

ON ADJACENCY MATRICES AND DESCRIPTORS OF SIGNED CYCLE GRAPHS

A.M. MATHAI AND THOMAS ZASLAVSKY

Abstract

This paper deals with adjacency matrices of signed cycle graphs and chemical descriptors based on them. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrices are calculated and their efficacy in classifying different signed cycles is determined. The efficacy of some numerical indices is also examined.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: Primary 05C22; Secondary 05C50, 05C90

Keywords: Signed graphs, adjacency matrices, eigenvalues, eigenvectors, proteomics.

1. INTRODUCTION

When graph theory is used to classify items such as chemicals it is usually done by identifying vertices and edges of a graph with various descriptors of the chemical under consideration and then devising an index based on the corresponding molecular graph under consideration. Usually a single number index is proposed. Here we will examine indices based on adjacency matrices. An index in current use is the Wiener index W [11], $\frac{1}{2}$ of the sum of all the elements in the distance matrix D . Other descriptors proposed in [7] are the norms of D .

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= \|D\|_1 = \max_i \sum_j |d_{ij}|, \\ N_2 &= \|D\|_2 = \max_j \sum_i |d_{ij}|, \\ N_3 &= \sqrt{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where λ is the largest eigenvalue of DD' , D' being the transpose of D .

J. of Combinatorics, Information & System Sciences Vol. **37** (2012), No. 2-4, pages 369-382

© MD Publications Pvt Ltd

Corresponding author email: mathai@math.mcgill.ca, zaslav@math.binghamton.edu

Graph theory techniques are used in proteomics maps to identify or classify protein spots [3, 4]. In the present article we look into the problem of unique determination of the underlying pattern in a signed cycle graph (that is, a simple cycle with signed edges) through its adjacency matrix.

2. ADJACENCY MATRICES

A *signed graph* (G, σ) is a graph G with a sign function $\sigma : E(G) \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$. We will examine properties of some ‘patterned matrices’ first and then these matrices will be associated with adjacency matrices of signed cycle graphs. This procedure will yield a number of results on eigenvalues and eigenvectors of adjacency matrices of signed cycles.

Consider the following $n \times n$ circulant matrix:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Note that B is real and an orthonormal matrix. $BB' = I \Rightarrow B' = B^{-1}$, where the prime denotes the transpose. Then some interesting properties follow. First, $B' = B^{n-1} = B^{-1}$. If ν is an eigenvalue of B then ν^{-1} is an eigenvalue of B^{-1} sharing the same eigenvectors. Hence, if we consider $A = B+B' = B+B^{-1}$ then an eigenvalue of A is of the form $\lambda = \nu + \nu^{-1}$ where ν is an eigenvalue of B . Note that A is the following patterned matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B + B' = B + B^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

But A is the adjacency matrix $A(C_n)$ of a simple cycle.

Now we consider matrices obtained from B by multiplying columns by -1 . Suppose that the i th column of B is multiplied by $\sigma_i = (-1)^{\beta_i}$, $\beta_i \in \{0, 1\}$, so that a total of r columns are multiplied by -1 , that is, $r = \beta_n + \dots + \beta_1$. Call the new matrix B_β , where $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$. B_β is still an orthonormal matrix. If ν is an eigenvalue of B_β and $X = (z_1, \dots, z_n)'$ is the corresponding eigenvector then

$$B_\beta X = \nu X.$$

Writing the eigenvector elements explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= \sigma_n \nu z_{n-1} = \sigma_n \nu \sigma_{n-1} \nu z_{n-2} = \dots \\ &= \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \dots \sigma_2 \nu^{n-1} z_1 = \sigma z_n \\ &\Rightarrow \nu^n = \sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(C_n) = (-1)^r,$$

which means that ν is an n th root of $+1$ if r is even and an n th root of -1 if r is odd. The roots are given by

$$e^{i\pi \frac{2j}{n}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

when r is even, and

$$e^{i\pi \frac{2j+1}{n}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

when r is odd.

