1	Clinical	characteristic	s and	risk	factors	of	ocular
2	candidia	isis.					

4	r	١	
	1	í	i.
۲	-	,	'

4	Miki Nagao ^{1,2,3} , Takashi Saito ^{1,2} , Shoichi Doi ^{3,4} , Gou Hotta ^{1,2} ,
5	Masaki Yamamoto ^{1,2} , Yasufumi Matsumura ^{1,2} , Aki Matsushima ^{1,2} ,
6	Yutaka Ito ^{1,5} , Shunji Takakura ^{1,2} , Satoshi Ichiyama ^{1,2}
7	
8	1 Department of Infection Control and Prevention
9	Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan
10	
11	2 Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine,
12	Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan
13	
14	3 Department of Infectious Disease
15	Kyoto Katsura Hospital, Kyoto, Japan
16	
17	4 Hematology Division of Internal Medicine
18	Kyoto Katsura Hospital, Kyoto, Japan
19	

- 20 5 Department of Respiratory Medicine
- 21 Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan
- 22
- 23 Running title
- 24 Clinical characteristics of ocular candidiasis
- 25
- 26 Corresponding author
- 27 Miki Nagao
- 28 Department of Infection Control and Prevention
- 29 Kyoto University Hospital
- 30 Tel & Fax +81-75-751-4967
- 31 54 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho,
- 32 Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 6068507 Japan
- 33 Email: <u>mnagao@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp</u>

34 Abstract

Ocular candidiasis is a major complication of Candida 35bloodstream infection (BSI). This study was performed to reveal 36 clinical characteristics of ocular candidiasis. Of the 220 patients 37with Candida BSI, 204 cases received ophthalmology 38 consultations between January 2005 and December 2011 at two 39 Fifty-four (26.5%) cases had findings teaching hospitals. 40 consistent with the diagnosis of ocular candidiasis. Of these 54 41 cases, 43 (79.6%) were diagnosed within 7 days after a positive 42blood culture. Among ocular candidiasis cases, more cases were 43due to *Candida albicans* (P = 0.034 OR; 3.68 95% CI 1.11-12.2) 44 and had higher beta-D-glucan values (P = 0.001 OR; 9.99 95% CI 452.60 - 21.3). We need to consider fundoscopic examination to be 46 performed within first 7 days of therapy, especially for those 47patients who have *C. albicans* BSIs and higher beta-D-glucan 48Additionally, follow-up fundoscopic examination should values. 49be considered before stopping therapy for high-risk patients. 50

Introduction 51

52	Bloodstream infections (BSIs) caused by <i>Candida</i> species have
53	been reported to be increasingly frequent in recent decades,
54	possibly due to rapid changes in medical practice. Candida
55	BSI can lead to hematogenous dissemination and metastatic
56	ocular infection with potentially devastating consequences.
57	Consequently, a rise in related mortality and prolonged
58	hospitalisation has been reported [Edmond et al., 1999; Jarvis et
59	al., 1995; Kao et al., 1999; Pfaller and Diekema, 2007; Rentz et al.,
60	1998; Sheng et al., 2005; Wisplinghoff et al., 2004].
61	Normally, patients who have chorioretinitis alone are often
62	asymptomatic and respond better to systemic antifungal therapy
63	than those with vitreal involvement. However, in advanced
64	stages, the intravitreal injection of an antifungal agent with or
65	without vitrectomy is needed. Thus, it is very important for
66	doctors to properly diagnose ocular candidiasis in the early stages
67	of the infection.

In this study, patients with blood cultures positive for 68 Candida BSIs were reviewed for the incidence and clinical 69 characteristics of ocular candidiasis to reveal the risk factors of 70

