Prescribed by: DoDM 5000.04

SOFTWARE DESCRIPTION ANNOTATED OUTLINE

(See DoD 5000.4-M for additional guidance)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Describe the characteristics of the system software. Supply requested data for both the top level and each Computer Software Configuration Item (CSCI) (and CSC when available). Information presented at the top level should apply to all the levels below.

Other data that could affect system costs should be provided at the appropriate level of detail. This includes any information not requested below but which is necessary to prepare a cost estimate. Other input data that are used in a software cost model should be included as an appendix to the Cost Analysis Requirements Description (CARD) submission.

In each question, if a response pertains only to selected software items, identify those items in the "Additional Comments" block.

Section I - Top-Level Characteristics. Above the CSCI Level. Information provided in this section should apply across the system's software, including each CSCI (and each CSC when available) and each software build.

Section II - Lower Level Characteristics. Complete for each CSCI (or each CSC when available) and each build.

SECTION I - TOP-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS (Above CSCI Level)

1. SYSTEM REQUIREMENT VOLATILITY

a. LEVEL OF DEFINITION AND UNDERSTANDING OF SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Very little
- ☐ (2) Questionable
- ☐ (3) Fairly complete
- ☐ (4) Very complete

(5) Additional Comments

b. HOW WILL OVERALL TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES DURING DEVELOPMENT AFFECT THE PROJECT? *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Significant advances; more than one system upgrade
- ☐ (2) Between one and three significant system modifications
- ☐ (3) Minor modifications
- ☐ (4) No changes to system or requirements

(5) Additional Comments

c. REQUIREMENTS VOLATILITY DURING DEVELOPMENT *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) No changes
- ☐ (2) Small noncritical changes
- ☐ (3) Frequent noncritical changes
- ☐ (4) Occasional moderate changes
- ☐ (5) Frequent moderate changes
- ☐ (6) Many large changes

(7) Additional Comments

2. SYSTEM INTEGRATION DIFFICULTY

a. EXPECTED LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY OF INTEGRATING AND TESTING THE CSCI's TO THE ELEMENT LEVEL *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Very little integration, no complex interfaces
- ☐ (2) Average degree of system integration/interface complexity
- ☐ (3) Several system interfaces, some complex
- ☐ (4) Complex, time-intensive integration process anticipated

(5) Additional Comments

3. USE OF COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF SOFTWARE (COTS)

a. EXPECTED IMPACT OF INTEGRATING COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF SOFTWARE INTO THE SYSTEM *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Some impacts on the design/development effort to ensure that vendor-supplied COTS software interfaces correctly with the developed operational software
- ☐ (2) Few impacts created by the COTS software packages to support the operating environment of the applications software; COTS is in multiple releases and is relatively stable
- ☐ (3) No impacts; purchased software will be used only for operating environment support functions *(i.e., operating system)*

(4) Additional Comments

4. SOFTWARE SIZE ESTIMATE OF CSCIs (CSCs).

(Classify each CSCI into appropriate basing modes (e.g., space, air, etc.). Identify the low, most likely, and high (L, M, H) KSLOC estimates for each. Refer to glossary in DoD 5000.4-M for definitions.)

MODE (1)	Total KSLOC (2) L	Total KSLOC (2) M	Total KSLOC (2) H	Percent New SLOC (3)	Percent Reused SLOC (4)	Percent Modified SLOC (5)	Program-ming Language* (6)	Basis of Estimate** (7)	Reuse Library %*** (8)
a. SPACE									
b. AIR									
c. GROUND-MOBILE									
d. GROUND-FIXED									

* Computer language used.

