

(12) PATENT ABRIDGMENT (11) Document No. AU-B-67125/94 (19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE (10) Acceptance No. 691180

(54) Title
RAPID COLOR SHADING PROCESS

International Patent Classification(s)

(51)<sup>5</sup> G01J 003/46

(21) Application No.: 67125/94

(22) Application Date: 29.04.94

(87) PCT Publication Number: W094/25839

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number **057033** 

(32) Date **05.05.93** 

(33) Country

**US UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** 

(43) Publication Date: 21,11.94

(44) Publication Date of Accepted Application: 14.05.98

(71) Applicant(s)

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

(72) Inventor(s)
ALLAN FROHM FALCOFF; STUART D ANDERSON

(74) Attorney or Agent
CALLINAN LAWRIE, Private Bag 7, KEW VIC 3101

(56) Prior Art Documents
US 3833306
US 4403866
US 3690771

(57) Claim

- A process for shading paint that matches the color values L\*,
   a\* and b\*, of a standard color paint consisting of a basecoat layer and a
   clearcoat layer, said process comprising:
- a. spraying a transparent film with paint, the film optically simulating the effect of reading color values through a clearcoat;
- b. measuring and determining L\*, a\* and b\* values of said sprayed paint by a colorimeter;
- c. comparing said measured L\*, a\* and b\* values of said sprayed film to L\*, a\* and b\* values of a color standard;
- d. computing the difference between L\*, a\* and b\* values of said film relative to L\*, a\* and b\* values of said standard to determine the quantities of components to be added to said paint to bring said paint to within L\*, a\* and b\* tolerance values of the paint; and
- e. repeating steps a through d at least once in the event said paint is not within selected color tolerances until said paint is within said selected color tolerance.



INT.

(51) International Patent Classification 5: G01J 3/46, B44D 3/00

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 94/25839

A1

(43) International Publication Date: 10 November 1994 (10.11.94)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US94/04508

(22) International Filing Date:

29 April 1994 (29.04.94)

(30) Priority Data:

08/057,033

5 May 1993 (05.05.93)

US

(71) Applicant: E.J. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY [US/US]; 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US).

(72) Inventors: FALCOFF, Allan, Frohm; 14 Black Rock Road, Chadds Ford, PA 19317 (US). ANDERSON, Smart, D.; 1053 Clear Point Court, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 (US).

(74) Agents: McGEE, Patricia et al.; E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company, Legal/Patent Records Center, 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US).

(81) Designated States: AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CZ, FI, GE, HU, JP, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SD, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CL, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

#### Published

With international search report.

691180

(54) Title: RAPID COLOR SHADING PROCESS

#### (57) Abstract

A process for shading paint to match the color of a standard color paint which process involves the use of transparent film to optically simulate the effect of reading color through the conventional clearcoat layer, which eliminates the steps of cooling, spraying, flashing and baking the clearcoat with each iteration of test panels, with resultant significant decrease in process time.

# TITLE RAPID COLOR SHADING PROCESS

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention is related to a process and apparatus for shading paints to match a standard paint.

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Spray systems are well known in the paint industry for use in preparing painted test panels. Typically, a basecoat is sprayed onto the test panel and baked at a predetermined time and predetermined temperature to cure the paint. This is followed by applying a clearcoat which is sprayed over the basecoat and baked. The resultant panel is then compared against the standard color panel and if there is a color or other quality discrepancy, the batch of paint with which the panel was sprayed is modified accordingly. The modification process is known as shading. This time-consuming procedure, particularly for water-based basecoat and solvent-based clearcoat systems, of applying a basecoat, baking, then applying a clearcoat followed by baking, must be repeated for each shading iteration until the sample panel representing the batch color matches the color standard to a predetermined color tolerance range.

Traditionally, the shading process has been carried out by highly skilled and trained personnel who require extensive on-the-job experience to achieve proficiency in their craft. Since visual shading at best is an art, effective administration of the shading process was difficult.

In recent years, such visual shading has been supplemented by the use of apparatuses for instrumentally characterizing a paint or pigment composition. Colorimeters and spectrophotometers are well-known in the art and are used to measure the amount of light reflected at varying light wavelengths in the visible spectrum by a painted opaque panel that is held at a given angle relative to the direction of the incident light source. For nonmetallic paints, i.e., paints which do not contain any light-reflecting flakes or platelets, the reflectance factor has a minimum reflectance variation with the angle of the panel relative to the direction of incident light except at the gloss (specular) angle. Consequently, a single spectrophotometric reading at any

10

15

20

2

specified angle will produce a reflectance value by which to accurately characterize the paint.

