

# Re<sup>2</sup>: A Type System for Refinements and Resources

Tristan Knoth <sup>1</sup>   Di Wang <sup>2</sup>   Nadia Polikarpova <sup>1</sup>   Jan Hoffmann <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California, San Diego

<sup>2</sup>Carnegie Mellon University

# Refinements: Functional Specification

## Dependent Types

- Martin-Löf's Type Theory (underlying NuPRL)
- Calculus of Inductive Constructions (underlying Coq)

## Some Restricted Forms of Dependent Types

	Features
[FP91]	Regular-tree based refinements for datatypes.
[HPS96]	Sized types. Only support “primitive” recursion.
[XP99]	Dependent ML. Indexed types with refinement sorts.
[CW00]	Indexed types with inductive kinds and type-level computation.
[VH04]	Sized types. Support general recursion.
[RKJ08]	Liquid types. Predicate-abstraction refinements for base types.
[WWC17]	TiML. Indexed types with refinement kinds. Proved in Coq.

# Refinements: Functional Specification

## Dependent Types

- Martin-Löf's Type Theory (underlying NuPRL)
- Calculus of Inductive Constructions (underlying Coq)

## Some Restricted Forms of Dependent Types

	<b>Features</b>
[FP91]	Regular-tree based refinements for datatypes.
[HPS96]	Sized types. Only support “primitive” recursion.
[XP99]	Dependent ML. Indexed types with refinement sorts.
[CW00]	Indexed types with inductive kinds and type-level computation.
[VH04]	Sized types. Support general recursion.
[RKJ08]	Liquid types. Predicate-abstraction refinements for base types.
[WWC17]	TiML. Indexed types with refinement kinds. Proved in Coq.

# Resources: Complexity Specification

## Automatic Amortized Resource Analysis (AARA)

- Introduced by Hofmann and Jost in 2003 [HJ03].
- Extended to OCaml by Hoffmann et al. in 2017 [HDW17].

## Some Restricted Forms of Dependent Types

	Features
[FP91]	Regular-tree based refinements for datatypes.
[HPS96]	Sized types. Only support “primitive” recursion.
[XP99]	Dependent ML. Indexed types with refinement sorts.
[CW00]	Indexed types with inductive kinds and type-level computation.
[VH04]	Sized types. Support general recursion.
[RKJ08]	Liquid types. Predicate-abstraction refinements for base types.
[WWC17]	TiML. Indexed types with refinement kinds. Proved in Coq.

# Resources: Complexity Specification

## Automatic Amortized Resource Analysis (AARA)

- Introduced by Hofmann and Jost in 2003 [HJ03].
- Extended to OCaml by Hoffmann et al. in 2017 [HDW17].

## Some Restricted Forms of Dependent Types

	<b>Features</b>
[FP91]	Regular-tree based refinements for datatypes.
[HPS96]	Sized types. Only support “primitive” recursion.
[XP99]	Dependent ML. Indexed types with refinement sorts.
[CW00]	Indexed types with inductive kinds and type-level computation.
[VH04]	Sized types. Support general recursion.
[RKJ08]	Liquid types. Predicate-abstraction refinements for base types.
[WWC17]	TiML. Indexed types with refinement kinds. Proved in Coq.

# Re<sup>2</sup>: Liquid Types + AARA

## Features

- Polymorphic refinement types over logical qualifiers.
- Affine types with linear potential annotations.
- Potentials are expressed in the same refinement language.

## Limitations

- Limited by the capability of liquid types and AARA.
- Liquid types: Rely on decidable refinement logic.
- AARA: Currently limited to polynomial (and exponential) complexity.

# Re<sup>2</sup>: Liquid Types + AARA

## Features

- Polymorphic refinement types over logical qualifiers.
- Affine types with linear potential annotations.
- Potentials are expressed in the same refinement language.

## Limitations

- Limited by the capability of liquid types and AARA.
- Liquid types: Rely on decidable refinement logic.
- AARA: Currently limited to polynomial (and exponential) complexity.