Let $s \in \{0, 1\}$ be such that $\sigma = (-1)^s$; then the roots are

$$e^{i\pi \frac{2j+s}{n}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \tag{5}$$

in all cases. Note that -1 is always a root when n is odd or r is odd ($s = 1$).

Let $A_\beta = B_\beta + B_\beta'^{-1} = B_\beta + B_\beta^{-1}$. Let the cycle C_n have edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n , in cyclic order. Note that $B_{(0,\dots,0)} = B$ and $A_{(0,\dots,0)} = A = A(C_n)$. The connection between matrices B_β, A_β and signed graphs is the following fact.

Proposition 1. The matrix A_β corresponding to a choice of indices $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ is the adjacency matrix $A(C_n, \sigma)$ of the signed cycle (C_n, σ) where the edge e_i has sign $\sigma(e_i) = \sigma_i = (-1)^{\beta_i}$.

Hence, we obtain the eigenvalues of $A(C_n, \sigma)$ from the following theorem. (The eigenvalues were previously obtained by Acharya [1, page 205] and Fan [6, Proposition 2.2], using different methods.)

Theorem 2. The patterned matrix A_β has the eigenvalues

$$\mu_j^\beta = 2 \cos \frac{2j+s}{n} \pi, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

which equal

$$2 \cos \frac{2j}{n} \pi, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

if r is even ($\sigma(C_n) = +1$), and

$$2 \cos \frac{2j+1}{n} \pi, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

if r is odd ($\sigma(C_n) = -1$), and

Proof: The proof follows from equation (5). □

Remark 3. The eigenvalues of A_β depend only on s and n .

Since $\mu_{n-j-s}^\beta = \mu_j^\beta$, the eigenvalues of A_β have multiplicity 2, with the exception of the simple eigenvalues $\mu_0^\beta = 2$ when $s = 0$ (that is, $\sigma = +1$), and $\mu_{\frac{n-s}{2}}^\beta = -2$ when $n-s$ is even (that is, when $\sigma = (-1)^n$; equivalently, $\sigma = +1$ and n is even, or $\sigma = -1$ and n is odd).

Corollary 4. 2 is an eigenvalue of A_β when r is even for all n , and -2 is an eigenvalue of A_β when r and n are odd.

Corollary 5. The characteristic polynomial of A_β , denoted by $P_s(\lambda)$, depends only on s and is given by

$$P_1(\lambda) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\lambda - 2 \cos \frac{2j+1}{n} \pi \right),$$

$$P_0(\lambda) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\lambda - 2 \cos \frac{2j}{n} \pi \right).$$

Remark 6. Since the trace of A is zero the sum of the eigenvalues is zero.

Remark 7. If n is even and λ is an eigenvalue of A , then $-\lambda$ is also an eigenvalue of A .

Remark 8. If n is even then A and $-A$ have the same eigenvalues. The corresponding graph-theory statement is that if n is even, negating every edge of (C_n, σ) does not change the sign σ of C_n .

Remark 9. There will be $\frac{1}{2}2^n = 2^{n-1}$ matrices A_β with even r , having eigenvalues $2 \cos \frac{2j\pi}{n}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and 2^{n-1} matrices A_β with odd r , having eigenvalues $2 \cos(2j + 1)\frac{\pi}{n}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$.

For example, for $n = 3$; the four matrices A_β with even r have eigenvalues $2, -\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3}$. The four matrices A_β with odd r have eigenvalues $\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}, -2$.

For $n = 4$, the eight matrices A_β with even r have eigenvalues $2, 0, 0, -2$. The eight matrices A_β with odd r have eigenvalues $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}$.

