ e) = \Lambda \langle U \rangle \ (\text{open } (i + 1) \ t \ e)$

abbreviation $\text{open0} \equiv \text{open } 0$

abbreviation $\text{open_Var } i \ xT \equiv \text{open } i \ \langle xT \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{open0_Var } xT \equiv \text{open } 0 \ \langle xT \rangle$

primrec $\text{close_Var} :: \text{idx} \Rightarrow \text{name} \times \text{type} \Rightarrow \text{expr} \Rightarrow \text{expr} \text{ where}$

$\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ (j :: \text{idx}) = j$
| $\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ \langle yU \rangle = (\text{if } xT = yU \text{ then } i \text{ else } \langle yU \rangle)$
| $\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ (b :: \text{bool}) = b$
| $\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ (e1 \ ? \ e2) = \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ e1 \ ? \ \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ e2$
| $\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ (e1 \cdot e2) = \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ e1 \cdot \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ e2$
| $\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ (\Lambda \langle U \rangle \ e) = \Lambda \langle U \rangle \ (\text{close_Var } (i + 1) \ xT \ e)$

abbreviation $\text{close0_Var} \equiv \text{close_Var } 0$

primrec $\text{fv} :: \text{expr} \Rightarrow (\text{name} \times \text{type}) \text{ fset} \text{ where}$

$\text{fv } (j :: \text{idx}) = \{\}\}$
| $\text{fv } \langle yU \rangle = \{\langle yU \rangle\}$
| $\text{fv } (b :: \text{bool}) = \{\}\}$
| $\text{fv } (e1 \ ? \ e2) = \text{fv } e1 \ \cup \ \text{fv } e2$
| $\text{fv } (e1 \cdot e2) = \text{fv } e1 \ \cup \ \text{fv } e2$
| $\text{fv } (\Lambda \langle U \rangle \ e) = \text{fv } e$

abbreviation $\text{fresh } x \ e \equiv x \notin \text{fv } e$

lemma $\text{ex_fresh}: \exists x. (x :: \text{char list}, T) \notin A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $\text{lc} \text{ where}$

$\text{lc_Var}[\text{simp}]: \text{lc } \langle xT \rangle$
| $\text{lc_B}[\text{simp}]: \text{lc } (b :: \text{bool})$
| $\text{lc_Seq}: \text{lc } e1 \Longrightarrow \text{lc } e2 \Longrightarrow \text{lc } (e1 \ ? \ e2)$
| $\text{lc_App}: \text{lc } e1 \Longrightarrow \text{lc } e2 \Longrightarrow \text{lc } (e1 \cdot e2)$
| $\text{lc_Abs}: (\forall x. (x, T) \notin X \longrightarrow \text{lc } (\text{open0_Var } (x, T) \ e)) \Longrightarrow \text{lc } (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ e)$

declare $\text{lc.intros}[\text{intro}]$

definition $\text{body } T \ t \equiv (\exists X. \forall x. (x, T) \notin X \longrightarrow \text{lc } (\text{open0_Var } (x, T) \ t))$

lemma $\text{lc_Abs_iff_body}: \text{lc } (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t) \longleftrightarrow \text{body } T \ t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fv_open_Var}: \text{fresh } xT \ t \Longrightarrow \text{fv } (\text{open_Var } i \ xT \ t) \subseteq \text{finsert } xT \ (\text{fv } t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fv_close_Var}[\text{simp}]: \text{fv } (\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ t) = \text{fv } t \ - \ \{xT\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{close_Var_open_Var}[\text{simp}]: \text{fresh } xT \ t \Longrightarrow \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ (\text{open_Var } i \ xT \ t) = t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *open_Var_inj*: $\text{fresh } xT \ t \implies \text{fresh } xT \ u \implies \text{open_Var } i \ xT \ t = \text{open_Var } i \ xT \ u \implies t = u$
 ⟨proof⟩

