920

A. N. LOWAN, H. E. SALZER AND ABRAHAM HILLMAN

$$z = 1 + \frac{a_{2n-1}}{\bar{z}}, \qquad \bar{z} = 1 + \frac{a_{2n}}{z}, \qquad n \ge 1.$$

This gives

$$a_{2n-1} = (z-1)\bar{z},$$

 $a_{2n} = (\bar{z}-1)z = \bar{a}_{2n-1},$

and it is easily seen that all a_n lie on the boundary of the parabola. The theorem is now completely proved.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. F. Paydon, Convergence regions and value regions for continued fractions, this Bulletin, abstract 47-11-473.

2. W. T. Scott and H. S. Wall, A convergence theorem for continued fractions, Transactions of this Society, vol. 47 (1940), pp. 155-172.

3. ——, Value regions for continued fractions, this Bulletin, vol. 47 (1941), pp. 580-585.

THE RICE INSTITUTE

A TABLE OF COEFFICIENTS FOR NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION

ARNOLD N. LOWAN, HERBERT E. SALZER AND ABRAHAM HILLMAN1

The following table lists the coefficients $A_{m,s}$ for $m=1, 2, \cdots, 20$ and $s=m, \cdots, 20$ in Markoff's formula for the mth derivative in terms of advancing differences, namely

$$\omega^{mf^{(m)}}(x) = \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} (-1)^{m+s} A_{m,s} \Delta^{s} f(x) + (-1)^{m+n} \omega^{n} A_{m,n} f^{(n)}(\xi).$$

In this formula ω is the tabular interval and

$$A_{m,s} = (-1)^{m+s} m B_{s-m}^{(s)} / s(s-m)!$$

and $B_{s-m}^{(s)}$ is the (s-m)th Bernoulli number of the sth order.

1942]

A TABLE OF COFFER

If a function has been tabulated to suffer some suitable interval of the argumaccompanying table may be used to derivatives which in turn may be employ the function in the complex plane within is analytic.

The coefficients were computed from t

$$sA_{m,s} = (s-1)A_{m,s-1}$$

and checked by independent calculation

$$x(x+1)(x+2)\cdot\cdot\cdot(x+s-$$

From the identity

$$(x+x^2/2+x^3/3+\cdots)^m \equiv A_m$$

it was discovered that a prime p is not nominator of an $A_{m,s}$ for which s < m prime factors in accordance with this the accuracy of the work.

The Markoff formula is used at the where advancing differences are the on discussion see L. M. Milne-Thomson. *ences*, chap. 7, pp. 157–159. According to simplicity of the remainder term is and difference formulae.

Comparison of the Markoff coefficier efficients shows the latter to be much so venient for obtaining the derivatives of away from the ends of a table. However, tions in applied mathematics such as B tions, use of the Markoff formula for a some fixed degree might yield a smaller lar form of its remainder term.

The first few coefficients of the variety H. T. Davis, Table of the Higher Math 73-77; Whittaker and Robinson, Calculated in an article by W. S. Bickley Nationalists of a difference table, Philosophical pp. 12-14. (This article lists coefficient to those of the 12th difference.)

Presented to the Society, April 4, 1942 under the title Coefficients of differences in the expansion of derivatives in terms of advancing differences; received by the editors March 7, 1942.

¹ The results reported here were obtained in the course of the work done by the Mathematical Tables Project, Work Projects Administration, New York City.

1942]

 $1 + \frac{a_{2n-1}}{\bar{z}}, \qquad \bar{z} = 1 + \frac{a_{2n}}{\bar{z}}, \qquad n \ge 1.$

$$a_{2n-1}=(z-1)\bar{z},$$

$$a_{2n} = (\bar{z} - 1)z = \bar{a}_{2n-1}$$

at all a_n lie on the boundary of the parabola. Impletely proved.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ence regions and value regions for continued fractions, this

S. Wall, A convergence theorem for continued fractions, vol. 47 (1940), pp. 155-172. for continued fractions, this Bulletin, vol. 47 (1941), pp.

OEFFICIENTS FOR NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION

RBERT E. SALZER AND ABRAHAM HILLMAN $^{
m I}$

ts the coefficients $A_{m,s}$ for $m=1, 2, \cdots, 20$ arkoff's formula for the mth derivative in ences, namely

$$^{m+s}A_{m,s}\Delta^{s}f(x) + (-1)^{m+n}\omega^{n}A_{m,n}f^{(n)}(\xi).$$

tabular interval and

$$(-1)^{m+s} m B_{s-m}^{(s)} / s(s-m)!$$

Bernoulli number of the sth order.

il 4, 1942 under the title Coefficients of differences in ms of advancing differences; received by the editors

re obtained in the course of the work done by the ork Projects Administration, New York City.

If a function has been tabulated to sufficiently great accuracy and for some suitable interval of the argument along the real axis, the accompanying table may be used to generate the values of the derivatives which in turn may be employed to generate the values of the function in the complex plane within a region where the function is analytic.