3. CLASSIFICATION BY DESCRIPTORS

Any system of Classification that determines the signs of the edges in a signed cycle will uniquely determine the adjacency matrix. One of the criteria for Classification, frequently used in the literature, is the Wiener index, which is the sum of the elements in the matrix under consideration. In the case of the adjacency matrices discussed above, 2^n in number, the Wiener index will be $2n-2r = 2n, 2n-2, \dots, -2n$. Out of these only $2n$ and $-2n$ will uniquely determine the adjacency matrix. Another popular criterion is the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of the matrix, the so-called energy. In the case of a signed cycle, the eigenvalues are roots of unity and hence this sum is n . Other criteria suggested by this author are the norms of the matrix. Some of the standard norms for a

matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ are N_1, N_2, N_3 as defined in (1). For the adjacency matrix of a signed cycle, $N_1 = N_2 = 2$ and hence N_1 and N_2 are poor criteria for Classification. What about N_3 ? In our case, where $A = A_\beta$ and $A = A'$, we have $AA' = A^2$. If λ is an eigenvalue of A then A^2 has the eigenvalue λ^2 ; thus, N_3 equals the largest absolute value of an eigenvalue of A . When either 2 or -2 is an eigenvalue of A , $N_3 = 2$. By Corollary 4 that is not the case only when n is odd and r is even, when the largest absolute value of an eigenvalue is $2 \cos \frac{\pi}{n}$. Therefore, N_3 can at most distinguish whether r is even or odd. Hence such single number criterion based on the eigenvalues will be poor in identifying the corresponding graphs. The most efficacious one is known to be the Wiener index, which determines the value of r given that of n but cannot determine precisely which edges have positive or negative signs.

The spectrum or characteristic polynomial of $A(C_n, \sigma)$ is less effective than the Wiener index as it determines only the value of n and the parity of r . It cannot distinguish more than that because it is determined by the order and sign of (C_n, σ) , which are n and $\sigma(C_n) = (-1)^r$. This can be explained by *switching*. Switching a vertex v in a signed graph means reversing the signs of all edges incident with that vertex; it has the effect of multiplying the row and column of v in $A(C_n, \sigma)$ by -1 ; in other words, $A(C_n, \sigma)$ changes to $DA(C_n, \sigma)D$ where D is a diagonal matrix with diagonal $+1$ except for -1 in the row of v . It is known that the spectrum is not changed by switching, and it is known that any two signings of C_n with the same sign $(-1)^r$, that is the same parity of r , can be changed into each other by switching some set of vertices [12].

However, the eigenvectors can be used to refine the classification. Suppose a set W of vertices is switched. Let D be the diagonal matrix with -1 in the rows of vertices in W and $+1$ elsewhere. Switching changes the adjacency matrix A to $A_W = DAD$. The eigenvector associated to an eigenvalue ν satisfies $AX = \nu X$ and therefore

$$A_W(DX) = \nu(DX).$$

The eigenvector after switching has elements corresponding to W negated; this enables the signed graphs before and after switching to be distinguished. Hence, the eigenvectors can distinguish the different signings of a simple cycle. This general statement requires a proof, and there will be exceptional cases where zero is an element in an eigenvector. Thus, we state a theorem.

Recall that the cycle has edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n in cyclic order with signs $\sigma_i = \sigma(e_i)$.

We show that an eigenvector of A_β determines the edge signs. Let $\mu_j^\beta = 2 \cos \frac{2j+s}{n}\pi$ and $x_j^\beta = (x_{j1}^\beta, x_{j2}^\beta, \dots, x_{jn}^\beta) = (x_{jk}^\beta)_{k=1}^n$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, be the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A_β .

Theorem 10. *Two independent eigenvectors of A_β associated to the eigenvalue $\mu_j^\beta = 2 \cos \frac{2j+s}{n}\pi = \mu_{n-s-j}^\beta$ $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, are x_j^β and y_j^β given by*

$$x_{jk}^\beta = \cos \frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi$$

and

$$y_{j,k}^\beta = \sin \frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and the eigenspace associated to μ_j^β is the span of x_j^β and y_j^β , with the following exceptions.