- 71 ocular candidiasis.
- 72

73 Materials and Methods

74 Study design

This study was performed at two teaching hospitals in Kyoto, 75Kvoto University Hospital (KUH) is a tertiary care 76 Japan. university hospital with 1240 beds, and Katsura Hospital is an 77 emergency hospital with 585 beds. Infectious disease physicians 78 perform proactive interventions for all patients with BSI in these 79 In cases of *Candida* BSIs, catheter removal is hospitals. 80 recommended, blood cultures are collected to confirm all negative 81 results, and finally, fundoscopy is performed by ophthalmologists 82 usually within first 7 days of therapy. *Candida* BSI was defined 83 by at least one positive blood culture for *Candida* species and a 84 clinical sign of infection (e.g., fever, hypotension or tachypnea). 85 Two hundred and twenty cases of *Candida* BSIs were 86 diagnosed in the two Kyoto teaching hospitals from January 2005 87 to December 2011. To assess the incidence and clinical 88 characteristics of patients with ocular involvement, we performed 89 medical chart reviews of the *Candida* BSI patients who had 90

 $\mathbf{5}$

consulted ophthalmologists. For the classification of ocular 91 candidiasis, we incorporated the criteria proposed by Oude Lashof 92[Oude Lashof et al., 2011]. Proven ocular candidiasis was 93 defined as ocular lesions in combination with positive histology or 94a positive culture of a vitreous aspirate. Probable *Candida* 95endophthalmitis was defined as vitritis or fluffy lesions with 96 extensions into the vitreous humour. Probable Candida 97 chorioretinitis was defined as deep focal white infiltrates in the 98 retina. If signs of chorioretinitis were observed in patients with 99 an underlying systemic disease that reportedly exhibits similar 100 lesions (e.g., diabetes, hypertension or concomitant bacteremia), 101 these cases were classified as possible ocular candidiasis. 102 Clinical information acquired from medical charts included age, 103 sex, underlying diseases, receipt of corticosteroids or other 104 immunosuppressive agents during the previous 30 days, any 105antimicrobial therapy during the previous 30 days, surgery 106 during the previous 30 days, time to first negative blood culture, 107 interval between blood culture and antifungal therapy, interval 108 between sign of infection and removal of the catheter or 109 antifungal agents, interval between positive fungal culture and 110

catheter removal, the specific fungal species, antifungal therapy 111 and 30-day mortality. Digestive tract involvement included any 112gastrointestinal disorders such as malignancies of digestive tract 113and inflammatory bowel diseases. The (1,3)-B-D-glucan (BDG) 114 test values that were taken within 3 days after positive blood 115cultures were also evaluated. At Katsura, the BDG values were 116determined using the Fungitec G test (Seikagaku Corporation, 117Tokyo, Japan). At KUH, the BDG values were determined using 118 the WAKO 8-glucan test (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Tokyo, 119 The results were analysed according to the Japan). 120

121 manufacturer's instructions.

122 Statistical analysis

123 Categorical variables were compared using Fisher's exact test.

124 Continuous variables were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis

125 test or the Mann-Whitney U test. BDG values under the limit of

126 detection were considered to be 0.0 pg/mL. Receiver-operating

127 characteristic (ROC) curves for the BDG levels were constructed,

128 and their optimal cut-off values were determined with the

129 maximum Youden index. Potential factors associated with

130 ocular candidiasis were examined by Cox proportional hazards

regression analysis. All covariates with a *p*-value of less than
0.10 on univariate analyses were subjected to further selection by
the above-mentioned multivariate analyses. The data were
analysed with PASW software version 18.0 (SPSS) for Microsoft
Windows. All P value tests were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was
considered statistically significant.

137

138 **Results**

139 Incidence

140 Of the 220 patients with *Candida* BSI, 204 presented to

141 ophthalmologists for the diagnosis of ocular candidiasis were

included in this study. Six of the 16 Candida BSI patients who

143 did not consult ophthalmologists included critically ill patients

144 whose prognosis had been presumed to be very poor or who died

145 before the identification of positive fungal cultures.

146 Fifty-four (26.5%) of the 204 *Candida* BSI patients who were

147 evaluated by ophthalmologists had fundoscopic abnormalities

148 that met the criteria for ocular candidiasis. Among ocular

149 candidiasis cases, 10 were probable endophthalmitis, 24 were

150 probable chorioretinitis, and 20 cases were possible

151 chorioretinitis.