# A Running Example: List Append

$$\text{append} :: \forall \alpha. L(\alpha) \rightarrow L(\alpha) \rightarrow L(\alpha)$$
$$\text{append } \ell_1 \ell_2 = \mathbf{match} \ell_1 \mathbf{with}$$
$$\quad | [] \rightarrow \ell_2$$
$$\quad | x :: xs \rightarrow \mathbf{let} \text{ ys} = \text{append } xs \ell_2 \mathbf{in} (x :: \text{ys})$$

- Functionality: size of  $\text{append}(\ell_1)(\ell_2)$  is the sum of sizes of  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$
- Complexity:  $\text{append}(\ell_1)(\ell_2)$  makes  $2 \cdot |\ell_1|$  function calls



# Review of Liquid Types

$B ::= \text{bool}$

base type of Booleans

$L(T)$

base type of lists

$\alpha$

type variable

$T ::= \{v : B \mid \psi\}$

refinement type

$x : T_x \rightarrow T$

dependent arrow type

$S ::= T$

monomorphic type

$\forall \alpha. S$

polymorphic type

$\psi ::= \star \leq v \mid v < \star \mid v < \text{size}(\star) \mid \dots$

logical qualifier

$\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$

conjunction

# Review of Liquid Types

$append :: \forall \alpha. \ell_1 : L(\alpha) \rightarrow \ell_2 : L(\alpha) \rightarrow \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

$append \ell_1 \ell_2 = \mathbf{match} \ell_1 \mathbf{with}$

| []  $\rightarrow$

$\{\ell_2 : L(\alpha); size(\ell_1) = 0\}$

$\ell_2$

$\{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_2)\} <: \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

|  $x :: xs \rightarrow$

$\{\ell_2 : L(\alpha), x : \alpha, xs : L(\alpha); size(\ell_1) = size(xs) + 1\}$

**let**  $ys = append \ xs \ \ell_2$  **in**

$\{x : \alpha, ys : \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(xs) + size(\ell_2)\}; size(\ell_1) = size(xs) + 1\}$

$(x :: ys)$

$\{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(ys) + 1\}$

$<: \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(xs) + size(\ell_2) + 1\}$

$<: \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

# Review of AARA

$B ::= \text{bool}$

base type of Booleans

$L(R)$

base type of lists

$T ::= B$

base type

$R_1 \rightarrow R_2$

arrow type

$R ::= T^q$

resource-annotated type

# Review of AARA

$append :: L(\text{bool}^2) \rightarrow L(\text{bool}^0) \rightarrow L(\text{bool}^0)$

$append \ell_1 \ell_2 = \mathbf{match} \ell_1 \mathbf{with}$

| []  $\rightarrow$

{ $\ell_2 : L(\text{bool}^0); 0$ }

$\ell_2$

$L(\text{bool}^0)$

|  $x :: xs \rightarrow$

{ $\ell_2 : L(\text{bool}^0), x : \text{bool}, xs : L(\text{bool}^2); 2$ }

**let**  $ys = append \ xs \ \ell_2$  **in**

{ $x : \text{bool}, ys : L(\text{bool}^0); 0$ }

$(x :: ys)$

$L(\text{bool}^0)$

# Liquid Types + AARA

Liquid Types	AARA
$B ::= \text{bool}$	$B ::= \text{bool}$
$L(T)$	$L(R)$
$\alpha$	
$T ::= \{v : B \mid \psi\}$	$T ::= B$
$x : T_x \rightarrow T$	$R_1 \rightarrow R_2$
	$R ::= T^q$
$S ::= T$	
$\forall \alpha. S$	
$\psi ::= \dots$	
$\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$	