** Basis of size estimate: analogy, function points, or other.

*** Percent added to library for future reuse of other activities.

Reset

5. ADDITIONAL SYSTEM SOFTWARE FACTORS *(Describe any additional factors that could affect the cost and/or size of the software being developed for the system.)*

SECTION II - LOWER-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS

6. CSCI (CSC)-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS

a. CSCI (CSC) NAME

b. FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION *(When available, this description should map to the functional allocation document)*

7. GENERAL INFORMATION

a. APPLICATION TYPE *(X all that apply)*

- ☐ (1) Prototype to be discarded later
- ☐ (2) Prototype to be built into delivered program
- ☐ (3) Complete stand-alone program
- ☐ (4) Component within a system
- ☐ (5) Reusable component for multiple programs
- ☐ (6) System with multiple components

(7) Additional Comments

b. APPLICATIONS DOMAIN *(Enter percentage of all that apply)*

- ☐ (1) Command and Control
- ☐ (2) Graphics, Image Processing
- ☐ (3) Communications
- ☐ (4) Signal Processing
- ☐ (5) Process Control
- ☐ (6) Interface Systems
- ☐ (7) Test Systems
- ☐ (8) Environment/Tools
- ☐ (9) Training Software
- ☐ (10) Other Support Software
- ☐ (11) Avionics
- ☐ (12) Other *(Specify)*

(13) Additional Comments

c. SOURCE CODE MIX *(Enter percentage of all that apply)*

- ☐ (1) Operating Systems
- ☐ (2) Real-Time Command & Control
- ☐ (3) Data Storage and Retrieval
- ☐ (4) Mathematical Operations
- ☐ (5) Interactive Operations
- ☐ (6) On-Line Communications
- ☐ (7) String Manipulation
- ☐ (8) Other *(Specify)*

(9) Additional Comments

d. DEVELOPMENT METHOD

- ☐ (1) Ada Development
- ☐ (2) Ada Incremental
- ☐ (3) Ada Full Use
- ☐ (4) Prototype
- ☐ (5) Spiral
- ☐ (6) Traditional Incremental
- ☐ (7) Waterfall

(8) Additional Comments

e. SOFTWARE INTENDED USE *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Embedded - identify associated hardware system(s)
- ☐ (2) Other *(Specify)*

f. SOFTWARE NOVELTY. Is this the first CSCI or CSC of its kind, or are the functions and characteristics well understood and used elsewhere in the system? *(X one)* ☐ Yes ☐ No

g. PROGRAMMING PERSONNEL CAPABILITIES AND EXPERIENCE

(1) Does programming personnel have analysis capabilities experience? *(Indicate yes or no; indicate number of years experience.)*

(2) Does programming personnel have analysis application experience? *(Indicate yes or no; indicate number of years experience.)*

(3) Identify staff programming capabilities.

(4) Identify programmer language experience *(by language and number of years experience)*

h. SOFTWARE SCHEDULE

- ☐ (1) Attach software schedule to this form
- ☐ (2) Identify start date for requirements phase

i. SCHEDULE AND STAFFING CONSTRAINTS *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Accelerated schedule
- ☐ (2) Normal schedule
- ☐ (3) Extended schedule

j. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION *(DoDD 5200.28 (reference (g)) classification) (X one)*

- ☐ (1) Class D
- ☐ (2) Class C1
- ☐ (3) Class C2
- ☐ (4) Class C3
- ☐ (5) Class B1
- ☐ (6) Class B2
- ☐ (7) Class B3

k. REQUIRED DOD-STDS

- ☐ (1) Complete 2167A *(reference (h))* documentation
- ☐ (2) Subset of 2167A *(reference (h))*
- ☐ (3) Other *(Specify)*

Reset

8. BASIS OF SIZE ESTIMATE

a. *(X as applicable)*
- ☐ (1) From lower level
- ☐ (2) Function points
- ☐ (3) Analogy with *(Specify)*
- ☐ (4) Other *(Specify)*

(5) Additional Comments

b. IF SYSTEM WAS SIZED USING FUNCTION POINTS, ENTER NUMBER OF:
- ☐ (1) Inputs *(Unique major data types that enter the system)*
- ☐ (2) Outputs *(Unique logical major report formats generated by system)*
- ☐ (3) Inquiries *(Types of queries that result in informational searches and responses)*
- ☐ (4) External interfaces
- ☐ (5) Internal files *(Unique logical files/databases used by the application)*

