



(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2010/04/30
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2010/11/04
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2011/10/20
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: EP 2010/055916
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2010/125187
(30) Priorités/Priorities: 2009/04/30 (US61/174,184);
2010/02/16 (US61/304,834)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *C12P 21/08* (2006.01),
C07K 16/00 (2006.01), *C12P 21/04* (2006.01),
C12N 15/81 (2006.01)

(71) Demandeur/Applicant:
ABLYNX N.V., BE

(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
SCHOTTE, PETER, BE;
STANSSENS, PATRICK, BE;
LABEUR, CHRISTINE, BE;
JONNIAUX, JEAN-LUC, BE;
LAUWEREYS, MARC JOZEF, BE

(74) Agent: FETHERSTONHAUGH & CO.

(54) Titre : PROCEDE DE PRODUCTION D'ANTICORPS A DOMAINES
(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOMAIN ANTIBODIES

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

The present invention relates to a method for producing a domain antibody in a host other than E. coli, preferably yeast, comprising a) applying conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges in domain antibodies, or b) removing domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge, or c) a combination of (a) and (b). More specifically, the present invention relates to a method, wherein said conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges are selected from one or more of the following: a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺; b) co-expression of the domain antibody with a thiol isomerase; c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, or any combination thereof; d) refolding the domain antibody in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer e) treating the domain antibody by oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH, high pressure or any combination thereof, and f) combinations of any of a) through e), or wherein conditions that remove domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge are selected from a) binding domain antibodies comprising free thiol groups to suitable reactive groups, including but not limited to immobilized thiol groups, optionally under denaturing conditions; b) reverse phase high performance chromatography.



(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
4 November 2010 (04.11.2010)(10) International Publication Number
WO 2010/125187 A3

(51) International Patent Classification:

C07K 16/00 (2006.01) C07K 16/28 (2006.01)
C12N 15/81 (2006.01) C07K 16/18 (2006.01)
C12P 1/02 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2010/055916

(22) International Filing Date:

30 April 2010 (30.04.2010)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/174,184 30 April 2009 (30.04.2009) US
61/304,834 16 February 2010 (16.02.2010) US

(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): **ABL-YNX NV** [BE/BE]; Technologiepark 4, B-9052 Ghent-Zwijnaarde (BE). **LAUWEREYS, Marc Jozef** [BE/BE]; Wilgenstraat 2, B-9450 Haaltert (BE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **SCHOTTE, Peter** [BE/BE]; Hugo Verriestlaan 34, B-9840 De Pinte (BE). **STANSSENS, Patrick** [BE/BE]; Ommegangstraat 5, B-9810 Nazareth (BE). **LABEUR, Christine** [BE/BE]; Stijn Streuvelsstraat 28, B-8000 Brugge (BE). **JONNI-AUX, Jean-Luc** [BE/BE]; Vianderstraat 67, B-3300 Tienen (BE).

(74) Agents: **NORDMEYER, Philipp** et al.; Hoffmann - Eitle, Arabellastrasse 4, 81925 München (DE).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))
- with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
20 January 2011

(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOMAIN ANTIBODIES

(57) Abstract: The present disclosure relates to a method for producing a domain antibody in a host other than E. coli, preferably yeast, comprising a) applying conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges in domain antibodies, or b) removing domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge, or c) a combination of (a) and (b). More specifically, the present disclosure relates to a method, wherein said conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges are selected from one or more of the following: a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺; b) co-expression of the domain antibody with a thiol isomerase, - c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, or any combination thereof; d) refolding the domain antibody in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer e) treating the domain antibody by oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH, high pressure or any combination thereof, and f) combinations of any of a) through e), or wherein conditions that remove domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge are selected from a) binding domain antibodies comprising free thiol groups to suitable reactive groups, including but not limited to immobilized thiol groups, optionally under denaturing conditions; b) reverse phase high performance chromatography.



WO 2010/125187 A3

METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOMAIN ANTIBODIES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is based on the surprising finding that
5 expression of domain antibodies in non-E. coli hosts results in a
product related variant which lacks the formation of at least one
disulfide bond, but nevertheless is, in most cases, fully
functional.

10 Hence, the present invention relates to an improved method for the
manufacture of immunoglobulins, in particular domain antibodies.
More specifically, the present invention relates to a method of
producing homogeneous domain antibodies in which the proportion of
variants lacking at least one disulfide bridge is strongly reduced
15 or absent. The domain antibodies produced according to the invention
are superior in terms of product homogeneity because the product
related variant lacking at least one disulfide bond is reduced or
absent. This is beneficial e.g. in the context of a therapeutic
application of the domain antibody. Therefore, the present invention
20 also relates to improved domain antibodies for therapeutic use,
obtainable by methods of the present invention.

TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

For therapeutic applications, antibodies or antibody fragments must
25 be of very high product quality. This requires, amongst others,
homogeneity in structural terms. Moreover, the production costs are
strongly influenced by difficulties encountered during the
production process. Low yields or lack of homogeneity will impact
the economics of the production process, and hence, the costs for
30 the therapeutic, overall. For example, difficulties to separate
structural variants of the desired antibody or antibody fragment
will necessitate complex and costly purification strategies.

Amongst other requirements, therapeutic antibodies or their
35 fragments must be properly folded. Protein folding is a spontaneous
process leading to a uniquely folded structure depending on the
given amino acid sequence. Cell surface and secreted proteins such

as immunoglobulins often contain disulfide bonds (also referred to as disulfide bridges) that covalently link two cysteines and impart structural stability in the environment outside of the cell. An important event in the folding of these proteins is therefore the formation of these disulfide bonds. The number and position of the disulfide bridges will be determined by the number and location of suitable cysteine residues in the amino acid sequence of the antibody or antibody fragment.

10 The correct formation of all disulfide bridges is instrumental for proper folding and the stability of the obtained product. Proteins comprising disulfide bonds are oftentimes difficult to express recombinantly. For example, the expression of conventional immunoglobulins or their fragments, including Fab or scFv fragments, is problematic in terms of yield and functionality. For example, a conventional IgG molecule comprises a multitude of disulfide bonds both within single chains and between the four chains constituting the complete molecule. Early studies have already pointed to the difficulties in obtaining properly formed disulfide bridges for IgG molecules and have investigated various in vitro conditions (Litske & Dorrington J. Biol. Chem. 249: 5633, 1974).

In case one or more disulfide bridges are lacking in a conventional immunoglobulin (e.g. IgG, IgA, IgE, IgM), or a fragment derived therefrom, e.g. Fab, F(ab')₂ or scFv, functionality of the resulting product is typically compromised. Significant portions of the product obtained by recombinant expression will be non functional because of the missing disulfide bridge(s), as widely reported in the art. Moreover, in the case of conventional antibodies or antibody fragments, such as Fab or scFv fragments, the formation of disulfide bridges has been reported to be rate limiting for the secretion of any product, in the first place.

It is known that e.g. formation of functional Fab, the heavy chain constant domains CH₂ and CH₃, or scFv is severely limited. For example, the amount of functional scFv may be entirely limited by correct disulfide formation (Ryabova et al., Nature Biotechn. 15:

79, 1997). The majority of the protein may form inactive aggregates, unless several folding helpers, including e.g. heavy chain binding protein (BiP) and protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) are overexpressed and act in an ATP dependent fashion (Mayer et al., J. Biol. Chem. 275: 29421, 2000; Lilie et al., J. Biol. Chem. 269: 14290, 1994; Vinci et al. J. Biol. Chem. 279: 15059, 2004; Merk et al., J. Biochem. 125: 328, 1999; Xu et al., Metabol. Engineer. 7: 269, 2005).

10 The limitation of obtaining adequate yields of functional product has been reported for conventional immunoglobulins and their fragments across a broad range of expression systems, including in vitro translation, *E. coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Chinese hamster ovary cells and baculovirus systems in insect cells or *P. pastoris*, amongst others (Ryabova et al., Nature Biotechnology 15: 79, 1997; Humphreys et al., FEBS Letters 380: 194, 1996; Shusta et al., Nature Biotech. 16: 773, 1998; Hsu et al., Protein Expr. & Purif. 7: 281, 1996; Mohan et al., Biotechnol. & Bioeng. 98: 611, 2007; Xu et al., Metabol. Engineer. 7: 269, 2005; Merk et al., J. Biochem. 125: 328, 1999; Whiteley et al., J. Biol. Chem. 272: 22556, 1997; Gasser et al., Biotechnol. Bioeng. 94: 353, 2006).

25 Thus the correct formation of canonical disulfide bridges is considered to be generally limiting to conventional antibody expression in microorganisms, including mammalian host cells.

In contrast to these difficulties observed with conventional four-chain antibodies or their fragments, including Fab and scFv, domain antibodies can be readily expressed and secreted in a correctly folded, fully functional form from hosts like *E. coli* or *P. pastoris* at a sufficient rate and level. Domain antibodies are characterized by formation of the antigen binding site by a single antibody domain, which does not require interaction with a further domain (e.g. in the form of VH/VL interaction) for antigen recognition.

35 Production of Nanobodies, as one specific example of a domain antibody, in lower eukaryotic hosts such as *Pichia pastoris* has been extensively described in WO 94/25591.

The fact that fully functional domain antibodies can readily be produced in e.g. E. coli or yeast represents an important advantage of this immunoglobulin-format over conventional immunoglobulins. The production of domain antibodies in E. coli and yeast results in a good yield of functional product. The problems of obtaining sufficient amounts of functional product known from other immunoglobulin formats is hence unknown for domain antibodies.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Surprisingly, despite the good yield and functionality, a product related variant has been observed in the expression of domain antibodies in non-E. coli hosts. The present invention relates to improved methods of producing domain antibodies, characterized by the reduction or absence of the product related variant.

The present inventors have unexpectedly observed that despite the high yield and functionality of domain antibodies produced in non-E. coli hosts, in particular yeast, there is a quantitatively significant fraction of product that represents a structural variant. In particular, it has unexpectedly been found that a fraction of the product lacks at least one disulfide bond. The finding of considerable quantities of such a variant in non-E. coli hosts was entirely unexpected. It is consistently reported in the art that conventional antibodies, or fragments thereof, lacking at least one disulfide bond, are characterized by a loss of function, typically together with problems in secretion from the host.

Hence, in one aspect the present invention relates to identifying and characterizing the product related variant in the first place.

Based on the full characterization of the product related variant observed it was established by the inventors that the variant lacked at least one disulfide bond.

In a further aspect of the present invention, methods are provided which reduce or eliminate the product related variant lacking at least one disulfide bond.

5 Consequently, the present invention provides methods of producing domain antibodies which overcome this unexpected problem.

More specifically, the present invention provides methods for reducing the formation of variants lacking at least one disulfide
10 bond. Such methods may reside in adapting the culturing conditions in terms of temperature, pH, conductivity, and/or addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, as well as the addition of oxidizing agents whilst culturing the host.

15 In addition, the present invention also comprises treatment of the domain antibodies after removal of the host from the culture medium, i.e. during one or more of the purification steps, e.g. by the addition of oxidizing agents, increasing pH and/or temperature, applying high pressure or applying refolding conditions e.g. the
20 exposure to a redox couple, with or without presence of a denaturant. Furthermore, the present invention provides methods of removing variants lacking at least one disulfide bridge e.g. by means of exposure to immobilized thiol groups in the presence of a denaturant, or by RP-HPLC.

25

More specifically, the present invention relates to methods for producing a domain antibody in a host other than E. coli, preferably yeast, comprising

- 30 a) applying conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges in domain antibodies, or
b) removing domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge, or
or
c) a combination of (a) and (b).

35 Particular aspects of the invention comprise methods as outlined above, wherein the conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges are selected from one or more of the following:

a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ;

b) enhancing expression of a thiol isomerase, which can advantageously be selected from Protein Disulfide Isomerase (PDI), calsequestrin and other PDI-related proteins comprising, but not limited to ERp72, ERp57, ERp60, ERp44, ERp5, ERp27 and PDIR, preferably PDI;

c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, or any combination thereof; in particular embodiments, said culturing temperature is lowered by 5°C as compared to the standard culturing temperature for the host organism, and/or said methanol feed is lowered by 30-80% as compared to the standard methanol feed for the respective host and/or said conductivity of the culture medium is lowered by 30% to 80% as compared to the standard medium for the respective host, and/or yeast extract and/or peptone are added to the culture medium in an amount of 0 to 20 % of the feed;

d) refolding the domain antibody in the presence of redox-buffer, preferably in the additional presence of denaturant, for example refolding the domain antibody in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer using 2M guanidinium hydrochloride and 1:5 mM/mM cystamine/cysteamine;

e) treating the domain antibody by oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH, or high pressure or any combination thereof, for example treating the domain antibody by increasing the temperature to $40-60^{\circ}\text{C}$, preferably 55°C , increasing pH to pH 8-9, and/or subjecting the domain antibody to high pressure, for example 250 to 10000 bar, such as about 1000 to about 2000 bar, optionally combined with oxygenation by purging with oxygen; and

f) combinations of any of a) through e).

The invention also relates to methods as set forth above, wherein an oxidizing agent, preferably oxidizing metal ions, more preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} , are added to at

least one production step of the domain antibody, preferably selected from: culturing the host to produce the domain antibody, the culture broth after fermentation, the supernatant comprising the domain antibody after removal of the host, any step after
5 purification of the domain antibody, or the purified domain antibody.

In one embodiment, the invention pertains to methods as described above, wherein conditions that remove domain antibodies lacking at
10 least one disulfide bridge are selected from
a) binding domain antibodies comprising free thiol groups to suitable reactive groups, including but not limited to immobilized thiol groups, optionally under denaturing conditions;
b) reverse phase high performance chromatography.

15

The invention also relates to any of the above methods, wherein said addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} , more preferably 1-10 mM Cu^{2+} , is performed alone, or in combination with one or more of the
20 conditions described above.

In particular embodiments of the invention, the non-E. coli host is selected from prokaryotic hosts other than E. coli, or eukaryotic hosts, for example eukaryotic host selected from insect cells,
25 mammalian cells, and lower eukaryotic hosts comprising yeasts such as Pichia, Hansenula, Saccharomyces, Kluyveromyces, Candida, Torulopsis, Torulaspora, Schizosaccharomyces, Citeromyces, Pachysolen, Debaromyces, Metschnikowia, Rhodosporidium, Leucosporidium, Botryosascus, Sporidiobolus, Endomycopsis, preferably
30 Pichia pastoris.

The present invention relates to domain antibodies comprising or essentially consisting of, but not limited to, a domain antibody that is a light chain variable domain sequence or a heavy chain
35 variable domain sequence, more specifically a domain antibody which is a heavy chain variable domain sequence that is derived from a conventional four-chain antibody or a heavy chain variable domain

sequence that is derived from a heavy chain antibody, in particular a domain antibody (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a domain antibody) which is a single domain antibody (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a single domain antibody), a "dAb" (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a dAb) or a Nanobody (including but not limited to a VHH sequence), preferably a Nanobody.

The method according to the present invention as described above comprises at least the steps of culturing the host to produce the domain antibody comprising:

- i) cultivating said host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply
- ii) maintaining a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the domain antibody

optionally followed by:

- iii) isolating and/or purifying the secreted domain antibody from the medium.

20

According to the invention, the methods as described above, wherein conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges are applied at one or more of step i), step ii), after step ii), or at or after step iii) or conditions that remove domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge are applied after step ii).

The invention also relates to domain antibodies obtainable by any of the methods as set forth herein, pharmaceutical compositions and other compositions comprising such domain antibodies, and therapeutic uses of the domain antibodies or methods of treatment comprising the use of the domain antibodies.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1: SEC-HPLC chromatogram of two RANKL008a E. coli batches compared to a batch prepared from P. pastoris fermentation; all batches have been purified using similar purification protocols. X axis: retention time in minutes, Y-axis: OD monitored at 280 nm.

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the comparison of the *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* material upon analysis by IEX-HPLC. A zoom on the main peak base area (Figure 3) shows that materials prepared from *P. pastoris* fermentations show less, and less pronounced minor pre- and postpeaks.

Figure 4: RP-HPLC analysis of RANKL008a derived from *E. coli* (solid line) compared to material derived from *P. pastoris* (dotted line). X axis: retention time in minutes, Y-axis: OD monitored at 280 nm.

Figure 5: RP-HPLC analysis of RANKL008a after incubation with a Thiol-Sepharose 4b resin in the presence of 0 M to 6 M urea. Chromatograms recorded at 280 nm. The condition in 6 M urea shows the complete removal of the postpeak.

Figure 6: RP-HPLC analysis of RANKL008a containing the product related variant stored for 2 (dotted trace) or 4 weeks (dashed trace) in comparison with the material stored at -70°C (solid trace). Chromatograms are recorded at 280 nm.

Figure 7: RP-HPLC analysis of different batches of purified RANKL008a prepared from fermentations in *E. coli* (dashed trace), expression in *P. pastoris* shake flask experiment with standard methanol feeds (dotted trace) in comparison with fed batch fermentation expression in *P. pastoris* using a low methanol feed strategy (4 mL/h.L, solid trace).

Figure 8: RP-HPLC analysis of RANKL008a (batch P#270308nr1) mixed with 2.0 M GdnHCl ("GuHCl" in the figure) for 30 minutes at RT (solid trace) and subsequently diluted in redox buffer cystamine/cysteamine 1:5 (mM:mM) to a final concentration between 1.0 M and 0.125M GdnHCl as indicated and a subsequent overnight incubation at 4°C. Samples were directly applied to the column. Traces are recorded at 214 nm.

Figure 9: RP-HPLC analysis of the RANKL008a (batch P#080408nr2) subjected to refolding overnight at room temperature in D-PBS. Untreated material is depicted in the dashed trace, the sample treated with 1M GdnHCl ("GuHCl" in the figure) and 5mM cystamine and 5 1mM cysteamine is represented in the dotted trace; while the solid trace represents the refolding in buffer containing 2M GdnHCl and 5mM cystamine and 1mM cysteamine. Samples were injected directly to the column. Chromatograms are recorded at 280 nm.

10 Figure 10: RANKL008a (batch P#270308nr1) diluted in to D-PBS buffer containing 2M GdnHCl and 5mM cystamine and 1 mM cysteamine, samples were taken at the time points indicated in the figure and analysed directly by RP-HPLC. Chromatograms are recorded at 280 nm.

15 Figure 11: RP-HPLC analysis of RANKL008a and chromatograms are recorded at 280 nm. The addition of different concentrations of CuSO₄ leads to a complete disappearance of the postpeak.

Figure 12: RP-HPLC profiles of 13h5-9GS-13h5 untreated and Cu- 20 treated.

Figure 13: TSA profiles for untreated and Cu-treated 13h5-9GS-13h5.

Figure 14: UV 280 chromatograms of RANKL008a produced in Pichia (top 25 panel) and Saccharomyces (middle panel) with the respective manual integration data and an overlay of both signals (bottom panel). Both Saccharomyces and Pichia produced RANKL008a contain the post-peak that represents the protein with a missing disulfide bridge as described in Example 3.

30 Figure 15: RP-HPLC analysis of Nanobody A-2 before and after treatment with 1mM CuSO₄ for 2 hours. The two postpeaks have disappeared completely in the Cu-treated sample. Note that because of the lower load for the Cu-treated sample, the peak height of the main peak and side-peaks is lower than for the untreated sample. 35 Chromatograms were recorded at 280 nm.

Figure 16: RP-HPLC analysis of Nanobody A-3.

Figure 17: RP-HPLC analysis of a clarified culture broth sample after clean-up of Nanobodies B-1 (A), B-2 (C), B-3 (D) and B-4 (B) tested in 2 L scale fermentor, directly after harvest (full line) and after 4 hours incubation with Cu^{2+} ($10\mu\text{M CuSO}_4$) (dotted line).

Figure 18: RP-HPLC analysis of purified Nanobody B-5 stored at -70°C (dotted line) and after 3 weeks storage at 37°C (full line).