## Re<sup>2</sup>: Liquid Types + AARA

$B ::= \text{bool}$

$L(R)$

$\alpha$

$T ::= \{v : B \mid \psi\}$

$x : R_x \rightarrow R$

$R ::= T^\phi$

$S ::= R$

$\forall \alpha. S$

$\psi ::= \star \leq v \mid v < \star \mid v < \text{size}(\star) \mid \dots$

$\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$

$\phi ::= v \mid \star \mid \text{size}(\star) \mid \dots$

$\phi_1 + \phi_2$

base type of Booleans

base type of lists

type variable

refinement type

dependent arrow type

resource-annotated type

monomorphic type

polymorphic type

logical qualifier

conjunction

numeric qualifier

addition

## Re<sup>2</sup>: Liquid Types + AARA

$append :: \forall \alpha. \ell_1 : L(\alpha^2) \rightarrow \ell_2 : L(\alpha^0) \rightarrow \{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

$append \ell_1 \ell_2 = \mathbf{match} \ell_1 \mathbf{with}$

- $| [] \rightarrow$ 
  - $\{\ell_2 : L(\alpha^0); size(\ell_1) = 0; 0\}$
  - $\ell_2$
  - $\{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_2)\} <: \{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$
- $| x :: xs \rightarrow$ 
  - $\{\ell_2 : L(\alpha^0), x : \alpha, xs : L(\alpha^2); size(\ell_1) = size(xs) + 1; 2\}$
  - $\mathbf{let} \ ys = append \ xs \ \ell_2 \ \mathbf{in}$
  - $\{x : \alpha, ys : \{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(xs) + size(\ell_2)\}; size(\ell_1) = size(xs) + 1; 0\}$
  - $(x :: ys)$
  - $\{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(ys) + 1\}$
  - $<: \{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(xs) + size(\ell_2) + 1\}$
  - $<: \{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

## Re<sup>2</sup>: Liquid Types + AARA

$append :: \forall \alpha. \ell_1 : L(\alpha^2) \rightarrow \ell_2 : L(\alpha^0) \rightarrow \{v : L(\alpha^0) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

$append :: \forall \alpha. \ell_1 : L(\alpha)^{2 \cdot size(v)} \rightarrow \ell_2 : L(\alpha) \rightarrow \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$

$append :: \forall \alpha. \ell_1 : L(\alpha) \rightarrow \ell_2 : L(\alpha)^{2 \cdot size(\ell_1)} \rightarrow \{v : L(\alpha) \mid size(v) = size(\ell_1) + size(\ell_2)\}$



# Dynamic Semantics: Resource-Aware, Small-Step

$$\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$$

(E:Tick)

$$\frac{p \geq 0 \quad p - c \geq 0}{\langle \mathbf{tick} \ c \ \mathbf{in} \ e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e, p - c \rangle}$$

# Dynamic Semantics: Resource-Aware, Small-Step

$$\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$$

(E:Tick)

$$\frac{p \geq 0 \quad p - c \geq 0}{\langle \mathbf{tick} \ c \ \mathbf{in} \ e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e, p - c \rangle}$$

# Static Semantics

## Language Design

Expressions in  $\text{Re}^2$  are in A-Normal-Form, i.e., syntactic forms in non-tail positions allow only variables and values.

$$\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash e : S$$
$$(T:\text{TRUE})$$
$$\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{true} : \{v : \text{bool} \mid v = \top\}$$
$$(T:\text{NIL})$$
$$\Gamma \vdash R \text{ type}$$
$$\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{nil} : \{v : L(R) \mid \text{size}(v) = 0\}$$

# Static Semantics

## Language Design

Expressions in  $\text{Re}^2$  are in A-Normal-Form, i.e., syntactic forms in non-tail positions allow only variables and values.

$$\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash e : S$$
 $(T:\text{TRUE})$ 
$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{true} : \{v : \text{bool} \mid v = \top\}}$$
 $(T:\text{NIL})$  $\Gamma \vdash R \text{ type}$ 
$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{nil} : \{v : L(R) \mid \text{size}(v) = 0\}}$$

# Static Semantics

## Language Design

Expressions in  $\text{Re}^2$  are in A-Normal-Form, i.e., syntactic forms in non-tail positions allow only variables and values.