When $\sigma = +1$ (r is even, $s = 0$), the eigenvectors of A_β associated to the eigenvalue $\mu_0^\beta = 2$ are the scalar multiples of

$$x_0^\beta = (\sigma_1, \sigma_1\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n)'$$

in which $x_{0k}^\beta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$. If n is also even, $n = 2m$, then the eigenvectors of A_β associated to the eigenvalue $\mu_m^\beta = -2$ are the scalar multiples of

$$x_m^\beta = (-\sigma_1, \sigma_1\sigma_2, \dots, -\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n)'$$

in which $x_{mk}^\beta = (-1)^k \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$.

When $\sigma = -1$ (r is odd, $s = 1$) and n is odd, $n = 2m + 1$, the eigenvectors of A_β associated to the eigenvalue $\mu_m^\beta = -2$ are the scalar multiples of

$$x_m^\beta = (-\sigma_1, \sigma_1\sigma_2, \dots, -\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n)'$$

in which $x_{mk}^\beta = (-1)^k \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$.

Proof: The proof begins by establishing properties of B_β . Let δ_{ij} denote the Kronecker delta, $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$.

Lemma 11. *The elements of B_β^k are $b_{\beta ij}$ given by*

$$b_{\beta ij} = \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_{j+k-1} \delta_{i+k,j},$$

where the subscripts are read modulo n . Thus, $B_\beta^k = B^k D$ where D is the diagonal matrix

$$\text{diag}(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k, \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_n \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{k-1}).$$

Proof: For $k = 0, 1$ this is the definition. (The empty product of signs σ_i is equal to $+1$.) The proof for $k > 1$ is by induction. \square

Proposition 12. *The eigenvector of B_β corresponding to the eigenvalue $\nu_j^\beta = e^{i\frac{2j+s}{n}\pi}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, is z_j^β whose components are*

$$z_{jk}^\beta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k e^{i\frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi}.$$

Proof: By Lemma 11 and the definition $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n = \sigma$, $(B_\beta)^n = \sigma I$. Therefore, the characteristic polynomial of B_β is $\lambda^n - \sigma = \lambda^n - e^{i\pi s}$, whose roots are $e^{i\frac{2j+s}{n}\pi}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

The eigenvector corresponding to $\nu = e^{i\frac{2j+s}{n}\pi}$ is obtained by solving

$$B_\beta z = (\sigma_2 z_2, \dots, \sigma_n z_n, \sigma_1 z_1)' = (\nu z_1, \dots, \nu z_{n-1}, \nu z_n)'$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)'$. The solution is obtained as in equation (4) with the arbitrary choice $z_n = 1$. \square

The proof of Theorem 10 resumes. A_β has the same eigenvectors as B_β , but as A_β is symmetric it has real eigenvalues, so we find real eigenvectors. The real eigenvectors are the real and imaginary parts of z_j^β , which gives two eigenvectors, except when the imaginary part $\text{Im } z_j^\beta$ has all components equal to 0.

The real part of z_j^β has components $\cos \frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi$ and the imaginary part has components $\sin \frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi$. This gives the general form of $x_{jk}^\beta = \text{Re } z_{jk}^\beta = \cos \frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi$ and $y_{jk}^\beta = \text{Im } z_{jk}^\beta = \sin \frac{(2j+s)k}{n}\pi$. The real and imaginary parts are duplicated (up to negation) for $j > \frac{n-s}{2}$, by the identity

$$z_{n-j-s}^\beta = \bar{z}_j^\beta, \tag{6}$$

which implies that $x_{n-j-s}^\beta = x_j^\beta$ and $y_{n-j-s}^\beta = -y_j^\beta$. Equation (6) is proved by

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n-j-s,k}^\beta &= \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k e^{i \frac{(2|n-j-s|+s)k}{n} \pi} = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k e^{i \frac{(2n-2j-s)k}{n} \pi} \\ &= \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k e^{i \frac{-(2j+s)k}{n} \pi} = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k e^{i \frac{(2j+s)k}{n} \pi} = \bar{z}_{jk}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, eigenvalue μ_j^β has the eigenvectors x_j^β and y_j^β for $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-s}{2}$, except when one of them is zero.