10

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Definitions

Unless indicated or defined otherwise, all terms used have their usual meaning in the art, which will be clear to the skilled person. Reference is for example made to the standard handbooks, such as Sambrook et al, "Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual" (2nd.Ed.), Vols. 1-3, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1989); F. Ausubel et al, eds., "Current protocols in molecular biology", Green Publishing and Wiley Interscience, New York (1987); Lewin, "Genes II", John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y., (1985); Old et al., "Principles of Gene Manipulation: An Introduction to Genetic Engineering", 2nd edition, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA (1981); Roitt et al., "Immunology" (6th. Ed.), Mosby/Elsevier, Edinburgh (2001); Roitt et al., Roitt's Essential Immunology, 10th Ed. Blackwell Publishing, UK (2001); and Janeway et al., "Immunobiology" (6th Ed.), Garland Science Publishing/Churchill Livingstone, New York (2005), as well as to the general background art cited herein.

Domain antibodies

The term "domain antibody", interchangeably used with "single domain antibody", "single variable domain" and "immunoglobulin single variable domain" defines molecules wherein the antigen binding site is present on, and formed by, a single immunoglobulin domain. This sets domain antibodies apart from "conventional" immunoglobulins or their fragments, wherein two immunoglobulin domains, in particular two variable domains interact to form an antigen binding site. Typically, in conventional immunoglobulins, a heavy chain variable

domain (VH) and a light chain variable domain (VL) interact to form an antigen binding site. In this case, the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) of both VH and VL will contribute to the antigen binding site, i.e. a total of 6 CDRs will be involved in
5 antigen binding site formation.

In contrast, the binding site of a domain antibody is formed by a single VH or VL domain. Hence, the antigen binding site of a domain antibody is formed by no more than three CDRs.

10

The term "domain antibody", "single domain antibody", "single variable domain" or "immunoglobulin single variable domain" hence does not comprise conventional immunoglobulins or their fragments which require interaction of at least two variable domains for the
15 formation of an antigen binding site. However, these terms do comprise fragments of conventional immunoglobulins wherein the antigen binding site is formed by a single variable domain.

Generally, single variable domains will be amino acid sequences that
20 essentially consist of 4 framework regions (FR1 to FR4 respectively) and 3 complementarity determining regions (CDR1 to CDR3 respectively); or any suitable fragment of such an amino acid sequence (which will then usually contain at least some of the amino acid residues that form at least one of the CDR's, as further
25 described herein). Such single variable domains and fragments are most preferably such that they comprise an immunoglobulin fold or are capable for forming, under suitable conditions, an immunoglobulin fold. As such, the single variable domain may for example comprise a light chain variable domain sequence (e.g. a V_L -
30 sequence) or a suitable fragment thereof; or a heavy chain variable domain sequence (e.g. a V_H -sequence or V_{HH} sequence) or a suitable fragment thereof; as long as it is capable of forming a single antigen binding unit (i.e. a functional antigen binding unit that essentially consists of the single variable domain, such that the
35 single antigen binding domain does not need to interact with another variable domain to form a functional antigen binding unit, as is for example the case for the variable domains that are present in for

example conventional antibodies and scFv fragments that need to interact with another variable domain - e.g. through a V_H/V_L interaction - to form a functional antigen binding domain).

For example, the single variable domain of a domain antibody (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a domain antibody) may be a single domain antibody (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a single domain antibody), a "dAb" or dAb (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a dAb) or a Nanobody® (as defined herein, and including but not limited to a V_{HH} sequence) [Note: Nanobody® and Nanobodies® are registered trademarks of Ablynx N.V.]; other single variable domains, or any suitable fragment of any one thereof. For a general description of (single) domain antibodies, reference is also made to the prior art cited herein, as well as to EP 0 368 684. For the term "dAb's", reference is for example made to Ward et al. (Nature 1989 Oct 12; 341 (6242): 544-6), to Holt et al., Trends Biotechnol., 2003, 21(11):484-490; as well as to for example WO 04/068820, WO 06/030220, WO 06/003388 and other published patent applications of Domantis Ltd. It should also be noted that, although less preferred in the context of the present invention because they are not of mammalian origin, single domain antibodies or single variable domains can be derived from certain species of shark (for example, the so-called "IgNAR domains", see for example WO 05/18629).

In particular, the amino acid sequence of the invention may be a Nanobody or a suitable fragment thereof. For a further description of V_{HH} 's and Nanobodies, reference is made to the review article by Muyldermans in Reviews in Molecular Biotechnology 74(2001), 277-302; as well as to the following patent applications, which are mentioned as general background art: WO 94/04678, WO 95/04079 and WO 96/34103 of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel; WO 94/25591, WO 99/37681, WO 00/40968, WO 00/43507, WO 00/65057, WO 01/40310, WO 01/44301, EP 1134231 and WO 02/48193 of Unilever; WO 97/49805, WO 01/21817, WO 03/035694, WO 03/054016 and WO 03/055527 of the Vlaams Instituut voor Biotechnologie (VIB); WO 03/050531 of Algonomics N.V. and Ablynx N.V.; WO 01/90190 by the National Research Council of Canada; WO 03/025020 (= EP 1 433 793) by the Institute of Antibodies; as

well as WO 04/041867, WO 04/041862, WO 04/041865, WO 04/041863, WO 04/062551, WO 05/044858, WO 06/40153, WO 06/079372, WO 06/122786, WO 06/122787 and WO 06/122825, by Ablynx N.V. and the further published patent applications by Ablynx N.V. Reference is also made to the further prior art mentioned in these applications, and in particular to the list of references mentioned on pages 41-43 of the International application WO 06/040153, which list and references are incorporated herein by reference. As described in these references, Nanobodies (in particular V_{HH} sequences and partially humanized Nanobodies) can in particular be characterized by the presence of one or more "Hallmark residues" in one or more of the framework sequences. A further description of the Nanobodies, including humanization and/or camelization of Nanobodies, as well as other modifications, parts or fragments, derivatives or "Nanobody fusions", multivalent constructs (including some non-limiting examples of linker sequences) and different modifications to increase the half-life of the Nanobodies and their preparations can be found e.g. in WO 08/101985 and WO 08/142164.

Thus, in the meaning of the present invention, the term "single domain antibody", "domain antibody", "single variable domain" or "immunoglobulin single variable domain" comprises polypeptides which are derived from a non-human source, preferably a camelid, preferably a camel heavy chain antibody. They may be humanized, as previously described. Moreover, the term comprises polypeptides derived from non-camelid sources, e.g. mouse or human, which have been "camelized", as previously described.

Unless indicated otherwise, the term "immunoglobulin sequence" - whether used herein to refer to a heavy chain antibody or to a conventional 4-chain antibody - is used as a general term to include both the full-size antibody, the individual chains thereof, as well as all parts, domains or fragments thereof (including but not limited to antigen-binding domains or fragments such as V_{HH} domains or V_H/V_L domains, respectively). The terms antigen-binding molecules or antigen-binding protein are used interchangeably with immunoglobulin sequence, and include Nanobodies.

In one embodiment of the invention, the domain antibodies are light chain variable domain sequences (e.g. a V_L -sequence), or heavy chain variable domain sequences (e.g. a V_H -sequence); more specifically, the domain antibodies can be heavy chain variable domain sequences that are derived from a conventional four-chain antibody or heavy chain variable domain sequences that are derived from a heavy chain antibody.

10 The domain antibodies provided by the invention are preferably in essentially isolated form (as defined herein), or form part of a protein or polypeptide of the invention (as defined herein), which may comprise or essentially consist of one or more domain antibodies and which may optionally further comprise one or more further amino acid sequences (all optionally linked via one or more suitable linkers). For example, and without limitation, the one or more domain antibodies may be used as a binding unit in such a protein or polypeptide, which may optionally contain one or more further amino acid sequences that can serve as a binding unit (i.e. against one or more other targets than cell associated antigens), so as to provide a monovalent, multivalent or multispecific polypeptide of the invention, respectively, all as described herein. Such a protein or polypeptide may also be in essentially isolated form (as defined herein).

25 The invention includes immunoglobulin sequences of different origin, comprising mouse, rat, rabbit, donkey, human and camelid immunoglobulin sequences. The invention also includes fully human, humanized or chimeric immunoglobulin sequences. For example, the invention comprises camelid immunoglobulin sequences and humanized camelid immunoglobulin sequences, or camelized domain antibodies, e.g. camelized dAb as described by Ward et al (see for example WO 94/04678 and Davies and Riechmann (1994 and 1996)). Moreover, the invention comprises fused immunoglobulin sequences, e.g. forming a multivalent and/ or multispecific construct (for multivalent and multispecific polypeptides containing one or more V_{HH} domains and their preparation, reference is also made to Conrath et al., J.

Biol. Chem., Vol. 276, 10. 7346-7350, 2001, as well as to for example WO 96/34103 and WO 99/23221), and immunoglobulin sequences comprising tags or other functional moieties, e.g. toxins, labels, radiochemicals, etc., which are derivable from the immunoglobulin sequences of the present invention.

The amino acid sequence and structure of an immunoglobulin sequence, in particular a Nanobody can be considered - without however being limited thereto - to be comprised of four framework regions or "FR's", which are referred to in the art and herein as "Framework region 1" or "FR1"; as "Framework region 2" or "FR2"; as "Framework region 3" or "FR3"; and as "Framework region 4" or "FR4", respectively; which framework regions are interrupted by three complementary determining regions or "CDR's", which are referred to in the art as "Complementarity Determining Region 1" or "CDR1"; as "Complementarity Determining Region 2" or "CDR2"; and as "Complementarity Determining Region 3" or "CDR3", respectively.

According to the invention, domain antibodies comprise constructs comprising two or more antigen binding units in the form of single domains, as outlined above. For example, two (or more) domain antibodies with the same or different antigen specificity can be linked to form e.g. a bivalent, trivalent or multivalent construct. By combining domain antibodies of two or more specificities, bispecific, trispecific etc. constructs can be formed. For example, a domain antibody according to the invention may comprise two or three domain antibodies directed against the same target, or two domain antibodies directed against target A, and one domain antibody against target B. Such constructs and modifications thereof, which the skilled person can readily envisage, are all encompassed by the term domain antibody as used herein.

The total number of amino acid residues in a Nanobody can be in the region of 110-120, is preferably 112-115, and is most preferably 113. It should however be noted that parts, fragments, analogs or derivatives (as further described herein) of a Nanobody are not particularly limited as to their length and/or size, as long as such

parts, fragments, analogs or derivatives meet the further requirements outlined herein and are also preferably suitable for the purposes described herein.

5 Domain antibodies will oftentimes comprise at least one intradomain disulfide bridge between cysteine 22 and cysteine 92 (residues numbered according to Kabat numbering). Sometimes, Nanobodies comprise a disulfide bond between CDR3 and Cys45 in the framework region FR2. There may also be a disulfide bond between CDR2 and CDR3
10 for example between cysteine 45 and CDR3 or between cysteine 50 and CDR3 (Muyldermans, 2001). More specifically, crystal structure analysis of domain antibodies has exhibited 9 β strands folded in two sheets that pack against each other and are stabilized by a conserved disulfide bond. The abundant disulfide bridges known from
15 conventional antibodies to form between different chains are absent from domain antibodies.

All these molecules are also referred to as "polypeptide of the invention", which is synonymous with "immunoglobulin sequences of
20 the invention".

In addition, the term "sequence" as used herein (for example in terms like "immunoglobulin sequence", "antibody sequence", "variable domain sequence", " V_{HH} sequence" or "protein sequence"), should
25 generally be understood to include both the relevant amino acid sequence as well as nucleic acid sequences or nucleotide sequences encoding the same, unless the context requires a more limited interpretation.

30 For a general description and for some non-limiting examples of Nanobodies (and of polypeptides comprising the same) that are directed against RANKL and that can be expressed/produced using the methods described herein, reference is made to the International application WO 08/142164. For a general description and for some
35 non-limiting examples of Nanobodies (and of polypeptides comprising the same) that are directed against IL-6R and that can be expressed/produced using the methods described herein, reference is

made to the International application WO 08/020079, and in particular to the International application PCT/EP2010/054764 filed by Ablynx N.V. on April 12, 2010 and entitled "*Improved amino acid sequences directed against IL-6R and polypeptides comprising the same for the treatment of IL-6R related diseases and disorders*" and the International application PCT/EP2010/054747 filed by Ablynx on April 12, 2010 and entitled "*Improved amino acid sequences directed against IL-6R and polypeptides comprising the same for the treatment of IL-6R related diseases and disorders.*"

10 For a general description and for some non-limiting examples of Nanobodies (and of polypeptides comprising the same) that are directed against IL-23 (and in particular the subunit p19 of IL23) and that can be expressed/produced using the methods described herein, reference is made to the International application WO 15 09/068627, and in particular to the US provisional application 61/181,384 filed by Ablynx N.V. on May 27, 2009 and entitled "*Biparatopic protein constructs directed against IL-23*" and the US provisional filed by Ablynx on April 30, 2010 and entitled "*Amino acid sequence directed against the p19 subunit of the heterodimeric cytokine IL-23.*"

20 For a general description and for some non-limiting examples of Nanobodies (and of polypeptides comprising the same) that are directed against IL-23 (and in particular the subunit p19 of IL23) and that can be expressed/produced using the methods described herein, reference is made to the International application WO 25 09/068627, and in particular to the US provisional application 61/181,384 filed by Ablynx N.V. on May 27, 2009 and entitled "*Biparatopic protein constructs directed against IL-23*" and the US provisional filed by Ablynx on April 30, 2010 and entitled "*Amino acid sequence directed against the p19 subunit of the heterodimeric cytokine IL-23.*"

30 For a general description and for some non-limiting examples of Nanobodies (and of polypeptides comprising the same) that are directed against IL-23 (and in particular the subunit p19 of IL23) and that can be expressed/produced using the methods described herein, reference is made to the International application WO 35 09/068627, and in particular to the US provisional application

61/181,384 filed by Ablynx N.V. on May 27, 2009 and entitled
"Biparatopic protein constructs directed against IL-23" and the US
provisional filed by Ablynx on April 30, 2010 and entitled "Amino
acid sequence directed against the p19 subunit of the heterodimeric
5 cytokine IL-23."

Hosts

The terms "host" and "host cells" are used interchangeably. The
10 present invention relates to hosts without limitation other than E.
coli, provided that they are suitable for the production of a domain
antibody. In particular the present invention relates to non-E. coli
hosts producing domain antibodies, wherein a part of the produced
domain antibodies is lacking at least one disulfide bridge.

15

Specific examples of suitable hosts comprise prokaryotic organisms,
such as coryneform bacteria or enterobacteriaceae other than E.
coli. Also comprised are insect cells, in particular insect cells
suitable for baculovirus mediated recombinant expression like
20 Trioplusiani or Spodoptera frugiperda derived cells, including, but
not limited to BTI-TN-5B1-4 High Five™ insect cells (Invitrogen),
SF9 or Sf21 cells; mammalian cells like CHO cells and lower
eukaryotic hosts comprising yeasts such as Pichia, Hansenula,
Saccharomyces, Kluyveromyces, Candida, Torulopsis, Torulaspora,
25 Schizosaccharomyces, Citeromyces, Pachysolen, Debaromyces,
Metschnikowia, Rhodosporidium, Leucosporidium, Botryosacculus,
Sporidiobolus, Endomycopsis. Yeast is a preferable host of the
present invention, and particularly preferred is P. pastoris.

30

The host of the present invention will be capable of producing a
domain antibody. It will typically be genetically modified to
comprise one or more nucleic acid sequences encoding one or more
domain antibodies. Non-limiting examples of genetic modifications
comprise the transformation e.g. with a plasmid or vector, or the
35 transduction with a viral vector. Some hosts can be genetically
modified by fusion techniques. Genetic modifications include the
introduction of separate nucleic acid molecules into a host, e.g.

plasmids or vectors, as well as direct modifications of the genetic material of the host, e.g. by integration into a chromosome of the host, e.g. by homologous recombination. Oftentimes a combination of both will occur, e.g. a host is transformed with a plasmid, which, upon homologous recombination will (at least partly) integrate into the host chromosome. The skilled person knows suitable methods of genetic modification of the host to enable the host to produce domain antibodies.

10 Disulfide bridge

Disulfide bridge, or disulfide bond (used interchangeably) means the covalent bond formed between two cysteine residues that are in an appropriate location within the amino acid sequence of a domain antibody. The present invention thus relates to intramolecular, intradomain disulfide bridges.

Typically, domain antibodies, including VH and VHH domain antibodies, encompass one conserved disulfide bond, most often linking C22 and C92 (according to Kabat numbering). Some VHH may have an additional disulfide bridge linking two CDRs, such as CDR2 (e.g. position 50 according to Kabat numbering) and CDR3, CDR1 and CDR2 or CDR1 and CDR3.

In domain antibody constructs comprising more than one antibody domain, there will be a respectively higher number of disulfide bonds. For example, a construct comprising three antigen binding domains (e.g. three VHH domains), there will oftentimes be three disulfide bridges (one per VHH domain).

30 Any reference to disulfide bond or disulfide bridge is to be understood to also refer to more than one bond, i.e. to disulfide bonds or disulfide bridges, unless otherwise specified.

The intramolecular disulfide bridge within the domain antibody is formed in the process of protein folding and stabilizes the proper conformation of the domain antibody.

It has surprisingly been found that the disulfide bond within domain antibodies, despite their role in defining and/or stabilizing the three dimensional structure in the course of protein folding, are not essential for either the efficient production of the domain antibody by the host, nor its function. This represents a
5 fundamental difference to reports in the art, according to which disulfide bonding is decisive for production yield and function of antibody fragments like Fab fragments (Gasser et al. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 94: 535, 2006).

10

In the context of this application, the term "product related variant" means a domain antibody lacking at least one disulfide bridge. In a construct comprising e.g. three VHH domains, the term product related variant will accordingly encompass variants lacking
15 e.g. one, two or three disulfide bridges. At some instances the product related variant is abbreviated as "variant".

General methods

The skilled person is well aware of general methods for producing
20 domain antibodies in non-E. coli hosts.

For example, production of Nanobodies in lower eukaryotic hosts such as *Pichia pastoris* has been extensively described in WO 94/25591.

The contents of this application are explicitly referred to in the
25 connection with general culturing techniques and methods, including suitable media and conditions. The contents of this document are incorporated by reference. The skilled person can also devise suitable genetic constructs for expression of domain antibodies in non-E. coli hosts on the basis of common general knowledge. The
30 present invention also relates to specific conditions and genetic constructs described in the art, for example the general culturing methods, plasmids, promoters and leader sequences described in WO 94/25591, Gasser et al. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 94: 535, 2006; Gasser et al. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73: 6499, 2007; or Damasceno et al.
35 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 74: 381, 2007.

In a significant fraction of the domain antibodies, in particular Nanobodies produced by non-E. coli hosts, in particular Pichia, the presence of free thiol is observed, due to unpaired cysteine residues (although in most cases these Nanobodies are still
5 functional and well-expressed). The absence of these disulfide bridges might have an impact on the quality and the homogeneity of the final Nanobody product. A high product quality and homogeneity is, however, a prerequisite for e.g. the therapeutic use of these products.

10

The present invention provides methods for the manufacture of domain antibodies wherein the quality of the domain antibodies is improved (i.e. with a reduced level of free thiol, or its absence). The quality of the domain antibodies is improved by applying specified
15 conditions in which the formation of the missing disulfide bridge(s) is promoted during the growth of the host, during the expression of the domain antibody, and/or after the expression (i.e. before or after purification of the domain antibody). The present invention also provides methods of removing the product related variant.

20

Any reference to conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bonds is equally understood to mean conditions that remove or reduce the product related variant, and vice versa.

25

In the context of the present invention, the "removal" of product related variant can either mean that the missing disulfide bridge(s) are properly formed, such that the variant becomes structurally identical to the desired domain antibody. Alternatively, removal can mean that the product related variant is physically separated from
30 the mixture of domain antibodies comprising both the desired domain antibody species having all disulfide bridges, and the product related variant. The correct meaning will be apparent from the context. In a preferred embodiment, removal has the first meaning, i.e. the product related variant forms all disulfide bridges and
35 thus becomes the desired domain antibody. In view of the quantitatively significant contribution of the product related variant, which can, depending on the culturing conditions, amount to

15 - 25% of the overall domain antibody yield, the conversion of the variant into the desired product is highly advantageous.