Metallic paints, on the other hand, contain light-reflecting flakes of such materials as aluminum, bronze, or coated mica. Such paints are characterized by "two-tone" or "flip-flop" effects whereby the apparent color of the paint changes at different viewing angles. This effect is due to the orientation of the flakes in the paint film. Since the color of such metallic paints will vary as a function of the angle of illumination and viewing, a single spectrophotometric reading is inadequate to accurately characterize the paint. Typically, three multiangular measurements are used to derive color constants for metallic paints. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 4,479,718, issued Oct.30, 1984 to Alman.

The color of the paint is described in L\*, a\* and b\* values which are coordinates in visual uniform color space and are related to X, Y & Z tristimulus values by the following equations which have been specified by the International Committee of Illumination:

L\* defines the lightness axis

$$L^* = 116(Y/Yo)^{1/3} - 16$$

$$a^* \text{ defines the red green axis}$$

$$a^* = 500[(X/Xo)^{1/3} - (Y/Yo)^{1/3}]$$

$$b^* \text{ defines the yellow blue axis}$$

$$b^* = 200[(Y/Yo)^{1/3} - (Z/Zo)^{1/3}]$$

where

Xo, Yo and Zo are the tristimulus values of the perfect white for a given illuminant;

X, Y and Z are the tristimulus values for the color.

The color vectors for each of the colorants used to prepare the paint are provided and determined as discussed above. The color vector is the movement in color space, i.e., the change in the L\*, a\* and b\* values caused by the addition of a unit amount of each colorant used. For example.

	∂L*/∂C¹	0L*/0C2	OL*/OC3
the color vectors for a color =	$\partial a^*/\partial C^1$	∂a*/∂C²	$\partial a^*/\partial C^3$
	∂b*/∂C¹	$\partial b^*/\partial C^2$	$\partial b^*/\partial C^3$

where C1, C2 and C3 are the concentration of pigment or tint used in the color.

The color technology used in the shading process is well known and is fully discussed in F. W. Billmeyer and M. Saltzman, *Principles of Color Technology*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2nd Edition, (1981). Of particular interest is an article by A. B. J. Rodrigues in Fifth International Conference in Organic Coatings Science and Technology Proceedings, Vol. 3, *Advances in Organic Coatings and Technology Series.* "Theory and Implementation of Modern Techniques of Color Conception, Matching and Control", p. 272-282, (1979).

The color measurement procedure can be automated through use of a computer. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 3,690,771, issued September 12, 1972, Armstrong; and U.S. Patent No. 4,403,866, issued September 13, 1983, Falcoff,

The colorimeter used in the process is electrically connected to a computer and preferably determines the L\*, a\* and b\* values of the paint being prepared and feeds these values back to the computer. The colorimeter views the paint through the visible light spectrum of 400-700 nanometers (nm) For example, at 20 nm increments and calculates the L\*, a\* and b\* values for the paint based on this data. It is possible to feed process signals from the colorimeter generated by viewing the paint to the computer and have the computer determine the L\*, a\* and b\* values.

The computer takes these L\*, and a\* and b\* values and determines the difference between the L\*, a\* and b\* values of the paint being prepared and tolerance values for the standard paint  $(\Delta L^*, \Delta a^*)$  and  $(\Delta L^*, \Delta a^*)$ . With the vector information of the colorants and the  $(\Delta L, \Delta a^*)$  and  $(\Delta L^*, \Delta a^*)$  and  $(\Delta L^$ 

Reiterative spraying and baking of test panels with basecoat and clearcoat layers is a time consuming process. Typically, 25-40 minutes are required to spray, flash and bake, typically at 104.44° C (220° F), a waterborne basecoat. An additional hour is typically necessary to cool the



10

15

20

25

test panel, spray, flash and bake, typically at 135°C (275°F), the solvent-borne clearcoat over the



10

15

20

25

30

35

4

basecoat. There can be as many as 3-4 iterations, particularly for metallic paints, before the shading process will bring the paint batch into the range of acceptability for color tolerances.

The present invention decreases the overall time required to step through multiple iterations of the color shading process to reach an acceptable tolerance level for the batch of mixed paint, for both solid color and metallic paints.

