298 / LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

2. A. W. Dickinson, The Quadratic Formula Revisited, J. Recreational Math., 3(1), pp. 31-33, January 1970. Note: The last equation given on page 32 of this reference is in error and should read  $x_2 = c/ax_1$ .

3. E. Whittaker and G. Robinson, *The Calculus of Observations*, Fourth Edition, Blackie & Sons Ltd., London, 1962. See paragraph 60 on page 120 for a literal formula suitable for evaluating the roots of quadratic equations.

4. F. Cajori, An Introduction to the Theory of Equations, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1969, Chapter III.

Gary L. Silver Mound Laboratory Monsanto Research Corp. Miamisburg, Ohio 45342

## The Stamp Problem

The postage stamp problem reported on by Heimer and Langenbach [1] was investigated several years ago by Lunnon [2]. He gives a history of the problem going back to 1960 (although I can't help but think the problem is older than that) and gives extensive tables of the results of his computer solutions, which go out to 14 stamps and 12 denominations. Lunnon's Table 2, rearranged to corresond to Heimer and Langenbach's Table 1, is reproduced below. Note that imer and Langenbach's guess of 216 ± 3 for 6 denominations and 5 stamps is incorrect. However, all calculated points common to the two tables agree.

Maximum Number of Stamps Used

Denominationsl															
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
	2	ı Z	2	7	10	14	18	23	28	34	40	47	54	62	70
	3	3	8	15	26	35	52	69		112		172	212	259	302
	4	4	12	24	44	71	114	165	234	326	427	547	708	873	1094
	5	1	16	36	70	126	216	345	512	797					
	6		20	52	108	211	388							,	
	7		26	70	162										
	8		32	93											
	9		40	121											
	10		46												
	11		54												
	12		64												

## References

- 1. R. L. Heimer and Herbert Langenbach, The Stamp Problem, J. Recreational Math., 7(3), pp. 235-250, Summer 1974.
- 2. W. F. Lunnon, A Postage Stamp Problem, The Computer Journal, 12(4), pp. 377-380, November 1969.

Friend H. Kierstead, Jr. 2504 Fifteenth Street Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio 44223 A1208 A1212

More Squared Symn

Very recently (Se of the last two digits my "Storming the S Math., 7(4), pp. 259

The first new one inclusive. The second to 89, inclusive. It was symmetries is the sareference, namely 50

It will be further "75" centers of sympairs 21, 44, 69, 96,

The hundreds dig the intervals studied in memorizing the s

However, the res how Oysten Ore's li also, the relatively s Its History, 1st Edit

Since a possible pore's list from his garden, 61, 69, 81, and

 $\mathbf{E} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 9 \end{array}$ 

where E = 0, 2, 4, 6 a 9.

## Euler's $\phi$ -Function

In a recent issue E. Moore inquires a  $\phi$  is Euler's  $\phi$ -function 27(122), April 197 solutions of  $\phi(n) = 0.05$  covery of n = 65,53 deposited in the U