More particularly, the present invention provides a method for producing an immunoglobulin at least comprising the steps of:

i) cultivating a host or host cell (as defined herein) under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply

ii) maintaining said host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the immunoglobulin

optionally followed by:

iii) isolating and/or purifying the secreted immunoglobulin from the medium,

wherein conditions are applied that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge at step i), at step ii), after step ii) and/or at or after step iii).

In one embodiment of the invention, the conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge are applied at step i). Accordingly such a method comprises at least the steps of: i) cultivating a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply and that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge, e.g. at least including the following:

a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ;

b) enhancing expression of a thiol isomerase, which can advantageously be selected from PDI, calsequestrin and other PDI-related proteins comprising, but not limited to ERp72, ERp57, ERp60, ERp44, ERp5, ERp27 and PDIR, preferably PDI;

c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, all as further described herein, or any combination thereof; and combinations of any of a) through c); ii) maintaining said host or

host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the domain antibody, optionally followed by: iii) isolating and/or purifying the secreted domain antibody from the medium.

5

In one embodiment of the invention, the conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge are applied at step ii).

Accordingly, said method comprises at least the steps of: i)

10 cultivating a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply; ii) maintaining a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the domain antibody and that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge, e.g. at least including the following:

- 15 a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ;
- b) enhancing expression of a thiol isomerase, which can advantageously be selected from PDI, calsequestrin and other PDI-related proteins comprising, but not limited to ERp72, ERp57, ERp60, 20 ERp44, ERp5, ERp27 and PDIR, preferably PDI;
- c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of 25 the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, all as further described herein, or any combination thereof; and combinations of any of a) through c); optionally followed by: iii) isolating and/or purifying the secreted domain antibody from the medium.

30

In one embodiment of the invention, the conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge are applied after step ii). In one embodiment of the invention, the conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge are applied before step iii).

35

Accordingly, the method for producing a domain antibody in a non-E. coli host, preferably yeast at least comprises the steps of: i)

cultivating a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply; ii) maintaining a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the domain antibody; maintaining the domain antibody obtained in step ii) under conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge e.g. under following conditions:

- a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ;
- b) enhancing expression of a thiol isomerase, which can advantageously be selected from PDI, calsequestrin and other PDI-related proteins comprising, but not limited to ERp72, ERp57, ERp60, ERp44, ERp5, ERp27 and PDIR, preferably PDI;
- c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, as is further described herein, or any combination thereof;
- d) refolding the domain antibody in the presence of redox-buffer, preferably in the additional presence of denaturant, for example refolding the domain antibody in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer using 2M guanidinium hydrochloride and 1:5 mM/mM cystamine/cysteamine;
- e) treating the domain antibody by oxygenation, increasing temperature, or increasing pH or any combination thereof, for example treating the domain antibody by increasing the temperature to 40-60°C, preferably 55°C, and/or increasing pH to pH 8-9, optionally combined with oxygenation by purging with oxygen; and
- f) combinations of any of a) through e); optionally followed by:

- iii) isolating and/or purifying the secreted domain antibody from the medium.

The present invention also encompasses applying the conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge at or after step iii).

Accordingly, the method for producing a domain antibody in a non-E. coli host, preferably yeast at least comprises the steps of: i)

cultivating a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply; ii) maintaining a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the domain antibody; iii) isolating and/or purifying the secreted domain antibody from the medium and applying conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge e.g. under following conditions:

a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ;

d) refolding the domain antibody in the presence of redox-buffer, preferably in the additional presence of denaturant, for example refolding the domain antibody in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer using 2M guanidinium hydrochloride and 1:5 mM/mM cystamine/cysteamine;

e) treating the domain antibody by oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH, or high pressure or any combination thereof, for example treating the domain antibody by increasing the temperature to 40-60°C, preferably 55°C, increasing pH to pH 8-9, and/or subjecting the domain antibody to high pressure, for example 250-10000 bar, such as about 1000 to 2000 bar, optionally combined with oxygenation by purging with oxygen; and

f) combinations of any of a) through e).

The present invention also relates to the combination of any of the above. For example, the present invention relates to culturing and maintaining the non-E. coli host under conditions that prevent or reduce the formation of the product related variant lacking at least one disulfide bridge, in combination with maintaining the domain antibody under conditions that lead to the removal or reduction of the product related variant. Suitable further combination can readily be envisaged by the skilled person on the basis of the teaching of the present application.

In the present invention, the host can be removed from the culture medium by routine means. For example, the host can be removed by centrifugation or filtration. The solution obtained by removal of

the host from the culture medium is also referred to as culture supernatant, or clarified culture supernatant.

According to the present invention domain antibodies can be purified
5 by standard methods from culture supernatant. Standard methods include, but are not limited to chromatographic methods, including size exclusion chromatography, hydrophobic chromatography, ion exchange chromatography, and affinity chromatography. These methods can be performed alone or in combination with other purification
10 methods, e.g. precipitation or gel electrophoresis. The skilled person can devise suitable combinations of purification methods for domain antibodies on the basis of common general knowledge. For specific examples the art cited herein is referred to. It is envisaged that any of the above conditions a), d), e), f), or a
15 combination thereof, that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge, can also be applied at or between any step of these purification methods. In one embodiment, any of the above conditions a), d), e), f), or a combination thereof may be applied as part of a chromatographic purification procedure, e.g. on the domain
20 antibodies attached to a stationary phase of a chromatographic column. Examples of representative chromatographic refolding processes may include size exclusion (SEC); solvent exchange during reversible adsorption on protein A column or MEP HyperCel™ column; hydrophobic interaction chromatography (HIC); reversed-phase
25 chromatography (RPC); ion exchange chromatography (IEX); or the use of any resin suitable for domain antibody purification. The on-column refolding is attractive because it is easily applied during preparative chromatographic steps.

30 The conditions that promote the formation of a disulfide bridge may be applied on the column on a partially or highly purified preparation of domain antibody. The conditions can also be applied during another step, such as on a solid phase or during filtering or any other step in purification.

35

In one exemplary embodiment, domain antibodies can be purified from culture supernatant by a combination of affinity chromatography on

Protein A, ion exchange chromatography and size exclusion chromatography. Reference to any "step of purification", includes, but is not limited to these particular methods.

5 More specifically, domain antibodies can be purified from culture supernatant using a process wherein the clarified supernatant (obtained by centrifugation) is captured on a Protein A resin; followed by a SOURCE 15S (GE Healthcare) cation exchange chromatography step and a Superdex 75 (GE Healthcare) SEC step.

10

In the following, particular examples of conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bonds suitable for the methods according to the present invention are discussed in more detail. Applying these conditions will also be referred to as "treatment" of the domain
15 antibody.

15

The skilled person can readily determine the suitable treatment time for any of the method steps described below. The effects of the treatment, i.e. the reduction of the product related variant can be
20 monitored by means described herein, e.g. RP-HPLC. Typical treatment times will range from 0.5 h to overnight treatment. In some instances treatment times will, however, be in the range of 2 to 4 weeks, as set forth below. Preferably, the treatment time will range between 1 and 12 h, more preferably 1 to 6 h, and most preferably 1
25 to 3 h. In exemplary embodiments of the invention, the treatment time may be 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 h.

25

The treatment temperature will depend on the stage of applying the treatment. If the treatment is performed during the culturing phase
30 of the host, the treatment temperature will be the same as the culturing temperature, or below the culturing temperature. The skilled person knows suitable culturing temperatures for different hosts. If the treatment is performed in the presence of the host but at a reduced temperature, the temperature may be e.g. 5°C below the
35 culturing temperature usually employed for the respective non-E. coli host. Exemplary treatment temperatures are room temperature (22°C), 25°C, 30°C or 37°C.

35

After removal of the host, the temperature can also be raised up to 70°C, preferably up to 60°C, more preferably up to 55°C in view of the high temperature stability of domain antibodies, in particular
5 Nanobodies. A preferable treatment temperature is room temperature.

The various treatments can be performed at different pH. If the treatment is performed during the culturing phase in the presence of the host, the pH will be chosen to be suitable for the host. After
10 removal of the host, the pH can be chosen in a wider range, e.g. from pH 3 to 10, preferably 4 to 9. Specific examples of suitable pH comprise a pH of approximately 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or 9 (each ± 0.5).

After removal of the host, the domain antibody may be present in a
15 wide range of suitable buffers. Examples include, but are not limited to PBS or Tris-HCl. The domain antibody may also be present in physiological saline. Preferably the domain antibody is present in a buffer that does not contain any phosphates.

20 Subsequent to any one, or any combination of treatments according to the present invention, the domain antibody can be transferred to a new buffer system, if desired. The transfer can be accomplished by routine means. For example, the domain antibody can be transferred into PBS by dialysis. The domain antibody may also be transferred
25 into physiological saline. The skilled person can readily chose other suitable buffer systems.

a) addition of oxidizing agents

In one embodiment of the invention, oxidizing agents can be added to
30 promote the formation of disulfide bonds. The agents can be added during culturing of the host, and/or after removal of the host. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, oxidizing agents are used after removal of the host, i.e. in the context of culture supernatant, or at any stage of purifying the domain antibody.

In the present invention, "oxidizing agents" are any agents that can be used to promote the formation of disulfide bonds. The skilled person is well aware of agents that promote the formation of disulfide bonds.

5

Specific non-limiting examples of oxidizing agents comprise metals or metal ions, all agents that can act as a redox couple, all redox proteins (including PDI and proteins having oxidative refolding activities, see e.g. Kimura et al. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 31438, 2005),
10 cofactors such as FAD (flavine adenine dinucleotide), FMN (flavine mononucleotide), NADH (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), other oxidizing agents like H₂O₂ or DMSO. Oxidizing agents are usually chemical substances with elements in high oxidation numbers (e.g., H₂O₂, MnO₄⁻, CrO₃, Cr₂O₇²⁻, OsO₄) or highly electronegative
15 substances that can gain one or two extra electrons by oxidizing a substance (O, F, Cl, Br, I), oxygen-rich compounds with atoms in the highest possible state of oxidation (e.g. potassium permanganate, potassium nitrate) as well as cations of precious metals.

20 The present invention considers the use of combinations of oxidizing agents, e.g. the combination of more than one oxidizing metal ion, or the combination of an oxidizing metal ion with one or more further oxidizing agents e.g. as set forth above.

25 The list of specific examples can be easily expanded by the skilled person on the basis of the teaching of the present invention. The skilled person will also readily understand under which condition which oxidizing agent can suitably be used. Depending on the particular circumstances of exposing domain antibodies to oxidizing
30 agents, the skilled person will select different suitable kinds of oxidizing agents.

For example, the exposure to the oxidizing agent must not damage the domain antibody, and should preferably not lead to structural
35 variants other than such lacking a disulfide bridge. In other words, exposure to the oxidizing agent must be conducted under conditions favoring formation of disulfide bridges without damage to the domain

antibody. In this connection the high chemical stability of domain antibodies is of great advantage.

If the skilled person chooses to add oxidizing agents during the culturing of the host, the oxidizing agent must not compromise the ability of the host to divide and / or produce the domain antibody.

In one particular embodiment, the oxidizing agent is oxygen, per se. Thus, the culture of the host and/or culture supernatant and/or the solution of the domain antibody at any purification stage after the host has been removed can be oxygenated. In the latter case, oxygenation can also be combined with increasing the temperature e.g. up to 55°C, and/or increasing pH, e.g. to pH 8 or 9.

In another embodiment of the invention, oxidizing metal ions can be added to the culture per se, or the solution comprising domain antibodies after removal of the host at any stage of purification. Specific non-limiting examples of metal ions comprise Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺ or Zn²⁺. The metal ions can be added in the form of a suitable salt, for example as sulfates. A specific non limiting example is CuSO₄.

Metal ions, including the above examples, e.g. Cu²⁺ can be added to the culture of the host, or the domain antibody solution after removal of the host in µM to mM concentrations. Specific examples include a final concentration of the metal ion, e.g. Cu²⁺ of between 0.001 and 100 mM, preferably 0.01 to 10 mM, preferably 0.1 to 10 mM, preferably 0.15 to 10 mM, preferably 1 to 10 mM, more preferably 1 to 5 mM, for example approximately 0.01 mM, 0.1 mM, 1 mM, 5 mM or 10 mM. In one embodiment, the concentration of metal ions is higher than 100 µM, preferably higher than 120 µM. In one particular embodiment, the solution comprises 1 mM metal ion, preferably Cu²⁺, more preferably in the form of CuSO₄. In one embodiment, the concentration of CuSO₄ is not between 5 µM and 100 µM.

35

The above concentrations can also be used for other oxidizing agents. If a combination of oxidizing agents is used, the end

concentration of each oxidizing agent by itself may reside within the concentration ranges specified above.

The said concentrations can be applied in the culture medium or the solution comprising domain antibodies after removal of the host at any stage of purification. In case the metal ion is added to the culture medium, the skilled person can readily ascertain a concentration which leads to a reduction or abolishment of the product related variant, whilst it does not affect viability of the host, in particular does not affect the capacity to grow and/or produce domain antibody.

According to the invention, oxidizing agents, in particular metal ions, can be applied to pure domain antibodies, or semi-purified samples (e.g. domain antibody having a purity of >80%, more preferably >90%, more preferably >95%) or on material that is present in the un-clarified culture broth and/or in clarified culture medium. According to the invention even in such less pure protein mixtures the variant can be reduced or removed by treating the samples with the oxidizing agent. In one embodiment, the oxidizing agent, in particular CuSO_4 , is not added to the culture medium. In one embodiment, the oxidizing agent is applied to the domain antibody attached to a stationary phase of a chromatographic column, as described herein.

The temperature at which the oxidizing agent is added depends on the stage of addition. During culture, the culturing temperature will be applied. After removal from the host, higher temperatures can be employed, if desired. In a preferred embodiment, the temperature will be room temperature after removal of the host.

The oxidizing agent can be added to any suitable buffer. It may be necessary to select a buffer which does not form precipitating salts with the oxidizing agent. For example, the addition of CuSO_4 to PBS may result in the formation of precipitates. In this case, the skilled person will select another suitable buffer, e.g. Tris-HCl, which does not form a precipitate with Cu^{2+} .

The treatment duration can be readily determined by the skilled person, e.g. by monitoring the reduction of the product related variant. The treatment may extend over several phases, for example
5 it may extend from the culturing phase of the host to one or several of the purification stages of the domain antibody. Alternatively, the treatment may be performed e.g. in a single step of domain antibody purification. Preferably, the oxidizing agent is used during an early step of antibody purification. Once all disulfide
10 bridge(s) have formed to convert the product related variant into the desired product, this structure will be stable under standard conditions (e.g. in buffers like PBS or Tris-HCl, pH 4 to 10). Thus, if the product related variant is converted into the desired product at an early stage of the purification procedure, the variant will
15 not spontaneously reoccur. Thus, the remainder of the purification method can be performed on the homogeneous desired product. Moreover, the oxidizing agent can be removed by the purification steps following the step including treatment with the oxidizing agent. This has the additional advantage that the final product will be
20 free of the oxidizing agent.

In a preferred embodiment, domain antibodies are treated at any stage after removal of host by addition of a metal salt, preferably CuSO₄ to a final concentration of 1mM at room temperature for at
25 least 2h. More preferably, the treatment with a metal ion, e.g. Cu²⁺ is performed in the clarified culture supernatant at a pH of 4 for at least 2 h.

The use of CuSO₄ in the context of producing conventional humanized
30 antibodies comprising 16 disulfide bonds by CHO cells has previously been reported (Chaderjian et al. Biotechnol. 21: 550, 2005). In this instance, 5-100µM CuSO₄ were added to a culture of CHO cells. At the highest concentration, viability of the host was already
compromised.

35

In one particular embodiment of the invention, the non-E. coli host is not CHO, when the oxidizing agent is CuSO₄. In one embodiment,

the non-E. coli host is not CHO, when CuSO₄ is added at a concentration of 5 to 100 μ M. In one embodiment CuSO₄ is not added to the culture medium at a concentration ranging from 5 to 100 μ M.

5 According to the present invention, the addition of an oxidizing agent suitable for promoting the formation of disulfide bridges can result in the fast and complete removal of the product related variant lacking at least one disulfide bond. In this connection, removal means that the variant forms the missing disulfide bridge(s)
10 and thus becomes structurally identical with the desired domain antibody.

b) increasing a thiol isomerase, preferably protein disulfide isomerase, in the host

15 The enzyme Protein Disulfide Isomerase (PDI), a 55 kDa protein, has been shown to be a catalyst in protein folding, disulfide bond formation and isomerization in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of eukaryotic cells (Freedman et al. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19: 331, 1994; Wilkinson et al. 2005, J. Biol. Chem. 280: 11483). PDI is
20 involved in structure formation of immunoglobulins in vivo. (Gonzalez et al. Biotechnol. Prog., 17: 217, 2001; Nilsson et al. Immunol. Lett. 94: 83, 2004). In hosts like Saccharomyces further proteins having oxidative refolding activities have been reported (Kimura et al., J. Biol. Chem. 280: 31438, 2005).

25

Co-expression of conventional antibodies or their fragments, like Fab or scFv with PDI has been applied to increase secretion rates and/or levels of functional product in hosts like E. coli, Saccharomyces, CHO, baculovirus transfection of insect cells, or
30 Pichia (Humphreys et al. FEBS Lett. 380: 194, 1996; Hsu et al. Protein Expr. Purif. 7: 281, 1996; Shusta et al. Nat. Biotechnol. 16: 773, 1998; Xu et al. Metabolic Engineering 7: 269, 2005; Borth et al. Biotechnol. Prog. 21: 106, 2005; Mohan et al. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 98: 611, 2007; Damasceno et al. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 74: 381, 2007; Gasser et al. Appl. & Env. Microbiol. 73: 6499, 2007). In these methods, co-expression with PDI was applied to
35 obtain increased rates and/or levels of functional product.

In contrast, co-expression with PDI is not a requirement for efficient production of domain antibodies in e.g. E. coli. Good yields of fully functional domain antibodies have been achieved by recombinant expression in E. coli.

However, in a fraction of the domain antibodies, in particular Nanobodies obtained from non-E. coli hosts, in particular yeasts, more particularly Pichia, the presence of free thiol was observed, due to unpaired cysteine residues. This finding was entirely unexpected, because most of these domain antibodies, in particular Nanobodies, were still functional and well-expressed.

Consequently, in a further embodiment of the invention, expression of a thiol isomerase can be enhanced in the host by commonly known means, including e.g. use of suitable control sequences, e.g. a strong promoter, and/or increasing the gene dose, e.g. by increasing the copy number of the respective gene. The copy number can be increased e.g. by introducing genetic constructs suitable for expression of thiol isomerase. If the host already comprises endogenous thiol isomerase, the additional presence of the plasmid will increase the overall copy number. In this instance, the thiol isomerase may be the same or different to the thiol isomerase endogenous to the host. In case the host does not endogenously contain thiol isomerase, the introduction of exogenous thiol isomerase, e.g. in the form of a plasmid or vector, also increases the copy number in this host, even if present as a single copy.

Moreover, genetic constructs that can multiply independently of the host genome and are present in multiple copies in the host can be used. For example, multi copy plasmids or vectors may be present in copy numbers between 5 and 50 in the host cell.

The skilled person will know a multitude of possibilities of enhancing the expression of thiol isomerase, all of which are encompassed by the present invention. The skilled person can also readily ascertain on the basis of routine means that the expected

correlation between enhanced gene dose and enhanced enzymatic activity is present. Most importantly, the reduction of the product related variant can be monitored.

5 Hence, the domain antibody can be co-expressed in the host with a thiol isomerase. This approach can be taken alone or in combination with any other approach to avoid, reduce or remove product related variants as described herein.

10 Specific examples of thiol isomerases include, but are not limited to calsequestrin and other PDI-related proteins like ERp72, ERp57, ERp60, ERp44, ERp5, ERp27 and PDIR, preferably protein disulfide isomerase (PDI).

15 The thiol isomerase and the domain antibody can be expressed from the same or different nucleic acids, e.g. the same or different vector or plasmid. A nucleic acid suitable for transforming a host and/or expression of the domain antibody in the host is also referred to as genetic construct. Such a genetic construct will
20 oftentimes comprise regulatory elements, such as suitable promoters, enhancer elements polyadenylation sequences, etc.

