

121

UNITARY HARMONIC NUMBERS

PETER HAGIS, JR. AND GRAHAM LORD

ABSTRACT. If $d^*(n)$ and $\sigma^*(n)$ denote the number and sum, respectively, of the unitary divisors of the natural number n then the harmonic mean of the unitary divisors of n is given by $H^*(n) = nd^*(n)/\sigma^*(n)$. Here we investigate the properties of $H^*(n)$, and, in particular, study those numbers n for which $H^*(n)$ is an integer.

1. Introduction. Let $d(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ denote, respectively, the number and sum of the positive divisors of the natural number n . Ore [6] showed that the harmonic mean of the positive divisors of n is given by $H(n) = nd(n)/\sigma(n)$, and several papers (see [1], [5], [6], [7]) have been devoted to the study of $H(n)$. In particular the set of numbers S for which $H(n)$ is an integer has attracted the attention of number theorists, since the set of perfect numbers is a subset of S . The elements of S are called *harmonic numbers* by Pomerance [7]. This paper is devoted to a study of the unitary analogue of $H(n)$. We recall that the positive integer d is said to be a unitary divisor of n if $d|n$ and $(d, n/d) = 1$. It is easy to verify that if the canonical prime decomposition of n is given by

$$(1) \quad n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

and $d^*(n)$ and $\sigma^*(n)$ denote the number and sum, respectively, of the unitary divisors of n then

$$(2) \quad d^*(n) = 2^k; \quad \sigma^*(n) = (p_1^{\alpha_1} + 1)(p_2^{\alpha_2} + 1) \cdots (p_k^{\alpha_k} + 1).$$

It is also easy to show that the unitary harmonic mean (the harmonic mean of the unitary divisors) of n is given by

$$(3) \quad H^*(n) = nd^*(n)/\sigma^*(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k 2p_i^{\alpha_i}/(p_i^{\alpha_i} + 1).$$

We shall say that n is a *unitary harmonic number* if $H^*(n)$ is an integer,

6086, 6047

and shall denote by UH the set of these numbers. A computer search (requiring approximately 2.5 hours of CDC 6400 time at the Temple University Computer Center) was made for the elements of UH in the interval $[1, 10^6]$, and 45 such numbers were found. These are given in Table I at the end of this paper.

Subbarao and Warren [10] have defined n to be a *unitary perfect number* if $\sigma^*(n) = 2n$. Five such numbers are presently known [9]. Since $d^*(n)$ is even the following result is immediate from (3).

Proposition 1. *The set of unitary perfect numbers is a subset of UH .*

2. Some elementary results concerning $H^*(n)$ and UH . We now establish some facts which will be of use in the sequel and which, in certain cases, are of some interest in themselves. n will always denote a natural number with prime decomposition as given in (1); p, q, r with or without subscripts will always denote primes.

Lemma 1. $2^{k+1}/(k+2) \leq H^*(n) < 2^k$ with equality on the left if and only if $n = 2$ or 6 .

Proof. Since $x/(x+1)$ is monotonic increasing and bounded by 1 for positive x , it follows from (3) that

$$2^k > H^*(n) \geq 2^k(2/3)(3/4)(4/5) \cdots ((k+1)/(k+2)) = 2^{k+1}/(k+2).$$

Lemma 2. *If $p^a \parallel n$, then $p^a \geq H^*(n)/(2^k - H^*(n))$ with equality if and only if $k = 1$.*

Proof. From (3), $H^*(n) \leq 2^k p^a / (p^a + 1)$.

Lemma 3. *If $p^a \{r^c\}$ is the minimum {maximum} prime power divisor of n in (1) then*

$$p^a \leq kH^*(n)/(2^k - H^*(n)) \quad \{r^c \geq ((k-1)2^k + H^*(n))/(2^k - H^*(n))\}$$

with equality if and only if $k = 1$ or $n = p^a q^b r^c$ where $q^b = p^a + 1$ (so that $2|n$) and $r^c = p^a + 2$ or $c = 0$ {where $q^b = r^c - 1$ (so that $2|n$) and $p^a = r^c - 2$ or $a = 0$ }.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(n) &\geq 2^k \{p^a/(p^a + 1)\} \{(p^a + 1)/(p^a + 2)\} \cdots \{(p^a + k - 1)/(p^a + k)\} \\ &= 2^k p^a / (p^a + k), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(n) &\leq 2^k \{(r^c - k + 1)/(r^c - k + 2)\} \{(r^c - k + 2)/(r^c - k + 3)\} \cdots \{r^c/(r^c + 1)\} \\ &= 2^k (r^c - k + 1)/(r^c + 1) \end{aligned}$$

with equality only in the specified "exceptional" cases.