152 Epidemiologic characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the study population are shown in 153The groups with or without ocular involvement did not Table 1. 154differ with respect to age, sex, diabetes mellitus status, the use of 155immunosuppressive agents or the use of systemic antibiotics 156within the previous month, but more patients with ocular 157involvement had malignancies. In addition, more patients with 158ocular manifestations had digestive tract abnormalities (e.g., 159digestive tract surgery, inflammatory bowel syndromes, 160 malignancy of a digestive tract), whereas ocular candidiasis was 161 rare in the departments of Dermatology, Rheumatology and 162Cardiovascular Surgery. Ocular candidiasis patients were 163 infected significantly more frequently with *Candida albicans* and 164 less often with *C. parapsilosis* than patients without retinal 165The length of time to the first negative blood culture, lesions. 166 the time to catheter removal and the administration of antifungal 167 agents did not differ between groups. 168

169 Timing of fundscopic examination

170 One hundred and eighty (88.2%) patients received fundscopic

171 examination once and 24 patients received twice or more.

Ocular abnormalities consistent with ocular candidiasis were 172diagnosed within 7 days after positive blood culture in 43 patients, 173whereas 11 patients were diagnosed as having ocular candidiasis 174more than 8 days later (Figure 1). Twenty-one (38.9%) patients 175were diagnosed within 3 days, and the average time from a 176positive blood culture to the diagnosis of ocular candidiasis was 177The time to the first negative fungal culture was 5.5 days. 178longer in the patients who were diagnosed with ocular candidiasis 179at the time of a second fundoscopy performed more than 8 days 180 later after the positive fungal culture; all patients had 181 malignancies, had diabetes mellitus or were being treated with 182immunosuppressive agents. 183

184 BDG values and ocular candidiasis

The diagnostic kit used for the measurement of BDG values
differed between KUH and Katsura; therefore, we created ROC
curves and determined that the appropriate cut-off values were

188 22.5 and 42.7 for KUH and Katsura, respectively. A case was

defined as BDG-high if the BDG value was higher than the cut-off

190 value. Using the cut-off value, more patients with ocular

191 candidiasis than patients with non-ocular candidiasis were

192 grouped as BDG-high cases. There was no relationship between

¹⁹³ the BDG value and causative agents (data not shown).

194 Clinical outcome

Among 54 cases of ocular candidiasis, 42 patients completed

antifungal therapy without any worsening of visual acuity, and 12

197 patients died before the completion of antifungal therapy.

Among the chorioretinitis cases, 33 out of 35 patients who

199 provided a report indicated they had no ocular abnormalities.

200 Among the ocular candidiasis cases, micafungin was prescribed to

201 23 patients, and fluconazole was prescribed to 25 patients. In 16

of the 23 patients who received micafungin therapy, the

203 antifungal treatment regimen was shifted to fluconazole or

amphotericin-B after the diagnosis of ocular candidiasis.

The 30-day mortality rate of patients with ocular abnormalities was also higher, although these differences were not statistically significant.

208 Analysis of risk factors

209 Candida albicans as the etiological agent (P = 0.034 OR; 3.68 95%

CI 1.11-12.2) and higher beta-D-glucan values (P = 0.001 OR; 9.99

211	95% CI $2.60 - 21.3$) were statistically significant for the risk
212	factors of ocular candidiasis, as determined by multivariate
213	regression analysis (Table 2).
214	
215	Discussion
216	This study investigated the incidence and clinical characteristics
217	of ocular candidiasis. According to previous studies, the
218	prevalence of ocular candidiasis is estimated to be between 1 -
219	45% [Rodrguez-Adria'n et al., 2003; Oude Lashof et al., 2011,
220	Parke et al., 1982; Brooks, 1989; Shah et al., 2008]. In this study,
221	ocular abnormalities occurred in 26% of 204 patients. It is likely
222	that patient selection led to the comparatively high prevalence of
223	ocular candidiasis. Among our patients, 50% had malignancies,
224	and more than 80% had predisposing risk factors such as
225	antibiotic exposure, diabetes mellitus or the use of
226	immunosuppressive therapy. Furthermore, many patients had
227	been admitted for gastrointestinal diseases. Malignancy and
228	gastrointestinal disease were statistically significant risk factors
229	for ocular candidiasis as determined by chi-squared tests,
230	although the statistical significance was not retained in the
	12