context begin

private lemma *open_Var_open_Var_close_Var*: $i \neq j \implies xT \neq yU \implies \text{fresh } yU \ t \implies$
 $\text{open_Var } i \ yU \ (\text{open_Var } j \ zV \ (\text{close_Var } j \ xT \ t)) = \text{open_Var } j \ zV \ (\text{close_Var } j \ xT \ (\text{open_Var } i \ yU \ t))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *open_Var_close_Var[simp]*: $lc \ t \implies \text{open_Var } i \ xT \ (\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ t) = t$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *close_Var_inj*: $lc \ t \implies lc \ u \implies \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ t = \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ u \implies t = u$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *Apps* (**infixl** · 75) **where**

$f \cdot [] = f$
 $| f \cdot (x \# xs) = f \cdot x \cdot xs$

lemma *Apps_snoc*: $f \cdot (xs \ @ \ [x]) = f \cdot xs \cdot x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_append*: $f \cdot (xs \ @ \ ys) = f \cdot xs \cdot ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_inj[simp]*: $f \cdot ts = g \cdot ts \iff f = g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq_Apps_conv[simp]*:
fixes $i :: \text{idx}$ **and** $b :: \text{bool}$ **and** $f :: \text{expr}$ **and** $ts :: \text{expr list}$
shows

$\langle m \rangle = f \cdot ts = (\langle m \rangle = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = \langle m \rangle) = (\langle m \rangle = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(i = f \cdot ts) = (i = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = i) = (i = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(b = f \cdot ts) = (b = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = b) = (b = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(e1 \ ? \ e2 = f \cdot ts) = (e1 \ ? \ e2 = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = e1 \ ? \ e2) = (e1 \ ? \ e2 = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t = f \cdot ts) = (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t) = (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t = f \wedge ts = [])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Var_eq[simp]*: $\langle xT \rangle \cdot ss = \langle yU \rangle \cdot ts \iff xT = yU \wedge ss = ts$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Abs_neq_Apps[simp, symmetric, simp]*:
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq \langle xT \rangle \cdot ss$
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq (i :: \text{idx}) \cdot ss$
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq (b :: \text{bool}) \cdot ss$
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq (e1 \ ? \ e2) \cdot ss$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *App_Abs_eq_Apps_Abs[simp]*: $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t = \Lambda \langle T' \rangle \ r' \cdot ss \iff T = T' \wedge r = r' \wedge ss = [t]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Var_neq_Apps_Abs[simp, symmetric, simp]*: $\langle xT \rangle \cdot ss \neq \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot ts$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Var_neq_Apps_beta[simp, THEN not_sym, simp]*:
 $\langle xT \rangle \cdot ss \neq \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot s \cdot ts$

<proof>

lemma *[simp]*:

$$\langle \Lambda \langle T \rangle r \cdot ts = \Lambda \langle T' \rangle r' \cdot s' \cdot ts' \rangle = (T = T' \wedge r = r' \wedge ts = s' \# ts')$$

<proof>

lemma *fold_eq_Bool_iff[simp]*:

$$\text{fold } (\rightarrow) \text{ (rev Ts) } T = \mathcal{B} \longleftrightarrow Ts = [] \wedge T = \mathcal{B}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \text{fold } (\rightarrow) \text{ (rev Ts) } T \longleftrightarrow Ts = [] \wedge T = \mathcal{B}$$

<proof>

lemma *fold_eq_Fun_iff[simp]*:

$$\text{fold } (\rightarrow) \text{ (rev Ts) } T = U \rightarrow V \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(Ts = [] \wedge T = U \rightarrow V \vee (\exists Us. Ts = U \# Us \wedge \text{fold } (\rightarrow) \text{ (rev Us) } T = V))$$