We turn now to some results concerning UH . The following proposition was proved by Ore [6, p. 617] for harmonic numbers and holds for elements of UH since $H(n) = H^*(n)$ if and only if n is square-free.

Proposition 2. *If n is square-free and $n \neq 6$, then n is not a unitary harmonic number.*

Since $2|(p^a + 1)$ if p is odd, and since $4|(p^a + 1)$ if $p = 4j + 3$ and α is odd, our next two results follow immediately from (3).

Proposition 3. *If n is odd and $n \in UH$, then $H^*(n)$ is odd.*

Proposition 4. *If n is odd, $n \in UH$, $p^a \parallel n$, and $p = 4j + 3$ then α is even.*

From (2) and (3) it is immediate that $H^*(n)$ is a multiplicative function. Therefore, if $(n, m) = 1$ then $H^*(nm) = H^*(n) \cdot m d^*(m)/\sigma^*(m)$ from which we easily deduce the following result.

Proposition 5. *If $n \in UH$, $(p, n) = 1$, and $(p^a + 1) | 2H^*(n)$, then $p^a n \in UH$.*

For example, since $40950 \in UH$ and $30 = 2H^*(40950)$ we see that $29 \cdot 40950 \in UH$ also.

3. Two cardinality theorems.

Theorem 1. *If S_c is the set of natural numbers n such that $H^*(n) = c$, then S_c is finite (or empty) for every real number c .*

Proof. Our proof is based on an idea due to Shapiro [8]. Since $2^{k+1}/(k+2) \geq k$ we note first that if $H^*(n) = c$ then Lemma 1 implies that the number of prime factors of n is bounded (by c). Now assume that S_c is infinite. Then S_c must contain an infinite subset, say S_{cm} , each of whose elements has exactly m prime factors. It is not difficult to see that an infinite sequence n_1, n_2, \dots of distinct integers exists with the following properties:

(i) $n_i \in S_{cm}$ so that $H^*(n_i) = c$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$;

$$(ii) \quad n_i = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_{s-1}^{a_{s-1}} p_{is}^{a_{is}} \cdots p_{im}^{a_{im}} = P \prod_{j=s}^m p_{ij}^{a_{ij}}$$

where

$$p_1^{a_1} < \cdots < p_{s-1}^{a_{s-1}} < p_{is}^{a_{is}} < \cdots < p_{im}^{a_{im}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots.$$

(P may be empty, but $s-1 \neq m$.)

$$(iii) \quad p_{ij}^{a_{ij}} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } j = s, \dots, m.$$

(That is, each n_i is composed of a fixed, constant block of prime powers and a variable block of prime powers arranged monotonically within the block and such that each component of this variable block goes to infinity with i .)

From (i) and (ii) we see that

$$\frac{c}{H^*(P)} = \prod_{j=s}^m H^*(p_{ij}^{a_{ij}}) < 2^{m+1-s}$$

so that there exists a fixed positive number v such that $\prod_{j=s}^m H^*(p_{ij}^{a_{ij}}) = 2^{m+1-s} - v$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. But from (iii) it follows that $H^*(p_{ij}^{a_{ij}}) \rightarrow 2$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for $s \leq j \leq m$. Therefore, for "large" i , $\prod_{j=s}^m H^*(p_{ij}^{a_{ij}}) > 2^{m+1-s} - v$. This contradiction completes the proof.

Since there are only finitely many integers between $2^{k+1}/(k+2)$ and 2^k the following theorem follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *There exist at most finitely many unitary harmonic numbers with a specified number of distinct prime factors.*

From Proposition 1 we have the following corollary which was first proved by Subbarao and Warren [10].

Corollary 2.1. *There are at most a finite number of unitary perfect numbers with a specified number of prime factors.*

4. Elements of UH with a specified number of prime factors. Let T_k denote the set of unitary harmonic numbers which have exactly k prime factors. In connection with Theorem 2 it is perhaps of some interest to identify the elements of T_k for a few selected values of k .

Proposition 6. T_1 is empty.

Proof. $H^*(p^a) = 2p^a/(p^a + 1)$, and it is easy to see that $(p^a + 1) \nmid 2p^a$.

Proposition 7. $T_2 = \{6, 45\}$.

Proof. If $n \in T_2$ then, from Lemma 1, $H^*(n) = 2$ or 3 . If $H^*(n) = 2$ then from Lemma 3, $2 \parallel n$ and consequently $n = 6$. If $H^*(n) = 3$ then from (3) and Lemma 2, $3^b \parallel n$ where $b > 1$. From Lemmas 2 and 3 either $2^2 \parallel n$ or $5 \parallel n$. If $n = 2^2 3^b$ then $5(3^b + 1) = 16 \cdot 3^{b-1}$ which is impossible. If $n = 5 \cdot 3^b$ then $3 = H^*(n) \geq H^*(5 \cdot 3^2) = 3$ so that $n = 45$.