Accordingly, the present invention also relates to nucleic acids or genetic constructs encoding a domain antibody and a thiol isomerase.
25 Furthermore, the present invention relates to hosts comprising such genetic constructs or nucleic acids.

The skilled person can introduce the nucleic acids of the invention into hosts by routine measures, e.g. by transformation. The skilled
30 person can then select suitable host cells comprising the nucleic acids, e.g. by monitoring the expression of the thiol isomerase on the nucleic acid and/or protein level. A strain with a satisfactory level of expression will be selected. A high expression of thiol isomerase is desirable, however, it should not be so high as to
35 result in competition with expression of the domain antibody. This can be determined by routine methods.

For further guidance, the skilled person can consult the exemplary sequence of PDI provided in the experimental part of the application, the GenBank entry NP_009887, or sequences reported in the art (see e.g. Wilkinson et al. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 11483, 2005; 5 Mayer et al. 341: 1077, 2004). Further proteins having oxidative refolding activities are known in the art and can be used in the context of the present invention, alone or preferably in combination with the thiol isomerases set forth above (Kimura et al. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 31438, 2005). Also considered for expression in 10 combination with thiol isomerases are factors known to enhance heterologous protein secretion in yeasts, as described e.g. in Gasser et al. (Appl. Environm Microbiol. 73: 6499, 2007) or Damasceno et al. (Microbiol. Biotechnol. 74: 381, 2007).

15 Also exemplified are methods to clone PDI in a suitable vector and transform hosts, as well as selection of suitable transformants. The skilled person can select any one or more of these aspects to arrive at embodiments of the invention, alone or in combination with any of the general teaching of the application.

20

According to the invention, the coexpression of a thiol isomerase with a domain antibody can result in the significant reduction of the product related variant. The invention includes the further treatment of domain antibodies obtained from expression in hosts co- 25 expressing a thiol isomerase to reduce or remove the product related variant.

c) adapting the culturing conditions

In a further embodiment of the invention, which can be employed 30 alone or in combination with any other embodiment as described herein to reduce the formation of product related variants lacking at least one disulfide bond, the culture conditions can be adapted.

The skilled person knows standard culturing conditions for non-E. 35 coli hosts suitable for recombinant production of domain antibodies.

As a specific example, the yeast *Pichia*, in particular *P. pastoris*, is typically cultured in glycerol fed batches and induction is initiated by the addition of methanol. The standard protocol is the Invitrogen protocol, expression at 30°C in basal salt medium with a methanol feed rate of 10 ml/L*h. Other methods for the culturing of non-*E. coli* host will be known to the skilled person and are e.g. described in *Methods in Molecular Biology*TM, *Pichia* protocols, second edition, Humana Press.

10 As compared to standard conditions, including, but not limited to the ones exemplified for *P. pastoris*, one or more selected from the following adaptations of culturing conditions can be applied: reduction of methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, lowering culturing temperature, addition of yeast extract
15 and/or peptone, or any combination thereof.

The following detailed description will be given in the context of the standard protocol (the Invitrogen protocol) for culturing *P. pastoris*, as set forth above. The skilled person will readily be in a position to adapt this teaching to the standard protocols used for
20 other non-*E. coli* hosts. For example, where the standard temperature for culturing *P. pastoris* is 30°C, a reduction in culturing temperature means e.g. 25°C. It is clear to the skilled person that for a non-*E. coli* host, the standard culturing temperature of which
25 is 37°C, 32°C or 30°C may represent a reduced culturing temperature.

Without wanting to be bound by theory, it is envisaged that culturing conditions which reduce metabolic stress to the non-*E. coli* host will reduce or abolish the formation of the product related variant lacking at least one disulfide bond.
30

One possible adaptation of the culturing conditions relates to a reduced methanol feed. An example of reduced methanol feed is a reduction by 30%, 50%, 70% or 80% as compared to the standard
35 protocol, for example ≤ 7 ml/l*h, ≤ 5 ml/l*h, ≤ 4 ml/l*h, ≤ 3 ml/L*h.

A further adaptation of the culturing conditions, to be applied alone or together with reduced methanol feed, or any other embodiment of the invention described herein, is the reduction of conductivity of the culturing medium, e.g. the basal salt medium.

5 Such reduction can be a reduction by 30%, 50%, 70% or 80% as compared to the standard protocol, for example ≤ 28 mS:cm, ≤ 20 mS:cm, ≤ 12 mS:cm.

A further adaptation of the culturing conditions, to be applied alone or together with one or more of reduced methanol feed, reduced conductivity, and/or any other embodiment of the invention described herein, is lowering the culturing temperature. For example, the culturing temperature can be lowered by 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 °C. In a preferred embodiment the culturing temperature is lowered by 5°C, e.g. from 30°C to 25°C.

A further adaptation of the culturing conditions, to be applied alone or together with one or more of reduced methanol feed, reduced conductivity, lowering the culturing temperature and/or any other embodiment of the invention described herein, is the addition of yeast extract and/or peptone. For example, yeast extract and/or peptone can be added at a concentration in the feed of 0 to 20% to the culture medium.

25 For the overall production process the addition of yeast extract and/or peptone has the additional advantage of strongly reducing, or completely avoiding the occurrence of fragments of domain antibodies. This additional structural variant is likely formed by proteolytic activity. Without wanting to be bound by theory, the addition of yeast extract and/or peptone may provide alternative substrates for proteases, such that the formation of degraded domain antibodies is reduced or avoided all together.

A further adaptation of the culturing conditions, to be applied alone or together with one or more of reduced methanol feed, reduced conductivity, lowering the culturing temperature, addition of yeast

extract and/or peptone, and/or any other embodiment of the invention described herein, is the use of an optimized culturing medium. For example, instead of basal salt medium, Rich medium as defined in the experimental section can be used.

5

The skilled person can readily combine the above measures such as to devise optimized culturing conditions. The level of product related variant under the different conditions can be readily determined e.g. by RP-HPLC.

10

The above measures, alone or in a suitable combination, can result in a significant reduction of product related variant. For example, as compared to a standard protocol (e.g. the Invitrogen protocol), resulting in 15% of product related variant, the above measures can lead to a reduction to between 1 and 4% product related variant, preferably 1% product related variant or less.

d) refolding in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer

As outlined above, the adaptation of the culturing conditions may result in a considerable reduction of the product related variant. However, there may still be residual product related variant. Similarly, domain antibodies may have been produced by standard protocols or in procedures optimized for titer in non-E. coli hosts, and may comprise 15-25% of product related variant.

25

Hence, in a further embodiment the present invention relates to the refolding of the product related variant in the presence of a denaturant and under conditions suitable for the formation of the missing disulfide bond(s). This may be applied alone or together with one or more of the other embodiments of the invention as described herein.

30

To achieve formation of the missing disulfide bridge, the domain antibody preparation is advantageously treated with a denaturant. This will typically be done after separation from the host, in culture supernatant or after any of the different purification steps routinely applied. In a preferred embodiment this treatment will be

35

applied to purified domain antibody. Suitable denaturants include GdnHCl (Guanidinium hydrochloride, also abbreviated GuHCl) or urea. The denaturant has to be applied in a high enough concentration to achieve partial denaturation of the product related variant and at the same time will unfold the intact domain antibody. For example, 0.125 to 2 M GdnHCl, preferably 1 M, more preferably 2 M GdnHCl; or 1-3 M urea, preferably 2 M, more preferably 3 M, can be employed. The skilled person will know other suitable denaturants having a comparable effect on the product related variant.

10

Moreover, refolding of the variant and the intact domain antibody has to be performed in the presence of a suitable redox buffer. The skilled person can readily select suitable redox buffers on the basis of the teaching of the present application. Specific examples of suitable redox buffers comprise mixtures of cystamine/cysteamine. Suitable ratios are in the range of 1:5 mM/mM to 5:1 mM/mM, preferably 1:5 mM/mM cystamine/cysteamine.

20

In one preferred embodiment, refolding is performed in 2 M GdnHCl and 1:5 mM/mM cystamine/cysteamine. Under these conditions a complete refolding and formation of the lacking disulfide bond and the refolding of the intact domain antibody can be achieved in the course of approximately 2 h at room temperature.

25

The domain antibody can be unfolded by exposure to the denaturant, and subsequently exposed to the redox buffer. Alternatively, the denaturant and redox buffer can be used at the same time.

30

Exposure to the denaturant and redox buffer can be achieved by standard techniques, exemplified, but not limited to addition of denaturant / redox buffer to the solution of the domain antibody to achieve a suitable final concentration, by dialysis, or by buffer exchange techniques. The contacting with the denaturant and redox buffer can also be performed with domain antibodies attached to a stationary phase of a chromatographic column, while the denaturant and redox buffer are part of the mobile phase.

35

Subsequent to refolding, the domain antibody can be transferred to a new buffer system, if desired. The transfer can be accomplished by routine means. For example, the domain antibody can be transferred into PBS by dialysis. The skilled person can readily chose other
5 suitable buffer systems.

e) oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH, high pressure

After separation of the domain antibody from the host, the domain antibody can be treated in several ways that increase the formation
10 of disulfide bridges.

The domain antibody solution can be oxygenated. For example, the solution can be purged with oxygen gas. Alternatively, compounds liberating oxygen can be added. Suitable compounds are known to the
15 skilled person. The amount of soluble oxygen in the solution is needed to maintain the fully oxidized form of the domain antibody, depletion of the amount of oxygen supply to the solution by, for example a nitrogen gas overlay during the fill process, will not be preferred.

20

Oxygenation can be performed at a suitable temperature, e.g. between room temperature and 70°C, preferably between 37°C and 60°C, advantageously at 55°C. Whereas oxygenation alone can lead to the reduction of the product related variant, the combination of
25 oxygenation and increased temperature will increase the rate by which the missing disulfide bridge(s) are formed.

Treatment duration can be chosen as appropriate, e.g. between 2 hours and 48 hours, advantageously 3-24 h, preferably 5 to 12 h. The
30 skilled person can monitor the progress of disulfide bridge formation e.g. by RP-HPLC to determine a suitable treatment duration.

The buffer system is not limited, provided it is suitable for
35 oxygenation. Standard buffer systems like PBS or Tris-HCl can be used.

As an alternative to oxygenation, domain antibodies can be treated by increasing the temperature alone, i.e. without oxygenation. Increasing the temperature to e.g. 70°C, preferably 40-60°C, more preferably 55°C will result in the reduction of the product related variant by formation of the missing disulfide bridge(s).

Treatment duration can be chosen as appropriate and may range from several days to several weeks, for example 2 to 4 weeks at 37°C. At higher temperatures, treatment duration can be reduced. A reduction in the product related variant can be observed, for example, after treating the domain antibody at 50°C overnight, preferably 1 to 2 days, preferably 3 to 7 days.

The above measures of oxygenation and/or increasing temperature can furthermore be combined with increasing the pH. Examples of an increased pH are e.g. in the range of pH 7.5 to 10, more specifically approximately pH 8 or 9. Said increase of the pH will also lead to the reduction of product related variants on its own, i.e. without combination with oxygenation and/or increased temperature.

As an alternative to oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH and/or in addition to these measures, domain antibodies can be subjected to high pressure. Increasing the pressure to 250-10000 bar, preferably 500-5000 bar, such as 1000-4000 bar, e.g. about 1000- about 2000 bar will result in reduction of the product related variant by formation of the missing disulfide bridge(s).

Treatment duration can be chosen as appropriate and may range from one or a few hours to 50 hours, for example about 20-25 hours at room temperature.

It can be appreciated that combinations of one or more of the measures of oxygenation, increased temperature, increased pH and high pressure will enhance the formation of disulfide bridge(s) in the product related variant, such that the variant is reduced more quickly and/or to a greater extent.

One or more of the above measures can also be applied to the domain antibodies attached to a stationary phase of a chromatographic column as described herein.

5

f) removal of product related variant by binding to activated thiol-Sepharose and/or RP-HPLC

The above described measures, alone or in combination, aim at reducing the product related variant by forming the missing
10 disulfide bridge(s). In other words, these measures lead to the conversion of the product related variant into the desired product. The advantages are self evident, in that a significant proportion of the starting material may be present in the form of the variant, and the conversion into the desired product increases the yield of the
15 overall process.

Nevertheless, in a further embodiment, which can be used alone or in combination with one or more of the above measures or treatments, the present invention also relates to the removal of product related
20 variant. In this context, removal means the physical separation from the desired product, and is distinct from the conversion of the variant into the desired product by formation of the lacking disulfide bridge(s).

25 The skilled person can utilize a range of standard techniques for removing the product related variant by virtue of the presence of free thiol groups which are present in the variant in view of the lacking disulfide bridge(s). These free thiol groups can be used for binding the variant to reactive groups which are, for example,
30 immobilized on a suitable carrier. One example of suitable reactive groups are thiol groups. Thus, thiol immobilized on a carrier, e.g. a substrate suitable for chromatography, can be used for binding the free thiol in the variant. Standard techniques, comprising, but not limited to chromatography, can be used for separating the bound
35 variant from the desired product.

Free thiol groups can be used for separation of the product related variant in case the desired domain antibody does not contain free thiol groups once all disulfide bridges have been formed. For example, if the domain antibody contains one or more cystein(s) which do not participate in disulfide bridge formation in the desired product, binding to thiol groups will not distinguish between the product and the product related variant.

Preferably, the domain antibodies are exposed to the reactive groups under denaturing conditions. This ensures that all free thiols present in the product related variant will be accessible to the reactive groups. Denaturing conditions as exemplified in the context of the refolding strategy (denaturant + redox buffer) can be employed, albeit under omission of the redox buffer. Examples include Guanidinium hydrochloride and urea at the concentrations specified hereinabove. In addition, conditions as used in the examples section (e.g. 6M urea) can be applied.

A further approach to remove the product related variant according to the present invention resides in the use of RP-HPLC. Amongst several chromatographic methods discussed in the experimental part of this application, RP-HPLC has surprisingly shown to have a good resolution for the desired domain antibody and the product related variant. Hence, RP-HPLC can not only be used to monitor the effects of various treatments according to the present invention, and to evaluate the quality of a domain antibody product in terms of product homogeneity. In addition, RP-HPLC can be used for physical separation of the variant from the desired product.

Removal of the product related variant by physical separation from the desired domain antibody can be performed alone, or in combination with any of the other embodiments of the invention as described herein. Advantageously in the case of a combination, one or more methods or treatments that reduce the amount of product related variant by formation of the missing disulfide bridge(s) will be performed first, followed by a step of removing the remaining variant by physical separation.

Domain antibody of the invention

The present invention also relates to the domain antibody obtainable by the methods of the invention as described herein. It is characterized by a reduced level, or the complete absence, of the product related variant lacking at least one disulfide bridge. For example, the domain antibody obtainable by the methods of the present invention comprises 0-5%, more preferably 0-4%, 0-3%, 0-2% or 0-1% product related variant. Most preferably, the domain antibody of the present invention will be free of the product related variant. The skilled person can readily determine the proportion of product related variant -as a % of the total- e.g. by RP-HPLC as described herein.

In other words, the domain antibody obtainable by the methods of the present invention is characterized by an improved structural homogeneity as compared to prior art preparations. In particular, prior art preparations may comprise 15-25%, or even higher proportions of product related variant.

In view of the improved structural homogeneity, the domain antibody obtainable by the method of the present invention is advantageous as compared to prior art preparations. For example, the domain antibody of the present invention is advantageous for therapeutic applications. In the connection of therapeutic antibody use, structural homogeneity is of foremost clinical and regulatory importance. Even though the product related variant surprisingly is fully functional, its presence in therapeutic preparations is undesired.

Accordingly, the present invention also relates to pharmaceutical preparations and other compositions comprising the domain antibody obtainable by the methods of the present invention. The present invention also relates to the medical use of the domain antibody obtainable by the method of the present invention.

The skilled person can readily formulate pharmaceutically suitable formulations on the basis of common general knowledge. Moreover, the references specifically dealing with domain antibodies, which are cited herein, are explicitly referred to. Without limitation, formulations for standard routes of application can be prepared, including formulations for nasal, oral, intravenous, subcutaneous, intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intravaginal, rectal application, topical application or application by inhalation.

Based on the present invention, the skilled person can also readily devise suitable methods of treatment characterized by the use of a therapeutically effective amount of the domain antibody of the present invention.

15

EXAMPLES

The experimental section describes the surprising identification of a product related variant that occurs upon expression of single domain antibodies, like Nanobodies, in non E. coli hosts, such as *Pichia pastoris*. Also described is the analysis and elimination of the product related variant.

The variant does not occur in E. coli.

Several standard chromatographic methods cannot separate the "intact" material from the variant; neither a cationic ion exchange (IEX) chromatographic step, nor a Hydrophobic Interaction Chromatography showed any resolving power for the variant. Moreover, most of the variants are fully functional as determined by binding (e.g. Biacore, ELISA) to their respective ligands. In other words, by standard analytical techniques the non-E. coli produced material (comprising the variant) was indistinguishable from E. coli produced material (without the variant).

For these reasons, finding the product related variant was surprising, in the first place.

Analysis of the product related variant demonstrates that the variant -which was initially identified as 'post-peak' in the RP-HPLC analysis- constitutes a population of Nanobody molecules in which one of the canonical disulfide bonds has not been formed. In one instance, the disulfide bond has not been formed in one of the Nanobody building blocks of a composite Nanobody comprising three Nanobody subunits with two different specificities. Evidence that the product related variant lacks a disulfide bond came from the Total Mass Measurements by mass spectrometry, the measurement of free thiol in the sample and the binding of the variant to a thiol-affinity resin and the spontaneous formation of the disulfide bond in the variant upon storage.

Multiple ways for avoiding occurrence of the variant in the first place and/or removing the variant after it has been formed are exemplified.

For example, the formation of the variant during the culturing stage can be reduced or avoided by one or more measures selected from low methanol feed, a low conductivity medium, low culturing temperature, the addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, and the overexpression of Protein Disulfide Isomerase (PDI).

Moreover, the variant can be removed from the obtained product by one or more selected from binding to thiol Sepharose under denaturing conditions, RP-HPLC, storage at elevated temperatures, oxygenation, increasing pH, refolding in the presence of denaturants and a redox buffer, and the addition of oxidizing agents, such as oxidizing metal ions, specifically Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and/or Zn^{2+} .

The addition of oxidizing agents, in particular oxidizing metal ions, e.g. copper, iron or zinc ions, can be performed at any stage of production, i.e. during the culture stage, during various clarification and purification steps, and in the final purified product.

These measures, alone or in combination, avoid having a large proportion of active -but potentially unstable product variant in the final drug substance after the purification.

5 RANKL008a was previously described in WO 2008/142164 and is a trivalent bispecific Nanobody consisting of three humanized variable domains of a heavy-chain llama antibody, of which two identical subunits are specific for binding to RANKL while the remaining subunit binds to Human Serum Albumin (HSA). The subunits are fused
10 head-to-tail with a G/S linker in the following format: 13H5-9GS-Alb8-9GS-13H5 and having the following sequence (SEQ ID NO: 6):

```
EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSSYPMGWFRQAPGKGREFVSSITGSGGSTYYADSVKGRF
TISRDNKNTLYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAAYIRPDTYLSRDYRKYDYWGQGTLVTVSSGGGGSGGGSE
15 VQLVESGGGLVQPGNSLRLSCAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGKGLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYADSVKGRFT
ISRDNKNTLYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGTTLVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEVQLVESGGGLVQ
PGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSSYPMGWFRQAPGKGREFVSSITGSGGSTYYADSVKGRFTISRDNKNTLYL
QMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAAYIRPDTYLSRDYRKYDYWGQGTLVTVSS
```

20 In some instances the RANKL008a is followed by the acronym Pic which stands for the protein that is expressed in *Pichia pastoris* or by the acronym Omp which stand for material expressed in *E. coli* through periplasmic expression.

25 It is demonstrated that material containing product related variants of e.g. RANKL008a (the variant lacking one or two disulfide bridges is also referred to as RANKL008a-PRV-SS or PRV-RANKL008a) surprisingly does not show a reduced potency; binding to both the ligands, i.e. RANKL and Human Serum Albumin, surprisingly is not
30 impaired and the variant is fully functional. Moreover evidence is presented that the disulfide bond is missing in the RANKL binding subunit of this trivalent, bispecific (for RANKL and HSA binding) Nanobody.