231	multivariate regression model. Considering the pathogenesis of
232	endogenous ocular candidiasis, physical mucosal damage and
233	changes in normal flora induced by broad-spectrum antibiotics or
234	chemotherapy may facilitate the occurrence of ocular involvement.
235	Thus, the high prevalence of ocular candidiasis observed in this
236	study may have been the result of the severely
237	immunocompromised state of many patients.
238	Of all of the <i>Candida</i> species, <i>C. albicans</i> was observed to
239	have the greatest propensity to cause ocular candidiasis. In
240	contrast, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> was associated with ocular
241	manifestations significantly less frequently. In this study,
242	patients with ocular candidiasis were mostly infected with C .
243	albicans, a finding that is consistent with prior reports (Donahue
244	et al., 1994; Rodrguez-Adria´n et al., 2003; Oude Lashof et al.,
245	2011, Parke et al., 1982; Brooks, 1989; Shah et al., 2008). Some
246	of these cases occurred despite prompt catheter removal and the
247	immediate administration of antifungal agents after the onset of
248	Candida BSIs. These results suggest that fungal virulence as
249	well as host and treatment factors may be involved in the
250	pathogenesis of ocular candidiasis. It is likely that the high

prevalence of *C. albicans* may also have increased the rate of
ocular candidiasis in this study.

Several studies revealed that the prospective evaluation of 253circulating BDG in high-risk patients generates positive results 254that are available before the culture results and can improve the 255diagnosis of invasive candidiasis (Koo et al., 2009; Acosta et al., 2562011; Ostrosky-Zeichner et al., 2005). In this study, more 257patients with ocular candidiasis had higher BDG values, and 258BDG positivity had a significant relationship with the 259development of ocular candidiasis. However, there was no 260relationship between elevated BDG values and etiologic agents 261such as *C. albicans* or the prognosis of *Candida* BSIs (data not 262shown). Although the BDG values that reflect the burden of 263Candida species and the half-life are still unknown, when higher 264BDG values are present, ocular candidiasis may have already 265occurred in these patients, even if they are asymptomatic. 266

Despite the high prevalence of ocular candidiasis, periodic ophthalmologic examinations are rarely performed in patients susceptible to opportunistic infection. According to the IDSA guidelines for invasive candidiasis, ophthalmologists should

investigate each patient for the presence of ocular candidiasis 271(Pappas et al., 2009), but the optimal timing for this evaluation 272has not been established. Previous studies have advised an 273interval of < 14 days between the start of treatment and the first 274retinal abnormality, an interval that is consistent with candidal 275chorioretinitis (Rodrguez-Adria'n et al., 2003; Krishna et al., 2762000). Although the optimal treatment for endogenous ocular 277candidiasis has not been clearly established yet, fluconazole and 278voriconazole appear to be the most effective (pappas et al., 2009; 279Khan et al., 2007). In our study, 80% of cases were diagnosed 280within 7 days, and the antifungal agents were changed from 281micafungin to azoles or amphotericin in 16 of the 42 ocular 282candidiasis cases. If fundoscopy was performed later, the 283opportunity for the earlier administration of potentially more 284optimal antifungal agents might have been missed. In our study, 285more than 80% of the ocular candidiasis cases were chorioretinitis, 286which usually does not require surgical interventions. Many 287patients completed the course of antifungal therapy without any 288visual disturbance. We speculate that earlier diagnosis and 289treatment resulted in the improved prognosis regarding visual 290

On the other hand, some ocular candidiasis cases were 291acuity. diagnosed by a second fundoscopic examination more than 8 days 292Ideally, when we consider a strategy based on the fact that later. 293earlier diagnosis yields a better prognosis, fundoscopic 294examination should be performed within first 7 days of antifungal 295therapy, especially in those with C. albicans BSIs and higher BDG 296In addition, follow-up fundoscopic examination should values. 297also be considered in severely immunosuppressed patients, even 298if the first fundoscopic examination yielded negative results. 299300

301 Study limitations

This study has several limitations, including the fact that most of 302 the patients without ocular candidiasis were not re-examined 303 Conceivably, the disseminated fungal lesions could have serially. 304 arisen in healthy eyes after the initial exam and therefore may 305 have been missed in some cases. Second, approximately 7.2% of 306 the Candida BSI patients did not consult ophthalmologists for 307 their underlying conditions. During discussion with those 308 patients about the risk factors for ocular candidiasis, fundoscopy 309 may have been indicated but not performed in some cases. 310

Thirdly, we included the possible cases of ocular candidiasis who had severe underlying diseases in this study. The prevalence rate of ocular candidiasis might have been much lower than reported here.

