

Maple-assisted proof of empirical formula for A263330

Let the "state" of a 3-column array of 0..2 be the values mod 11 of the columns considered as base-3 numbers. Thus there are $11^3 = 1331$ possible states to consider. The possible rows are the base-3 numbers of up to 3 digits that are divisible by 11, namely $000_3 = 0$, $102_3 = 11$ and $211_3 = 22$. Let T be the 1331×1331 matrix such that T_{ij} is the number of possible rows r such that an array in state number i atop row r will produce an array in state number j . Thus if the first array's state is (x, y, z) and the row is (t, u, v) , the new array has state $(3x + t, 3y + u, 3z + v)$ (with operations done mod 11). Each row of T has three nonzero entries. The following code produces the matrix T .

```
> States := [seq(seq(seq([x,y,z], z=0..10), y=0..10), x=0..10)]:
T := Matrix(1331, 1331, storage=sparse):
for i from 1 to 1331 do
  for y in [[0,0,0], [1,0,2], [2,1,1]] do
    z := 3*States[i]+y mod 11;
    j := 121*z[1]+11*z[2]+z[3] + 1;
    T[i,j] := 1;
  od od:
```

Considering an array of 0 rows as being in state $(0, 0, 0)$, which is the first in our enumeration, $a(n)$ should be $e_1^T T^{n+2} e_1$ where e_1 is the unit vector with 1 in the first position. To confirm, we compute the first few entries. For future use, $U_j = T^j e_1$.

```
> U[0] := Vector([1, 0$1330]):
for j from 1 to 30 do U[j] := T . U[j-1] od:
seq(U[n+2][1], n=1..27);
1, 1, 3, 9, 23, 51, 133, 399, 1321, 4129, 12457, 38059, 114177, 349017, 1056893, 3188001,
9592717, 28778151, 86390521, 259279771, 778196971, 2334819901, 7004459703,
21010336329, 63026931713, 189077563341, 567218511883
```

Now the empirical formula is

```
> Emp := a(n) = 3*a(n-1) + 16*a(n-5) - 48*a(n-6) - 505*a(n-10) + 1515*a
(n-11) - 5105*a(n-15) + 15315*a(n-16) - 10114*a(n-20) + 30342*a(n-21)
+ 15709*a(n-25) - 47127*a(n-26);
Emp := a(n) = 3 a(n-1) + 16 a(n-5) - 48 a(n-6) - 505 a(n-10) + 1515 a(n-11)
- 5105 a(n-15) + 15315 a(n-16) - 10114 a(n-20) + 30342 a(n-21)
+ 15709 a(n-25) - 47127 a(n-26)
```

This corresponds to saying $e_1^T P(T) T^{n+2} e_1 = 0$ where P is the following polynomial.

```
> P := t^26 - add(coeff(rhs(Emp), a(n-j)) * t^(26-j), j=0..26);
P := t^26 - 3 t^25 - 16 t^21 + 48 t^20 + 505 t^16 - 1515 t^15 + 5105 t^11 - 15315 t^10 + 10114 t^6
- 30342 t^5 - 15709 t + 47127
```

We compute $P(T) e_1$ using the previously computed values U_j and verify that it is 0:

```
> Q := add(coeff(P, t, j) * U[j], j=0..26):
> LinearAlgebra:-Equal(Q, LinearAlgebra:-ZeroVector(1331));
true
```

Thus we have $e_1^T P(T) T^{n+2} e_1 = e_1^T T^{n+2} P(T) e_1 = 0$. This completes the proof.