The component $x_{j1}^\beta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \cos \frac{2j+s}{n} \pi = 0$ if and only if $\frac{(2j+s)2}{n}$ is an odd integer. Since $0 \leq (2j + s)2 \leq 2n$, that implies $\frac{(2j+s)2}{n} = 1$, thus $x_{j2}^\beta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \cos \pi = \pm 1$. Therefore, $x_j^\beta \neq 0$.

The imaginary part y_j^β is the zero vector when $\sin \frac{(2j+s)k}{n} \pi = 0$ for all k , that is, $\frac{2j+s}{n}$ is an integer. Assuming $0 \leq 2j + s \leq n$, that is the case when $s = 0$ and n divides $2j$, so $j = 0$, or n is even and $j = \frac{n}{2}$, or when $s = 1$ and n is odd and divides $2j + 1$, so $n = 2j + 1$. These are the exceptional cases stated in the theorem.

□

If we suppose that the information available includes the length and sign of the cycle, n and σ , and an eigenvector x corresponding to eigenvalue 2 if $\sigma = +1$ or n is even, or an eigenvector x corresponding to eigenvalue -2 if $\sigma = -1$ and n is odd, then we can deduce the signs of all edges from Theorem 10. In the former case $\sigma_k = x_k/x_{k-1}$ and in the latter case $\sigma_k = -x_k/x_{k-1}$, where x_0 is defined to be x_n .

Suppose the particular information of the previous paragraph is not available. We can recover the edge signs from an arbitrary eigenvector x using x_1 and the ratio x_k/x_{k-1} , $1 < k \leq n$, if neither component is zero. The numbers for x_j^β are

$$x_{j1}^\beta = \sigma_1 \cos \frac{2j+s}{n} \pi, \tag{7}$$

$$x_{jk}^\beta/x_{j,k-1}^\beta = \sigma_k \cdot \cos \frac{(2j+s)k}{n} \pi / \cos \frac{(2j+s)(k-1)}{n} \pi. \tag{8}$$

Therefore, by determining the sign of the cosine or the ratio of cosines, we can determine σ_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 13. *Let (C_n, σ) be a signed cycle graph and let $\sigma = \sigma(C_n)$.*

(I) *The largest eigenvalue μ of $A(C_n, \sigma)$, if known, determines $\sigma = +1$ if $\mu = 2$ and -1 if $\mu < 2$.*

(II) *Assume known the cosine eigenvector x_0^β of $A(C_n, \sigma)$ corresponding to μ . (Thus, n is known; but μ may not be known.) The first coordinate x_{01}^β determines $\sigma = (-1)^s$ and therefore s .*

If $s = 0$ or n is odd, x_0^β determines the signs σ_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, of all edges of (C_n, σ) .

If $s = 1$ and n is even, $n = 2m$, then x_0^β determines the signs σ_k of all edges of (C_n, σ) except σ_{m-1} and σ_m .

(III) *Assume known the sine eigenvector y_0^β of $A(C_n, \sigma)$ corresponding to μ . (Thus, n is known; but μ may not be known.)*

If $s = 1$, then y_0^β determines the signs σ_k of all edges of (C_n, σ) except σ_1 and σ_n .

(IV) *If both x_0^β and y_0^β are known, then all edge signs are determined.*

Proof: (I) The eigenvalues are $\mu_j^\beta = 2 \cos \frac{2j+s}{n} \pi$, $0 \leq j < \frac{n-s}{2}$. Since $0 \leq 2j + s < n$, the angle is in the interval $[0, \pi)$. Thus, the largest eigenvalue is $\mu_0^\beta = 2 \cos \frac{s}{n} \pi$. This eigenvalue equals 2 $\Leftrightarrow s = 0$.