# **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

This invention relates to an improved method for shading paint that matches the color values of a standard color paint. Transparent film optically simulates the effect of reading color values through a conventional clearcoat. Elimination of the need to apply clearcoat for every shading attempt decreases the time required in shading a paint within acceptable color value tolerances.

In one embodiment, a wet standard paint is sprayed onto the transparent film and baked conventionally. This film serves as the reference color plate against which successive trasparent film sprayouts are compared in the shading process.

In another embodiment of the invention, the transparent film is prepared in parallel with a conventional color standard plate, and the difference in color values measured by a colorimeter or spectrophotometer is used as an offset reading for measurements against subsequent shading hits using only the transparent film. When the paint is within predetermined color tolerance values, a conventional plate is made for final color analysis.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

In the present invention, a clear Mylar® GA-10 polyester film, or other clear plastic film, of a thickness sufficient to provide a surface that retains a smooth surface throughout the process is substituted for the metallic test panel for the first series of sprayouts and color measurements. The film used must be of uniform optical density and color, resistant to the solvent used in the spray process, and be able to withstand the temperatures used in baking the sprayed panel.

Mylar® polyester film optically simulates the effect of reading color through the conventional clearcoat layer when meaurements are taken

from the non-sprayed side of the film panel, which eliminates the steps of cooling, spraying, flashing and baking the clearcoat with each iterations of test panels. Significant time can be eliminated by the absence of these steps.

For each sprayout, Mylar® polyester film is cut to fit onto a 10.6 x 15.24 cm (4" x 6") metal panel. The film is taped on all sides to the metal panel. The metal panel is used to hold the Mylar® film panel rigid and to prevent overspray from falling on the backside of the Mylar® film panel.

A color standard can be prepared for reference against the test panels. One method of preparing such a standard is through a wet standard color paint. When a standard paint color has been defined, paint is shaded until consecutive sprayouts of that paint are within acceptable color tolerance limits to that standard. This paint, known as a wet standard, is sprayed onto a Mylar® polyester film panel and baked conventionally. This panel will serve as the reference against which successive Mylar® panels will be compared.

A conventionally prepared color standard may also be used as the color reference by determining the color offsets from the Mylar® film panel as follows. The basecoat is sprayed in parallel onto the metal panel and a Mylar® polyester film panel. Both panels are then baked in parallel, typically 104.44°C (220°F) for 10 minutes. The conventional metal panel is then sprayed with clearcoat and baked, typically at 135°C (275°F) for 30 minutes to cure this coating. A colorimeter or spectrophotometer is used to take color measurements on the metal panel and the Mylar® polyester film panel. Measurements on the metal panel are taken through the clearcoat film, while on the Mylar® panel the measurements are taken on the non-sprayed side of the Mylar® sheet. The Mylar® polyester film optically simulates the effect of reading color through the conventional clearcoat layer.

The color difference between the conventional metal panel and the Mylar® panel is recorded for each color axis at each measuring angle. Each measurement is used as a unique offset correction value for successive Mylar® polyester film sprayouts to obtain the conventional metal panel sprayout color position. During the shading process, the test sample Mylar® panel color readings are adjusted by the offset measurements to obtain the equivalent clearcoat panel readings. This method is particularly



Ŝ

10

15

20

25

30

AMENDED SHEET

useful when conventional metal panel color standards are available.

The measuring device may be directly linked to a computer which is programmed to automatically apply the Mylar® film offset value to the reading of the successive sprayed film panels. The operator of the device will use only corrected values, where the corrected values are the raw Mylar® film panel values adjusted for the Mylar® to clearcoat offset correction factor.

The basecoat paint to be shaded is sprayed onto the clear Mylar® polyester film through conventional spray techniques, and baked, typically at 104.44°C (220°F) for 10 minutes. After cooling, the film panel is released from the supporting metal panel. The color of the basecoat is then read through the Mylar® polyester layer with a conventional colorimeter or spectrophotometer. The measuring device may be linked to a computer or other device which will automatically apply the offset values described above.

The shading computer is programmed to direct the operator to spray out test panels as either basecoat on a Mylar® film panel or as a conventional basecoat/clearcoat on an aluminum panel depending on calculations by the computer. The computer will direct the operator to the metal panel readings if the total error recovered by the computer-predicted color additions is less than 0.05% of the total color movement at all angles and axes,  $\Delta E$ . At a level of 0.05% the computer will assume that no improvement can be accomplished.