Proposition 8. $T_3 = \{60, 90, 1512, 15925, 55125\}$.

Proof. From Lemma 1 $H^*(n) = 4, 5, 6$ or 7 if $n \in T_3$. We consider these possibilities separately. p^a will always denote the minimal prime power in (1). It can be bounded by using Lemmas 2 and 3. We shall also rely heavily on the fact that $x/(x+1)$ is monotonic increasing.

Case I. $H^*(n) = 4$. Then $p^a = 2$ or 3 , and from Proposition 3 n is even. If $p^a = 2$, then, since n is not square-free, $4 = H^*(n) \geq H^*(2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5) = 4$. If $p^a = 3$ then $4 = H^*(n) \geq H^*(2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5) = 4$. Therefore, $n = 60$ or 90 .

Case II. $H^*(n) = 5$. $p^a = 2, 3$ or 4 , and from (3) $5 \mid n$. If $p^a = 2$ then $n = 2 \cdot 3^b 5^c$, and from (3): $(3^{b-1} - 5)(5^{c-1} - 3) = 16$ which is impossible. If $p^a = 3$ then from Proposition 4 n is even and $n = 2^b 3 \cdot 5^c$. It follows that $(2^b - 5)(5^{c-1} - 1) = 6$ which is impossible. If $p^a = 4$ then $n = 4 \cdot 5^b q^c$ and $5 = H^*(n) \geq H^*(20q^c) = 16q^c/3(q^c + 1)$ which implies that $q^c = 7, 9, 11$ or 13 . Each of these possibilities leads to a contradiction.

Case III. $H^*(n) = 6$. Then n is even, $3 \mid n$, and $p^a = 4, 5, 7$ or 8 . If $p^a = 4$ then $n = 4 \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c$; if $p^a = 5$ then $n = 2^b 3^c 5$; if $p^a = 8$ then $n = 8 \cdot 3^b \cdot q^c$ where $q^c \geq 11$. From (3): $(3^{b-1} - 5)(5^{c-1} - 3) = 16$; or $(2^b - 9)(3^{c-2} - 1) = 10$; or $(5 \cdot 3^{b-3} - 1)(5q^c - 27) = 32$. None of these is possible. If $p^a = 7$ then $n = 2^b 3^c 7$, and $(2^{b-1} - 3)(3^{c-1} - 2) = 7$. Therefore, $b = c = 3$ and $n = 1512$.

Case IV. $H^*(n) = 7$. Then $7 \mid n$ and $p^a = 8, 9, 11, 13, 16, 17$ or 19 . Assume first that $2 \mid n$. Then, if $p^a = 9, 11, 17$ or 19 , n has four prime factors (from (3)). If $p^a = 13$ then $H^*(n) \geq H^*(2^5 7^3 13) > 7$; if $p^a = 16$ then $H^*(n) \geq H^*(16 \cdot 7^3 17) > 7$; if $p^a = 8$ then $n = 8 \cdot 3^b 7^c$, and $(3^{b-2} - 7) \cdot (7^{c-1} - 9) = 64$ which is impossible. Now assume that n is odd. Then, using Proposition 4, $7^2 \mid n$; and $p^a = 9, 13$ or 17 . If $p^a = 17$ then $H^*(n) \geq H^*(17 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7^2) > 7$. If $p^a = 9$ then $n = 9 \cdot 5^b 7^c$, and $(5^{b-1} - 7)(7^{c-1} - 5) = 36$. Therefore, $b = 3, c = 2$ and $n = 55125$. If $p^a = 13$ then $n = 13 \cdot 7^b q^c$. If $b \geq 4$ then, from (3), $7^2 \mid (q^c + 1)$ so that $q^c \geq 97$. But $H^*(13 \cdot 7^4 97) > 7$. Therefore, $b = 2$ and it then follows that $n = 13 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 5^2 = 15925$.

5. The distribution of the unitary harmonic numbers. For each positive real number x we shall denote by $A(x)$ the number of integers n such that

$n \leq x$ and $n \in UH$. This section is devoted to a proof of

Theorem 3. For any $\epsilon > 0$, $A(x) < 2.2x^{1/2} 2^{(1+\epsilon)\log x / \log \log x}$ for "large" x .

Proof. We use an argument of Kanold [5]. A powerful number is a positive integer m with the property that if $p|m$ then $p^2|m$. It is obvious that every positive integer can be written uniquely in the form $N_P N_F$ where $(N_P, N_F) = 1$, N_P is powerful (or 1) and N_F is square-free. If $P(x)$ is the number of powerful numbers not exceeding x it is proved in [2] that $P(x) \sim cx^{1/2}$ where $c = \zeta(3/2)/\zeta(3) = 2.173 \dots$. It follows that $P(x) < 2.2x^{1/2}$ for large x .