(II) First, consider $x_{01}^\beta = \sigma_1 \cos \frac{s}{n} \pi$. Because $s < n/2$, $\cos \frac{s}{n} \pi$ is positive so

$$\sigma_1 = \operatorname{sgn} x_{01}^\beta. \quad (9)$$

Also, $|x_{01}^\beta| = 1 \Leftrightarrow s = 0$, so x_0^β determines s , which determines $\sigma = (-1)^s$.

If $\cos \frac{s(k-1)}{n} \pi, \cos \frac{sk}{n} \pi \neq 0$, then

$$\sigma_k = \frac{x_{0k}^\beta/x_{0,k-1}^\beta}{\cos \frac{sk}{n}\pi / \cos \frac{s(k-1)}{n}\pi} . \tag{10}$$

Therefore, when $s = 0$, the edge signs are determined by

$$\sigma_k = x_{0k}^\beta/x_{0,k-1}^\beta, \quad k = 2, \dots, n. \tag{11}$$

When $s = 1$,

$$\sigma_k = \frac{x_{0k}^\beta/x_{0,k-1}^\beta}{\cos \frac{k}{n}\pi / \cos \frac{k-1}{n}\pi} . \tag{12}$$

If both cosines have the same sign, their quotient is positive. Since the cosine changes sign at angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$, the quotient is positive when $\frac{k}{n} < \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{k-1}{n} > \frac{1}{2}$, that is $k < \frac{n}{2}$ or $k - 1 > \frac{n}{2}$. Thus,

$$\sigma_k = \text{sgn}(x_{0k}^\beta/x_{0,k-1}^\beta), \quad 2 \leq k < \frac{n}{2} \text{ or } \frac{n}{2} + 1 < k \leq n, \tag{13}$$

for any values of s and n .

When n is odd, there is one exceptional value $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$, at which the quotient of cosines is negative. Therefore,

$$\sigma_m = -\text{sgn}(x_{0m}^\beta/x_{0,m-1}^\beta), \quad \text{when } s = 1 \text{ and } n = 2m - 1. \tag{14}$$

When $s = 1$ and n is even, $n = 2m$, the value $x_{0m}^\beta = 0$. Then the quotient of cosines in equation (10) is undefined so σ_m and σ_{m+1} cannot be deduced from that equation.

(III) Assume $s = 1$. (Otherwise, no information can be obtained from y_0^β because $y_0^\beta = 0$.) The components of y_0^β are $y_{0k}^\beta = \sin \frac{k}{n}\pi, k = 1, \dots, n$. No component is negative. The only zero components are y_{01}^β when $k = n$. Therefore,

$$\sigma_k = \text{sgn}(y_{0k}^\beta/y_{0,k-1}^\beta), \quad k = 2, \dots, n - 1. \tag{15}$$

(IV) The only signs k that may not be determined by x_0^β are σ_m and σ_{m+1} when $s = 1$ and $n = 2m$. Since $2 \leq m$ and $m + 1 < n$, these signs are determined by y_0^β . □

The question arises of how to determine the cosine and sine vectors if only the eigenspace of μ_0^β is known. If the eigenspace has dimension 1, then $\sigma = +1$ (and $s = 0$) and x_0^β is the unique eigenvector whose last component equals +1. If the eigenspace has dimension 2, so $\sigma = -1$ (and $s = 1$), x_0^β is only one of an infinite number of vectors whose last component equals +1. All such vectors have the form $x_0^\beta + \alpha y_0^\beta, \in \mathbf{R}$. It appears that there is no way to determine x_0^β itself from the available information.

Once the values of all $\sigma_k, k = 1, \dots, n$, are known, there is one more practical difficulty. The sign sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ can depend on the arbitrary choice of edge labeling. There are n possible labelings if the cycle is oriented, and $2n$ if it is not. In order to compare different labeled cycles of the same length, one has to rotate the first sign sequence through all patterns, $(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_1)$ etc. If any rotation matches the second sequence, the two cycles are isomorphic in the specified orientations, but if not, they are not isomorphic in their orientations. If orientation is not a concern, the first sequence should also be reversed and then the n rotations compared to the second sequence. If there is a match, the two cycles are isomorphic, and if not, they are nonisomorphic. (The amount of work in this comparison is small, of order n .)

