

NUMBER OF ODD BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

HEIKO HARBORTH

ABSTRACT. Let F(n) denote the number of odd numbers in the first n rows of Pascal's triangle, and $\theta = (\log 3)/(\log 2)$. Then $\alpha = \limsup F(n)/n^{\theta} = 1$, and $\beta = \liminf F(n)/n^{\theta} = 0.812556...$

It is known that almost all binomial coefficients are even numbers (see for example [1]-[3]). This means

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F(n) / \binom{n+1}{2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} F(n) / n^2 = 0,$$

if F(n) denotes the number of odd numbers in the first n rows of Pascal's triangle. Recently in [4] and [5] it is asked more precisely for the asymptotic behavior of F(n). Let

(1)
$$\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup F(n)/n^{\theta}, \quad \beta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F(n)/n^{\theta},$$

and

(2)
$$\theta = (\log 3)/(\log 2) = 1.584962...$$

Then it is shown in [5] that

$$1 \le \alpha \le 1.052$$
, and $0.72 \le \beta \le (9/7)(3/4)^{\theta} \le 0.815$.

Furthermore it is conjectured that 1 and $(9/7)(3/4)^{\theta} = 3^{\theta}/7 = 0.814931...$ are the true values of α and β . In this note we will prove $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0.812556...$

THEOREM 1. $\alpha = 1$.

PROOF. Since

$$\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1$$
, and $\binom{n}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$,

for $n = 2^r$, r = 0, 1, ..., we have the recursion

(3)
$$F(2^r + x) = F(2^r) + 2F(x), \quad 0 \le x \le 2^r, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

if, in addition, F(0) = 0 is defined. From (3), by induction on r, we get

$$(4) F(2^r) = 3^r,$$

and thus $F(2^r)/2^{r\theta} = 3^r/2^{r\theta} = 1$ for all r, which yields $\alpha \ge 1$. Next we assert

Received by the editors March 19, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10L10, 10A30.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

HEIKO HARBORTH

$$F(2^r + x)$$
, $(2^r + x)^{\theta} \le 1$ for $0 \le x \le 2^r$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$

is is true for r = 0. If we assume the validity of (5) for all natural

nbers $\leq r - 1$, we can use $F(x) \leq x^{\theta}$ for $0 \leq x \leq 2'$ to get from (3) and that

nbers
$$\leqslant r - 1$$
, we can use $F(x) \leqslant x^{\theta}$ for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 2^{r}$ to get from (3) and that
$$\frac{F(2^{r} + x)}{(2^{r} + x)^{\theta}} = \frac{F(2^{r}) + 2F(x)}{(2^{r} + x)^{\theta}} \leqslant \frac{3^{r} + 2x^{\theta}}{(2^{r} + x)^{\theta}} = f(x), \qquad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 2^{r}.$$

om

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{\theta}{(2^r + x)^{\theta + 1}} (2^{r+1} x^{\theta - 1} - 3^r) = 0$$

follows that f(x) has exactly one extremum. This together with f(0) = f(2')1 and $f(2^{r-1}) = 5/3^{\theta} < 1$ yields $f(x) \le 1$ for $0 \le x \le 2^r$. Thus (5) is oved by induction on r, and from (5) we conclude $\alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem 2. $\beta = 0.812556...$

 $\{q_r\} = \{F(n_r)/n_r^{\theta}\}$ with $n_r = 2n_{r-1} \pm 1$, $n_0 = 1$,

here + or - is chosen so that
$$q_r$$
 becomes minimal. So for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 25$ the have to choose $q_r = 1, 2, \dots, 25$ the have to choose $q_r = 1, 2, \dots, 25$

 $F(n_r)$ 2 869 8 639 25 853 77 623

LEMMA.
$$\{q_r\}$$
 is strictly decreasing.

PROOF. We suppose

(8)
$$F(2n_r + 1)/(2n_r + 1)^{\theta} \ge q_r$$
 and $F(2n_r - 1)/(2n_r - 1)^{\theta} \ge q_r$.
Using (3), (4), and the binary representation of n_r we obtain

(0)

$$F(2n + 1) = 3F(n) \pm 2^{L}, \qquad t_{1} = t_{1-1} + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$

1 387

are the reader may recognize the well-known result (see [5] for references) must the number of odd $\binom{n}{i}$ is 2^i , where t is the number of binary digitary. We insert (9) and (6) in (8), and substitute $2n_r = a$ and $2^{i_r}/(3F(n_r)) = b$ to get

$$1 + b \ge \left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^{\theta} = 1 + \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{\theta(\theta - 1)}{2a^{2}} + \theta(\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{a}\right)^{i+2} \frac{(2 - \theta) \cdot \cdot \cdot (i + 1 - \theta)}{(i + 2)!},$$

$$1 - b \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right)^{\theta} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{\theta(\theta - 1)}{2a^{2}} + \theta(\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{i+2} \frac{(2 - \theta) \cdot \cdot \cdot (i + 1 - \theta)}{(i + 2)!}.$$

Addition of the last two inequalities yields the contradiction

$$2 \geqslant 2 + \theta (\theta - 1)/a^2 + \cdots > 2.$$

Thus the inequalities (8) cannot both be true, which proves the Lemma.