If N_P is a (fixed) powerful number let $g(N_P, x)$ denote the number of square-free numbers N_F such that $(N_P, N_F) = 1$, $N_P N_F \leq x$, and $N_P N_F \in UH$. If $G(x) = \max\{g(N_P, x)\}$ for $N_P \leq x$ it follows that

$$(4) \quad A(x) < 2.2x^{1/2} G(x) \text{ for large } x.$$

We now investigate the magnitude of $G(x)$. Let N_P be a powerful number for which square-free numbers $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{G(x)}$ exist such that $(N_P, m_i) = 1$, $N_P m_i \leq x$ and $N_P m_i \in UH$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, G(x)$. Then $H^*(N_P m_i) = H^*(N_P) H^*(m_i) = Z_i$ where Z_i is an integer for $i = 1, \dots, G(x)$. If $Z_i = Z_j$ where $i \neq j$, and $(m_i, m_j) = d$ then, of course, $H^*(M_i) = H^*(M_j)$ where $M_i = m_i/d$ and $M_j = m_j/d$. If $M_i = p_1 \dots p_s$ (or 1) and $M_j = q_1 \dots q_t$ (where $p_1 < \dots < p_s$, $q_1 < \dots < q_t$ and $p_u \neq q_v$) then from (3):

$$(5) \quad 2^s p_1 \dots p_s (1 + q_1) \dots (1 + q_t) = 2^t q_1 \dots q_t (1 + p_1) \dots (1 + p_s).$$

It is not difficult to see that $p_s \leq 3$ and $q_t \leq 3$ so that (assuming $M_i < M_j$) $M_j = 6$, $M_i = 1$; $M_j = 3$, $M_i = 1$ or 2 ; $M_j = 2$, $M_i = 1$ are the only logical possibilities. Since none of these satisfies (5) we conclude that $Z_i \neq Z_j$ unless $i = j$. Therefore, without loss of generality, $Z_1 < Z_2 < \dots < Z_{G(x)}$ so that $G(x) \leq Z_{G(x)} = H^*(N_P m_{G(x)}) < 2^k$, where k is the number of prime factors in $N_P m_{G(x)}$. If $N = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots p_K$ is the "longest prime product" not exceeding x then $k \leq K$. Since $K \sim \log N / \log \log N$ (see [4, §22.10]) it follows that if $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$(6) \quad G(x) < 2^{(1+\epsilon)\log x / \log \log x} \text{ for large } x.$$

Our theorem follows from (4) and (6).

Remark. It follows easily from Theorem 3 that UH has zero density. That the set of unitary perfect numbers has density zero was first shown by Subbarao [3, p. 1117].

new new unitary harmonic means
6086
6/087

Table 1. The unitary harmonic numbers in $[1, 10^6]$.

n	$H^*(n)$	n	$H^*(n)$	n	$H^*(n)$
1	1	27300	15	232470	15
6	2	31500	10	257040	20
45	3	40950	15	330750	10
60	4	46494	9	332640	20
90	4	51408	12	464940	18
420	7	55125	7	565488	22
630	7	64260	17	598500	19
1512	6	66528	12	646425	13
3780	9	81900	18	661500	12
5460	13	87360	16	716625	13
7560	10	95550	14	790398	17
8190	13	143640	19	791700	29
9100	10	163800	20	859950	18
15925	7	172900	19	900900	33
16632	11	185976	12	929880	20

REFERENCES

1. M. Garcia, *On numbers with integral harmonic mean*, Amer. Math. Monthly 61 (1954), 89-96. MR 15, 506; 1140.
2. S. W. Golomb, *Powerful numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 848-855. MR 42 #1780.
3. R. Guy and V. Klee, *Monthly research problems*, 1969-71, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), 1113-1122.
4. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1960.
5. H.-J. Kanold, *Über das harmonische Mittel der Teiler einer natürlichen Zahl*, Math. Ann. 133 (1957), 371-374. MR 19, 635.
6. O. Ore, *On the averages of the divisors of a number*, Amer. Math. Monthly 55 (1948), 615-619. MR 10, 284.
7. C. Pomerance, *On a problem of Ore: harmonic numbers* (unpublished manuscript).
8. H. N. Shapiro, *Note on a theorem of Dickson*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 450-452. MR 10, 514.
9. M. V. Subbarao, *Are there an infinity of unitary perfect numbers?* Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 389-390.
10. M. V. Subbarao and L. J. Warren, *Unitary perfect numbers*, Canad. Math. Bull. 9 (1966), 147-153. MR 33 #3994.