The Taylor Series for *e* and the Primes 2, 5, 13, 37, 463, . . ., A Surprising Connection

Jonathan Sondow

1. Introduction In [7], [8] we studied arithmetic properties of the Taylor series for e

$$e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}.$$

In the process, we discovered a surprising connection with certain prime numbers. To describe it, let N_n be the numerator of the *n*th partial sum in lowest terms,

$$N_n := \text{numerator of } \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \quad (n \ge 0).$$
(1)

Setting R_n equal to the greatest common divisor

$$R_n := \gcd(N_n, N_{n+2}), \tag{2}$$

the sequence R_0, R_1, \ldots begins

1, 2, 5,
$$\{1\}^7$$
, 13, $\{1\}^{23}$, 37, $\{1\}^{425}$, 463, 1, 1, ...,

where $\{1\}^k$ stands for a string of ones of length k. Notice that the terms 2, 5, 13, 37, and 463 are primes. In fact, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. The sequence R_0, R_1, \ldots consists of ones and all primes in the set

$$P^* := \left\{ p \text{ prime} : p \text{ divides } 0! - 1! + 2! - 3! + 4! - \dots + (-1)^{p-1} (p-1)! \right\}.$$

More precisely, for $n \ge 0$ *, we have*

$$R_n = \begin{cases} 2 & if \ n = 1, \\ p & if \ n = p - 3 \ and \ p \in P * is \ odd, \\ 1 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Michael Mossinghoff [5] has calculated that 2, 5, 13, 37, 463 are the only elements of P^* less than 150 million. However, in Section 6 we use Mertens' theorem on the series of prime reciprocals to argue heuristically that the set P^* should be infinite, but very sparse. For this problem, and a related one on primes and alternating sums of

factorials, see [2, B43] (where the set P^* is denoted instead by S) and [9]. Also, see [6, sequences A061354, A064383, A064384, A124779, A129924].

In Sections 2, 3, and 4, we establish some preliminary results before proving Theorem 1 in Section 5.

2. A formula for N_n For $n \ge 0$, let A_n denote the *unreduced* numerator of the *n*th partial sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} = \frac{A_n}{n!}.$$
(3)

(It is easy to see that the recursion

$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_n = nA_{n-1} + 1 \quad (n \ge 1)$$
 (4)

is equivalent to (3).) In terms of A_n , the *reduced* numerator N_n is

$$N_n = \frac{A_n}{\gcd(A_n, n!)}.$$
(5)

3. An alternate characterization of P^* We use A_n to give an alternate description of the primes in P^* .

Lemma 1. A prime p is in P^* if and only if p divides A_{p-1} .

Proof. We show that the congruence

$$0! - 1! + 2! - 3! + 4! - \dots + (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)! \equiv A_{n-1} \mod n \quad (n \ge 1)$$

holds. The lemma follows by setting *n* equal to a prime *p*.

We multiply (3) by n!, and replace n with n-1. Re-indexing the sum by changing k to n-1-k, we obtain

$$A_{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-k)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-k) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k k! \pmod{n}.$$

4. A criterion for primality We will need the following simple fact.

Lemma 2. Given n > 1, if n! is not divisible by n + 3, then n + 3 is prime.

Proof. We show that if n+3 is not prime, say n+3 = ab with $2 \le a \le b$, then $(n+3) \mid n!$.

Using n > 1, we have $2b \le n+3 < 2n+2$. Hence $a \le b \le n$. In case a < b, clearly $ab \mid n!$. In case a = b, we get $a^2 = n+3 > 4$, so $a \ge 3$. Then $0 \le (a+1)(a-3) = a^2 - 2a - 3 = n - 2a$. Now $a < 2a \le n$, implying $a^2 \mid n!$. Thus, in each case, $(n+3) \mid n!$.

5. Proof of Theorem 1 First, note that the recursion (4) implies the relation

$$A_{n+2} = (n+2)(n+1)A_n + (n+3) \quad (n \ge 0).$$
(6)

Now, to begin the proof, we use (1) to compute $N_0 = 1$, $N_1 = 2$, $N_2 = 5$, and $N_3 = 8$. Then (2) gives $R_0 = 1$ and $R_1 = 2 \in P^*$.

Next, fix n > 1 and assume $R_n \neq 1$. By (2) and (5), the positive integer R_n divides A_n and A_{n+2} but not n!. From (6), we see that R_n divides n+3. Using Lemma 2, it follows that $R_n = n+3$ is prime. Since $R_n \mid A_{n+2}$, Lemma 1 gives $R_n \in P^*$.

It remains to show, conversely, that for all odd $p \in P^*$ we have $R_{p-3} = p$. Setting n = p-3, Lemma 1 yields $p | A_{n+2}$. Then, as $n \ge 0$ and p = n+3, relation (6) implies $p | A_n$. On the other hand, since p > n, the prime p does not divide n!. By (5) and (2), it follows that $p | R_n$. Recalling that $R_n \ne 1$ implies R_n is prime, we conclude that $R_n = p$.

6. A heuristic argument that P^* is infinite but very sparse The following heuristics are naive. The prime 463 looks ``random," so a naive model might be that $0!-1!+2!-3!+4!-\dots+(p-1)!$ is a ``random" number modulo a prime p. If it is, the probability that it is divisible by p would be about 1/p. Now let's also make the hypothesis that the events are independent. Then the expected number of elements of P^* which do not exceed a bound x would be approximately

$$\#(P^* \cap [0,x]) \approx \sum_{\text{prime } p \le x} \frac{1}{p}.$$

For this sum of prime reciprocals, Mertens in 1874 proved the estimate (see [1, p. 94], [3, Theorem 427])

$$\sum_{\text{prime } p \le x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + 0.2614972128 \dots + o(1).$$

(Here o(1) is a quantity that tends to zero as x becomes arbitrarily large.) Since $\log \log x$ approaches infinity with x, but very slowly, the set P^* should be infinite, but very sparse.

In particular, the sum of 1/p for primes p between 463 and 150,000,000 is about 1.12. Since this is greater than 1, one might expect to find the next prime in P^* soon.

Acknowledgements. I thank Kevin Buzzard, Kieren MacMillan, and Wadim Zudilin for valuable suggestions, and David Loeffler [4] and Sergey Zlobin [10] for preliminary computations of P^* up to 2 million and 4 million, respectively. I am grateful to the Department of Mathematics at Keio University for its hospitality during November, 2006, when the first draft of this note was written.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Finch, Mathematical Constants, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- 2. R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, third ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- 3. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, fifth ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989.
- 4. D. Loeffler, personal communication, 4 December 2006.
- 5. M. Mossinghoff, personal communication, 7 March 2007.
- 6. N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2007, published electronically at http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/.
- 7. J. Sondow, A geometric proof that *e* is irrational and a new measure of its irrationality, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **113** (2006), 637-641.
- 8. J. Sondow, Which partial sums of the Taylor series for *e* are convergents to *e*? (and a link to the primes 2, 5, 13, 37, 463), with an Appendix by K. Schalm (2006, preprint).
- 9. M. Zivkovic, The number of primes $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} i!$ is finite, *Math. Comp.*, **68** (1999), 403-409.
- 10. S. Zlobin, personal communication, 3 February 2007.

209 West 97th Street New York, NY 10025 jsondow@alumni.princeton.edu