9. SYSTEM HARDWARE ENVIRONMENT

a. AVAILABILITY OF TARGET PROCESSING HARDWARE *(X one)*
- ☐ (1) To be developed; will be completed before software is ready
- ☐ (2) To be developed under contract concurrently with software; can/will have major impact
- ☐ (3) To be developed under contract concurrently with software; will have little impact
- ☐ (4) No new hardware to be developed

(5) Additional Comments

b. VIRTUAL MACHINE VOLATILITY OF TARGET SYSTEM *(Based on number of major/minor changes) (if different from development system)*
- ☐ (1) Low - major and minor changes rarely
- ☐ (2) Medium - major changes 2/year, minor 2/month
- ☐ (3) High - major changes 4 or more times/year, minor often

(4) Additional Comments

c. TARGET SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE *(If different from development system) (X one)*
- ☐ (1) Centralized *(Single processor)*
- ☐ (2) Tightly coupled *(Multiple processor)*
- ☐ (3) Loosely coupled *(Multiple processor)*
- ☐ (4) Functional processors communicating via bus
- ☐ (5) Distributed *(Centralized database)*
- ☐ (6) Distributed *(Distributed database)*

(7) Additional Comments

d. REHOSTING IMPACT *(Effort to convert from host to target system, if necessary) (X one)*
- ☐ (1) None
- ☐ (2) Minor language and/or system change
- ☐ (3) Major language or system change
- ☐ (4) Major language and system change

(5) Additional Comments

e. MAIN STORAGE CONSTRAINT

(1) Percentage of main storage expected to be used by all CSCIs or CSCs sharing main storage hardware *(Refers to random access storage, such as core, integrated-circuit, or plated-wire. Excludes drums, disks, tapes or bubble storage.)*

(2) Additional Comments

f. EXECUTION TIME CONSTRAINTS

(1) Percentage of available execution time expected to be used by all CSCIs or CSCs sharing consumption of execution time resource

(2) Additional Comments

g. SOFTWARE FUNCTIONS TO BE IMPLEMENTED IN FIRMWARE

(1) Percentage

(2) Additional Comments

10. SOFTWARE COMPLEXITY

a. SOFTWARE INTERFACE COMPLEXITY

(1) With how many CSCIs or CSCs does this CSCI or CSC interface?

(2) Additional Comments

b. EXPECTED LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY OF INTEGRATING AND TESTING COMPONENTS TO THE CSCI OR CSC LEVEL *(X one)*
- ☐ (1) No internal integration
- ☐ (2) Very little integration, no complex interfaces
- ☐ (3) Average degree of CSCI or CSC integration and interface complexity
- ☐ (4) Several CSCI or CSC interfaces, some complex
- ☐ (5) Complex, time-intensive CSCI or CSC integration process anticipated

(6) Additional Comments

c. DIFFICULTY OF PROCESSING LOGIC *(X one)*
- ☐ (1) Simple logic, straightforward I/O
- ☐ (2) Difficult, highly nested logic, real-time processing
- ☐ (3) Routine nesting, minimal interface with operating system, standard I/O
- ☐ (4) Complex dynamic resource allocation, multiple exception handles, recursion

(5) Additional Comments

d. MATHEMATICAL COMPLEXITY *(X one)*
- ☐ (1) Simple algorithms and simple calculations
- ☐ (2) Majority of simple algorithms and calculations
- ☐ (3) Algorithms and calculations of average complexity
- ☐ (4) Some difficult or complex calculations
- ☐ (5) Many difficult algorithms and complex calculations

(6) Additional Comments

e. DEGREE OF REAL-TIME *(X one)*
- ☐ (1) No tasking, essentially batch response
- ☐ (2) Interactive with limited (Ada) tasking
- ☐ (3) Interrupt drive, tasking in milliseconds
- ☐ (4) Concurrent tasking, rendezvous in milliseconds
- ☐ (5) Concurrent tasking, rendezvous in nanoseconds

