Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics Print ISSN 1310–5132, Online ISSN 2367–8275 Vol. 26, 2020, No. 4, 57–62 DOI: 10.7546/nntdm.2020.26.4.57-62

## **Objects generated by an arbitrary natural number**

#### **Krassimir Atanassov**

Department of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling, Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical Engineering, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 105, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria Intelligent Systems Laboratory Prof. Asen Zlatarov University, Bourgas-8000, Bulgaria e-mail: krat@bas.bg

Abstract: The set  $\underline{Set}(n)$ , generated by an arbitrary natural number n, is defined. Some arithmetic functions, defined over its elements are introduced. Some of the arithmetic, set-theoretical and algebraic properties of the new objects are studied.

**Keywords:** Algebraic objects, Arithmetic functions, Natural numbers, Sets. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A25.

#### **1** Introduction

In the present research, some new mathematical objects will be described. They are generated by a fixed arbitrary natural number n > 1. Let everywhere below it have the canonical form

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i},$$

where  $k, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k \ge 1$  are natural numbers and  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$  are different prime numbers. In [1], the following notations related to n that we will use below, are introduced:

$$\underline{set}(n) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\},$$
$$\underline{mult}(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i.$$

We will show that these new objects have properties specific to algebra.

#### 2 Main definitions

For the fixed  $n \ge 2$ , let us define the set

$$\underline{Set}(n) = \{m | m = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\beta_i} \& \mathbf{h}(n) \le \beta_i \le \mathbf{H}(n)\},\$$

where

$$h(n) = \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k),$$
$$H(n) = \max(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

and let  $\omega(n) = k$ .

For example,  $\underline{Set}(12) = \underline{Set}(2^2.3) = \{6, 12, 18, 36\}$  and  $h(12) = 1, H(12) = 2, \omega(12) = 2$ .  $\underline{Set}(72) = \underline{Set}(2^3.3^2) = \{36, 72, 108, 216\}$  and  $h(72) = 2, H(72) = 3, \omega(72) = 2$ .

It is suitable to define

$$\underline{Set}(0) = \{0\}.$$
  
 $\underline{Set}(1) = \{1\}.$ 

Therefore, for each natural number n, <u>Set</u> $(n) \neq \emptyset$ .

### **3** Properties of $\underline{Set}(n)$

We see immediately that for n being a prime number, and more generally, if  $n = \underline{mult}(n)$  and hence, h(n) = H(n), then

$$\underline{Set}(n) = \{n\}.$$

**Theorem 1.** For the natural number n the cardinality  $|\underline{Set}(n)|$  of  $\underline{Set}(n)$  is equal to

$$|\underline{Set}(n)| = (\mathrm{H}(n) - \mathrm{h}(n) + 1)^{\omega(n)}.$$

*Proof.* For  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i}$ , <u>Set</u>(n) will contain all natural numbers m with <u>mult(m)</u> = <u>mult(n)</u> and with powers between h(n) and H(n). Therefore, each  $p_i$  will be met with H(n) - h(n) + 1 different degrees and this is valid for each of the  $\omega(n)$  in number divisors of n. Hence, the number of all elements of Set(n) is exactly  $(H(n) - h(n) + 1)^{\omega(n)}$ .

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{Set}(24)| &= |\underline{Set}(2^3.3)| \\ &= |\{2.3, 2^2.3, 2^3.3, 2.3^2, 2^2.3^2, 2^3.3^2, 2.3^3, 2^2.3^3, 2^3.3^3\}| \\ &= 9 = (3 - 1 + 1)^2, \\ |\underline{Set}(36)| &= |\underline{Set}(2^2.3^2)| = |\{2^2.3^2\}| = 1 = (2 - 2 + 1)^2, \\ &|\underline{Set}(60)| = |\underline{Set}(2^2.3.5)| \\ &= |\{2.3.5, 2^2.3.5, 2.3^2.5, 2^2.3^2.5, 2.3.5^2, 2^2.3.5^2, 2.3^2.5^2, 2^2.3^2.5^2\} \\ &= 8 = (2 - 1 + 1)^3. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** For two natural numbers m and n, if m is a divisor of n, h(m) = h(n) and  $\underline{set}(m) = \underline{set}(n)$ , then

$$\underline{Set}(m) \subseteq \underline{Set}(n).$$

*Proof.* Having in mind that m is a divisor of n, we see that  $H(m) \leq H(n)$ .

Let  $t \in \underline{Set}(m)$ . Therefore,  $t = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\gamma_i}$ , where  $h(m) \leq \gamma_i \leq H(m)$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{h}(n) = \mathbf{h}(m) \le \gamma_i \le \mathbf{H}(m) \le \mathbf{H}(n),$$

i.e.,  $t \in \underline{Set}(n)$ .