<proof>

13.4 Substitution

primrec *subst where*

$$\text{subst } xT \ t \ \langle yU \rangle = (\text{if } xT = yU \text{ then } t \text{ else } \langle yU \rangle)$$

$$| \text{subst } xT \ t \ (i :: idx) = i$$

$$| \text{subst } xT \ t \ (b :: bool) = b$$

$$| \text{subst } xT \ t \ (e1 \ ? \ e2) = \text{subst } xT \ t \ e1 \ ? \ \text{subst } xT \ t \ e2$$

$$| \text{subst } xT \ t \ (e1 \cdot e2) = \text{subst } xT \ t \ e1 \cdot \text{subst } xT \ t \ e2$$

$$| \text{subst } xT \ t \ (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ e) = \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ (\text{subst } xT \ t \ e)$$

lemma *fv_subst*:

$$\text{fv } (\text{subst } xT \ t \ u) = \text{fv } u \ -| \ \{|xT|\} \ \cup | \ (\text{if } xT \ |\in| \ \text{fv } u \ \text{then } \text{fv } t \ \text{else } \{||\})$$

<proof>

lemma *subst_fresh*: $\text{fresh } xT \ u \implies \text{subst } xT \ t \ u = u$

<proof>

context begin

private lemma *open_open_id*: $i \neq j \implies \text{open } i \ t \ (\text{open } j \ t' \ u) = \text{open } j \ t' \ u \implies \text{open } i \ t \ u = u$

<proof>

lemma *lc_open_id*: $\text{lc } u \implies \text{open } k \ t \ u = u$

<proof>

lemma *subst_open*: $\text{lc } u \implies \text{subst } xT \ u \ (\text{open } i \ t \ v) = \text{open } i \ (\text{subst } xT \ u \ t) \ (\text{subst } xT \ u \ v)$

<proof>

lemma *subst_open_Var*:

$$xT \neq yU \implies \text{lc } u \implies \text{subst } xT \ u \ (\text{open_Var } i \ yU \ v) = \text{open_Var } i \ yU \ (\text{subst } xT \ u \ v)$$

<proof>

lemma *subst_Apps[simp]*:

$$\text{subst } xT \ u \ (f \cdot xs) = \text{subst } xT \ u \ f \cdot \text{map } (\text{subst } xT \ u) \ xs$$

<proof>

end

context begin

private lemma *fresh_close_Var_id*: $\text{fresh } xT \ t \implies \text{close_Var } k \ xT \ t = t$

<proof>

lemma *subst_close_Var*:

$$xT \neq yU \implies \text{fresh } yU \ u \implies \text{subst } xT \ u \ (\text{close_Var } i \ yU \ t) = \text{close_Var } i \ yU \ (\text{subst } xT \ u \ t)$$

<proof>

end

lemma *subst_intro*: $\text{fresh } xT \ t \implies \text{lc } u \implies \text{open0 } u \ t = \text{subst } xT \ u \ (\text{open0_Var } xT \ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lc_subst[simp]*: $\text{lc } u \implies \text{lc } t \implies \text{lc } (\text{subst } xT \ t \ u)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *body_subst[simp]*: $\text{body } U \ u \implies \text{lc } t \implies \text{body } U \ (\text{subst } xT \ t \ u)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lc_open_Var*: $\text{lc } u \implies \text{lc } (\text{open_Var } i \ xT \ u)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lc_open[simp]*: $\text{body } U \ u \implies \text{lc } t \implies \text{lc } (\text{open0 } t \ u)$
 ⟨proof⟩

13.5 Typing

inductive *welltyped* :: $\text{expr} \Rightarrow \text{type} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** :: 60) **where**
 | *welltyped_Var[intro!]*: $\langle(x, T)\rangle \text{ :: } T$
 | *welltyped_B[intro!]*: $(b \text{ :: } \text{bool}) \text{ :: } \mathcal{B}$
 | *welltyped_Seq[intro!]*: $e1 \text{ :: } \mathcal{B} \implies e2 \text{ :: } \mathcal{B} \implies e1 \ ? \ e2 \text{ :: } \mathcal{B}$
 | *welltyped_App[intro!]*: $e1 \text{ :: } T \rightarrow U \implies e2 \text{ :: } T \implies e1 \cdot e2 \text{ :: } U$
 | *welltyped_Abs[intro!]*: $(\forall x. (x, T) \notin X \longrightarrow \text{open0_Var } (x, T) \ e \text{ :: } U) \implies \Lambda\langle T \rangle \ e \text{ :: } T \rightarrow U$

inductive-cases *welltypedE[elim!]*:

$\langle x \rangle \text{ :: } T$
 $(i \text{ :: } \text{idx}) \text{ :: } T$
 $(b \text{ :: } \text{bool}) \text{ :: } T$
 $e1 \ ? \ e2 \text{ :: } T$
 $e1 \cdot e2 \text{ :: } T$
 $\Lambda\langle T \rangle \ e \text{ :: } U$

lemma *welltyped_unique*: $t \text{ :: } T \implies t \text{ :: } U \implies T = U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_lc[simp]*: $t \text{ :: } T \implies \text{lc } t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_subst[intro]*:
 $u \text{ :: } U \implies t \text{ :: } \text{snd } xT \implies \text{subst } xT \ t \ u \text{ :: } U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rename_welltyped*: $u \text{ :: } U \implies \text{subst } (x, T) \ \langle(y, T)\rangle \ u \text{ :: } U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Abs_fresh*:
assumes *fresh* $(x, T) \ u \ \text{open0_Var } (x, T) \ u \text{ :: } U$
shows $\Lambda\langle T \rangle \ u \text{ :: } T \rightarrow U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_alt*: $f \cdot ts \text{ :: } T \iff (\exists Ts. f \text{ :: } \text{fold } (\rightarrow) \ (\text{rev } Ts) \ T \wedge \text{list_all2 } (\text{::}) \ ts \ Ts)$
 ⟨proof⟩

13.6 Definition 10 and Lemma 11 from Schmidt-Schauß's paper

abbreviation *closed* $t \equiv \text{fv } t = \{\}\}$

primrec *constant0* **where**
 $\text{constant0 } \mathcal{B} = \text{Var } (\text{"bool"}, \mathcal{B})$
 $\text{constant0 } (T \rightarrow U) = \Lambda\langle T \rangle \ (\text{constant0 } U)$

definition *constant* $T = \Lambda\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \ (\text{close0_Var } (\text{"bool"}, \mathcal{B}) \ (\text{constant0 } T))$

lemma *fv_constant0*[simp]: $fv (constant0 T) = \{(''bool'', \mathcal{B})\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *closed_constant*[simp]: $closed (constant T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_constant0*[simp]: $constant0 T \vdash T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lc_constant0*[simp]: $lc (constant0 T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_constant*[simp]: $constant T \vdash \mathcal{B} \rightarrow T$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *nth_drop where*
 $nth_drop\ i\ xs \equiv take\ i\ xs\ @\ drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs$

definition *nth_arg (infixl !- 100) where*
 $nth_arg\ T\ i \equiv nth\ (dest_fun\ T)\ i$

abbreviation *ar where*
 $ar\ T \equiv length\ (dest_fun\ T)$

lemma *size_nth_arg*[simp]: $i < ar\ T \implies size\ (T\ !-\ i) < size\ T$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun $\pi :: type \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow type$ **where**
 $\pi\ T\ i\ 0 = (if\ i < ar\ T\ then\ nth_drop\ i\ (dest_fun\ T)\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ \mathcal{B}\ else\ \mathcal{B})$
 $|\ \pi\ T\ i\ (Suc\ j) = (if\ i < ar\ T\ \wedge\ j < ar\ (T\ !-\ i)$
 $\ then\ \pi\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ 0\ \rightarrow$
 $\ map\ (\pi\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ o\ Suc)\ [0\ ..<\ ar\ (T\ !-\ i)\ -\ j])\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ \pi\ T\ i\ 0\ else\ \mathcal{B})$