The computer program will not release a batch of paint nor terminate shading based solely on color position measurements from the Mylar® film panels readings. The computer will direct the operator to read the aluminum basecoat/clearcoat panel for the decision of final acceptability of color positions of the batch.

The computer will also direct an aluminum basecoat/clearcoat panel reading if the shading calculations is not able to determine a solution to the color movement based on the coloring vector components available to it and the current batch color position. In each of these two situations, the computer requires a more precise color position, one which does not include the Mylar® film panel offset values calculate(I into the color values.

The computer will also direct an aluminum basecoat/clearcoat panel measurement based on the equivalent color



5

10

15

20

25

color difference after a new mixture referenced to the standard color panel. For non-metallic paints, if the measurements taken are within twice the predetermined tolerance for that angle, the computer will direct a conventional aluminum sprayout. For metallic paints, if all axis readings, at all three measurement angles, are within twice the tolerance for the specific reading angle, the computer will direct a conventional aluminum sprayout. Therefore, if the Near Specular reading is within  $\pm$  2.4 units from the standard value, the Flat is within  $\pm$  0.6 units from standard and the High reading is within  $\pm$  1.2 color units the next sprayout will be on a conventional panel.

After the paint is prepared to meet the required color tolerance it can be packaged in suitable containers either automatically or manually by using conventional filling equipment and procedures. Additionally, other instruments can be included in this process which measures properties such as the hiding power of the paint, the viscosity and density of the paint. The data generated by these instruments may also be fed to the computer and calculations made so that additions of binder solutions, solvents and colorants can be adjusted to bring the paint within tolerances for the above properties as well.

If desired, the entire paint manufacturing process, or any combination of individual steps of the manufacturing process can be controlled by a computer. If the computer is electronically connected to metering pumps which control the supply of a component used in the paint and is electronically connected to the spectrophotometer, the computer can initiate the addition of accurately measured amounts of each component based upon the spectrophotometric readings and vector strength calculations of the computer.

Although it is less convenient to do so, any of the calculations required herein can be done without the aid of the computer simply by utilizing the proper mathematical formulations.

The following Examples illustrate the invention.

#### **EXAMPLES**

# **EXAMPLES 1**

10

15

20

25

30

35

A 410.773 kg (905.6 lb) batch of white basecoat made of colorings, binder and solvents was produced. A Mylar® Polyester film



AMENDED SHEET

panel having a thickness of five mils was sprayed and baked in parallel with a conventional aluminum panel. The metal panel was then coated with an acrylic clearcoat. Color measurements were taken using a X-Rite Model 968 Single Angle Spectrophotometer using one angle measuring geometery corresponding to



the flat measuring angle. The Mylar® offset values after measurement between the Mylar® polyester film panel and the conventional metal panel were  $\Delta L = 1.72$ ,  $\Delta a = 0.38$ , and  $\Delta b = -1.65$ . Tolerance values for  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  are  $\pm$  0.3 color units.

The Equivalent Panel Reading is defined as Mylar® Panel Reading + Offset Value. Equivalent Panel Readings were used as the reference to the conventional panel standard color.

The colorants available for shading the white paint and their color vector movements are:

10

15

5

Color Movement					
Color	L	<u>a</u>	Ь		
Black	-1.980	-0.040	-1.380		
White	0.322	-0.021	-0.507		
Yellow	-0.440	0.390	2.140		
Red	-0.407	0.562	0.222		
Green	-0.165	-0.618	-0.189		
Purple	-0.749	1.296	-0.743		

The Mylar® film panels were sprayed, baked and measured; this represents the on-load or initial position of the color values of the paint. The Mylar® panel color offset to the conventional color standard plate was determined as:

	ΔL	Δε	<u>Δb</u>
Mylar® Reading	1.06	-1.28	-2.04
Offset Correction	1.72	0.38	-1.65
Equivalent Panel Reading	2.78	-0.90	-3.69

The batch was light, slightly green and blue to the conventional color standard plate.

Based on the above color differences and the above color vector values, the computerized shading program recommended the following first shading hit:

Color Movement					
Color	L	<u>a</u>	b	add weight	
Black	-1.980	-0.040	-1.380	27.7 g.	
Yellow	-0.440	0.390	2.140	477.3 g.	

and predicted the new color position relative to the conventional color standard to be  $\Delta L = 0.84$ ,  $\Delta a = -0.27$  and  $\Delta b = -1.12$ .