It is important to note that without one of the conditions h(m) = h(n) and <u>set</u> $(m) = \underline{set}(n)$ , the Theorem is not valid. For example, 6 is a divisor of 72 and <u>set</u> $(6) = \underline{set}(72) = \{2, 3\}$ , but <u>Set</u> $(6) = \{6\}$ , while <u>Set</u>(72) mentioned above, does not contain the element 6.

On the other hand, 6 is a divisor of 30 and h(6) = h(30) = 1, but  $\underline{Set}(30) = \{30\}$  and hence  $\underline{Set}(6) \not\subseteq \underline{Set}(30)$ .

For the well-known operations "Greatest Common Divisor" and "Least Common Multiple" over two natural numbers m and n that are marked by (m, n) and [m, n], respectively, the following equalities are valid.

**Theorem 3.** For two natural numbers m and n so that  $\underline{set}(m) = \underline{set}(n)$ :

$$\underline{Set}(m) \cap \underline{Set}(n) \subseteq \underline{Set}((m,n)), \tag{1}$$

$$\underline{Set}(m) \cup \underline{Set}(n) \supseteq \underline{Set}([m, n]).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

*Proof.* Let  $t \in \underline{Set}(m) \cap \underline{Set}(n)$ . Therefore,  $t = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\gamma_i}$ , where  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \ge 1$  are natural numbers. From the fact that  $t \in \underline{Set}(m)$  it follows that  $h(m) \le \gamma_i \le H(m)$  and from the fact that  $t \in \underline{Set}(n)$  it follows that  $h(n) \le \gamma_i \le H(n)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Therefore

$$\max(\mathbf{h}(m), \mathbf{h}(n)) \le \gamma_i \le \min(\mathbf{H}(m), \mathbf{H}(n)).$$

Obviously, when  $\max(h(m), h(n)) > \min(H(m), H(n))$ , the number t does not exist. For example,

$$\underline{Set}(6) \cap \underline{Set}(36) = \{6\} \cap \{36\} = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, (1) is valid.

Having in mind that

$$(m,n) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\min(\alpha_i,\beta_i)}$$

for  $\underline{Set}((m, n))$  we see that

$$\underline{Set}((m,n)) = \{ u | u = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\varepsilon_i} \& \min(h(m), \varepsilon(n)) \le \delta_i \le \min(H(m), H(n)) \}.$$

Hence, when  $\max(h(m), h(n)) \le \min(H(m), H(n))$ , for t it is valid that

$$\min(\mathbf{h}(m), \mathbf{h}(n)) \le \max(\mathbf{h}(m), \mathbf{h}(n)) \le \gamma_i \le \min(\mathbf{H}(m), \mathbf{H}(n)),$$

i.e.,  $t \in Set((m, n))$ .

In the opposite case, if  $t \in \underline{Set}((m, n))$ , then

$$\min(\mathbf{h}(m), \mathbf{h}(n)) \le \gamma_i \le \min(\mathbf{H}(m), \mathbf{H}(n)).$$

If  $h(m) \leq h(n)$ , then it will be certain that  $t \in \underline{Set}(m)$ , but only if  $h(n) \leq \gamma_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , then  $t \in \underline{Set}(n)$  and therefore,  $t \in \underline{Set}(m) \cap \underline{Set}(n)$ .

Hence (1) is valid. The validity of (2) is proved in the same manner.

# 4 Algebraic objects generated by an arbitrary natural number

Let us define for the fixed n:

$$\square n = (\underline{mult}(n))^{\mathbf{h}(n)},$$
$$\blacksquare n = (\underline{mult}(n))^{\mathbf{H}(n)},$$

and for each  $m \in \underline{Set}(n)$ :

$$\neg m = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\mathbf{H}(n) + \mathbf{h}(n) - \beta_i}.$$

We see immediately, that  $\Box n$ ,  $\blacksquare n \in \underline{Set}(n)$ , and for each  $m \in \underline{Set}(n)$ :  $\neg m \in \underline{Set}(n)$ . Moreover,

$$\neg m = \frac{mult(n)^{\mathrm{H}(n) + \mathrm{h}(n)}}{m} = \frac{\boxdot n. \boxtimes n}{m}.$$
$$\neg \boxdot n = \boxtimes n,$$

Therefore

Following [3], we will mention that if S is a fixed set with unit element  $e_S$  and if \* is an operation over S, then  $\langle S, *, e_S \rangle$  is a commutative monoid, if:

 $\neg \boxtimes n = \Box n.$ 

1. 
$$(\forall u, v \in S)(u * v \in S),$$

- 2.  $(\forall u, v, w \in S)(u * (v * w) = (u * v) * w),$
- 3.  $(\forall a \in S)(u * e_S = u = e_S * u),$
- 4.  $(\forall u, v \in S)(u * v = v * u).$

Now, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** For the fixed *n*:

- (a)  $\langle \underline{Set}(n), (.), \boxtimes n \rangle$ ,
- (b)  $\langle \underline{Set}(n), [.], \Box n \rangle$

are commutative monoids.

