ON SOME 1-ADDITIVE SEQUENCES

MATTHEW AKERAN

ABSTRACT. We give a characterization for numbers in a class of 1-additive sequences and thus solve a conjecture by Stephan and, more generally, a problem posed by Finch.

1-additive sequences have the definition " a_n is smallest number which is uniquely $a_j + a_k, j < k$ ". Our interest in these sequences was sparked by Stephan[S] who observed that, for start values 2, 7, the first differences seemed to have period 26 (this is sequence A003668 from [OEIS]). However, Finch already proved[F] that all sequences with start values $(2, v), v \geq 5$ have periodic differences. In the following, we will give an elementary proof of a more general proposition, namely

Theorem 1. The 1-additive sequences with start values $2, 2^k - 1$, for $k \ge 3$ are identical to sets $\{2, 2^k + 1\} \cup B$, where B is defined to consist of numbers of the form

$$2x + 2^{k+1}y + 2^k - 3 + (2^{2k+1} - 2)m$$

where the conditions hold

$$0 \le x \le 2^k - 1, \quad 0 \le y \le 2^k - 1,$$

$$x + y > 0, \quad m \ge 0, \quad x \& y = 0,$$

$$(1)$$

and & denotes the bitwise-and operator.

As x and y are k-bit binary numbers, and the possible pairs of corresponding bits in the two numbers are (0,0),(0,1), and (1,0) (with the case x=y=0 excluded), then from the theorem would follow two corollaries, stated already as conjectures by Finch, and also the first, in the case k=3, by Stephan.

Corollary 1. The 1-additive sequences with start values $2, 2^k - 1$, for $k \ge 3$ have differences with period $3^k - 1$.

Corollary 2. The span between periods of first differences of 1-additive sequences with start values $2, 2^k - 1$, for $k \ge 3$ is $2^{2k+1} - 2$.

In the rest of the paper, we will prove the theorem using four lemmata, where the last one coincides with Conjecture 2 in Finch's paper[F].

Definition. Let

$$\mathcal{O}(x, y, m) = \mathcal{O}(x, y, m; k) = 2x + 2^{k+1}y + 2^k - 3 + (2^{2k+1} - 2)m$$
$$\mathcal{E}(x, y, m) = \mathcal{O}(x, y, m) + 2^k - 3.$$

First see that, if the conditions in (1) hold, then every odd number $2^k - 1$ and above has a unique representation $\mathcal{O}(x, y, m)$. Also, every even number $2^{k+1} - 4$ and above has a unique representation in the form $\mathcal{E}(x, y, m)$.

Lemma 1. Suppose x & y = 0, x > 0, y > 0. Then exactly one of (x - 1) & y, x & (y - 1) is zero.

Proof. Let c be the position of the lowest bit set in both x or y. If the c-th bit of x is set, then (x-1) & y = 0 but $x \& (y-1) \neq 0$. Exchange x and y. \square

Lemma 2. For any number $b \in B$, exactly one of b-2 and $b-2^{k+1}$ is in B.

Proof. Let $b = \mathcal{O}(x, y, m) \in B$,

- (i) if x > 0 and y > 0, then $b 2 = \mathcal{O}(x 1, y, m)$ and $b 2^{k+1} = \mathcal{O}(x, y 1, m)$. Since $b \in B, x \& y = 0$, so by Lemma 1, one of b 2 and $b 2^{k+1}$ is in B;
- (ii) if x = 0, y = 1, then $b 2 = \mathcal{O}(2^k 1, 0, m) \in B$, $b 2^{k+1} = \mathcal{O}(0, 0, m) = \mathcal{O}(2^k 1, 2^k 1, m 1) \notin B$;
- (iii) if x = 1, y = 0, then $b 2 = \mathcal{O}(0, 0, m) \notin B$, $b 2^{k+1} = \mathcal{O}(0, 2^k 1, m 1) \in B$;
- (iv) if x = 0, y > 1, then $b 2 = \mathcal{O}(2^k 1, y 1, m) \notin B$, $b 2^{k+1} = \mathcal{O}(0, y 1, m) \in B$;
- (v) if x > 1, y = 0, then $b 2 = \mathcal{O}(x 1, 0, m) \in B$, $b 2^{k+1} = \mathcal{O}(x 1, 2^k 1, m 1) \notin B$.

Lemma 3. If an odd number b is not in B, then either both or neither of b-2 and $b-2^{k+1}$ is in B.

Proof. Let $b = \mathcal{O}(x, y, m) \notin B$. Then x & y is not zero (note the illegal case x = y = 0 resolves to $\mathcal{O}(2^k - 1, 2^k - 1, m - 1)$).