35 The RANKL008a molecule was produced by periplasmic expression in *E. coli* or in *Pichia pastoris* (secreted). Whereas all analytical methodologies and the potency measurements of the *E. coli* and the P.

pastoris materials demonstrated not to be significantly different, the RP-HPLC showed the presence of a quantitatively important variant lacking a disulfide bridge in the Pichia derived material.

5 It is demonstrated that the occurrence of the RANKL008a-PRV-SS variant is independent of the yeast strain in which the construct is expressed, and no differences between different selected production clones could be identified. Moreover the variant is present already at the initial phases of expression and there is no relative
10 increase during the duration of the expression. There is however a clear correlation between the expression efficiency, the final titer obtained, the feeding strategy applied (methanol feed in particular) and the relative proportion of this variant is such that the higher the expression the higher the relative proportion of the variant.

15

Refolding in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer showed clearly that the variant can be converted into intact material containing the expected canonical disulfide bridge. It is also shown that by increasing the temperature, changing the pH and by adding
20 oxidizing agents, like metal ions, the variant could be efficiently and reproducibly converted into intact protein. It is furthermore shown that such measures can be taken at different steps in the process: in the culture broth, clarified supernatant or on purified materials, as exemplified by the addition of an oxidizing agent,
25 specifically a metal ion, more specifically CuSO₄.

In a preferable embodiment a process is defined where an oxidizing metal ion, preferably Cu²⁺, more preferably 1 mM CuSO₄ is added to the clarified culture supernatant prior to the loading on the first
30 chromatographic step.

In this section experiments are described, amongst others, that were performed to create other hosts for the expression of RANKL008a and its effect on the PRV-RANKL008a. Moreover for a particular strain it
35 was tested if the PRV-RANKL008a is present in all clones isolated. Over-expression of Protein Disulfide Isomerase (PDI) was explored with the aim of "facilitating" the disulfide bond formation during

expression with the aim of reducing the relative proportion of the variant.

Moreover, the fermentation conditions for expression of RANKL008a in
5 P. pastoris X-33 strain were explored with the aim to investigate if any of these conditions can reduce the relative proportion of this variant.

Unless described otherwise standard methods of analysis were
10 applied.

Example 1: Fermentative production of Nanobodies in P. pastoris
15 results in high quality, homogeneous product

Production of Nanobodies in lower eukaryotic hosts such as Pichia pastoris has been extensively described in WO 94/25591, and is known to result in good quality product.

20 Accordingly, the comparison of the RANKL008a material expressed in E. coli versus that of the materials expressed in P. pastoris(X-33 strain) did not reveal any significant differences as can be appreciated from Figures 1 to 3.

25 The material used for comparison has been purified as briefly set forth in the following: The RANKL008a protein is purified from clarified supernatant (obtained by centrifugation) by capturing on a Protein A resin; followed by a Source 15S cation exchange chromatography step and subsequently polished on a Superdex 75 SEC
30 step.

Figure 1 describes the size exclusion chromatography on E. coli material (two different batches denoted as "B5#201207" and "B11#140208") in comparison with the P. pastoris material ("Pic
35 P#270308").

As can be seen in particular from the enlarged inset, the material produced and purified from a *P. pastoris* fermentation (also referred to as "*P. pastoris* material") using similar purification protocols resulted in an even more homogeneous material as compared to *E. coli*. *P. pastoris* material eluted in one peak without any pre- or post peaks. Tailing of the eluted peak was very minor, and much less than observed for the comparable material obtained from *E. coli*.

The size exclusion chromatographic findings could be confirmed by comparison of *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* produced Nanobodies by ion exchange HPLC (cation exchange). The results can be seen in Fig. 2 and the enlargement in Fig. 3. In both figures *P. pastoris* produced Nanobody traces can be identified by the term "Pic". The other batches are *E. coli* produced. In *P. pastoris* produced Nanobodies the peak at 27 min. observed for the two different batches of *E. coli* material was absent, again indicating a better homogeneity of the *P. pastoris* produced material.

Example 2: Nanobodies produced in *P. pastoris* have good functionality as exemplified by binding to RANKL or HSA by RANKL008a (no differences to *E. coli* produced material)

RANKL008a binds both to RANKL and to HSA and therefore both functionalities should be tested to compare *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* produced material. The functionality of the RANKL008a can be tested by several methodologies: e.g. a Biacore method for binding to RANKL and HSA was used to allow rapid screening of functionality of binding of RANKL008a to its respective targets. Comparisons of the slopes of the binding are used for relative comparison. Moreover ELISA assays for monitoring the relative potencies for RANKL and HSA were used.

Biacore experiments for binding of RANKL008a to RANKL or HSA

Experiments are performed on a Biacore3000 instrument (GE Healthcare). Chips are coated with HSA or RANKL using the Biacore amino coupling kit using NHS/EDC for activation and ethanolamine for de-activation. The CM5 chips (Biacore AB, research grade) were coated with respectively 10 µg/mL HSA (Sigma A3782 / 085K7541) or 7

µg/mL RANKL (Peprotech Cat#310-01 Lot#1101142) in a 10mM sodium acetate buffer of pH 4.5. The experimental conditions are summarized in the following table:

	RANKL	HSA
Flow buffer	HBS-EP*	HBS-EP*
Flow Rate ($\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$)	45	45
Injection volume (μL)	90	90
Injection time (sec)	120	120
Dissociation time (sec)	180	180
Regeneration solution	0.1M H ₃ PO ₄	10 mM Glycine-HCl pH 1.5
Regeneration flow rate ($\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$)	100	100
Regeneration volume (μL)	5	15
Regeneration time (sec)	3	10

Table 1: experimental conditions for Biacore experiments

* HBS-EP: 0.01M Hepes pH 7.4 + 0.15 M NaCl, 3 mM EDTA and 0.005% P20 surfactant.

5

Evaluation is performed using the BIAevaluation software. Slopes are determined using the "General Fit" method and the linear fit model. Initial Binding Rate (IBR) was determined on the slope between 5 and 30 sec. RANKL008a is used at 5nM on the HSA chip, and at 2 nM on the RANKL chip; the Response Units on the HSA chip are about 5200, for 10 the RANKL chip about 1700.

Two different batches RANKL008a (one produced in E. coli B11#140208 and one produced in P. pastoris P#270308nr1) were sent over a high 15 density HSA chip at concentrations of 4.5 and 5 nM and slopes of the binding between 5 and 30 sec were determined. In these conditions and concentrations the binding is linear.

	nM	Slope 1	Slope 2	Slope 3	Aver. Slope	%CV on slope	% binding compared to 5M solution
RANKL008a	4.5	2.75	2.68	2.73	2.72	1.33	92.00
E. coli	5	2.97	2.94	2.96	2.96	0.52	
RANKL008a	4.5	2.9	2.97	2.84	2.90	2.24	89.33
P. pastoris	5	3.23	3.26	3.26	3.25	0.53	

Table 2: Slopes of the binding of two different RANKL008a batches on a high density HSA chip at respectively 4.5 and 5 nM (three independent dilutions from the stock material).

The %CV on the measured slopes is <2.5%; indicating that a 10% difference can be detected using this analysis, the slopes measured for a 4.5 nM and a 5 nM solution are significantly different.

10

At the same time these data allow to compare the slopes of the binding curves for the E. coli material and the P. pastoris material which have a relative percentage binding of 107 and 110% relative to that of the E. coli batch for the 4.5 and 5 nM experiments respectively. This difference can be attributed to differences in the protein concentration used for the preparation of the diluted material.

In conclusion these data show that the binding of RANKL008a produced in both expression systems to a high density HSA chip is comparable.

Two different batches RANKL008a (one produced in E. coli B11#140208 and one produced in P. pastoris P#270308nr1) were sent over a RANKL chip at concentrations of 1.8 and 2 nM, and slopes of the binding between 5 and 30 sec were determined. In these conditions and concentrations the binding is linear.

25

	nM	Slope 1	Slope 2	Slope 3	Slope 4	Slope 5	Aver. Slope	%CV on slope	% binding compared to 2M solution
RANKL008a	1.8	1.6	1.62	1.64	1.6	1.6	1.61	1.11	92.22
E. coli	2	1.74	1.75	1.72	1.77	1.76	1.75	1.10	
RANKL008a	1.8	1.74	1.72	1.72	1.69	1.72	1.72	1.04	90.33
P. pastoris	2	1.91	1.9	1.9	1.89	1.91	1.90	0.44	

Table 3: Slopes of the binding of two different RANKL008a batches on a RANKL chip at respectively 1.8 and 2 nM (5 independent dilutions from the stock solution).

5

The %CV on the slopes determined for the binding of RANKL008a to RANKL is low (%CV < 1.2%) such that a 10% difference can be detected using this technique. The slopes measured for a 1.8 nM and a 2 nM solution are therefore significantly different.

10

At the same time these data allow to compare the slopes of the binding curves for the E. coli material and the P. pastoris material which have a relative percentage binding of 109 and 111% relative to that of the E. coli batch for the 1.8 and 2 nM experiments respectively. This difference can be attributed to differences in the protein concentration used for the preparation of the diluted material.

15

It can be concluded that the RANKL binding of the RANKL008a material produced in both expression systems is comparable.

20

ELISA

The potency ELISA assay for RANKL binding is an inhibition type assay. Briefly, RANKL008a interacts with the human soluble Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor κ B Ligand (RANKL) and blocks the interaction of this ligand with its human receptor activator of nuclear factor- κ B (RANK), thereby preventing signalling through this receptor. In the assay RANKL008a is pre-incubated with a fixed amount of RANKL and RANK-Fc, the mixture is then incubated on an ELISA plate coated with an anti-Fc Nanobody; any residual RANKL not bound to RANKL008a binds to the RANK-Fc immobilized on the plate. Signal is detected by incubating with a biotinylated anti-RANKL

25

30

antibody which is detected by a Streptavidin coupled to HRP (horse radish peroxidase). This assay is capable of demonstrating the avid binding of the 13H5 sub-units to RANKL and will show if any of the 13H5 building blocks is not functional.

5

The ELISA developed for HSA binding is based on the direct binding of the RANKL008a to HSA coated on the plate, any bound RANKL008a is detected using an anti-Nanobody-Nanobody labeled with HRP. This assay can detect if binding to HSA is impaired. The potencies measured in both ELISA assays are expressed as relative potencies compared to a reference material.

10

For the RANKL008a both the RANKL inhibition assay and the HSA binding assay have been performed on batch P#080408nr2 (produced in P. pastoris) and compared to the potencies of a batch RANKL008a purified from an E. coli expression (B11#140208 nr1). In the Table 4a the relative potencies of both materials (multiple analyses on different days) was found to be comparable. The HSA binding data represented in Table 4b are also not significantly different between two samples.

15

20

Table 4a		RANKL Inhibition			
Assay Date	Sample ID	Relative Potency	LL	UL	Rel % CI
1	P#080408 nr2	0.810	0.769	0.853	10.4
2	P#080408 nr2	0.826	0.762	0.894	16.0
3	P#080408 nr2	0.884	0.813	0.962	16.9
4	B11#140208 nr1	0.899	0.831	0.972	15.7
5	B11#140208 nr1	0.827	0.789	0.867	9.4
6	B11#140208 nr1	0.791	0.729	0.858	16.3
7	B11#140208 nr1	0.795	0.740	0.853	14.2
8	B11#140208 nr1	0.728	0.675	0.875	15.1

Table 4b		HSA Binding			
Assay Date	Sample ID	Relative Potency	LL	UL	Rel % CI
1	P#080408 nr2	0.809	0.773	0.847	9.1
2	P#080408 nr2	0.786	0.743	0.832	11.3
3	P#080408 nr2	0.853	0.816	0.891	8.8
4	B11#140208 nr1	1.003	0.940	1.070	13.0
5	B11#140208 nr1	0.937	0.883	0.995	12.0
6	B11#140208 nr1	0.891	0.820	0.968	16.6
7	B11#140208 nr1	0.969	0.909	1.032	12.6
8	B11#140208 nr1	0.907	0.845	0.973	14.2

Table 4: Relative potencies of RANKL008a (*E. coli* batch B11#140208) compared to RANKL008a (batch P#080408 nr2) expressed in *P. pastoris* in the RANKL inhibition ELISA and HSA binding ELISA. With LL the lower limit and UL the upper limit of the confidence interval at 95%.

Example 3: Analysis by RP-HPLC surprisingly revealed the presence of a product related variant in material produced in *P. pastoris*

As outlined above, material produced in *P. pastoris* was characterized by equal functionality and as even higher homogeneity as compared to *E. coli* produced material.

It was therefore highly surprising to find a product related variant in *P. pastoris* produced material upon analysis by RP-HPLC (Figure 4). RP-HPLC was performed under following conditions:

Column: 300SB_C3 4.6*150mm column Agilent ref 883995-909 run at 75°C

Buffer A: water + 0.1%TFA

Buffer B: 49.95% acetonitrile + 49.95% isopropanol + 0.1% TFA

Gradient see table below

Gradient table used in the RP HPLC method.

Time (min)	Mobile phase B (%)
0	10
2.5	10
3	27.5
30	36.5
30.5	95
33	95
33.1	10
36	10

The run can be monitored e.g. by UV detection at 214 and/or 280nm, as indicated. In the chromatogram a pronounced and significant post
5 peak appeared at about 20.5 minutes retention time in *P. pastoris* produced material ("Pic P#2703083.3"). In comparison, no such peak was observed in *E. coli* produced material ("B11#1402083.83"). The material of the post peak is also referred to as "product related variant", abbreviated as "PRV". Sometimes the product related
10 variant of RANKL008a is also referred to as "PRV-RANKL008a".

It should be noted that between the different analyses performed there may be a slight difference in the absolute retention time of the main peak. However the distance between main and post peak is
15 always constant and thus serves as the fingerprint. These differences are due to small differences in the buffer preparation.

To further characterize the nature of this product related variant, material was analyzed by Liquid Chromatography coupled to
20 Electrospray Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS).

The material analyzed in Figure 4 was subjected to an LC-MS analysis on a Waters Q-ToF Ultima Electro-spray Mass Spectrometer coupled directly to an Bioseparations Module (HPLC, Waters) on which a RP-
25 HPLC analysis was performed. Total mass spectra were deconvoluted

using the MaxEnt software (Waters) and demonstrate that the main peak in the RP-HPLC corresponds to a mass of 40943.01 (10 ppm accuracy), while the post-peak which takes up about 10% of the signal has a mass which is approximately 2 Da higher. The
5 theoretical mass of RANKL008a is 40943.42 Da.

Thus, the main peak shown in Fig. 4 has the expected mass for RANKL008a. The post peak (post peak of 10% in chromatogram) corresponds to a mass of 40945.52 which corresponds to a mass of
10 +2.1 versus the theoretical mass confirming that the mass difference between the main and post peak is 2 Da.

The total mass measurement on the ESI-MS reveals a +2 Da mass difference for the post peak. The exact nature of this post-peak was
15 unknown at this stage, different hypotheses can be formulated:

One of the canonical disulfide bonds in one of the sub-units of the RANKL008a has not been formed, therefore the observed mass is +2 Da (two extra hydrogens).

20

Some amino acid substitutions can lead to a 2 Da mass difference for example a Threonine to Cystein, Aspartic Acid to Leucine substitution could lead to a mass difference of 2. However the DNA sequence of the RANKL008a gene was verified and was found to be
25 correct. Alternatively, two sites of de-amidation (each leading to a +1 Da mass difference) could have occurred.

Further analysis of the post peak was undertaken in order to elucidate its nature.

30

Example 4: The product related variant (post peak) contains free thiol

Because the total mass determination described above cannot unambiguously describe the nature of the +2Da mass difference the
35 hypothesis of the non formed canonical disulfide bridge was explored by measuring directly the amount of free thiol in the protein sample. In RANKL008a no free thiol groups are present and the two

cysteine residues present in each Nanobody sub-unit are normally linked into a disulfide bond.

Quantification of free thiol was performed using the Thiol and sulfide quantitation kit from Molecular Probes (Molecular Probes T-6060 Thiol and sulfide quantitation kit). All reagents were prepared and handled as described in the "Thiol and sulfide quantification kit" manual. The standard-curve is prepared between 0.2 and 1.4 μM ; the samples are diluted to fall into the linear portion of the standard-curve, preferably at two to three positions within the linear part of the curve.

In this assay a direct comparison on RANKL008a expressed in *E. coli* was made to the RANKL008a expressed in *Pichia pastoris*.

Samples were denatured to allow full access to the free thiol groups in the protein using 6M guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl) added as the solid salt. The final concentrations were: RANKL008a from *E. coli*: 53.85 μM ; RANKL008a from *P. pastoris*: 46.40 μM .

The results of thiol quantification are shown in the following table:

Pichia	RANKL008a μM	OD405 nm	Thiol μM	Free thiol per molecule
Dilution 1	2.472	0.369	0.974	0.394
Dilution 2	1.673	0.305	0.705	0.421
Dilution 3	0.799	0.209	0.301	0.376
				Average: 0.397
<i>E. Coli</i>				
Dilution 1	2.872	0.184	0.195	0.068
Dilution 2	1.943	0.164	0.111	0.057
Dilution 3	0.929	0.158	0.086	0.092
				Average: 0.073

Table 5: Results for the thiol quantification in samples of

RANKL008a expressed in *E. coli* or in *Pichia pastoris*.

Using Molecular Probes' "Thiol and sulfide quantitation kit", the average ratio of free thiols per molecule of RANKL008a produced in *P. pastoris* was determined to be 0.397, whereas for RANKL008a produced in *E. coli* the measured value was 0.073.

The batch produced in *P. pastoris* used for the determination of free thiols was analyzed by RP-HPLC. The main peak amounted to 84.1% of total peak area, the post-peak accounted for 10.9% of total peak area and is assumed to consist of RANKL008a with 1 missing disulfide bridge. If this is indeed the case one would expect 0.218 free thiols/molecule of RANKL008a in this batch (2 thiols/molecule x 10.9%).

The post-peak was followed by a smaller additional post-peak which accounted for 5% of the total peak area. Supposing that these represent the RANKL008a population missing a disulfide in each of its 13H5 sub domains, this would result in an average of 0.418 free thiols/molecule ((2 thiols/molecule x 10.9%) + (4 thiols/molecule x 5%)). The measured value of 0.397 corresponds very closely to this calculation and therefore it was concluded that the product related variant of respectively 10.5% and 5% represent a population of RANKL008a in which one or two disulfide bonds have not been formed.

Example 5: The product related variant binds to activated thiol Sepharose

If the preparation of RANKL008a produced in *P. pastoris* indeed contains a sub-population in which the disulfide bond has not been formed this population should bind to an Activated Thiol Sepharose 4B column. The binding to the column is performed in the absence or in the presence of increasing amounts of urea to appreciate to what extent the protein needs to unfold to allow access to the unpaired cysteine. The material is verified for the presence of the RANKL008a PRV by analyzing the sample by RP-HPLC after the binding to the affinity resin.

RANKL008a samples from expression in *P. pastoris* were diluted into D-PBS + 1 mM EDTA (pH 7.0) in the presence of 6M urea. Samples were incubated with an excess amount of washed gel during 1 hour at room temperature. Samples were batch eluted and the flow through of the
5 different samples was analyzed by RP-HPLC analysis.

From Figure 5 it is clear that if RANKL008a is completely unfolded by 6M urea and is subjected to an incubation with the Thiol-Sepharose resin the product related variant - peak eluting at 19.5
10 minutes in RP-HPLC chromatogram- now has completely disappeared corroborating the finding that indeed the peak eluting after the main peak in the chromatogram represent the material that contains free thiols capable of binding to the thiol affinity resin. Because RANKL008a contains no other free cysteine in its sequence these data
15 underpin the finding of the free thiols.

Example 6: Effect of storage on the stability of the PRV-RANKL008a

RANKL008a produced in *P. pastoris* was subjected to stability testing by placing the sterile solution in closed vials at 37°C during 2 to
20 4 weeks. The RP-HPLC chromatogram was monitored in order to detect any changes occurring during the accelerated storage stress condition.