Color measurements were made on the above paint after the above additions of black and yellow dispersions and the differences to the conventional color standard plate were measured:

	ΔL	Δa	<u>Δb</u>
Mylar® Reading	-1.05	-1.00	-0.03
Offset Correction	1.72	0.38	-1.65
Equivalent Panel Reading	0.67	-0.62	-1.68

The computerized shading program recommended the following second shading hit:

Color Movement					
Color	L.	<u>a</u>	<u>b</u>	add weight	
Yellow	-0.440	0.390	2.140	168.2 g.	
Red	-0.407	0.562	0.222	17.0 g.	

and predicted the new color position relative to the conventional color standard to be  $\Delta L = 0.20$ ,  $\Delta a = -0.10$  and  $\Delta b = -0.36$ .

Color measurements were made on the above paint after the above additions of black and yellow dispersions and the differences to the conventional color standard plate were measured:

	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Mylar® Reading	-1.32	-0.60	0.93
Offset Correction	1.72	0.38	-1.65
Equivalent Panel Reading	0.40	-0.22	-0.72

The batch was slightly light, within tolerance on the red axis and slightly blue to the conventional color standard plate.

The computerized shading program recommended the following third shading hit:

п	z	=
	•	٠
•	۰	٠

15

20

25

Color Movement					
Color	L	a	b	add weight	
Yellow	-0.440	0.390	2.140	77.4 g.	
Red	-0.407	0.562	0.222	8.9 g.	

and predicted the new color position relative to the conventional color standard to be  $\Delta L = 0.17$ ,  $\Delta a = 0.04$  and  $\Delta b = -0.10$ .

Color measurements were made on the above paint after the above additions of black and yellow dispersions and the differences to the conventional color standard plate were determined and are as follows:

	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Mylar® Reading	-1.65	-0.27	1.19
Offset Correction	1.72	0.38	-1.65
Equivalent Panel Reading	0.07	0.11	-0.46

These values are within the aforementioned 2x tolerance values for L\*, a\* and b\*.

This paint was sprayed onto a conventional aluminum panel and baked under standard conditions. The solvent-based clearcoat was then applied and baked under standard conditions. The color values were determined and color differences relative to the conventional color standard were as follows:  $\Delta L = 0.16$ ,  $\Delta a = 0.17$ ,  $\Delta b = -0.26$ .

These values are within the aforementioned product tolerance values for L\*, a\* and b\*. An acceptable paint was formulated.

In this example, the aluminium panel is sprayed in parallel with the Mylar® film panel. The metal panel required only the addition of a clearcoat and was sprayed and baked for an additional one hour delay. For conventional sprayout color confirmation, the total time decrease using the Mylar® film technique from the conventional technique was three hours.

# **EXAMPLE 2**

A 3714 g batch of a charcoal grey metallic (black pearlescent) was formulated. Measurements are taken using a prototype X-Rite Model MA100 3-angle spectrophotometer. The angles correspond to the Near Specular, Flat and High measuring angles for measuring metallic automotive paints. Tolerance values from the conventional panel color panel are as follows:

Angle	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Near Specular	±1.2	±1.2	±1.2
Flat	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3
High	±0.6	±0.6	±0.6

The paint is sprayed onto a Mylar® film panel in parallel with a conventional aluminum panel. The conventional metal panel is successively sprayed with a solvent-borne acrylic clearcoat. Measurements taken give the following offset values:

Angle	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Near Specular	-8.87	0.52	0.72
Flat	-0.46	-0.18	0.09
High	-0.51	-0.18	1.04

15

The Equivalent Panel Reading is defined as Mylar® Panel Reading + Offset Value.

The colorants available for shading the charcoal grey metallic and their color vector movements as are follows:

	Ne	ar Spec	ular		Flat	J		High	ì .			
Color	L	8	Ъ	L	8	ь	L	8	b			
Black	-2.82	-0.07	1.99	-1.95	0.32	0.25	-0.43	0.00	0.29			
Violet	-1.48	2.66	-3.43	-1.20	1.45	-1.23	-0.44	0.80	-0.38			
Blue	-0.59	-2.41	-2.21	-0.85	-0.56	-1.34	-0.37	0.16	-0.79			
Pearl	3.76	-0.60	-0.04	0.90	0.09	-0.28	0.15	0.05	-0.13			