- (i) If x & y has a single nonzero bit, and both x and y are multiples of x & y, then both x & (y-1) and (x-1) & y are zero, so b-2 and $b-2^{k+1}$ are both in B.
- (ii) If x & y has at least two nonzero bits, then the higher of the two bits is still nonzero in x-1 and y-1, so (x-1) & y and x & (y-1) are both nonzero, and b-2 and $b-2^{k+1}$ are neither in B.
- (iii) x & y has one nonzero bit, but at least one smaller bit is set in x or y: If a smaller bit is set in x, then x-1 has the x & y bit set, so (x-1) & y > 0. If no smaller bit is set on in x, then all smaller bits are set in x-1, and at least one of these smaller bits is set in y, so (x-1) & y > 0. Therefore (x-1) & y > 0, whether x has any smaller bits set or not, so $b-2 = \mathcal{O}(x-1,y,m)$ is not in B. Likewise, $b-2^{k+1}$ is not in B.

Lemma 4. If $a < b \in B$, and $a + b > 2^{k+1} + 2$, then there are $c < d \in B$, with $c \neq a$, and a + b = c + d.

Proof. Let the sums

$$S_1 = \mathcal{O}(x,0,0) + \mathcal{O}(0,y,m), \quad S_2 = \mathcal{O}(x,0,m) + \mathcal{O}(0,y,0),$$

$$S_3 = \mathcal{O}(2^k - y - 1, y, 0) + \mathcal{O}(x + y + 1 - 2^k, 0, m), \text{ if } x + y \ge 2^k,$$

$$S_4 = \mathcal{O}(2^k - y, y - 1, 0) + \mathcal{O}(x + y, 0, m), \text{ if } x + y < 2^k.$$

- (i) If none of x, y, m is zero, then $\mathcal{E}(x, y, m) = \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2$, and the sums have different terms.
- (ii) If m = 0, and neither x nor y is zero, then $\mathcal{E}(x, y, m) = \mathcal{S}_1 = \{\mathcal{S}_3 \text{ or } \mathcal{S}_4\}$, and the sums have different terms.
- (iii) If m = 0 = y, then $\mathcal{E}(x,0,0) = \mathcal{O}(x-1,0,0) + \mathcal{O}(1,0,0) = \mathcal{O}(x-2,0,0) + \mathcal{O}(2,0,0)$ are valid and different provided x > 4. $\mathcal{E}(4,0,0) = 2^{k+1} + 2 = (2^k 1) + (2^k + 1) = 2 + (2^{k+1})$.
- (iv) If m = 0 = x, then $\mathcal{E}(0, y, 0) = \mathcal{O}(0, y 1, 0) + \mathcal{O}(0, 1, 0) = \mathcal{O}(0, y 2, 0) + \mathcal{O}(0, 2, 0)$ are valid and different provided y > 4. The other cases:

$$\mathcal{E}(0,1,0) = \mathcal{O}(1,0,0) + \mathcal{O}(2^k - 1,0,0) = \mathcal{O}(2,0,0) + \mathcal{O}(2^k - 2,0,0)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(0,2,0) = \mathcal{O}(2,1,0) + \mathcal{O}(2^k - 2,0,0) = \mathcal{O}(4,1,0) + \mathcal{O}(2^k - 4,0,0)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(0,3,0) = \mathcal{O}(1,2,0) + \mathcal{O}(2^k - 1,0,0) = \mathcal{O}(0,2,0) + \mathcal{O}(0,1,0)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(0,4,0) \ = \ \mathcal{O}(4,2,0) + \mathcal{O}(2^k - 4,1,0) = \mathcal{O}(0,1,0) + \mathcal{O}(0,3,0)$$

- (v) If m > 0, x = 0, then y is not zero, $\mathcal{E}(0, y, m) = \mathcal{O}(2^k y, y 1, m) + \mathcal{O}(y, 0, 0) = \mathcal{O}(2^k y, y 1, 0) + \mathcal{O}(y, 0, m)$.
- (vi) If m > 0, y = 0, then x is not zero, $\mathcal{E}(x,0,m) = \mathcal{O}(x-1,2^k-x,m-1) + \mathcal{O}(0,x,0) = \mathcal{O}(x-1,0,m) + \mathcal{O}(1,0,0)$ provided x > 1. $\mathcal{E}(1,0,m) = \mathcal{O}(0,1,0) + \mathcal{O}(0,2^k-1,m-1) = \mathcal{O}(0,2,0) + \mathcal{O}(0,2^k-2,m-1)$.

The conclusion from Lemma 4 is that every even number greater than $2^{k+1}+2$ is the sum of members of B either in no way, or in two or more ways. We also see $2^{k+1}+2=(2)+(2^{k+1})=(2^k-1)+(2^k+3)$, while $2^{k+1}=2^k-1+2^k+1$. No even number less than 2^{k+1} is the sum of two different $\mathcal{O}(x,y,m)$ numbers because the smallest two are 2^k-1 and 2^k+1 . Therefore we need bother only with odd members of B.

By taking this together with lemmata 2 and 3, the theorem is proved.

References

- [F] S. R. Finch, Patterns in 1-additive sequences, Exp. Math. 1(1992), 57–63.
 [OEIS] N.J.A. Sloane, Online Enyclopedia of Integer Sequences,
 - www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/Seis.html
- [S] R. Stephan, Prove or disprove. 100 Conjectures from the OEIS, preprint, math.CO/0409509