Figure 6 illustrates that the product related variant with the
25 missing disulfide bond (peak eluting at 19.5 min.) decreases upon storage at higher temperatures; the effect is already visible after two weeks and the peak continues to decrease after 4 weeks demonstrating that the free thiols spontaneously re-oxidize. This is corroborated by the observation that the total peak surface area
30 that disappears at position 19.5 minutes is recovered in the surface areas of the peaks eluting at 17.5 minutes and the post peak that forms at 18.5 minutes, thereby underlining that the total mass did not change significantly during the storage (i.e. there was no loss of material e.g. due to precipitation).

35

During this storage a peak at 18.5 minutes elution was formed that increases with incubation time. This product is probably the pyro-

glutamate formation at the N-terminus that is typically observed for all Nanobodies with an N-terminal glutamic acid and stored at higher temperatures.

5 Example 7: Analysis of the Nanobody 13H5-9GS-13H5

In order to confirm that the product related variant that appears in the RANKL008a protein expressed in *P. pastoris* corresponds to a variant in which the canonical disulfide bond has been disrupted a construct containing only the anti-RANKL Nanobody building block
10 13H5 and lacking the anti-albumin Nanobody sub-unit Alb8 was analyzed. Two 13H5 blocks were linked by a 9 GS linker sequence and the construct was named 13H5-9GS-13H5 (EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSSYPMGWFRQAPGKGRFVSSITGSGGSTYYADSVKGRFTISRDNKNTLYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAAYIRPDTYLSRDYRKYDYWGQGLTVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFS
15 SYPMGWFRQAPGKGRFVSSITGSGGSTYYADSVKGRFTISRDNKNTLYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAA
YIRPDTYLSRDYRKYDYWGQGLTVTVSS; SEQ ID NO: 7). The construct was expressed in *P. pastoris* and purified under identical conditions as were applied for the RANKL008a.

20 The 13H5-9GS-13H5 was analysed by RP-HPLC and LC-MS in the same way as RANKL008a.

Analysis of 13H5-9GS-13H5 clearly demonstrates that this construct-
lacking the anti-albumin Nanobody- shows an identical result as the
25 RANKL008a upon analysis by RP-HPLC. The elution time for this construct was -as expected- earlier in the gradient and in this chromatogram not only one clear post-peak of about 25% was detected, but a second post-peak of about 6.3% could be identified, suggesting that in this preparation there was also a population of molecules
30 lacking the disulfide bond in both Nanobody sub-units. Subsequent LC-MS analysis demonstrated that the first post-peak indeed had a mass +2Da.

Two aliquots of 13h5-9GS-13h5 were buffer exchanged to 20 mM Tris-
35 HCl pH 8.0 100 mM NaCl. After this buffer exchange $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was added to one of the samples in a final concentration of 100 μM and left at room temperature for 2 hours. Subsequently the excess Cu^{2+}

was removed by an additional buffer exchange step. The protein concentration was determined for both samples:

13h5-9GS-13h5 untreated: 1.50 mg/mL

13h5-9GS-13h5 Cu-treated: 1.40 mg/mL

5

RP-HPLC analysis on a C3-RP-HPLC column of 25 µg of each sample is shown in Figure 12. Exposing the sample to 100 µM CuSO₄·5H₂O induces the formation of the disulphide bridges in 13h5-9GS-13h5 as evidenced by the disappearance of the post-peaks in the RP-HPLC
10 chromatogram.

Thermal Shift Assay

Untreated and Cu²⁺-treated 13h5-9GS-13h5 material was applied in a Thermal Shift Assay in a final concentration of 0.2 mg/mL with Sypro
15 Orange in D-PBS buffer in order to determine if differences in the thermal stability of the molecules can be observed.

Figure 13 shows the unfolding curves and the respective melting temperatures:

20 →13h5-9GS-13h5 untreated: Tm1 = 65.36 °C
Tm2 = 73.81 °C

→13h5-9GS-13h5 Cu²⁺-treated: Tm1 = 73.66 °C

25 These profiles show that in the correctly folded protein one single melting temperature is observed corresponding to the unfolding of the 13H5 subunit. In contrast, the untreated sample shows two transitions, one corresponding to that of the correctly folded 13H5 subunit while the other 13H5 subunit- lacking the disulfide bond-
30 unfolds already at lower temperatures.

Example 8: Despite the presence of the structural variant, function of P. pastoris produced material was surprisingly unaffected

It is known from production of e.g. conventional Fab antibody
35 fragments in P. pastoris, that the formation of interchain disulfide bonds was the rate limiting factor to assembly and secretion of

functional Fabs (e.g. Gasser et al. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 94: 353, 2006).

It should therefore be expected that the presence of significant amounts of product related variant in *P. pastoris* produced Nanobodies would equally compromise function. The presence of 10-25% product related variant lacking one disulfide bond would be expected to result in a corresponding loss of function.

Surprisingly, however, the functional comparison of the material produced in *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* did not reveal any differences in terms of Nanobody function. More specifically, the functional data (Biacore and ELISA) described above were equal for the different materials.

15

Biacore

As outlined above, Biacore analysis of binding of RANKL008a to HSA or RANKL was comparable for *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* derived material, and is not affected by the presence of 10-15% product related variant. For example, as was established by RP-HPLC, the batch P#270308nr1 produced in *P. pastoris*, which was analyzed by Biacore, contains about 15% product related variant. Nevertheless, the data described above indicate uncompromised functionality as reflected by unchanged binding to HSA or RANKL chips by Biacore. This is remarkable in view of the fact that the Biacore assay had a sensitivity that could clearly resolve a 10% concentration difference (see above).

Thus it can be assumed that the 13H5 Nanobody building block of RANKL008a in which the canonical disulfide bridge is not formed, surprisingly is fully functional (see tables 2 and 3). Similar observations were made when other batches of material were tested in independent experiment (data not shown).

35 ELISA

For RANKL008a both the RANKL inhibition assay and the HSA binding assay have been performed on a *P. pastoris* produced batch containing

about 15% of the variant (P#080408nr2) and E. coli derived material which contains only the intact RANKL008a. There were no differences in this functional assay (see table 4a and 4b).

5 Example 9: Evaluation of different host strains

The RANKL008a gene was transformed by electroporation into P. pastoris strains X-33, KM71H and SMD 1168H (all strains obtained from Invitrogen) by standard techniques. Expression for the KM71H and SMS 1168H strains was performed in 1 mL cultures in BMCM medium
10 and induced by the addition of methanol, for each strain two different clones were analyzed. Samples from these shake-flask expressions were subjected to RP-HPLC analysis. For the X-33 RANKL008a several clones were tested repeatedly e.g. clone 5 and clone 17 and no difference in the proportion of the variant was
15 observed between the different clones.

In order to avoid having to purify the material from the clarified culture supernatant by the standard three step purification process a methodology was used to capture the RANKL008a from the culture
20 supernatant by a simple Protein A clean up: briefly, culture supernatant is mixed in batch mode with a quantity of a Protein A-resin, incubated and batch eluted. The purity of the material is such that a direct application (25 µg) to the RP-HPLC column is possible. The method is semi-quantitative and gives an indication on
25 the expression yields and integrity for the different samples analyzed.

Table 6 summarizes the RP-HPLC peak area surface integration of the analysis of different culture supernatants from the expressions
30 performed in the KM71H and SMS 1168H strains in comparison with a purified reference material obtained from expression in the X-33 strain.

Strain used for expression	Reference	% of peak surface area of the RP-HPLC attributed to variant (post peak)
X-33	P#270308*	14.7
SMS 1168H	R8SM180408nr1	10.9
SMS 1168H	R8SM180408nr2	6.3
KM71H	R8KM180408nr1	12.7
KM71H	R8KM180408nr2	12.8

Table 6: Summary of determination of % of PRV-RANKL008a (post peak) as determined by RP-HPLC in the supernatant of expressions of RANKL008a in different Pichia strains.

5

* purified material; all other samples were analyzed after a ProtA clean up step as described above.

The data from Table 6 and the analysis of different clones of the X-33 produced RANKL008a (data not shown) reveals that the proportion of PRV-RANKL008a is not significantly different if expressed in the different strains or among different clones of one strain.

Example 10: Effect of fermentation conditions on PRV-RANKL008a

Several conditions for batch and fed batch fermentations were tested for the expression of RANKL008a, typically glycerol fed batches are performed and induction is initiated by the addition of methanol. All optimizations were performed with the X33 RANKL008a strain clone 5. The standard protocol was the Invitrogen protocol, expression at 30°C in basal salt medium with a methanol feed rate of 10 ml/L*h.

The composition of the basal salt medium suitable e.g. for Pichia pastoris was as follows:

Raw material	Concentration
Phosphoric acid, 85%	26.7 mL/L
Calcium sulphate	0.96 g/L
Potassium Sulphate	18.2 g/L
Magnesium Sulphate.7H ₂ O	14.9 g/L
Potassium hydroxide	4.13 g/L
Glycerol	40 g/L
RO water	Adjust to 995 mL
PTM Trace salts (Table 8) (added after autoclaving)	4.35 mL (230 x stock solution)/L

Table 7: Fermentation Basal Medium.

Raw material	Concentration
RO water	~ 700 mL
Cupric acid.5H ₂ O	6 g/L
Sodium Iodide	0.08 g/L
Manganese sulphate.H ₂ O	3 g/L
Sodium Molybdate.2H ₂ O	0.2 g/L
Boric Acid	0.02 g/L
Cobalt Chloride	0.5 g/L
Zinc Chloride	20 g/L
Ferrous sulphate.7H ₂ O	65 g/L
Biotine	0.2 g/L
Sulfuric acid	5 mL/L
RO water	Adjust to 1L

Table 8: PTM Trace salts (230x stock solution; filter sterilised, 0.22µm).

In some experiments, a rich medium was used to culture *P. pastoris*:

Medium components	Concentration
Yeast Extract	20 g/L
Potassium dihydrogen phosphate	13.5 g/L
Ammonium sulphate	1.0 g/L
Magnesium Sulphate.7H ₂ O	15 g/L
Calcium dichloride.2H ₂ O	0.8 g/L
Glycerol	60.0 g/L
RO water	Adjust to 990 mL

Table 9: Rich medium (components added before autoclaving).

5 After autoclaving the following components are added to the rich medium(Post Sterilization Additions):

Trace salts (Table 11)	10 mL/L (100x stock solution)
Biotine	2 mL/L from stock 0.2 g/L

Table 10: Post Sterilization Additions after autoclaving.

Trace salt stock solution for the rich medium has the following composition :

Raw material	Conc. Required (per L)
RO water	~ 700 mL
H ₂ SO ₄ (conc.)	10.0 mL
FeSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	30.0 g
ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	4.25 g
CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	1.90 g
Na ₂ MoO ₄ .2H ₂ O	2.00 g
H ₃ BO ₃	0.50 g
Citric Acid (anhydrous)	0.27 g
RO water	To 1 litre

10 **Table 11:** Trace salts (100x stock solution; filter sterilised, 0.22µm).

The following table summarizes the conditions tested for the optimization of the fed-batch fermentations of RANKL008a:

Medium	Modification to standard protocol	Estimated Titer (%) *	Degradation **	% of PRV-RANKL008a by RP-HPLC ***
Basal Salt Medium	---	100	+++	15
Basal Salt Medium	Low methanol feed at 5 ml/L*h	140	+++	1
Basal Salt Medium	Low conductivity (25 mS:cm versus 40 mS/cm)	<100	+++	1
Basal Salt Medium	Lower temperature during induction 25°C versus 30°C	<100	+++	1-4
Basal Salt medium	+yeast extract and peptone	200	(+)	3-8
Rich Medium		400	(+)	18

5 **Table 12:** Summary of fermentation strategies tested for RANKL008a expression in *Pichia pastoris* strain X33 clone 5.

* % of control grown under standard conditions (first line in table above), determined in cell free supernatant by SDS-PAGE analysis and
 10 staining (coomassie brilliant blue or krypton stain) and visual comparison to a reference lane loaded with a fixed amount of material, for some conditions additional densitometric analysis in

comparison with a reference curve obtained with purified material. Therefore these numbers should be regarded semi-quantitative ** determined from the coomassie stained SDS-PAGE analysis and judged as +++ pronounced degradation and (+) strongly reduced degradation into bivalent and monovalent degradation fragments 5 *** RP-HPLC analysis of ProtA cleaned up culture supernatant. The % PRV-RANKL008a is expressed as the % of the total surface peak area for that run.

10 From the table the following is concluded:

There is a correlation between the observed titer and the % of PRV-RANKL008a during expression, i.e. the higher the titer the higher the % PRV-RANKL008a in the material.

15

Conditions of lower temperature, lower methanol feed and/or reduced conductivity result in lower growth rate and titer, but a population of RANKL008a protein that is devoid of the variant. Without being bound to theory, this may suggest that metabolic stress on the *P. pastoris* during the expression is the driver for the formation of the variant that is missing the disulfide bond. 20

Figure 7 demonstrates that indeed material purified from a fed batch fermentation with low methanol feed strategy or material obtained from *E. coli* expression show the absence of the product related variant (in this chromatogram eluting at 22.5 minutes). 25

SDS-PAGE analysis (data not shown) of clarified culture supernatant (20µL/lane) of RANKL008a expressed in conditions of low methanol feed (4mL/h.L), low conductivity (20 mS/cm) and at 25°C in basal salt medium was performed. For each condition different time points after induction (0, 9, 31 and 43 h) were analyzed. A reference of 300 ng was loaded for comparison. The major band at about the 37 kDa marker corresponds with intact RANKL008a. The pronounced bands at 22 and 14 kDa corresponded to the degradation fragments of RANKL008a, bivalent fragment and monovalent fragment respectively. It was 35 observed that the condition with the low methanol feed has a higher

expression than the two other conditions tested (conductivity and temperature). Under all three conditions degradation was pronounced.

Addition of yeast extract and peptone during the fermentation
5 resulted in significantly less degradation of the intact material
and a somewhat lower formation of the percentage of the variant.
Without being bound to theory, this can probably be attributed to
the fact that the addition of extra substrate will divert the
protease activity from the RANKL008a to the bulk substrate present
10 in the yeast extract and peptone. The effect of the addition of
yeast extract and peptone on the degradation patterns is such that
the degradation is virtually absent. The down stream process of this
material - that contains much less degradation fragments- is much
more straightforward and the recoveries of intact material are
15 higher and therefore this is a preferred strategy.

Example 11: over-expressing Protein Disulfide Isomerase in *P. pastoris*

The enzyme Protein Disulfide Isomerase (PDI), a 55 kDa protein, has
20 been shown to be a catalyst in protein folding, disulfide bond
formation and isomerization in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of
eukaryotic cells (Freedman et al. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19: 331,
1994; Wilkinson et al. 2005, J. Biol. Chem. 280: 11483). PDI is
involved in the structure formation of immunoglobulins in vivo.
25 (Gonzalez et al. Biotechnol. Prog. 17: 217, 2001; Nilsson et al.
Immunol. Lett. 94: 83, 2004).

Co-expression of antibodies with PDI has been applied to increase
secretion rates and/or levels of functional product (Humphreys et
30 al. FEBS Lett., 380: 194, 1996; Hsu et al. Protein Expr. Purif. 7:
281, 1996; Shusta et al. Nat. Biotechnol. 16: 773, 1998; Borth et
al. Biotechnol. Prog. 21: 106, 2005). During fermentation of a
Pichia pastoris strain that expresses a Fab, for example, the
formation of interchain disulfide bonds was seen as the rate
35 limiting factor to assembly and secretion of functional Fabs. Co-
expression of this Fab with PDI in *P. pastoris* increased the
secreted Fab level by 1.9-fold (Gasser et al. Biotechnol. Bioeng.

94: 353, 2006). In these methods, co-expression with PDI was applied to obtain increased expression rates and/or levels of functional product.

5 In contrast to the above difficulties observed with conventional four-chain antibodies, Nanobodies can be expressed and secreted in *P. pastoris* at a sufficient rate and level as well as functionality (see above), concluding that co-expression with PDI might not be a requirement. In a fraction of the obtained Nanobodies, however, the
 10 presence of free thiol was observed, due to unpaired cysteine residues. The absence of these disulfide bridges will have an impact on the quality and the homogeneity of the final Nanobody product and as such is undesirable, in particular in view of the therapeutic use of these products.

15

Cloning of PDI gene and generation of Pichia strains that overexpress PDI1

The gene coding PDI1 was picked up by PCR from the genome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using the FW primer 5'-

20 Gaattcatgaagttttctgctggtgccg-3' (SEQ ID NO: 1) reverse primer 5'-ctcgagTTACAATTCATCGTGAATGGCATCTTCTTCG-3' (SEQ ID NO: 2) and cloned into the pcr4-TOPO vector.

Via EcoRI/XhoI digestion the coding region of PDI was cloned into a
 25 pPic6 derivative (Invitrogen) under the control of the constitutive GAP promoter using standard cloning techniques and transformed into a *Pichia* strain expressing RANKL008a.

The following protein sequence was retrieved (SEQ ID NO: 3):

30

MKFSAGAVLSWSSLLLASSVFAQQEAVAPEDSAVVKLATDSFNEYIQSHDLVLAEFFAPWCGHCKNMA
 PEYVKAETLVEKNITLAQIDCTENQDLCMEHNI PGFP SLKIFKNSDVNNSIDYEGPRTAEAI VQFMI
 KQSQPAVAVVADLPAYLANETFVTPVIVQSGKIDADFNATFY SMANKHFNDYDFVSAENADDDFKLSI
 YLPSAMDEPVVYNGKKADIADADVFEKWLQVEALPYFGEIDGSVFAQYVESGLPLGYLFYNDEEELEE
 35 YKPLFTELAKKNRGLMNFVSI DARKFGRHAGNLMKEQFPLFAIHDMTEDLKYGLPQLSEEA FDELS D
 KIVLESKAIESLVKDFLKG DASPIVKSQEIFENQDSSVFQLVGKNHDEIVNDPKKDVLVLYYAPWCGH

CKRLAPTYQELADTYANATSDVLIKLDHTENDVRGVVIEGYPTIVLYPGGKKSESVVYQGSRLDSL
FDFIKENGHFDVDGKALYEEAQEKAAEEADADAELADEEDAIHDEL

Transformation and expression studies of wild type Pichia X-33 and
5 derivatives were performed by standard techniques and in accordance
to the user manual of pPicZalphaA, B and C version D, 110801, 25-
0148 from Invitrogen. Clones were confirmed to overexpress PDI1 by
Western Blotting.

10 Analysis of RANKL008a obtained from the PDI overexpressing Pichia
strains versus control strain

From the previous experiments it was clear that the post peak
correspond to the variant of RANKL008a that lacks one disulfide
bridge. The percentage of this variant peak was determined when
15 RANKL008a was expressed in the wild type X-33 P. pastoris strain or
in a modified strain overexpressing PDI1. For each strain 5
different clones were tested. Integration of the post peak area on
the RP-HPLC profile showed that there was a small but significant
reduction of post peak when RANKL008a was expressed in the PDI1
20 overexpressing strain ($18.96 \pm 0.98\%$ versus $14.82 \pm 0.91\%$; the
percentage refers to the % of total peak area). These experiments
clearly demonstrate the effect of PDI1 on the formation of disulfide
bridges in the RANKL008a molecule.

25 Example 12: Chromatographic methods tested for removing the PRV-
RANKL008a

It is undesirable to generate e.g. a drug substance that contains
both a population of intact RANKL008a and a significant proportion
of 'unstable' (upon storage) variant. Therefore, 'standard'
30 chromatographic steps were analyzed for their ability to remove this
particular variant.

In particular, a Hydrophobic Interaction Chromatography step or a
cation-exchange SP Sepharose chromatography was investigated for
35 their ability to resolve intact material and the variant. The most
important wash- and elution peaks of these chromatographies were
analyzed by RP-HPLC to visualize any separation.

It was found that the product related variant could not be separated from the main peak by hydrophobic interaction chromatography or cation-exchange SP Sepharose chromatography.

5

There is also the possibility of removing the variant by using a Thiol-Sepharose affinity resin as described above. Here it is demonstrated that indeed the variant lacking a disulfide bond and thus containing free thiols can be removed on this resin, if the
10 protein is fully denatured, e.g. in the presence of 6 M urea.