- 315 **Transparency Declaration**
- This work was partly supported by grant H21-Shinko-Ippan-009
- and H23-Shinkou-Ippan-018 from the Ministry of Health, Labor,
- and Welfare of Japan. Some of the results were generated
- during routine diagnostic activities. No commercial
- 320 relationships or potential conflicts of interest exist.

322 References

- 1. Acosta J, Catalan M, Del Palacio-Perez-MEdel A, Montejo JC,
- 324 De-La-Cruz-Bertolo J, Moraques MD, Ponton J, Finkelman
- MA, Del Placio A (2011) Prospective study in ciritcally ill
- 326 non-neutropenic patients: diagnostic potential of
- (1,3)- β -D-glucan assay and circulating galactomannan for the
- diagnosis of invasive fungal disease Eur J Clin Microbiol
- Infect Dis Aug 3. [Epub ahead of print]
- 330 2. Brooks RG (1989) Prospective study of Candida
- endophthalmitis in hospitalized patients with candidemia.
- 332 Arch Intern Med 149:2226–8.
- 333 3. Donahue SP, Greven CM, Zuravleff JJ, Eller AW, Nguyen MH,
- ³³⁴ Peacock JE Jr, Wagener MW, Yu VL (1994) Intraocular
- candidiasis in patients with candidemia. Clinical implications
- derived from a prospective multicenter study. *Ophthalmology*101:1302–9.
- 4. Edmond MB, Wallace SE, McClish DK, Pfaller MA, Jones RN,
- 339 Wenzel RP (1999) Nosocomial blood stream infections in
- 340 United States hospitals: a three-year analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*
- 341 29: 239-44.

342	5.	Jarvis WR (1995) Epidemiology of nosocomial fungal
343		infections, with emphasis on Candida species Clin Infect Dis
344		20:1526–30.
345	6.	Kao AS, Brandt ME, Pruitt WR, Conn LA, Perkins BA,
346		Stephens DS, Baughman ES, Reingold AL, Rothrock GA,
347		Pfaller MA, Pinner RW, Haijeh RA (1999) The epidemiology of
348		candidemia in two United States cities: results of a
349		population-based active surveillance Clin Infect Dis
350		29:1164–70.
351	7.	Khan FA, Slain D, Khakoo RA (2007) Candida
352		endophthalmitis: Focus on current and future antifungal
353		treatment options. <i>Pharmacotherapy</i> 27:1711–21.
354	8.	Koo S, Bryar JM, Page JH, Baden LR, Marty FM (2009)
355		Diagnostic performance of the $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-Glucan assay for
356		invasive fungal disease. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 49:1650-9.
357	9.	Krishna R, Amuh D, Lowder CY, Gordon SM, Adal KA, Hall G
358		(2000) Should all patients with candidaemia have an
359		ophthalmic examination to rule out ocular candidiasis? <i>Eye</i>
360		14:30–34.
361	10	. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Alexander BD, Kett DH, Vazquez J,

362	Pappas PG, Saeki F, Ketchum PA, Wingard J, Schiff R,
363	Tamura H, Finkelman MA, Rex JH (2005) Multicenter clinical
364	evaluation of $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -B-D-Glucan assay as an aid to diagnosis of
365	fungal infections in humans. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 41:654-9.
366	11. Oude Lashof AM, Rothova A, Sobel JD Ruhnke M, Pappas PG,
367	Viscoli C, Schlamm HT, Oborska IT, Rex JH, Kullberg BJ
368	(2011) Ocular manifestations of candidemia. Clin Infect Dis
369	53:262-8.
370	12. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK Jr,
371	Calandra TF, Edwards JE Jr, Filler SG, Fisher JF, Kullberg
372	BJ, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Walsh TJ, Sobel
373	JD; Infectious Diseases Society of America (2009) Clinical
374	practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009
375	update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. <i>Clin</i>
376	Infect Dis 48:503–35.
377	13. Parke DW 2nd, Jones DB, Gentry LO (1982) Endogenous
378	endophthalmitis among patients with candidemia.
379	<i>Ophthalmology</i> 89:789–96.
380	14. Pfaller MA, and Diekema DJ (2007) Epidemiology of invasive
381	candidiasis: a persistent public health problem Clin Microbiol

.