$$\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash e : S$$
$$(T:\text{TRUE})$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{true} : \{v : \text{bool} \mid v = \top\}}$$
$$(T:\text{NIL})$$
$$\Gamma \vdash R \text{ type}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{nil} : \{v : L(R) \mid \text{size}(v) = 0\}}$$

# Static Semantics

(T:COND)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \text{bool} \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge x; \Phi \vdash e_1 : R \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge \neg x; \Phi \vdash e_2 : R}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{if\ } x \mathbf{\ then\ } e_1 \mathbf{\ else\ } e_2 : R}$$

(T:APPFO)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x_1) = x : \{v : B \mid \psi\}^\phi \rightarrow R \quad \Gamma(x_2) = \{v : B \mid \psi\}}{\Gamma; \top; [x_2/v]\phi \vdash x_1(x_2) : R}$$

(T:MATL)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = L(T^\phi) \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge \text{size}(x) = 0; \Phi \vdash e_1 : R' \quad \Gamma, x_1 : T, x_2 : L(T^\phi); \Psi \wedge \text{size}(x) = \text{size}(x_2) + 1; \Phi + [x_1/v]\phi \vdash e_2 : R'}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{match\ } x \mathbf{\ with\ } \{[] \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid x_1 :: x_2 \hookrightarrow e_2\} : R'}$$

# Static Semantics

(T:COND)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \text{bool} \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge x; \Phi \vdash e_1 : R \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge \neg x; \Phi \vdash e_2 : R}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{if\ } x \mathbf{\ then\ } e_1 \mathbf{\ else\ } e_2 : R}$$

(T:APPFO)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x_1) = x : \{v : B \mid \psi\}^\phi \rightarrow R \quad \Gamma(x_2) = \{v : B \mid \psi\}}{\Gamma; \top; [x_2/v]\phi \vdash x_1(x_2) : R}$$

(T:MATL)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = L(T^\phi) \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge \text{size}(x) = 0; \Phi \vdash e_1 : R' \quad \Gamma, x_1 : T, x_2 : L(T^\phi); \Psi \wedge \text{size}(x) = \text{size}(x_2) + 1; \Phi + [x_1/v]\phi \vdash e_2 : R'}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{match\ } x \mathbf{\ with\ } \{[] \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid x_1 :: x_2 \hookrightarrow e_2\} : R'}$$

# Static Semantics

(T:COND)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \text{bool} \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge x; \Phi \vdash e_1 : R \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge \neg x; \Phi \vdash e_2 : R}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{if\ } x \mathbf{\ then\ } e_1 \mathbf{\ else\ } e_2 : R}$$

(T:APPFO)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x_1) = x : \{v : B \mid \psi\}^\phi \rightarrow R \quad \Gamma(x_2) = \{v : B \mid \psi\}}{\Gamma; \top; [x_2/v]\phi \vdash x_1(x_2) : R}$$

(T:MATL)

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = L(T^\phi) \quad \Gamma; \Psi \wedge \text{size}(x) = 0; \Phi \vdash e_1 : R' \quad \Gamma, x_1 : T, x_2 : L(T^\phi); \Psi \wedge \text{size}(x) = \text{size}(x_2) + 1; \Phi + [x_1/v]\phi \vdash e_2 : R'}{\Gamma; \Psi; \Phi \vdash \mathbf{match\ } x \mathbf{\ with\ } \{[] \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid x_1 :: x_2 \hookrightarrow e_2\} : R'}$$



# Meta Theory

## Progress

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$  and  $p \geq q$ , then either  $e$  is a value or there exist  $e'$  and  $p'$  such that  $\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$ .

## Preservation

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$ ,  $p \geq q$ , and  $\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$ , then  $\cdot; \cdot; p' \vdash e' : S$ .

## Consistency

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, then  $e$  satisfies the conditions indicated by  $S$  and  $q$  is greater than or equal to the potential stored in  $v$  with respect to  $S$ .