*Proof.* Let n be fixed. To see the validity of (a), we check sequentially the following equalities. Let  $u, v, w \in \underline{Set}(n)$ . Therefore,

$$u = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\beta_i}, \qquad \qquad v = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\gamma_i}, \qquad \qquad w = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\delta_i},$$

where for each i = 1, 2, ..., k:  $h(n) \le \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i \le H(n)$ . Hence,

$$(u,v) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\min(\beta_i,\gamma_i)}$$

and from  $h(n) \leq \min(\beta_i, \gamma_i) \leq H(n)$  it follows that  $(u, v) \in \underline{Set}(n)$ .

$$\begin{split} (u, (v, w)) &= (\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\beta_{i}}, (\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\gamma_{i}}, \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\delta_{i}})) \\ &= (\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\beta_{i}}, \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\gamma_{i},\delta_{i})}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\beta_{i},\min(\gamma_{i},\delta_{i})}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\beta_{i},\gamma_{i},\delta_{i})} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\min(\beta_{i},\gamma_{i}),\delta_{i})} \\ &= (\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\beta_{i},\gamma_{i})}, \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\delta_{i}}) \\ &= ((\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\beta_{i}}, \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\gamma_{i}}), \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\delta_{i}}) \\ &= ((u, v), w). \\ (u, \boxtimes (n)) &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\beta_{i},\operatorname{H}(n))} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\beta_{i}} = u = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\operatorname{H}(n),\beta_{i})} = (\boxtimes (n), u). \\ (u, v) &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\beta_{i},\gamma_{i})} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\min(\gamma_{i},\beta_{i})} = (v, u). \end{split}$$

The validity of the second assertion is proved in the same manner.

In [2], the author introduced the following concepts.

We call  $\langle M, *, e_*, e_\circ \rangle$  a "(commutative) multi unitary group" (shortly, (c-) $\mu$ -group) if and only if  $e_0 \in M$ ,  $\langle M, *, e_* \rangle$  is a (commutative) monoid and

$$(\forall a \in M) (\exists a_{\circ} \in M) (a * a_{\circ} = e_{\circ} = a_{\circ} * a).$$
(3)

Two (c-) $\mu$ -groups  $MG_1$  and  $MG_2$  are dual, if and only if they have the forms

$$MG_1 = \langle M, *, e_*, e_\circ \rangle$$
 and  $MG_2 = \langle M, \circ, e_\circ, e_* \rangle$ 

for some given operations \* and  $\circ$ , and for the unitary elements  $e_*, e_\circ \in M$ .

**Theorem 5.** For the fixed natural number n

 $\langle \underline{Set}(n), (.), \boxtimes n, \boxtimes n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \underline{Set}(n), [.], \boxtimes n, \boxtimes n \rangle$ 

are dual (c-) $\mu$ -groups.

*Proof.* From Theorem 4 we saw that  $\langle \underline{Set}(n), (.), \mathbb{B} n \rangle$  and  $\langle \underline{Set}(n), [.], \mathbb{D} n \rangle$  are commutative monoids. Now, we see that for arbitrary  $u \in \underline{Set}(n)$ :

$$(u, \boxtimes n) = \boxtimes n = (\boxtimes n, n)$$

and

$$[u, \mathfrak{K} n] = \mathfrak{K} n = [\mathfrak{K} n, n],$$

i.e., condition (3) is satisfied and hence  $\langle \underline{Set}(n), (.), \mathbb{B} n, \mathbb{O} n \rangle$  and  $\langle \underline{Set}(n), [.], \mathbb{O} n, \mathbb{B} n \rangle$  are dual (c-) $\mu$ -groups.

#### 5 Conclusion

In a next research, other properties of the introduced here objects will be discussed. In addition, we will show that these objects have properties specific for modal logic.

## References

- [1] Atanassov, K. (1985). Short proof of a hypothesis of A. Mullin. *Bulletin of Number Theory and Related Topics*, Vol. IX (2), 9–11.
- [2] Atanassov, K. (2017). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Logics, Springer, Cham.
- [3] MacLane, S., & Birkhoff, G. (1967). *Algebra*, The Macmillan Co., New York.