A Mylar® film panel was sprayed and baked under standard conditions. The color position for the on-load, or initial position of the color values, was determined as follows:

	Nea	r Speci	ılar		Flat			High	
	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Mylar® Reading	19.17	1.94	1.87	1.16	0.86	1.19	1.22	0.14	-1.37
Offset Correction	-8.87	0.52	0.72	-0.46	-0.18	0.09	-0.51	-0.18	1.04
Equivalent Panel Reading	10.30	2.46	2.59	0.70	0.68	1.28	0.71	-0.04	-0.33

5

At the Near Specular angle, the batch equivalent reading is light, red and yellow to the conventional color standard plate; at the Flat angle, the batch is also light, red and yellow to standard; at the High angle, the batch is light and slightly blue to standard.

10

The computer directed that the sprayout to be on a conventional basecoat/clearcoat metal panel, because the predicted color pos ion would be within the 2x tolerances aforementioned. The following first shading hit was recommended:

				Co	lor Mo	ovemei	nt			
	Ne	ar Spec	ular		Flat		ļ	High		
Color	L	а	ь	L	а	ь	L	а	b	Add Weight
Black	-2.82	-0.07	1.99	-1.95	0.32	0.25	-0.43	0.00	0.29	0
Violet	-1.48	2.66	-3.43	-1.20	1.45	-1.23	-0.44	0.80	-0.38	0
Blue	-0.59	-2.41	-2.21	-0.85	-0.56	-1.34	-0.37	0.16	-0.79	13.93 g.
Pearl	3.76	-0.60	-0.04	0.90	0.09	-0.28	0.15	0.05	-0.13	. ن

15

and predicted the new color position relative to the conventional color standard to be

Nea	r Speci	ular		Flat	1200-11-2		High	
ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb
1.04	1.41	1.87	-0.31	0.13	0.50	-0.04	-0.12	0.19

Color measurements were made on the above paint after the above addition of blue dispersion. Measurements were made on an aluminum clearcoated panel are were as follows:

	Nea	ır Speci	ılar		Flat	j		High	
	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Panel Reading	3.16	0.55	1.66	0.32	0.01	0.78	0.24	-0.09	0.15

5

At the Near Specular angle, the batch was light and yellow to the conventional color standard plate; at the Flat angle, the batch was slightly light and yellow to standard; at the High angle the batch is a good color match.

The computerized shading program recommended the following second shading hit with the sprayout to be done on a conventional aluminum basecoat/clearcoat panel:

·			4	Co	lor Mo	vemei	nt			
	No	ar Spec	ular		Flat			High		
Color	1	<b>a</b> *	ь	J.	<u>a.</u>	ь	L	<u>a</u>	ь	Add Weight
Black	-2.82	-0.07	1.99	-1.95	0.32	0.25	-0.43	0.00	0.29	0.158 g.
Violet	-1.48	2.66	-3.43	-1.20	1.45	-1.23	-0.44	0.80	-0.38	9.367 g.
Blue	-0.59	-2.41	-2.21	-0.85	-0.56	-1.34	-0.37	0.16	-0.79	7.139 g
Pearl	3.76	-0.60	-0.04	0.90	0.09	-0.28	0.15	0.05	-0.13	0_

The computer program predicted the new color position relative to the conventional color standard to be:

Nea	ır Speci	ular		Flat	-/		High	
ΔL	Δá	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb
2.74	0.13	0.44	-0.14	0.03	0.16	0.05	0.08	-0.17

The aluminium panel was sprayed and baked under standard conditions. Measurements were taken with the following results:

PCT/US94/04508

5

	Nea	ır Speci	ular		Flat			High	
	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb	ΔL	Δa	Δb
Panel Reading	0.88	0.67	0.52	0.13	0.06	-0.05	0.01	-0.15	0.06

These values are within the aforementioned tolerance values for all measurement angles and all color axes. Shading activity was stopped at this color position.

The complete shading cycle was completed using one Mylar® film panel and two conventional aluminum panels. The decrease in process time relative to conventional sprayout techniques was greater than one hour.

Where the terms "comprise", "comprises", "comprised" or "comprising" are used in this specification, they are to be interpreted as specifying the presence of the stated features, integers, steps or components referred to, but not to preclude the presence or addition of one or more other feature, integer, step, component or group thereof.