# Meta Theory

## Progress

If  $\vdash \cdot \cdot \cdot q \vdash e : S$  and  $p \geq q$ , then either  $e$  is a value or there exist  $e'$  and  $p'$  such that  $\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$ .

## Preservation

If  $\vdash \cdot \cdot \cdot q \vdash e : S$ ,  $p \geq q$ , and  $\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$ , then  $\vdash \cdot \cdot \cdot p' \vdash e' : S$ .

## Consistency

If  $\vdash \cdot \cdot \cdot q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, then  $e$  satisfies the conditions indicated by  $S$  and  $q$  is greater than or equal to the potential stored in  $v$  with respect to  $S$ .

# Meta Theory

## Progress

If  $\cdot ; \cdot ; q \vdash e : S$  and  $p \geq q$ , then either  $e$  is a value or there exist  $e'$  and  $p'$  such that  $\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$ .

## Preservation

If  $\cdot ; \cdot ; q \vdash e : S$ ,  $p \geq q$ , and  $\langle e, p \rangle \mapsto \langle e', p' \rangle$ , then  $\cdot ; \cdot ; p' \vdash e' : S$ .

## Consistency

If  $\cdot ; \cdot ; q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, then  $e$  satisfies the conditions indicated by  $S$  and  $q$  is greater than or equal to the potential stored in  $v$  with respect to  $S$ .

# Interpretation into Refinement Logic

## Ideas

- Reflect **interpretable** values in the refinement logic.
- Booleans are interpreted as  $\{\top, \perp\}$ . Lists are interpreted as **sizes**.
- Develop a **denotational** semantics for the refinement and resource annotations.

# Interpretation into Refinement Logic

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{true}) = \top & \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{nil}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{false}) = \perp & \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{cons}(v_1, v_2)) = \mathcal{I}(v_2) + 1 \end{array}$$

- $\vdash b : \{v : \text{bool} \mid \psi\}$  indicates that  $\models [\mathcal{I}(b)/v]\psi$ .
- $\vdash [b_1, \dots, b_n] : \{v : L(\{v : \text{bool} \mid \psi'\}) \mid \psi\}$  indicates that  $\models [n/\text{size}(v)]\psi \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n [\mathcal{I}(b_i)/v]\psi'$ .

# Interpretation into Refinement Logic

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{true}) = \top & \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{nil}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{false}) = \perp & \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{cons}(v_1, v_2)) = \mathcal{I}(v_2) + 1 \end{array}$$

- $\vdash b : \{v : \text{bool} \mid \psi\}$  indicates that  $\models [\mathcal{I}(b)/v]\psi$ .
- $\vdash [b_1, \dots, b_n] : \{v : L(\{v : \text{bool} \mid \psi'\}) \mid \psi\}$  indicates that  $\models [n/\text{size}(v)]\psi \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n [\mathcal{I}(b_i)/v]\psi'$ .

# Interpretation into Refinement Logic

## Consistency: Intuition

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, then  $v$  satisfies the conditions indicated by  $S$  and  $q$  is greater than or equal to the potential stored in  $v$  with respect to  $S$ .

## Consistency: Formalization

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, the logical refinement of  $S$  is  $\psi$ , and the resource annotation of  $S$  is  $\phi$ , then  $\models [\mathcal{I}(e)/v]\psi$  and also  $\models q \geq [\mathcal{I}(e)/v]\phi$ .

# Interpretation into Refinement Logic

## Consistency: Intuition

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, then  $v$  satisfies the conditions indicated by  $S$  and  $q$  is greater than or equal to the potential stored in  $v$  with respect to  $S$ .

## Consistency: Formalization

If  $\cdot; \cdot; q \vdash e : S$  and  $e$  is a value, the logical refinement of  $S$  is  $\psi$ , and the resource annotation of  $S$  is  $\phi$ , then  $\models [\mathcal{I}(e)/v]\psi$  and also  $\models q \geq [\mathcal{I}(e)/v]\phi$ .