theorem π_induct [rotated -2, consumes 2, case_names 0 Suc]:
assumes $\bigwedge T\ i.\ i < ar\ T \implies P\ T\ i\ 0$
and $\bigwedge T\ i\ j.\ i < ar\ T \implies j < ar\ (T\ !-\ i) \implies P\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ 0 \implies$
 $(\forall x < ar\ (T\ !-\ i)\ !-\ j.\ P\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ (x + 1)) \implies P\ T\ i\ (j + 1)$
shows $i < ar\ T \implies j \leq ar\ (T\ !-\ i) \implies P\ T\ i\ j$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $\varepsilon :: type \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow type$ **where**
 $\varepsilon\ T\ i = \pi\ T\ i\ 0\ \rightarrow\ map\ (\pi\ T\ i\ o\ Suc)\ [0\ ..<\ ar\ (T\ !-\ i)]\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ T$

definition *Abss* ($\Lambda[_]_ [100, 100] 800$) **where**
 $\Lambda[xTs]\ b = fold\ (\lambda xT\ t.\ \Lambda\langle snd\ xT\rangle\ close0_Var\ xT\ t)\ (rev\ xTs)\ b$

definition *Seqs* (infixr ?? 75) **where**
 $ts\ ??\ t = fold\ (\lambda u\ t.\ u\ ?\ t)\ (rev\ ts)\ t$

definition *variant k base = base @ replicate k CHR ''*''*

lemma *variant_inj*: $variant\ i\ base = variant\ j\ base \implies i = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *variant_inj2*:
 $CHR\ ''*'' \notin set\ b1 \implies CHR\ ''*'' \notin set\ b2 \implies variant\ i\ b1 = variant\ j\ b2 \implies b1 = b2$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun $E :: type \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow expr$ **and** $P :: type \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow expr$ **where**
 $E\ T\ i = (if\ i < ar\ T\ then\ (let$
 $\ Ti = T\ !-\ i;$
 $\ x = \lambda k.\ (variant\ k\ ''x'',\ Ti\ -\ k);$

```

xs = map x [0 ..< ar T];
xx_var = ⟨nth xs i⟩;
x_vars = map (λx. ⟨x⟩) (nth_drop i xs);
yy = ("z", π T i 0);
yy_var = ⟨yy⟩;
y = λj. (variant j "y", π T i (j + 1));
ys = map y [0 ..< ar Ti];
e = λj. ⟨y j⟩ · (P Ti j 0 · xx_var # map (λk. P Ti j (k + 1) · xx_var) [0 ..< ar (Ti!-j)]);
guards = map (λi. xx_var ·
  map (λj. constant (Ti!-j) · (if i = j then e i · x_vars else True)) [0 ..< ar Ti])
  [0 ..< ar Ti]
in Λ[(yy # ys @ xs)] (guards ?? (yy_var · x_vars)) else constant (ε T i) · False
| P T i 0 =
  (if i < ar T then (let
    f = ("f", T);
    f_var = ⟨f⟩;
    x = λk. (variant k "x", T!-k);
    xs = nth_drop i (map x [0 ..< ar T]);
    x_vars = insert_nth i (constant (T!-i) · True) (map (λx. ⟨x⟩) xs)
  in Λ[(f # xs)] (f_var · x_vars)) else constant (T → π T i 0) · False
| P T i (Suc j) = (if i < ar T ∧ j < ar (T!-i) then (let
  Ti = T!-i;
  Tij = Ti!-j;
  f = ("f", T);
  f_var = ⟨f⟩;
  x = λk. (variant k "x", T!-k);
  xs = nth_drop i (map x [0 ..< ar T]);
  yy = ("z", π Ti j 0);
  yy_var = ⟨yy⟩;
  y = λk. (variant k "y", π Ti j (k + 1));
  ys = map y [0 ..< ar Tij];
  y_vars = yy_var # map (λx. ⟨x⟩) ys;
  x_vars = insert_nth i (E Ti j · y_vars) (map (λx. ⟨x⟩) xs)
in Λ[(f # yy # ys @ xs)] (f_var · x_vars)) else constant (T → π T i (j + 1)) · False

