

When $[x, y, z]$ is a row, $f(a, b) = xab + y(a+b) + z$ is associative.

For each triple, the corresponding $f(a, b)$ has a unique identity element (I), meaning

$$f(a, I) = f(I, a) = a, \text{ for all } a. \quad I = -\frac{z}{y}. \quad f(a, b) \text{ also has a unique zero element (call it } \theta),$$

meaning $f(a, \theta) = f(\theta, a) = \theta$, for all a . $\theta = -\frac{y}{x}$.

$f(a, b)$, defined by each row, also has a distributive rule when the generalized zero is taken into account. This means that if we define a "partition" of b by $b = b_1 + b_2 - \theta$, then

$$f(a, b) = f(a, b_1 + b_2 - \theta) = f(a, b_1) + f(a, b_2) - \theta \text{ for all } a \text{ and } b, \text{ and all "partitions" of } b.$$

Notice that when $\theta = 0$, we have the usual distributive rule. However, in that case we would need $y = 0$ which corresponds to $f(a, b) = xab$ or $f(a, b) = z$, neither of which is allowed.

Another way to write $f(a, b)$ for a row is to first compute I and θ from x, y and z . Then

$$f(a, b) = \frac{ab - \theta(a+b) + I\theta}{I - \theta} \text{ or equivalently}$$

$$f(a, b) = \frac{ab - \theta(a+b) + \theta^2}{I - \theta} + \theta.$$

Notice that I cannot equal θ because of $I - \theta$ in the denominator. Also notice that when $I = 1$ and $\theta = 0$, $f(a, b) = ab$, but multiplication is not represented in the table since the corresponding row would be $[1, 0, 0]$, which is not allowed.

If (i) two rows are $[x_1, y_1, z_1]$ and $[x_2, y_2, z_2]$

$$(ii) \quad I_1 = -\frac{z_1}{y_1}, \quad I_2 = -\frac{z_2}{y_2}, \quad \theta_1 = -\frac{y_1}{x_1}, \quad \theta_2 = -\frac{y_2}{x_2}$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = 2$$

then $[x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2]$ is a row.

Consequently,

if (i) $f_1(a, b) = x_1ab + y_1(a+b) + z_1$ is associative and
 $f_2(a, b) = x_2ab + y_2(a+b) + z_2$ is associative

$$(ii) \quad I_1 = -\frac{z_1}{y_1}, \quad I_2 = -\frac{z_2}{y_2}, \quad \theta_1 = -\frac{y_1}{x_1}, \quad \theta_2 = -\frac{y_2}{x_2}$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = 2$$

then $f_1(a, b) + f_2(a, b) = (x_1 + x_2)ab + (y_1 + y_2)(a+b) + z_1 + z_2$ is associative.

Proof:

Given $[x_1, y_1, z_1]$ and $[x_2, y_2, z_2]$ are rows, the following algebraic manipulations show that $[x_1+x_2, y_1+y_2, z_1+z_2]$ is a row.

$$\text{Say } \frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = 2.$$

$$\frac{-\frac{z_1}{y_1}}{-\frac{y_2}{x_2}} + \frac{-\frac{z_2}{y_2}}{-\frac{y_1}{x_1}} = 2$$

$$\frac{x_2 z_1}{y_1 y_2} + \frac{x_1 z_2}{y_1 y_2} = 2 \quad [\text{Multiply by } y_1 y_2 \text{ and move to the right.}]$$

$0 = 2y_1 y_2 - x_1 z_2 - x_2 z_1$ [Add to the right side $y_1^2 - y_1 - x_1 z_1$ and $y_2^2 - y_2 - x_2 z_2$, which both equal 0.]

$$0 = 2y_1 y_2 - x_1 z_2 - x_2 z_1 + y_1^2 - y_1 - x_1 z_1 + y_2^2 - y_2 - x_2 z_2 \quad [\text{Rearrange.}]$$

$$0 = y_1^2 + 2y_1 y_2 + y_2^2 - y_1 - y_2 - x_1 z_1 - x_1 z_2 - x_2 z_1 - x_2 z_2$$

$$0 = (y_1 + y_2)^2 - (y_1 + y_2) - (x_1 + x_2)(z_1 + z_2) \quad \text{QED.}$$

The idea of summing rows to get another row can be extended.

If (i) three rows are $[x_1, y_1, z_1]$, $[x_2, y_2, z_2]$, and $[x_3, y_3, z_3]$

(ii) I and θ are defined as above

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_3} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_2}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_2} - 2}{y_1} \right) = 0$$

then $[x_1+x_2+x_3, y_1+y_2+y_3, z_1+z_2+z_3]$ is a row.

Generalizing, when summing n rows to another row, the criterion involves the sum of binomial(n,2)

versions of $\frac{I_i}{\theta_j} + \frac{I_j}{\theta_i} - 2$ as i and j go from 1 to n and $i < j$. Furthermore, each of these expressions

is divided by the product of the y values from rows other than i and j. There are binomial(n,n-2) = binomial(n,2) such products.