(6) Additional Comments

f. PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL SOURCE CODE ALLOCATED TO EACH OPERATIONAL TIMING REQUIREMENT *(Sum equals 100%)*
- ☐ (1) Real-time
- ☐ (2) Time-constrained
- ☐ (3) Non-time-critical
- ☐ (4) On-line
- ☐ (5) Other *(Specify)*

(6) Additional Comments

Reset

g. DISPLAY REQUIREMENT *(X all that apply)*

- ☐ (1) Simple I/O
- ☐ (2) User-friendly, menu driven
- ☐ (3) Pressure-sensitive devices *(touch screen, joystick)*
- ☐ (4) Graphics oriented
- ☐ (5) Not applicable

(5) Additional Comments

h. SOFTWARE TESTABILITY *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Very difficult
- ☐ (2) Difficult
- ☐ (3) Time insensitive
- ☐ (4) Easy

(5) Additional Comments

11. SOFTWARE RELIABILITY

a. EFFECT OF SOFTWARE FAILURE *(X as applicable)*

- ☐ (1) Inconvenience
- ☐ (2) Easily recoverable loss
- ☐ (3) Moderate loss *(Recoverable)*
- ☐ (4) Major loss *(High financial loss)*

(5) Additional Comments

b. BACKUP CONSIDERATIONS *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Data protection beyond regular backup required
- ☐ (2) No special backup requirements
- ☐ (3) Alternative methods need to be developed in case of software failure

(4) Additional Comments

c. RECOVERY CONSIDERATIONS *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Alternative methods need to be developed in case of software failure
- ☐ (2) No special recovery requirements

(3) Additional Comments

12. DATABASE CHARACTERISTICS *(if applicable)*

a. DATABASE SIZE

(1) Kilobytes

(2) Additional Comments

b. PHYSICAL DATA FILES

(1) Number of Files

(2) Additional Comments

c. DATABASE COMPLEXITY *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Simple data, few files, low capacity
- ☐ (2) Simple, numerous variables
- ☐ (3) Multiple files, fields data interactions
- ☐ (4) Complex file structure
- ☐ (5) Highly complex

(6) Additional Comments

13. SOFTWARE REUSE *(If applicable)*

a. LOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF CODE REUSED FROM OTHER PROGRAMS *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Simple algorithms and simple calculations
- ☐ (2) Majority of simple algorithms and calculations
- ☐ (3) Algorithms and calculations of average complexity
- ☐ (4) Some difficult or complex calculations
- ☐ (5) Many difficult algorithms and complex calculations

(6) Additional Comments

b. STRUCTURAL COMPLEXITY OF CODE REUSED FROM OTHER PROGRAMS *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) Nonprocedural *(Generated, query, spreadsheets, etc.)*
- ☐ (2) Well structured with usable modules
- ☐ (3) Fair structure, some complex paths and modules
- ☐ (4) Poor structure, many complex paths and modules

(5) Additional Comments

c. COMPLEXITY OF DATABASE REUSED FROM OTHER PROGRAMS *(If applicable)*

- ☐ (1) Simple data, few variables, little complexity
- ☐ (2) Several data elements, simple data relationships
- ☐ (3) Multiple files, switches, and data interactions
- ☐ (4) Complex data elements, complex data interactions
- ☐ (5) Very complex data elements and interactions

(6) Additional Comments

d. IF PLANNING TO REUSE THIS CSCI IN ANOTHER PROGRAM, SELECT INTENDED USE *(X one)*

- ☐ (1) None
- ☐ (2) Reuse within element
- ☐ (3) Reuse across element
- ☐ (4) Reuse in another DoD program application

(5) Additional Comments

14. SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE

- ☐ (1) Indicate number of years maintenance will be required
- ☐ (2) Indicate number of separate maintenance sites
- ☐ (3) Indicate estimated maintenance/software growth over life
- ☐ (4) Indicate annual change rate for software

(5) Additional Comments

15. ADDITIONAL CSCI (CSC) FACTORS *(Describe any additional factors that could affect the cost and/or size of the CSCI/CSC software being developed (e.g., known contractor-specific information))*

Reset