The claims defining the invention are as follows:

- 1. A process for shading paint that matches the color values L\*, a\* and b\*, of a standard color paint consisting of a basecoat layer and a clearcoat layer, said process comprising:
- a. spraying a transparent film with paint, the film optically simulating the effect of reading color values through a clearcoat;
- b. measuring and determining L\*, a\* and b\* values of said sprayed paint by a colorimeter;
- c. comparing said measured L\*, a\* and b\* values of said sprayed film to L\*, a\* and b\* values of a color standard;
- d. computing the difference between L\*, a\* and b\* values of said film relative to L\*, a\* and b\* values of said standard to determine the quantities of components to be added to said paint to bring said paint to within L\*, a\* and b\* tolerance values of the paint; and
- e. repeating steps a through d at least once in the event said paint is not within selected color tolerances until said paint is within said selected color tolerance.
- 2. The process as claimed in claim 1 wherein said L\*, a\* and b\* values are measured with a spectrophotometer.
- 3. The process as claimed in claim 1 wherein the said color standard is prepared by spraying a transparent film with a wet standard color paint and baking.
- 4. The process as claimed in claim 1 wherein said color standard is prepared by
  - a. spraying a transparent film with basecoat paint;
  - spraying a metal panel with basecoat paint;
- c. baking said painted film and said painted metal panel in parallel;
  - d. spraying said baked metal panel with clearcoat;



5

10

15

12/3/961 PR382 P13.15

- e. baking said sprayed metal panel to cure said clearcoat;
- f. measuring the color components of said painted film and said painted metal panel with a colorimeter; and



- g. calculating the difference between said color components of said painted film and said metal panel to determine offset values of said painted film.
- 5. Process as claimed in claim 4 wherein said color components are measured by a spectrophotometer.
  - 6. The process of claim 1 wherein one of the components of the paint contains metallic flake pigments.

- 7. The process of claim 1 wherein a spectrophotometer which measures a spectral curve of a color sample is electrically connected to a computer which is programmed to determine the L\*, a\* and b\* values from the spectral curve and transmits these values to the computer and compare to predetermined tolerance values to prepare a paint within the L\*, a\* and b\* tolerance values.
- 8. The process of claim 1 wherein the L\*, a\* and b\* values determined from a spectral curve of a color and tolerance values for the paint are transmitted to the computer to prepare a paint within tolerance values.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US 94/04508

IPC 5	G01J3/46 B44D3/00		
According	g to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national c	lassification and IPC	
B. FIELD	DS SEARCHED		
Minimum IPC 5	documentation searched (classification system followed by classification s	fication symbols)	
Document	ation scarched other than minimum documentation to the extent to	hat such documents are included in the fields	searched
Electronic	data hase consulted during the international search (name of data	hase and, where practical, search terms used)	
C. DOCUM	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication; where appropriate, of th	e relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Ý	US,A,3 833 306 (KOMODROMOS) 3 S 1974 see claim 1	eptember	1,2,7,8
Y	US,A,4 403 866 (FALCOFF ET AL.) September 1983 cited in the application see column 1, line 45 - column see column 4, line 52 - column see column 5, line 57 - line 64	2, line 23	1,2,7,8
<b>A</b>	US,A,3 690 771 (ARMSTRONG, JR. E September 1972 cited in the application see column 3, line 3 - column 4, claims		1,6
Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in	n annex.
'A' documer consider	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or s cited to establish the publication date of another or other special reason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	To later document published after the inter- or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the c- cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the doc- "Y" document of particular relevance; the c- cannot be considered to involve an inv- document is combined with one or mo- ments, such combination being obvious in the art.  "&" document member of the same patent for	h the application but ory underlying the  laimed invention ne considered to ument is taken alone laimed invention entive step when the re other such docu- s to a person skilled  amily
4 .	August 1994	1 9. 08. 94	
iame and ma	tiling address of the ISA fluropean Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaah 2 NL - 2280-HV Ripswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax. (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Krametz, E	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

F ational Application No PCT/US 94/04508

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-3833306	03-09-74	NONE	
US∙ ,.4403866	13-09-83	CA-A- 120966 EP-A,B 009421 JP-C- 162986 JP-B- 205624 JP-A- 5820406	18 16-11-83 50 20-12-91 40 29-11-90
US-A-3690771	12-09-72	BE-A- 76520 CA-A- 98997 DE-A- 211684 FR-A,B 209201 GB-A- 134908	25-05-76 23 21-10-71 3 21-01-72