Formally this is:

If $[x_1, y_1, z_1], \dots, [x_n, y_n, z_n]$ are rows and

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\frac{I_i}{\theta_j} + \frac{I_j}{\theta_i} - 2}{\prod_{\substack{k \neq i, j \\ k=1..n}} y_k} = 0,$$

then $\left[\sum_{m=1}^n x_m, \sum_{m=1}^n y_m, \sum_{m=1}^n z_m \right]$ is a row.

All of the above is still true when x, y, z, I and θ are complex numbers with $y \neq 1$ and $x, y, z \neq 0$.

If $[x, y, z]$ is not a row, compute $K = \frac{y}{y^2 - xz}$. Then $K[x, y, z]$ is a row if it is an integer

triple. Note that if $[x, y, z]$ were a row, $K = 1$. Furthermore, if $[nx, ny, nz]$ is not a row,

compute $K' = \frac{ny}{(ny)^2 - (nx)(nz)} = \frac{y}{n(y^2 - xz)} = \frac{K}{n}$. Then $K'[nx, ny, nz] = K[x, y, z]$

as before. When $K[x, y, z]$ is not a triple for not having integer values, we still have

$$(Ky)^2 - Ky - (Kx)(Kz) = 0.$$

Examples of the distributive rule:

$$[x, y, z] = [1, 2, 2]$$

$$f(a, b) = ab + 2(a+b) + 2$$

$$\theta = -\frac{y}{x} = -2$$

$$f(5, 7) = 35 + 2(5+7) + 2 = 61 \text{ which equals}$$

$$f(5, 3 + 2 - (-2)) = f(5, 3) + f(5, 2) - (-2) = (15+16+2) + (10+14+2) + 2 = 61.$$

$$f(5, 8) = 40 + 2(5+8) + 2 = 68 \text{ which equals}$$

$$f(5, 4 + 2 - (-2)) = f(5, 4) + f(5, 2) - (-2) = (20+18+2) + (10+14+2) + 2 = 68.$$

Examples of rows that sum to another row:

$$[1, 7, 42] + [2, 8, 28] = [3, 15, 70] \text{ because}$$

$$\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = \frac{-\frac{42}{7}}{-\frac{8}{2}} + \frac{-\frac{28}{8}}{-\frac{7}{1}} = 2.$$

$$[42, 7, 1] + [28, 8, 2] = [70, 15, 3] \text{ because}$$

$$\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = \frac{-\frac{1}{7}}{-\frac{8}{28}} + \frac{-\frac{2}{8}}{-\frac{7}{42}} = 2.$$

$$[2, 8, 28] + [3, 18, 102] = [5, 26, 130] \text{ because}$$

$$\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = \frac{-\frac{28}{8}}{-\frac{18}{3}} + \frac{-\frac{102}{18}}{-\frac{8}{2}} = 2.$$

$$[28, 8, 2] + [102, 18, 3] = [130, 26, 5] \text{ because}$$

$$\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} = \frac{-\frac{2}{8}}{-\frac{18}{102}} + \frac{-\frac{3}{18}}{-\frac{8}{28}} = 2.$$

Examples of three rows that sum to a row:

$[1, 2, 2] + [1, 2, 2] + [1, 5, 20] = [3, 9, 24]$ because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_3} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_2}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_2} - 2}{y_1} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\frac{-\frac{2}{2}}{1} + \frac{-\frac{2}{2}}{1} - 2}{5} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{-\frac{2}{2}}{1} + \frac{-\frac{20}{5}}{1} - 2}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{-\frac{2}{2}}{1} + \frac{-\frac{20}{5}}{1} - 2}{2} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this example no two of the rows sum to another row.

$[1, 7, 42] + [2, 8, 28] + [3, 10, 30] = [6, 25, 100]$ because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_3} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_2} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_2}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_2} - 2}{y_1} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\frac{-\frac{42}{7}}{2} + \frac{-\frac{28}{8}}{1} - 2}{10} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{-\frac{42}{7}}{3} + \frac{-\frac{30}{10}}{1} - 2}{8} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{-\frac{28}{8}}{3} + \frac{-\frac{30}{10}}{2} - 2}{7} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this example $[1, 7, 42] + [2, 8, 28] = [3, 15, 70]$, another row.

For $n=4$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_2} + \frac{I_2}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_3 y_4} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_2 y_4} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_1}{\theta_4} + \frac{I_4}{\theta_1} - 2}{y_2 y_3} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\frac{I_2}{\theta_3} + \frac{I_3}{\theta_2} - 2}{y_1 y_4} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_2}{\theta_4} + \frac{I_4}{\theta_2} - 2}{y_1 y_3} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{I_3}{\theta_4} + \frac{I_4}{\theta_3} - 2}{y_1 y_2} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

then $[x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4, y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4, z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4]$ is a row.

$[x, y, z] = [3, 2, 1]$ is not a row, but $\frac{y}{y^2 - xz}[x, y, z] = \frac{2}{4-3}[3, 2, 1] = [6, 4, 2]$ is a row.