4. CONCLUSION

An attempt is made in this article to come up with a spectral criterion so that the underlying pattern is uniquely determined in the case of a given signed cycle. When classifying chemicals or other items by looking at some patterned matrices in general, such a criterion will help in grouping atoms in the molecule modelled by its adjacency matrix. It is also illustrated that the single number indices are very poor for the purpose of Classification. The idea is to come up with less limited but still a small amount of descriptive data, such as one or two eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix of the given molecular structure modeled by a signed graph, so that the underlying pattern can be uniquely determined. This eigenvector method, based on the adjacency matrix, is successful, but it may not yet give the minimum number of specifiers. Further, an extension of this method to wider classes of signed graphs seems to hold potential promise to devise spectral methods to find new topological descriptors for a wide variety of chemical compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is grateful to the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, New Delhi, for the financial assistance for this work, done under project-number SR/S4/MS:287/05 as also to the Centre for Mathematical Sciences for providing all the required facilities for the purpose.

REFERENCES

- [1] Acharya, B.D. (1985). *Signed Graphs with Applications in Behavioural Sciences*, (DST PCR No.HCS/DST/409/77). MRI Lecture Notes in Applied Mathematics, No.3, M.R.I. Allahabad.
- [2] Anderson, T.W. (1971). *The Statistical Analysis of Time Series*, Wiley, New York.
- [3] Basak, S.C., Mills, D., Gute, B.D. and Hawkins, D.M. (2003). *Quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR): Models of mutagens and carcinogens*. In: *Predicting Mutagenicity of Congeneric and Diverse Sets of Chemicals Using Computed Molecular Descriptors: A Hierarchical Approach* (Ed.: Romuldo Benigni), CRC Press, New York.
- [4] Basak, S.C. and Gute, B.D. (1997). *Characterization of molecular structures using topological indices*, SAR and ASAR in Environmental Research, **7**, 1-21.
- [5] Cvetković, D., Rowlinson, P., and Simić, S.K. (2010). *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [6] Fan, Y. (2004). *Largest eigenvalue of a unicyclic mixed graph*, Applied Mathematics - A Journal of Chinese Universities Ser. B 19(2), 140-148.
- [7] Mathai, A.M. (2007). *Distance matrices, random paths and biodescriptors*, Second Indo-US Lecture Series in Discrete Mathematical Chemistry and the First International Lecture Series on Discrete Mathematical Chemistry, Biology and Physics with Special Reference to Computational Models (June 20-25), Mananthavady, Kerala, India, 2007.
- [8] Mathai, A.M. and Pederzoli, G. (1993). *A routing problem with time constraints and related stochastic models*, Quaderni di Statistica, **XV**, 3-12.
- [9] Mathai, A.M. and Rathie, P.N. (1975). *Basic Concepts in Information Theory and Statistics: Axiomatic Foundations and Applications*, Wiley, New York.
- [10] Reff, N. (2012). *Spectral properties of complex unit gain graphs*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **436**(9), 3165-3176.

- [11] Wiener, H. (1947). *Structural determination of paraffin boiling points*, Journal of the American Chemical Society, **69**, 17-20.
- [12] Zaslavsky, T., (2010). *Matrices in the theory of signed simple graphs*. In: *Advances in Discrete Mathematics and Applications: Mysore, 2008* (Ed.: B.D. Acharya, G.O.H. Katona, and J. Nešetřil), pp. 207-229. Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 13, Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, India.

About the Authors

A.M. Mathai

Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
Pala Campus, Arunapuram P.O, Palai,
Kerala 686 574, India,
and McGill University, Canada.

E-mail: mathai@math.mcgill.ca

Thomas Zaslavsky

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Binghamton University
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, U.S.A.

Email: zaslav@math.binghamton.edu