Now $q_r > 0$ together with the Lemma proves the convergence of $\{q_r\}$. It follows that

(10)
$$B \leqslant q = \lim_{r \to \infty} q_r < q_{19} = 0.812556 \dots,$$

with

$$n_{19} = 710\ 317$$

= $2^{19} + 2^{17} + 2^{15} + 2^{14} + 2^{12} + 2^{10} + 2^9 + 2^7 + 2^5 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 1$.

We still have to prove

(11)
$$F(n)/n^{\theta} > 0.812556 = \gamma.$$

This is true for $1 \le n \le 2$, and we assume the validity of (11) for $1 \le n \le 2'$. To obtain the step from r to r+1 in a proof of (11) by induction on r we have to conclude from this assumption that (11) also holds for n=2'+x, $1 \le x \le 2'$. We divide this interval into eleven intervals:

$$n = 2^{r-s}m + x$$
, $1 \le x \le 2^{r-s}$, $m = n_s$ for $s = 1, 3, 6, 8, 10$, $m = n_s - 1$ for $s = 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10$.

Let t be the sum of the binary digits of m, and $2^s < m < 2^{s+1}$. Then for $1 \le x \le 2^{r-s}$ we get from (3) and (4) that

(12)
$$\frac{F(2^{r-s}m+x)}{(2^{r-s}m+x)^{\theta}} = \frac{3^{r-s}F(m)+2^{t}F(x)}{(2^{r-s}m+x)^{\theta}} > \frac{3^{r-s}F(m)+2^{t}\gamma x^{\theta}}{(2^{r-s}m+x)^{\theta}} = f_{s}(x).$$

The unique extremum of $f_s(x)$ is a minimum at

$$x_{\min} = 2^{r-s} (F(m)/\gamma m 2^t)^{1/(\theta-1)}$$

For $m = n_s$ and s = 1, 3, 6, 8, 10 we check by calculation that

(13)
$$f_s(x) \ge f_s(x_{\min}) = \left(\left(F(m) / m^{\theta} \right)^{1/(1-\theta)} + (\gamma 2')^{1/(1-\theta)} \right)^{1-\theta} \ge \gamma$$

is fulfilled. For $m = n_s - 1$ and s = 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 we ascertain that in these cases $x_{\min} > 2^{r-s}$. Then for $s \ne 10$,

$$f_s(x) \ge f_s(2^{r-s}) = \frac{F(n_s - 1) + \gamma 2^{t_s - 1}}{n_s^{\theta}} = \frac{F(n_s) - (1 - \gamma)2^{t_s - 1}}{n_s^{\theta}} \ge \gamma$$

is seen to be true by calculation. In the case $m = n_{10} - 1$, s = 10, we first have

$$f_{10}(x) \ge f_{10}(2^{r-11}) = \frac{3F(n_{10}) - (3-\gamma)2^{r_{10}-1}}{(2n_{10}-1)^{\theta}} > \gamma, \qquad 1 \le x \le 2^{r-11}.$$

For the remaining partial interval

$$n = 2^{r-10}(n_{10} - 1) + 2^{r-11} + x = 2^{r-11}(2n_{10} - 1) + x,$$
 $1 \le x \le 2^{r-11}$

we choose $m = 2n_{10} - 1$ and s = 11 in (12), and check the validity of (13).

Now the induction on r is complete, and we have proved (11) for all n. Inequalities (10) and (11) then yield Theorem 2.

At the end we remark that q from (10) probably will be the exact value of β . Moreover, we conjecture for all r,

$$F(n)/n^{\theta} \geqslant q_r$$
 for $2^r \leqslant n \leqslant 2^{r+1}$.

It seems, however, that for a general proof we should know some more properties of the sequence of plus and minus signs beginning with (7). Are there any regularities in this sequence?

REFERENCES

- 1. N. J. Fine, Binomial coefficients modulo a prime, Amer. Math. Monthly 54 (1947), 589-592. MR 9, 331.
- 2. H. Harborth, Uber die Teilbarkeit im Pascal-Dreieck, Math.-Phys. Semesterber. 22 (1975), 13-21.
- 3. D. Singmaster, Notes on binomial coefficients, III: Any integer divides almost all binomial coefficients, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 8 (1974), 555-560.
- 4. K. B. Stolarsky, Digital sums and binomial coefficients, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1975), A-669. Abstract #728-A7.
- 5. ______, Power and exponential sums of digital sums related to binomial coefficient parity, SIAM J. Appl. Math. (to appear).

INSTITUT B FÜR MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITAT BRAUNSCHWEIG, D3300 BRAUNSCHWEIG, WEST GERMANY