Gean aport

23 September 1992

A 7097

Neil James Alexander Sloane % Room 2C-376, Mathematics Research Center AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories Inc. Murry Hill, New Jersey 07974 201+582-3000, ext. 2005

Subject: A Handbook of Integer Sequences
On a new Iterated Prime Number Series

Dear Dr. Sloane,

In the above referenced text, the Primes and recurrences occuppy a large portion of the index.

Although iteration and its brother recursion dates back several centuries, in this last decade it has enjoyed equal footing with other numerical methods. I believe I can safety say that this phenomenon is due for the most part to the current interest in "chaos." Being in vogue has brought many other fringe elements into the forefront.

Many processes use iteration as a technique for problem solving.

Others are employed for their own sake, as a mathematical amusement. In the former class we have the famous Newton-Raphson method of root extraction (this is not to be confused with the dental proceedure). An example of the later is the famous 3*X +1 or - 2 problem. In the Newton method you have a process which you can observe the speed of convergence, in this case quadratically, to the true answer. In the later the number of iterations it takes for an integer to cascade to one wildly oscillates and today is still an unsolved number theory problem.

The series I wish to present is, I'm sure, of the later, although its progression is much better defined than the Collatz function. The audience is well acquianted with $\pi(x)$, hereinafter denoted as pi(x), which is the arithmetic function that counts the number of primes less than or equal to the integer x, with the number one being defined as neither a prime nor a

composite number. As an example, the pi(10)=4, they being the primes 2, 3, 5 & 7. There is that noteworthy theorem which states that the Limit of pi(x) as x approaches infinity is the quotient of x divided by the natural logarithm of x. Also by the definition itself, it is obvious that x always exceeds the pi(x). Unlike the hailstone problem though, we know that taking succesive iterations of the $pi(x_1) \rightarrow x_{fee}$ and counting the number of iterations, we always know that this producedure will terminate at Zero. Lets call this new function the Q(n) and define it as the number of steps (iterations) we must perform the pi(x) before pi(x)=0. Examples, the Q(10)=4 because pi(10)->4, pi(4)->2, pi(2)->1 and pi(1)->0. We performed the operation pi() four times.

Now let us investigate the growth of $Q(\mathbf{n})$. Since the plot of the $pi(\mathbf{x})$ is relatively smooth, should we not expect the $Q(\mathbf{n})$ to behave similarly? Second, at what values does the $Q(\mathbf{n})$ increment from a lower value to a higher value? The seccesive values of \mathbf{n} , the $Q(\mathbf{n})$ will stay the same or increase by just one; it can never go down. The reader is left with his own proof. It is similar to the proof that of $pi(\mathbf{x})$ behaves in the similar manner.

In fact, it can be shown that the first time that $\mathbb{Q}(n)$ = whatever, can be generated by the inverse function of $\mathrm{pi}(x)$, that is P_{ξ} , i being the index. Threfore, we have the following obviously incomplete table:

Х	P:	number = new index	
X 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	P; 0 1 2 3 5 11 31 127 709 5,381	number = new index 0	1
A	lira	7)10	

52,711 648,391 ,737,333

648,391

9,737,333

13 9,737,333 174,440,041 14 174,440,041 3,657,500,101 15 3,657,500,101 88,362,852,307 2,428,096,940,717 16 88,362,852,307 ~ 74,900,000,000,000 17 2,428,096,940,717 < 74,900,000,000,000 ~ 2,560,000,000,000,000

references:

11

12

M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, "Hdbk of Math Fnctns." p870-873
Solomon W. Golomb, E 3385 [1990,427] The Am. Math. Mo. v98n9p858-9, Nov 91.
D. Knuth, "The Art of Computer Programming," v2p365
Hans Riesel, "Prime Numbers and Computer Methods for Factorization"
Stephen Wolfram "Mathmatica," the function Prime[]

Sequentially yours:

Robert G. Wilson v Ph.D., ATP/CF&GI

RGWv:hp110+

% N \$\fa(n+1) ~=~ a(n)\$\f\ -th prime.
% R \$\frac{\partial n}{2} \\
% O 0,2
% A \(rgw\).