Example 13: Refolding in the presence of denaturant and redox-
buffers

In order to investigate if the unformed disulfide bond in the 13H5
15 subunit of the RANKL008a can be brought to be formed commonly applied "refolding buffers" were tested, involving the denaturation and refolding in the presence of a redox buffer and subsequent removal of the refolding buffer by dialysis. The data obtained from the Thiol-Sepharose binding experiments also illustrate that some
20 degree of unfolding may be needed during this process.

Table 13 summarizes the most relevant experimental results:

Condition tested	Effect on PRV-RANKL008a as judged by RP-HPLC
6M Guanidinium hydrochloride (GdnHCl)	Completely Removed
Redox buffer only (cystamine/cysteamine)	Partial removal
2M GdnHCl + subsequent dilution in cystamine/cysteamine (1:5 mM:mM)	Completely Removed
2M GdnHCl + subsequent dilution in GSH/GSSG (5:1 mM:mM)	Partial removal
2M GdnHCl + subsequent dilution in cystamine/cysteamine buffer kinetics and optimal redox buffer conditions	Completely Removed
Refolding of larger batch material in condition of 2M GdnHCl + cystamine/cysteamine 5:1 (mM:mM) at room temperature, overnight + subsequent dialysis and analysis of refolded material	Completely Removed
Urea 3M + cysteamine/cystamine buffer 1:5 (mM:mM), overnight incubation at room temperature	Completely Removed

The above summary data presented in the table can be briefly
5 described as follows:

RP-HPLC analysis of a batch of RANKL008a (batch P#270308nr1) that contains about 15% of the PRV was mixed with 6M GdnHCl (in some instances throughout this application guanidine hydrochloride is
10 also abbreviated as "GuHCl") and subjected to dialysis against D-PBS (Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline). Samples were taken after different time points between 0.5h and 10h of dialysis. The variant, which was present in the RANKL008a preparation at about 15%, was removed after denaturation and subsequent dialysis to D-PBS;
15 however, a new unidentified shoulder became apparent on the main peak (data not shown).

The same batch of RANKL008a (batch P#270308nr1) was diluted in cystamine/cysteamine buffer to a final concentration of 1:5 mM:mM cystamine/cysteamine without any denaturant in the buffer (D-PBS). Samples were taken after between 20 minutes and overnight incubation at room temperature and injected directly on the RP-HPLC column. It can be concluded that the presence of the redox couple cystamine/cysteamine in the buffer partially converts the variant, after an additional overnight incubation some further reduction in the proportion of the variant was observed (data not shown).

10

The same batch of RANKL008a (batch P#270308nr1) was mixed with 2M GdnHCl for 30 minutes at RT and subsequently diluted in redox buffer cystamine/cysteamine 1:5 (mM:mM) to a final concentration of 1.0 M; 0.5M, 0.25M and 0.125M GdnHCl and a subsequent overnight incubation at 4°C. Samples were directly applied to an RP-HPLC column. Traces are recorded at 214 nm and are shown in Fig. 8. Figure 8 illustrates that if the RANKL008a is mixed upfront with 2M GdnHCl ("GuHCl" in the figure) the protein still contains the variant with the unpaired cysteines; however upon dilution of redox buffer and independent of the final concentration of GdnHCl in the buffer the variant is completely removed as evidenced from by RP-HPLC.

15

Reductions of the variant could be observed at different concentrations of GdnHCl and different ratios of cystamine/cysteamine e.g. in the range from 5:1 to 1:5. Refolding is more efficient in the presence of 2M than 1M GdnHCl, and 5mM cystamine/1 mM cysteamine as compared to the reverse ratio (Fig. 9). Refolding is nearly complete after 3h and complete after 6h at room temperature (Fig. 10).

25

The sample of Fig. 9 (solid line, 2M GdnHCl, 5:1 mM cystamine/cysteamine) was subjected to dialysis in order remove the redox buffer and to investigate if the material now contains only the completely oxidized form of the RANKL008a. Therefore the dialyzed material was analyzed by RP-HPLC and LC-MS. It was confirmed by RP-HPLC before and after dialysis and/or SEC treatment that the material remains fully oxidized also in the absence of the

30

35

redox buffer. The samples were also analyzed by LC-MS to verify the correct mass after treatment.

The same batch of RANKL008a (batch P#270308nr1) was mixed with 2M
5 GdnHCl for 30 minutes at RT and subsequently diluted in redox buffer
GSH/GSSH (glutathione/reduced glutathione) 5:1 (mM:mM) to a final
concentration of between 1.0 M and 0.125 M GdnHCl (in D-PBS) and
subsequent overnight incubation at 4°C. Samples were directly
applied to a RP-HPLC column. Mixing of RANKL008a upfront with 2M
10 GdnHCl (assuming a partial unfolding of the protein) the protein
still contains the variant with the unpaired cysteines; upon
dilution of redox buffer with glutathione/reduced glutathione and
independent of the final concentration of GdnHCl in the buffer the
variant was not removed under these particular conditions (data not
15 shown).

In a separate experiment it was found that a condition of 3M urea
and a 1:5 mM cystamine/cysteamine buffer can lead to the refolding
of the RANKL008a variant.

20

In conclusion, optimal refolding can be achieved in conditions that
lead to the partial denaturation of the RANKL008a, e.g. 2M GdnHCl or
3M urea. Complete formation of the missing disulfide bond can be
achieved and was confirmed by LC-MS analysis in the sample dialyzed
25 against D-PBS.

Analysis by size exclusion chromatography revealed that during
dialysis subsequent to the refolding of RANKL008a in the presence of
GdnHCl and redox buffer a proportion of the RANKL008a is present as
30 a dimeric aggregate of RANKL008a. This dimer is absent from the
material before dialysis and clearly indicates that while the redox
components are dialyzed out of the mixture a proportion of the
RANKL008a forms an intermolecular cross link on the free cysteines
that become temporarily accessible allowing to "escape" intra-
35 molecular oxidation (confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis (reducing and
non reducing), data not shown).

Example 14: Effect of temperature and oxygenation on the proportion of PRV-RANKL008a

Here it is shown that raising the temperature and/or oxygenation of the sample leads to formation of the missing disulfide bridge in one or both of the RANKL binding domains.

Purified RANKL008a was oxygenated in solution at elevated temperature. This was performed in a fermentation vessel where the temperature can be controlled to 50°C, the sample was purged with O₂ whilst gentle stirring (foaming occurred). Samples were taken at regular intervals and the percentage of PRV-RANKL008a visible in the RP-HPLC analysis as the post peak measured at about 2 min from the main peak was measured. It could be shown that this treatment results in a conversion of the variant into intact material. By holding the sample at 50°C for about 3h some decrease was observed, the addition of O₂ clearly sped up the decrease of the variant indicating that conditions of higher temperature are accompanied by a formation of the disulfide bond in the variant. After about 450 minutes at 50°C and oxygenation the sample percentage of variant was decreased by 50%. An effect was observed for post-peak1 which elutes at +2 min from the main peak and corresponds to the RANKL008a variant in which a single disulfide bond is missing; moreover the post peak 2 -which is assumed to represent the variant in which the disulfide bond is missing in each of the 13H5 sub-units and which elutes at +4 min from the main peak- was also sensitive to the treatment described above.

Example 15: Effect of pH, temperature and addition of oxidizing agents on the removal of PRV-RANKL008a

A series of experiments were performed in which the effects of pH, temperature (or combinations of both) and the addition of oxidizing agents, e.g. Cu²⁺ exemplified by CuSO₄, was tested.

Initially these conditions were tested on a purified batch of RANKL008a that contained more than 10% of the variant and which was at a concentration of 7.8 mg/mL in PBS. In some of these conditions -especially the addition of CuSO₄- the formation of a visible

precipitate was observed in the mixtures. This was attributed to the fact that Cu^{2+} under these conditions forms insoluble $\text{Cu}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$. Therefore conditions were tested in which the sample was dialyzed to a solution of CuSO_4 rather than by direct additions. Alternatively, 5 different buffer systems can readily be applied to avoid the formation of the precipitate. For example, in the follow up experiments the samples were buffer exchanged into Tris-HCl-HCl buffer.

10 In the following table the different conditions that were tested are summarized. RANKL008a Batch P#080408nr2 at 7.8 mg/mL in D-PBS was used.

Conditions	Action	Incubations			Conc. mg/mL	Visual appearance
		ON at	2h	2h		
pH 8.0	Dilute in Tris-HCl- HCl pH 8.0	RT	37°C	55°C	1	Clear
pH 9.0	Dilute in Tris-HCl- HCl pH 8.0	RT	37°C	55°C	1	The sample heated to 55°C contains precipitate upon cooling to RT
CuSO4 1 mM	Dialyse to 1mM CuSO4 for 2h at 4°C then dialyse overnight to PBS	2h 4°C			1	Clear Protein concentration after dialysis is 0.94 mg/mL (no material loss)
CuSO4 0.5 mM + quenching by addition of EDTA	Dilute in CuSO4 1mM + 1mM EDTA + 20 mM citric acid pH 7.0*	ON 4°C			4	

Table 14: Sample treatment scheme tested for the reduction of the PRV-RANKL008a in conditions of increased pH, temperature and
5 addition of Cu²⁺.

The samples were subjected to RP-HPLC analysis, peak surface areas were determined. The results are expressed in mAU/peak for the main peak, the peak eluting at 0.2 minutes (corresponding to the pyro-

glutamate variant) and the post peak at +2 minutes corresponding to the variant lacking the disulfide bond.

pH 8	Untreated	RT	37°C	55°C
Main	1268	1280	1299	1301
Main + 0.2 min	41	43	45	42
Main +2 min	220	220	224	11
Sum of all peaks	1529	1543	1568	1354
pH 9	Untreated	RT	37°C	55°C
Main	1268	1260	1280	1249
Main + 0.2 min	41	40	42	47
Main +2 min	220	156	160	0
Sum of all peaks	1529	1456	1482	1296
Cu²⁺	Untreated	CuSO ₄ 1mM	CuSO ₄ 1mM + quencher	
Main	1268	1530	1255	
Main + 0.2 min	41	55	40	
Main +2 min	220	0	204	
Sum of all peaks	1529	1585	1499	

Table 15: Surface peak area for the individual peaks: Peak surface area (mAU) of the different peaks observed in the RP-HPLC chromatogram of RANKL008a samples incubated at different temperatures at pH 8.0, at pH 9 or in conditions in the presence of CuSO₄ with or without quencher in comparison with untreated sample in the conditions as specified in the table above.

From the data summarized in Table 15 it is clear that:

Holding the sample at a temperature of 55°C promotes the formation of the disulfide bond in the variant.

5 Holding the sample at a temperature of 55°C and pH 9 leads to some loss in material as evidenced by the formation of a precipitate - the lower total surface area recovered in the RP-HPLC illustrates that some sample is lost during the heating (visual precipitate was observed).

10 The formation of the disulfide bond in the variant is faster at pH 9 than at pH 8 in comparable conditions.

Incubation at pH 9 seems to increase slightly the formation of the pyro-glutamate variant.

15

After exposure to 1mM CuSO₄ the variant cannot be detected after 2 hours incubation. Once the CuSO₄ is removed by subsequent dialysis the disulfide bond remains intact. The total surface area of the sample before and after Cu²⁺ treatment is comparable. This means
20 that all product related variant is converted quantitatively into main peak, i.e. intact material. Note that in these conditions the sample is at pH 7.

The oxidative effect of Cu²⁺ is completely quenched by EDTA.

25

Samples were verified by LC-MS and it was confirmed that in the sample before treatment the variant at +2 minutes corresponds to a mass +2Da, while this mass +2Da is not observed in the sample after overnight incubation at pH9 and after treatment with CuSO₄.

30

At the end of the experiment the samples were stored for 1 week at 37°C and re-analysed by RP-HPLC. Data -expressed as % of total surface area- are summarized in the following table.

35

Sample ID	Peak	% area	% area after 1 week @ 37°C
pH 8, RT	Main peak	81.8	85.9
	+0.2 min	2.7	4.6
	+ 2 min	14.1	9.5
pH 8, 37°C	Main peak	81.5	85.9
	+0.2 min	2.8	4.7
	+ 2 min	14.1	9.4
pH 8, 55°C	Main peak	94	95.4
	+0.2 min	3	4.6
	+ 2 min	/	/
pH 9, RT	Main peak	84.5	90.2
	+0.2 min	2.7	4.9
	+ 2 min	10.5	4.9
pH 9, 37°C	Main peak	84.1	89.2
	+0.2 min	2.8	5.2
	+ 2 min	10.5	5.5
pH 9, 55°C	Main peak	96.5	94.2
	+0.2 min	3.5	5.8
	+ 2 min	/	/
Dialysis 1mM CuSO ₄	Main peak	96.5	95.0
	+0.2 min	3.5	5.0
	+ 2 min	/	/
1 mM CuSO ₄ , 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM citric acid pH 7.0	Main peak	83.7	86.5
	+0.2 min	2.6	3.3
	+ 2 min	13.6	10.3

Table 16: RP-HPLC analysis -% of total peak surface area- of RANKL008a samples incubated at higher pH and temperature or in the presence of CuSO₄ and the subjected to an additional storage for 1 week at 37°C.

Conclusions from this table are:

The % peak area attributed to the disulfide variant present in the sample after the initial overnight treatment (e.g. pH 8.0 37°C) further reduces upon an additional week storage at 37°C.

Upon storage at higher pH's (pH 8 and 9) compared to the D-PBS for the CuSO₄ treated samples the % the pyroglutamate variant at +0.2 min increases.

10

The material subjected to Cu²⁺ treatment and subsequent dialysis remains intact after 1 week 37°C suggesting that the re-oxidized disulfide bond is stable upon storage.

15 In the sample that contains Cu²⁺ and the quencher EDTA the disulfide variant reduces slightly illustrating that the quenching is incomplete upon storage.

In conclusion, in this comparison the most efficient removal of the disulfide variant was obtained by treating the sample with Cu²⁺; the reaction is fast and the variant is quantitatively converted into intact material by the treatment; moreover if preferred it can be performed at neutral pH. The presence of denaturant is not required.

25 The conditions observed during the above experiment suggest that oxidation and formation of the disulfide bond occurs readily by incubation of the sample in the presence of Cu²⁺. In this follow up experiments different batches of material were included as listed in the Table 17. A batch of the 13H5-9GS-13H5 was included in these experiments. Samples were dialyzed into 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8) and from a stock solution of CuSO₄ final concentrations of 10 μM; 100 μM, 1 mM and 100 mM was added, samples were incubated for 2h at room temperature and then dialyzed against D-PBS (overnight). Excess CuSO₄ at 100 mM was added to detect any undesirable oxidation effects on the material.

35

Protein ID	Batch	Remark
13H5-9GS-13H5	B7#310708	Bivalent Nanobody, produced in P. pastoris, ± 24% postpeak lacking one disulfide bridge, ±6% postpeak lacking two disulfide bridges
RANKL008a	P#080408nr2	produced in P. pastoris, ± 15% postpeak lacking one disulfide bridge
RANKL008a	P#110708	produced in P. pastoris, ± 1% postpeak lacking one disulfide bridge
RANKL008a	B11#140208	Produced in E. coli; no postpeak

Table 17: Samples exposed to Cu²⁺ in Tris-HCl HCl.

Samples were analyzed on RP-HPLC and the data plotted.

5 The data demonstrate that in the bivalent 13H5-9GS-13H5 Nanobody the variant missing respectively one or two disulfide bonds were nearly 100% absent after treatment with 1 mM CuSO₄, while the process seemed to be occurring at lower CuSO₄ concentrations for the P. pastoris expressed RANKL008a material that contains about 15%
10 variant (see Fig. 11). In none of the chromatograms and the materials (expressed in E. coli or in P. pastoris or with different percentages of variant) the formation of significant (undesirable) pre-peaks was observed. If a sample of RANKL008a is subjected to strong oxidizing conditions (in the presence of the denaturant) such
15 as H₂O₂ treatment the formation of methionine oxidation products was observed that typically elute before the main peak. In none of the chromatograms evidence was seen for the formation of significant pre-peaks indicating that the copper treatment does not seem to oxidize methionines in the protein, nor does the total mass analysis
20 detect any +16 Da mass increase which would be consistent with a single oxidation on for example a methionine.

Example 16: Other metals tested and other oxidizing agents

Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺, added in the form of FeSO₄ and ZnSO₄ were
25 tested and were shown to also induce the formation of the canonical disulfide bond in the variant (data not shown). Auto-oxidation of

thiols by copper ions has been reported in the literature (Goldstein et al. Free Radical Biol Med 1986, 2, 3-11) while it has been demonstrated also that intra-molecular disulfide formation in synthetic peptides can be catalyzed by trace metals in the buffers
5 (Cline et al. Analytical Biochemistry, 2004, 335, 168-170).

It is herewith suggested that other oxidizing agents (iodine, DMSO, H₂O₂, glutathione, and others) can accelerate the trace metal induced oxidation. Other metal-salts may also be efficient in the
10 auto-oxidation of free thiols.

Example 17: Performing the oxidation step in purified product, culture broth and clarified culture supernatant

All the experiments described above were performed with purified
15 RANKL008a. However if such a treatment is performed in the final stages of a production process one may anticipate having trace amounts of e.g. copper ions in the final product, which may upon storage cause further undesired oxidations of other amino acid residues. It was therefore investigated if such a treatment could be
20 applied in materials upstream in the production process. Several experiments were performed in semi-purified samples (after one step purification on SP-Sepharose resulting in a purity of > 95%) or on material that is present in the un-clarified culture broth and/or in clarified culture medium. The data confirm that also in less pure
25 protein mixtures the variant can be removed by treating the samples with 1 mM CuSO₄ at room temperature for at least 2h.

In one particular, preferable embodiment of the invention 1 mM CuSO₄ is added for at least two hours at room temperature in the clarified
30 culture supernatant (brought to pH 4.0) obtained after the fermentation.

Example 18: Analysis by RP-HPLC revealed the presence of a similar product related variant in material produced in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as described in Example 3

RANKL008a was also produced in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. RANKL008a was expressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (INVsc1) under

control of the episomal expression vector pYES (Invitrogen) The
Saccharomyces cerevisiae produced RANKL008a protein was purified
 from clarified supernatant on a Protein A resin and analyzed by RP-
 HPLC (Figure 14) and compared to RANKL008 expressed in *Pichia*
 5 *pastoris*.

As determined from Figure 14 the proportion of variant with the
 missing disulfide bond (post peak present at about +2 minutes from
 the main peak) was 16.7% for the material expressed in *S. cerevisiae*
 10 as compared to 17.3 % when secreted by *Pichia pastoris*.

The material purified from supernatants of *S. cerevisiae* was
 analyzed in Figure 14 and subjected to an LC-MS analysis on a Waters
 Q-ToF Ultima Electro-spray Mass Spectrometer coupled directly to an
 15 Bioseparations Module (HPLC, Waters) on which a RP-HPLC analysis was
 performed. Total mass spectra were deconvoluted using the MaxEnt
 software (Waters) and demonstrate that the post-peak had a mass
 which was approximately 2 Da higher than the main peak.

20 In the following Examples it is demonstrated that, upon expression
 of other Nanobodies in non-*E.coli* hosts, also a product related
 variant of these Nanobodies, lacking one or more disulfide bridges,
 was observed.

25 Example 19: Observations of a similar variant in Nanobody A-1
expressed in *Pichia pastoris*

Another Nanobody construct, Nanobody A-1 was also expressed in *P.*
pastoris. Nanobody A-1 consists of two identical single variable
 domains fused with a 3 alanine linker and has the following sequence
 30 (SEQ ID NO: 4):

DVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGR TFSYNPMGWFRQAPGKGRELVA AISRTGGSTYYPESVEGRF
 TISRDN AKRTVYLQMNSLRAEDTAVYYCAAAGVRAEQGRVRTLPSEYTFWGQGTQVTVSSAAAEVQLV
 ESGGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGR TFSYNPMGWFRQAPGKGRELVA AISRTGGSTYYPESVEGRFTISR D
 35 NAKRTVYLQMNSLRAEDTAVYYCAAAGVRAEQGRVRTLPSEYTFWGQGTQVTVSS

For Nanobody A-1 similar variants -lacking the disulfide bond- were identified both by RP-HPLC and associated LC-MS analysis of the different RP-HPLC peaks. Treatment of these Nanobodies with 1mM CuSO4 for 2h at room temperature removed the variant.