Rev 20:133–163.

383	15. Rentz AM, Halpern MT, Bowden R (1998) The impact of
384	candidemia on length of hospital stay, outcome, and overall
385	cost of illness. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 27:781-788.
386	16. Rodrguez-Adria´n LJ, King RT, Tamayo-Derat LG, Miller JW,
387	Garcia CA, Rex JH (2003) Retinal lesions as clues to
388	disseminated bacterial and candidal infections: Frequency,
389	natural history, and etiology. <i>Medicine</i> (Baltimore)
390	82:187–202.
391	17. Shah CP, Mckey J, Spirn MJ Maquire J (2008) Ocular
392	candidiasis: a review. Br J Ophtalmol 92:466-468.
393	18. Sheng WH, Wang JT, Lu DC, Chie WC, Chen YC, Chang SC
394	(2005) Comparative impact of hospital stay and outcome
395	between community hospitals and medical centres. $JHosp$
396	Infect 59:205-214.
397	19. Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP,
398	and Edmond MB (2004) Nosocomial bloodstream infections in
399	US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from prospective
400	nationwide surveillance study. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 39:309-317.
401	

402 Figure 1 Cumulative incidence of ocular candidiasis

403

- 404 Ocular candidiasis was diagnosed within 7 days after positive
- ⁴⁰⁵ blood culture in 43 patients, whereas 11 patients were diagnosed
- 406 with ocular candidiasis more than 8 days later.

Figure 1



	Ocular	Non-ocular			
	candidiasis	(%)	candidiasis	(%)	Р
	(N=54)		(N=150)		
A	$62.8 \pm$		0.014 ± 10.0		0.000
Age	18.9		65.14 ± 19.8		0.925
Male	28	51.9%	80	53.3%	0.875
Malignancy	41	75.9%	60	40.0%	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	12	28.6%	22	17.3%	0.210
Digestive tract involvement	35	68.6%	61	41.5%	0.001
Immunosuppressive agent	20	37.0%	32	21.3%	0.083
Antibiotic within one month	46	86.8%	112	74.7%	0.083
Surgery within one month	17	31.5%	46	30.7%	0.911
C. parapsilosis	3	5.6%	35	23.3%	0.002
C. albicans	40	74.1%	67	44.7%	< 0.001
C. glabrata	5	9.3%	19	12.7%	0.626
C. tropicalis	5	9.3%	18	12.0%	0.862
High beta-D-glucan (N=88)	29	74.4%	31	34.4%	< 0.001
Time to first negative blood	$5.52 \pm$		$5.32 \pm 3.40,$		0 797
culture, mean, range (days)	4.04, 1-14		1-27		0.787
Blood culture to antifungal	1.82 ±		$2.34 \pm 2.81,$		0 117
agent, mean, range (days)	1.37, 1-5		1-5		0.117
First sign of infection to	$1.52 \pm$		1.56 ± 2.17 ,		0.020
removal of the catheter,	2.30, 1-12		1-11		0.920

1 Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the study patients

mean, range (days)					
Sign of infection to					
	$2.28 \pm$		2.36 ± 2.53 ,		
antifungal agents, mean,					0.872
	3.17, 1-8		1-9		
range (days)					
Interval between positive					
	$1.00 \pm$		$0.72 \pm 1.82,$		
fungal culture and catheter					0.647
	3.99, 1-3		1-3		
removal, mean, range (days)					
30-day mortality	14	25.9%	28	18.7%	0.326

Table 2Results of multilvariate regression analysis of factorsassociated with ocular candidiasis

	P value	Exp(B)	95% CI
(1,3)-β-D-glucan high	0.001	9.99	2.60-21.3
C. albicans	0.034	3.68	1.11-12.2
Digestive tract involvement	0.290		
Malignancy	0.714		
Immunosuppressive agent	0.625		
Antibiotic within one month	0.483		