```

lemma *Abss_Nil[simp]*: $\Lambda[\square] b = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Abss_Cons[simp]*: $\Lambda[(x\#xs)] b = \Lambda\langle\text{snd } x\rangle (\text{close0_Var } x (\Lambda[xs] b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Abss*: $b :: U \implies T = \text{map snd } xTs \rightarrow\rightarrow U \implies \Lambda[xTs] b :: T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Apps*: $\text{list_all2 } (:::) ts Ts \implies f :: Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow U \implies f \cdot ts :: U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_open_Var_close_Var[intro!]*:
 $t :: T \implies \text{open0_Var } xT (\text{close0_Var } xT t) :: T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Var_iff[simp]*:
 $\langle(x, T)\rangle :: U \longleftrightarrow T = U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_bool_iff[simp]*: $(b :: \text{bool}) :: T \longleftrightarrow T = \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_constant0_iff[simp]*: $\text{constant0 } T :: U \longleftrightarrow (U = T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_constant_iff[simp]*: $\text{constant } T :: U \longleftrightarrow (U = \mathcal{B} \rightarrow T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Seq_iff[simp]*: $e1 \text{ ? } e2 \text{ ::: } T \longleftrightarrow (T = \mathcal{B} \wedge e1 \text{ ::: } \mathcal{B} \wedge e2 \text{ ::: } \mathcal{B})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Seqs_iff[simp]*: $es \text{ ?? } e \text{ ::: } T \longleftrightarrow$
 $((es \neq [] \longrightarrow T = \mathcal{B}) \wedge (\forall e \in \text{set } es. e \text{ ::: } \mathcal{B}) \wedge e \text{ ::: } T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_App_iff[simp]*: $f \cdot t \text{ ::: } U \longleftrightarrow (\exists T. f \text{ ::: } T \rightarrow U \wedge t \text{ ::: } T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Apps_iff[simp]*: $f \cdot ts \text{ ::: } U \longleftrightarrow (\exists Ts. f \text{ ::: } Ts \rightarrow U \wedge \text{list_all2 } (:) ts Ts)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq_mk_fun_iff[simp]*: $T = Ts \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \longleftrightarrow Ts = \text{dest_fun } T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map_nth_eq_drop_take[simp]*: $j \leq \text{length } xs \implies \text{map } (nth \ xs) \ [i \ ..< j] = \text{drop } i \ (\text{take } j \ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dest_fun_pi_0*: $i < \text{ar } T \implies \text{dest_fun } (\pi \ T \ i \ 0) = \text{nth_drop } i \ (\text{dest_fun } T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_E*: $E \ T \ i \text{ ::: } \varepsilon \ T \ i$ **and** *welltyped_P*: $P \ T \ i \ j \text{ ::: } T \rightarrow \pi \ T \ i \ j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delta_gt_0[simp]*: $T \neq \mathcal{B} \implies \text{HMSet } \{\#\} < \delta \ T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_nth_drop_less*: $i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{mset } (\text{nth_drop } i \ xs) < \text{mset } xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map_nth_drop*: $i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{map } f \ (\text{nth_drop } i \ xs) = \text{nth_drop } i \ (\text{map } f \ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *empty_less_mset*: $\{\#\} < \text{mset } xs \longleftrightarrow xs \neq []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dest_fun_alt*: $\text{dest_fun } T = \text{map } (\lambda i. T \ !- \ i) \ [0 \ ..< \text{ar } T]$
 ⟨proof⟩

context notes $\pi.\text{simps}[simp \ del]$ **notes** $\text{One_nat_def}[simp \ del]$ **begin**

lemma δ_pi :
assumes $i < \text{ar } T \ j \leq \text{ar } (T \ !- \ i)$
shows $\delta \ (\pi \ T \ i \ j) < \delta \ T$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end