

New York University
Abu Dhabi, 27/03/24

Infinitesimal Higher Symmetries and Higher Connections

Severin Bunk
joint with L. Müller, J. Nuiten, and R. J. Szabo
[ArXiv: to appear]

University of
Hertfordshire **UH**

School of Physics,
Engineering and
Computer Science

- Principal bundles, parallel transport and connections
- Higher principal bundles
- Higher connections as infinitesimal symmetries
- Case study: connections on n -gerbes

The classical story

Principal bundles, parallel transport and connections

Fix a finite-dimensional smooth manifold M .

Definition (Principal G -bundle; global)

Let G be a Lie group. A principal G -bundle over M is a fibre bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$, together with a smooth G -action on P which preserves the fibres and satisfies that following map is a diffeomorphism:

$$P \times G \longrightarrow P \times_M P, \quad (p, g) \longmapsto (p, pg).$$

Definition (Principal G -bundle; local)

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a good open covering of M . A principal G -bundle is a family of smooth maps $g_{ab}: U_{ab} \rightarrow G$ satisfying the Čech 1-cocycle condition,

$$g_{ab} g_{bc} = g_{ac} \quad (\text{restricted to } U_{abc}) \quad \forall a, b, c \in \Lambda, \quad g_{aa} = 1.$$

Definition (Morphisms of principal G -bundles; global)

Let P, Q be principal G -bundles over M . A morphism $P \rightarrow Q$ is a smooth map $f: P \rightarrow Q$ which preserves fibres and the G -action.

Definition (Morphisms of principal G -bundles; local)

Let (g_{ab}) and (g'_{ab}) define two principal G -bundles (over the same cover, for simplicity). A morphism $(g_{ab}) \rightarrow (g'_{ab})$ is a collection of smooth maps $h_a: U_a \rightarrow G$ which are a Čech coboundary:

$$h_b g_{ab} = g'_{ab} h_a, \quad \forall a, b \in \Lambda.$$

Remark: Any morphism of principal G -bundles is an isomorphism; we obtain a **groupoid** $\text{Bun}(M; G)$.

Definition (Parallel transport)

Let $P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle. A parallel transport on P is assignment as follows: to each smooth path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, we assign a diffeomorphism $\text{PT}_\gamma: P|_{\gamma(0)} \longrightarrow P|_{\gamma(1)}$, such that

- (1) PT_γ preserves the G -action,
- (2) $\text{PT}_{\gamma'} \circ \text{PT}_\gamma = \text{PT}_{\gamma' \circ \gamma}$,
- (3) $\text{PT}_\gamma = \text{id}$ whenever γ is a constant path,
- (4) PT_γ depends smoothly on γ ,
- (5) $\text{PT}_\gamma = \text{PT}_{\gamma'}$ whenever γ and γ' are thin-homotopic.

Definition (Parallel transport)

Let $P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle. A parallel transport on P is assignment as follows: to each smooth path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, we assign a diffeomorphism $\text{PT}_\gamma: P|_{\gamma(0)} \rightarrow P|_{\gamma(1)}$, such that

- (1) PT_γ preserves the G -action,
- (2) $\text{PT}_{\gamma'} \circ \text{PT}_\gamma = \text{PT}_{\gamma' \circ \gamma}$,
- (3) $\text{PT}_\gamma = \text{id}$ whenever γ is a constant path,
- (4) PT_γ depends smoothly on γ ,
- (5) $\text{PT}_\gamma = \text{PT}_{\gamma'}$ whenever γ and γ' are thin-homotopic.

Proposition

A parallel transport is **flat** if and only if it is invariant under **all** homotopies $h: \gamma_0 \rightarrow \gamma_1$.

Relevance: Gauge theory; general relativity (geodesics); Aharonov-Bohm effect; machine learning; ...

A parallel transport is a rule for comparing fibres of $P \rightarrow M$ over different points.

Goal now: **Infinitesimal** parallel transport.

- Locally, we can trivialise P to $P|_U \cong U \times G \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} U$.
- PT within U then assigns to a path γ an element $g \in G$ (fibre translation).
- Passing to infinitesimals, this is a pair $(X, \xi) \in T_x M \times \mathfrak{g}$.

A parallel transport is a rule for comparing fibres of $P \rightarrow M$ over different points.

Goal now: **Infinitesimal** parallel transport.