The coefficients were computed from the recurrence formula

$$sA_{m,s} = (s-1)A_{m,s-1} + mA_{m-1,s-1}$$

and checked by independent calculations using the identity

$$x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+s-1) \equiv s! \sum_{j=1}^{s} A_{j,s} x^{j}/j!$$

From the identity

$$(x+x^2/2+x^3/3+\cdots)^m \equiv A_{m,m}x^m+A_{m,m+1}x^{m+1}+\cdots$$

it was discovered that a prime p is not effectively present in the denominator of an $A_{m,s}$ for which s < m+p-1. The cancellation of prime factors in accordance with this rule was a further check on the accuracy of the work.

The Markoff formula is used at the beginning and end of a table where advancing differences are the only types available. For a full discussion see L. M. Milne-Thomson, *The Calculus of Finite Differences*, chap. 7, pp. 157–159. According to Milne-Thomson the relative simplicity of the remainder term is another advantage over central difference formulae.

Comparison of the Markoff coefficients with central difference coefficients shows the latter to be much smaller and obviously more convenient for obtaining the derivatives of a polynomial sufficiently far away from the ends of a table. However for many important functions in applied mathematics such as Bessel, error, and gamma functions use of the Markoff formula for a polynomial approximation of some fixed degree might yield a smaller total error due to the particular form of its remainder term.

The first few coefficients of the various formulae may be found in H. T. Davis, Table of the Higher Mathematical Functions, vol. 1, pp. 73-77; Whittaker and Robinson, Calculus of Observations, pp. 62-65, and in an article by W. S. Bickley Numerical differentiation near the limits of a difference table, Philosophical Magazine, (7), vol. 33 (1942), pp. 12-14. (This article lists coefficients of the first 12 derivatives up to those of the 12th difference.)

Blease enter 4

922

A. N. LOWAN, H. E. SALZER AND ABRAHAM HILLMAN

December

Coefficients $A_{m,s}$ in Markoff's Expansion

7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
m							-	1.	1	1	1		1	1
1	1	1_	1	1	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	11	12	13	14
	_ _	2	3	4	-	137-	7-	363	761	7129	671	83711	6617	1145993 -
2		1	>L	11	5		10	560	$\frac{701}{1260}$	12600	1260	166320	13860	2522520
_	-		>1	12	6	180	29	469	29531	1303	16103	190553	128977	9061
	3	-	-1	3-	-	$\frac{15}{8}$	$\frac{29}{15}$	$\frac{409}{240}$	15120	672	8400	100800	69300	4950
_			I	2	4	-	7	967	89	4523	7645	341747	412009	9301169
	4		1	1	2	$\frac{17}{6}$	$\frac{7}{2}$	$\frac{307}{240}$	$\frac{3}{20}$	945	1512	64800	75600	1663200
		_	-	-	-	-	25	35	1069	285	31063	139381	1148963	355277
	5				1	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{23}{6}$	$\frac{35}{6}$	144	32	3024	12096	90720	25920
_	_	_	-\-	-	-		- 0	23		3013	781	242537	48035	1666393
	6					1	3	$\frac{23}{4}$	9	240	48	12096	2016	60480
_		- -			-\	-	ļ	7	91	105	4781	13321	314617	790153
	7						1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	8	240	480	8640	17280
		_ _	- -	- -		-	-		-	29	55	10831	897	944311
	8		.					1	4	3	3	360	20	15120
_		_ _	_ _	-\-		_\-		-	-	9		99	1747	5551
	9								1	$\frac{1}{2}$	12	4	40	80
_				-				-	_	_		175	65	491
	10					- }				1	5	12	2	8
_		-	-	\-						_		11	209	1001
	11					1					.1	2	. 12	24
_				-		_		_	_		_	1	6	41
	12				1							1	0	2
		-		¦-				-\-					1	13
	13													2
		-							_		_			1
	14	{ }												
		1	1	- 1	1.			1						DUOLECT

MATHEMATICAL TABLES PROJECT 70 Columbus Avenue

1, 11, 5, 137

1,12,6,--

See next

1942]

A TABLE OF C

$\omega^m D^m f(x)$	$\sim \sum_{s=m}^{s=n} (-1)$	$)^{m+s}A_{m,s}\Delta^{s}f(x)$	1
15	16	17	18
1	1	1	1
15	16	17	15
1171733	1195757	143327	42142
2702700	2882880	360360	11027
30946717	39646461	58433327	34449
17199000	22422400	33633600	20180
406841	35118025721	4446371981	808473
71280	6054048000	756756000	136216
21939781	2065639	2195261857	371446
1496880	133056	134534400	217945
22463	277382447	38101097	1356664
720	7983360	997920	326915
899683	2271089	86853967	1319
16200	34560	1140480	152
35717	54576553	8424673	3340
432	518400	64800	2138
515261	23915	76492463	2187
5040	168	403200	896
2485	324509	59279	7924
24	2016	252	241
30217	1199	494351	151
360	8	2016	41
105	26921	6341	549
2	240	30	15
143		35269	46
6	65	240	1
	329	238	130
7			