5

Example 20: Observations of a similar variant in Nanobody A-2 expressed in Pichia pastoris

Nanobody A-2 was also produced in P. pastoris. Nanobody A-2 is a bispecific Nanobody that consists of two different single variable domains, having the following sequence (SEQ ID NO: 5):

10

```
EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGSVFKINVMAWYRQAPGKREL VAGIISGGSTSYADSVKGRFT
ISRDN AKNTLYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAFITTESDYDLGRRYWGQGLVTVSSGGGGSGGGGSEVQLVE
SGGGLVQPGNSLRLS CAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGKGLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYADSVKGRFTISRDN
AKTTL YLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGLVTVSS
```

15

For Nanobody A-2 similar variants -lacking the disulfide bond- were identified both by RP-HPLC and associated LC-MS analysis of the different RP-HPLC peaks. Treatment of these Nanobodies with 1 mM CuSO4 for 2h at room temperature removed the variant.

20

For Nanobody A-2, two distinct postpeaks have been observed in the RP-HPLC chromatogram (Figure 15). The postpeak at Rt +2.6 min relative to the main peak corresponds to a variant with a mass of +2 Da compared to the theoretical mass, thus representing the variant with a missing disulfide bridge. The postpeak at Rt +3.5 min relative to the main peak most likely corresponds to a variant missing two disulfide bridges, although this is not yet confirmed by LC-MS. The observation that this peak disappeared after treatment with CuSO4 however supports this hypothesis (Figure 15).

30

Example 21: Observations of a similar variant in Nanobody A-3 expressed in Pichia pastoris

```
A trivalent Nanobody A-3 (DVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGGSLSNYVLGWFRQAPG
KEREFVAAINWRGDITIGPPNVEGRFTISRDN AKNTGYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCGAGTPLNPGAYIYD
WSYDYWGQGLVTVSSGGGGSGGGGSGGGGSEVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGGSLSNYVLGWF
RQAPGKEREFVAAINWRGDITIGPPNVEGRFTISRDN AKNTGYLQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCGAGTPLNPG
```

35

AYIIDWSYDYWGQGLVTVSSGGGGSGGGGGSGGGGSEVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGGSLSNY
VLGWERQAPGKEREFVAAINWRGDITIGPPNVEGRFTISRDNKNTGYLQMNSLRPEDITAVYYCGAGT
PLNPGAYIIDWSYDYWGQGLVTVSS; SEQ ID NO: 8) was expressed in *Pichia*
5 *pastoris* using the standard conditions. An RP-HPLC analysis of a
culture supernatant sample (after a clean up step) was analyzed on a
C3 RP-HPLC column (conditions below) and on each peak the total mass
was measured by ESI-Q-TOF-MS. In Figure 16, four peaks can be
identified, the first peak corresponds to the expected mass of the
10 trivalent Nanobody, while each post peak that follows differs by 2Da
from the previous peak, thus representing a population of Nanobody
with one, two or three subdomains in which the disulfide bond has
not been formed.

Column: ZORBAX 300SB-C3

15 Column temperature: 80 ± 1 °C

Mobile phase A: 0.05% TFA in 99.95% MilliQ

Mobile phase B: 0.05% TFA in 99.95% 1-propanol

20 Unless indicated otherwise, all methods, steps, techniques and
manipulations that are not specifically described in detail can be
performed and have been performed in a manner known per se, as will
be clear to the skilled person. Reference is for example again made
to the standard handbooks and the general background art mentioned
25 herein and to the further references cited therein; as well as to
for example the following reviews Presta, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*
2006, 58 (5-6): 640-56; Levin and Weiss, *Mol. Biosyst.* 2006, 2(1):
49-57; Irving et al., *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2001, 248(1-2), 31-45;
Schmitz et al., *Placenta*, 2000, 21 Suppl. A, S106-12, Gonzales et
30 al., *Tumour Biol.*, 2005, 26(1), 31-43, which describe techniques for
protein engineering, such as affinity maturation and other
techniques for improving the specificity and other desired
properties of proteins such as immunoglobulins.

Example 22: Observations of a similar variant in Nanobodies B-1, B-2, B-3 and B-4 expressed in Pichia pastoris

Nanobodies B-1, B-2, B-3 and B-4 were also produced in P. pastoris strain X-33. Nanobodies B-1, B-2, B-3 and B-4 are trivalent

5 Nanobodies that consist of three different single variable domains, having the following sequences:

Nanobody B-1 (SEQ ID NO: 9)

EVQLLES GGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGRTLSSYAMGWFRQAPGK GREFVARISQGGT AIYYADSVKGRF
 10 TISRDN SKNTVY LQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAKDPSPYYRGSAYLLSGSYDSWGQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGG
 GSGGGGSEVQLVESGGGLVQPGNSLRLS CAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGK GLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYA
 DSVKGRFTISRDN AKTTLYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGGSGGGG
 SEVQLLES GGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGRIFSLPASGNI FNLLTIAWYRQAPGK GRELVATINSGSRTY
 YADSVKGRFTISRDN SKKTVYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCQTS GSGSPNFWGQGT LVTVSS

15

Nanobody B-2 (SEQ ID NO: 10)

EVQLLES GGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGRIFSLPASGNI FNLLTIAWYRQAPGK GRELVATINSGSRTY
 ADSVKGRFTISRDN SKKTVYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCQTS GSGSPNFWGQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEV
 QLVESGGGLVQPGNSLRLS CAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGK GLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYADSVKGRFTI
 20 SRDN AKTTLYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEVQLLES GGGLVQP
 GGSLRLS CAASGRTLSSYAMGWFRQAPGK GREFVARISQGGT AIYYADSVKGRFTISRDN SKNTLYLQ
 MN SLRPEDTAVYYCAKDPSPYYRGSAYLLSGSYDSWGQGT LVTVSS

Nanobody B-3 (SEQ ID NO: 11)

25 EVQLLES GGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGRIFSLPASGNI FNLLTIAWYRQAPGK GRELVATINSGSRTY
 ADSVKGRFTISRDN SKKTVYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCQTS GSGSPNFWGQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEV
 QLVESGGGLVQPGNSLRLS CAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGK GLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYADSVKGRFTI
 SRDN AKTTLYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEVQLLES GGGLVQP
 GGSLRLS CAASGRTLSSYAMGWFRQAPGK GREFVARISQGGT AIYYADSVKGRFTISRDN SKNTVYLQ
 30 MN SLRPEDTAVYYCAKDPSPYYRGSAYLLSGSYDSWGQGT LVTVSS

Nanobody B-4 (SEQ ID NO: 12)

EVQLLES GGGLVQPGGSLRLS CAASGRTLSSYAMGWFRQAPGK GREFVARISQGGT AIYYADSVKGRF
 TISRDN SKNTVY LQMNSLRPEDTAVYYCAKDPSPYYRGSAYLLSGSYDSWGQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGG
 35 GSGGGGSEVQLVESGGGLVQPGNSLRLS CAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGK GLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYA
 DSVKGRFTISRDN AKTTLYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGGSGGGG

SEVQLLESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGRIFSLPASGNI FNLLTIAWYRQAPGKGRELVATIESGSRTY
 YADSVKGRFTISRDN SKKTVYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCQTSGSGSPNFWGQGT LVTVSS

The fermentation samples were analyzed by RP-HPLC analysis, after a
 5 sample clean up to check for product related variants. The
 chromatograms are shown in the Figures 17 (A-D). In each of the
 constructs high amounts of variant with one missing disulfide bond
 were present: between 30 to 55% of the total load as estimated from
 the surface area on the RPC. The identity of this peak eluting
 10 approximately 2 min. after the correctly folded molecule (tR approx.
 17 min in RP-HPLC) was confirmed by the fact that formation of the
 disulfide bridge could be induced by the addition of a low
 concentration of Cu²⁺ to the culture supernatant. Chromatograms
 before and after Cu²⁺ treatment show the disappearance of the
 15 significant post-peak into main peak (compare the full line with the
 dotted line).

Other peaks in the chromatogram might correspond to other product
 related variants such as pyro-glutamate formation at the N-terminus
 20 or minor impurities not removed by the partial clean up of the
 sample.

Example 23: Observations of a similar variant in Nanobody B-5
 expressed in Pichia pastoris

25 Nanobody B-5 was also produced in P. pastoris strain X-33. Nanobody
 B-5 is a Nanobody that consists of three different single variable
 domains and has the following sequence (SEQ ID NO: 13:

EVQLLESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGRIFSLPASGNI FNLLTIAWYRQAPGKGRELVATINSGSRTYY
 30 ADSVKGRFTISRDN SKKTVYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCQTSGSGSPNFWGQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEV
 QLVESGGGLVQPGNSLRLSCAASGFTFSSFGMSWVRQAPGKGLEWVSSISGSGSDTLYADSVKGRFTI
 SRDNAKTTLYLQMN SLRPEDTAVYYCTIGGSLSRSSQGT LVTVSSGGGGSGGGSEVQLLESGGGLVQP
 GGSLRLSCAASGRTLSSYAMGWFRQAPGKGREFVARISQGGTAIYYADSVKGRFTISRDN SKNTLYLQ
 MN SLRPEDTAVYYCAKDPSPYYRGSAYLLSGSYDSWGQGT LVTVSS

35

Nanobody B-5 was purified and analyzed by RP-HPLC. Figure 18 shows
 an overlay chromatogram of the material stored at -70°C (dotted

line) compared to the same material after 3 weeks storage at 37°C (full line). The post-peak eluting at approx. 20 min. in the chromatogram consisted of material with one missing disulphide bond, as identified by mass analysis (+ 2 Da) on an LC-Q-TOF. After 3 weeks of storage at 37°C, this peak reduced from 3.1% (at t=0, or storage at -70°C) to only 0.2%, due to spontaneous oxidation to the correct disulphide bridge.

Summary of the experiments

10 From all experiments taken together, the following general teaching can be derived: Upon expression in non-E. coli hosts, such as P. pastoris or S. cerevisiae, a subpopulation of the different Nanobodies, e.g. the RANKL008a, Nanobody A-1, Nanobody A-2, Nanobody A-3, and Nanobodies B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4 and B-5 is observed. This
15 subpopulation represents a variant that lacks the canonical disulfide bridge in at least one of its subdomains (in case of a multimeric construct), or the single antigen binding domain (in case of a single domain antibody). In the case of RANKL008a a disulfide bridge was found missing in one or two of its anti-RANKL subdomains.
20 Similar observations were observed for other Nanobodies also expressed in P. pastoris. If RANKL008a is expressed in E. coli this variant has never been observed.

RP-HPLC analysis detects the disulfide-lacking variant as a post
25 peak in the chromatogram eluting at about +2 minutes from the main peak, LC-MS analysis demonstrates that this peak contains a mass compatible with the expected theoretical mass +2Da, consistent with the addition of two hydrogens. Direct measurement of free thiols in the RANKL008a -which upon proper folding does not contain any free
30 thiols- shows that free thiols can be measured in the sample and in a quantity that can be correlated with % of variant as estimated from the RP-HPLC. The variant is removed by affinity binding to a thiol-Sepharose column under denaturing conditions.

35 Similar product related variants have been observed for Nanobodies A-1, A-2, A-3, B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4 and B-5.

Surprisingly, the yield and potency -both for RANKL inhibition and binding and HSA binding- is unaffected by the disulfide variant suggesting that the structural integrity of the Nanobody is unaffected by the missing disulfide bond.

5

Classical refolding strategies under denaturing conditions in the presence of a redox couple can remove the variant; however during this process a small proportion of the RANKL008a does not form an intra-molecular disulfide bond but rather an inter-molecular
10 disulfide bond and thus the formation of a dimer. Such dimer and potentially higher order oligomeric side products are undesirable in any pharmaceutical and will make the purification process more complex.

15 The free thiols can also be brought to form the desired disulfide bridge by the oxygen present in the solution and this is enhanced by oxygenation, increasing pH, increasing temperature and/or high pressure. However the formation of the disulfide bond under some of these conditions is fairly slow and may also be accompanied by
20 protein loss. Moreover the higher pH and temperatures can potentially induce the formation of other variants (pyroglutamate formation at the N-terminus is enhanced).

Addition of oxidizing metal ions, exemplified by Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} and Zn^{2+}
25 caused formation of the desired disulfide bridge. In particular Cu^{2+} resulted in a very efficient formation of the disulfide bond in the variant, at relatively low concentrations of this catalyst and proved applicable both on purified materials and in more crude samples (culture broth or crudely purified product). Use of Cu^{2+} has
30 previously been reported for the recombinant expression of IL-2 and β -IFN (US 4,572,798). After removal of the Cu^{2+} from the mixture the disulfide bond remains intact. Moreover this procedure does not affect the potency, nor does it induce undesired by products or variants as evidenced both by LC-MS analysis and RP-HPLC analysis.

35

Hence, the addition of oxidizing metal ions represents a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Abbreviations

	13H5	Humanized monovalent building block of RANKL008aa binding to RANKL
	9GS	9AA glycine serine linker joining the Nanobody building blocks
5	ALB8	Humanized monovalent building block of RANKL008aa binding to HSA
	ACN	Acetonitrile
	°C	degrees Celsius
10	D-PBS	Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline
	ELISA	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
	F/T	Freeze thaw
	GdnHCl	Guanidinium hydrochloride
	GuHCl	Guanidine hydrochloride (GdnHCl)
15	HSA	Human Serum Albumin
	HPLC	High Pressure Liquid Chromatography
	IEX-HPLC	Ion exchange HPLC (in this case cation-exchange)
	PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
	mAU	milli Absorption Units
20	LC-MS	Liquid Chromatography coupled to Mass Spectrometer
	Min	minute(s)
	MW	Molecular Weight
	MS	Mass Spectrometry
	PCR	Polymerase Chain reaction
25	PDI	Protein Disulfide Isomerase
	PRV or PRV-SS	Product related variant of Nanobody missing one disulfide bond
	RANKL	Receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB (RANK) ligand
30	RP(C)	Reversed Phase (Chromatography)
	RP-HPLC	Reversed Phase HPLC
	RT	Room Temperature
	Sec	seconds
	SE(C)-HPLC	Size Exclusion (Chromatography) HPLC
35	TIC	Total Ion Current
	TFA	Trifluoro Acetic acid
	TOF	Time of Flight

w weeks

The terms and expressions which have been employed are used as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention in
5 the use of such terms and expressions of excluding any equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, it being recognized that various modifications are possible within the scope of the invention.

10 All references disclosed herein are incorporated by reference, in particular for the teaching that is referenced hereinabove.

CLAIMS

1. Method for producing a domain antibody in a host other than E. coli, preferably yeast, comprising
- 5 a) applying conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges in domain antibodies, or
- b) removing domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge, or
- c) a combination of (a) and (b).
- 10
2. Method according to claim 1, wherein the conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges are selected from one or more of the following:
- a) addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions,
- 15 preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ;
- b) enhancing expression of a thiol isomerase;
- c) adapting the culturing conditions by one or more selected from the following: lowering culturing temperature and/or optimizing the culturing medium, including but not limited to reduction of methanol
- 20 feed for hosts requiring a methanol feed, lowering conductivity of the culture medium, addition of yeast extract and/or peptone, or any combination thereof;
- d) refolding the domain antibody in the presence of redox-buffer, preferably in the additional presence of denaturant;
- 25 e) treating the domain antibody by oxygenation, increasing temperature, increasing pH, or high pressure, or any combination thereof; and
- f) combinations of any of a) through e).
- 30
3. Method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein an oxidizing agent, preferably oxidizing metal ions, more preferably one or more selected from Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} , are added to at least one production step of the domain antibody, preferably selected from: culturing the host to produce the domain antibody, the culture
- 35 supernatant comprising the domain antibody after removal of the host, any step of purifying the domain antibody, or the purified domain antibody.

4. Method according to claim 1 wherein conditions that remove domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge are selected from

- 5 a) binding domain antibodies comprising free thiol groups to suitable reactive groups, including but not limited to immobilized thiol groups, optionally under denaturing conditions; and
b) reverse phase high performance chromatography.

10 5. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein said addition of oxidizing agents, preferably oxidizing metal ions, preferably Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} , more preferably 1-10 mM Cu^{2+} is performed alone, or in combination with one or more of the conditions according to claim 2 b) to e) and/or in combination with
15 one or more of the conditions according to claim 4.

6. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 5, wherein said thiol isomerase is selected from PDI, calsequestrin and other PDI-related proteins comprising, but not limited to ERp72, ERp57,
20 ERp60, ERp44, ERp5, ERp27 and PDIR, preferably PDI.

7. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 6, wherein said culturing temperature is lowered by 5°C as compared to the standard culturing temperature for the host organism.

25

8. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 7, wherein said methanol feed is lowered by 30-80% as compared to the standard methanol feed for the respective host.

30 9. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 8, wherein said conductivity of the culture medium is lowered by 30% to 80% as compared to the standard medium for the respective host.

10. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 9, wherein
35 yeast extract and/or peptone are added to the culture medium at a concentration in the feed of 0 to 20%.

11. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 10, wherein refolding the domain antibody in the presence of denaturant and redox-buffer is performed using 2M guanidinium hydrochloride and 1:5 mM/mM cystamine/cysteamine.

5

12. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 11, wherein the domain antibody is treated by increasing the temperature to 40-60°C, preferably 55°C, increasing pH to pH 8-9, and/or high pressure to 250-5000 bar, preferably about 1000-2000 bar, optionally combined with oxygenation by purging with oxygen.

10

13. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 11, wherein the domain antibody is attached to a stationary phase of a chromatographic column.

15

14. The method according to any one of claims 2 to 13, wherein said host is selected from prokaryotic hosts other than *E. coli*, or eukaryotic hosts.

20

15. The method according to claim 14, wherein said eukaryotic host is selected from insect cells, mammalian cells, and lower eukaryotic hosts comprising yeasts such as *Pichia*, *Hansenula*, *Saccharomyces*, *Kluyveromyces*, *Candida*, *Torulopsis*, *Torulasporea*, *Schizosaccharomyces*, *Citeromyces*, *Pachysolen*, *Debaromyces*, *Metschnikowia*, *Rhodospiridium*, *Leucosporidium*, *Botryosacculus*, *Sporidiobolus*, *Endomycopsis*, preferably *Pichia pastoris*.

25

16. Method according to any one of claims 1 to 15, wherein the domain antibody is a light chain variable domain sequence or a heavy chain variable domain sequence.

30

17. Method according to claim 16, wherein the domain antibody is a heavy chain variable domain sequence that is derived from a conventional four-chain antibody or that essentially consists of a heavy chain variable domain sequence that is derived from a heavy chain antibody.

35

18. Method according to claim 17, wherein the domain antibody (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a domain antibody) is a single domain antibody (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a single domain antibody), a "dAb" (or an amino acid sequence that is suitable for use as a dAb) or a Nanobody (including but not limited to a VHH sequence), preferably a Nanobody.

19. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 18, at least comprising the steps of culturing the host to produce the domain antibody comprising:

- a) cultivating said host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell will multiply;
 - b) maintaining a host or host cell under conditions that are such that said host or host cell expresses and/or produces the domain antibody;
- optionally followed by:
- c) isolating and/or purifying the secreted domain antibody from the medium.

20

20. The method according to claim 19, wherein conditions that promote the formation of disulfide bridges are applied at one or more of step a), step b) after step b), or at or after step c).

21. The method according to claim 19 wherein conditions that remove domain antibodies lacking at least one disulfide bridge are applied after step b).

22. Domain antibody obtainable by any of the methods of claims 1 to 21.

30

Fig 1




Fig 2




Fig 3




Fig 4




Fig 5




Fig 6




Fig 7




Fig 8




Fig 9




Fig 10




Fig 11




Fig 12




Fig 13




Fig 14




Fig 15




Fig 16




Fig 17




Fig 17_a




Fig 17_b




Fig 17c




Fig 18