WORK PROJECTS ADMINISTRATE New York City Coefficients $A_{m,s}$ in Markoff's Expansion

-	_	-					_			
7	8 9		10	11		12		13		14
1	1	1	1	1	_	1		1	=	1
	8	9	10	11		12		13		14
7	363	1	7129	671		8371	1	6617	_	1145993
0	560	1260	12600	1260)	16632	0	13860	- [2522520
9	469		-	1610.	3	19055	3	128977		9061
5	240	15120	672	8400		10080	0	69300	1	4950
	967	89	4523	7645		34174	7	412009	- -	9301169
	240	20	945	1512	1	64800		75600		1663200
5	35	1069	285	31063	3	139381		1148963	- -	355277
	6	144	32	3024		12096	- -	90720		25920
1	23	9	3013	781		242537	7	48035	-	1666393
	4		240	48	1	12096	-	2016	-	60480
1	7	91	105	4781	1	13321	ľ	314617	-	790153
	2	12	- 8	240		480	1	8640	1	17280
Ì	1	4	29	55		10831		897	1	944311
1			3	3	'	360		20	-	15120
		1	9	12		99		1747	-	5551
L	- 1	1	2	12	l	4		40		30
ĺ	4		1	5		175		65		491
	_					12		2		8
				1		11		209		1001
_	_ _					2		12		24
						1		6		41
-							_	0 1		2
l	1			1				1		13
-										2.
										1
ľ		37.40			_					

MATHEMATICAL TABLES PROJECT 70 Columbus Avenue

A TABLE OF COEFFICIENTS

 $\omega^m D^m f(x) \sim \sum_{s=m}^{s=n} (-1)^{m+s} A_m, {}_s \Delta^s f(x)$

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
432 518400 64800 2138400 52800 23351328000 8 515261 23915 76492463 21878439 4065163957 3975325483 9 5040 168 403200 89600 13305600 10644480 9 2485 324509 59279 79243781 11795941 6063698587 10644480 10 30217 1100 404374 404374 404374 406360 10644480 10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
5040 168 403200 89600 13305600 10644480 9 2485 324509 59279 79243781 11795941 6063698587 10 24 2016 252 241920 26880 10644480 10
2485 324509 59279 79243781 11795941 6063698587 10644480 30217 1100 404374 404374 10644480 10
24 2016 252 241920 26880 10644480 10
30217 1100 404271 471020
<u>30217</u> <u>1199</u> <u>494351</u> <u>1513391</u> <u>18843187</u> <u>367394203</u>
360 8 2016 4032 34560 483840 11
105 26921 6341 5490071 976163 354467473
2 240 30 15120 1680 33440443 403200 12
143 65 35269 46631 3965533 10596053
$\frac{6}{240}$ $\frac{160}{160}$ $\frac{160}{7560}$ $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
7 329 238 136241 31521 6406481
$\frac{12}{3}$ $\frac{720}{80}$ $\frac{80}{8640}$ 14

WORK PROJECTS ADMINISTRATION New York City

Coefficients $A_{m,s}$ in Markoff's Expansion $\omega_m D^m f(x) \sim \sum_{s=m}^{s=n} (-1)^{m+s} A_{m,s} \Delta^s f(x)$

					1	
5	15	16	17	18	19	20
m	10		125	765	11519	50255
	4 '	15	$\frac{125}{4}$	$\frac{765}{8}$	48	96
15	1	2	4		114	18017
		1	8	$\frac{106}{3}$	114	60
16				17	$\frac{119}{3}$	1615
			1	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	12
17					0	117
	-			1	9	4
18				_	1	$\frac{19}{2}$
	_				1	2
19						1
20					1	

NEW YORK CITY

ON MAJORANTS OF SU ANALYTIC FU:

FRANTISEK

This paper represents a different problems connected with majorants same method has been used previously tion of the Phragmén-Lindelöf the approach is to prove first Lemma 4, sults are easily deducible. Corollary 6 N. Levinson.² His theorem has may these results.

LEMMA 1. If (i) $0 < f(x) \le 1$ and (ii

$$(1) \qquad \int_a^b \log \left| \int_{\xi}^s f \right|$$

is a continuous function of ξ in (a,

We first suppose that f(x) is non-We get

$$\int_0^x f(y)dy > \int_{-x/2}^x f(y)dy$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 \log \left(\int_0^x f(y) dy \right) dx > 1$$

(2)

If f(x) is replaced by f(a+(b-a))

Presented to the Society, November analytic functions; received by the edite

1 Cf, the end of this paper and Jour 14 (1939), p. 208.

² Gap and Density Theorems. Amer lications, vol. 26, 1940, p. 127, Theoret