- Locally, we can trivialise P to $P|_U \cong U \times G \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} U$.
- PT within U then assigns to a path γ an element $g \in G$ (fibre translation).
- Passing to infinitesimals, this is a pair $(X, \xi) \in T_x M \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition (Atiyah Lie-algebroid, local version)

Let $g = (g_{ab})$ be the cocycle defining the bundle $P \rightarrow M$. The **Atiyah (Lie-)algebroid** of g is the $C^\infty(M)$ -module

$$\text{At}(g) = \{(X, \xi) \mid X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \xi = (\xi_a : U_a \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}), \xi_b = \text{Ad}_{g_{ab}} \xi_a + g_{ab}^* \mu_G(X)\}$$

with anchor map $\rho: \text{At}(g) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $(X, \xi) \mapsto X$ and bracket

$$[(X, \xi), (Y, \eta)]_{\text{At}(g)} = ([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

- An infinitesimal parallel transport, also called a **connection** on P , assigns to an infinitesimal path an infinitesimal fibre translation.
- Globalising, it is a smooth map

$$(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, A): \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \text{At}(g), \quad X \longmapsto (X, A(X)).$$

- This does **not** respect the Lie structures: the failure is called the **curvature of A** ,

$$[(X, A(X)), (Y, A(Y))]_{\text{At}(g)} - (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, A)([X, Y]) = (0, F_A(X, Y)).$$

Proposition

A connection on a principal bundle defined by g corresponds to a collection of 1-forms $A = (A_a \in \Omega^1(U_a; \mathfrak{g}))$ such that

$$A_b = \text{Ad}_{g_{ab}} A_a + g_{ab}^* \mu_G = g_{ab} A_a g_{ab}^{-1} + g_{ab}^{-1} dg_{ab}.$$

Parallel transports and connections on P are in 1:1-correspondence.

The **universal symmetry group** $\text{Sym}(P)$ of $P \rightarrow M$ has the following descriptions:

- The group of smooth maps $\hat{f}: P \rightarrow P$ which preserve the G -action and cover a diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$.
- The group of pairs (f, α) , where $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and $\alpha: P \rightarrow \alpha^*P$ is a morphism of G -bundles.

There is a canonical smooth group homomorphism $\text{Sym}(P) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$.

The **universal symmetry group** $\text{Sym}(P)$ of $P \rightarrow M$ has the following descriptions:

- The group of smooth maps $\hat{f}: P \rightarrow P$ which preserve the G -action and cover a diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$.
- The group of pairs (f, α) , where $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$ and $\alpha: P \rightarrow \alpha^*P$ is a morphism of G -bundles.

There is a canonical smooth group homomorphism $\text{Sym}(P) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$.

Proposition

- (1) Let H be a Lie group which acts smoothly on M by a map $\Phi: H \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$. Then, H -equivariant structures on P are in bijections with lifts of Φ to $\hat{\Phi}: H \rightarrow \text{Sym}(P)$.
- (2) The Lie algebra of $\text{Sym}(P)$ is

$$\mathfrak{sym}(P) = \text{At}(P).$$

Previously: $\text{Sym}(P)$ for gerbes [SB, Müller, Szabo] and general smooth principal ∞ -bundles [SB, Shahbazi]; applications to QFT anomalies and NSNS supergravity.

Higher structure

∞ -groups and ∞ -bundles

2-groups:

- Let G be a Lie group. Consider its fundamental groupoid $\pi_{\leq 1}G$:
 - objects = points $g \in G$, morphisms = {paths γ in G }/homotopies fixing endpoints.

This inherits a monoidal structure from the group structure of G .

- A **2-group** is a monoidal groupoid in which every object has an inverse [Baez? Older?].
- **Example:** $BU(1)$ is the groupoid with objects = $\{*\}$ and morphisms = $U(1)$.

We set $* \otimes * := *$, $z \circ z' := z z'$ and $z \otimes z' := z z'$.

2-groups:

- Let G be a Lie group. Consider its fundamental groupoid $\pi_{\leq 1}G$:
 - objects = points $g \in G$, morphisms = {paths γ in G }/homotopies fixing endpoints.This inherits a monoidal structure from the group structure of G .
- A **2-group** is a monoidal groupoid in which every object has an inverse [Baez? Older?].
- **Example:** $BU(1)$ is the groupoid with objects = $\{*\}$ and morphisms = $U(1)$.
We set $* \otimes * := *$, $z \circ z' := z z'$ and $z \otimes z' := z z'$.

Even higher groups:

A group is (1) a set with a multiplication and (...), or (2) a groupoid with a single object.

An ∞ -group is, equivalently, [Stasheff; Lurie]

- a coherently monoidal ∞ -groupoids where each object has an inverse (multiplication encoded as monoidal structure), or
- an ∞ -groupoid with a single object (multiplication encoded as composition).

Example: The based loop group $\Omega_x X$ of a topological space X [Stasheff].

Let $\mathcal{C}\text{art}$ denote the category with objects $\{\mathbb{R}^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and morphisms all smooth maps $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

This has a Grothendieck coverage of good open covers [Fiorenza, Schreiber, Stasheff].

Let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces ('spaces' = ' ∞ -groupoids').

Definition (Smooth space) [Schreiber]

A **smooth space** is an ∞ -sheaf $X \in \mathcal{S}h_\infty(\mathcal{C}\text{art})$. We write \mathbb{H} for the ∞ -category of smooth spaces. A **smooth ∞ -group** is a group object in $\mathcal{S}h_\infty(\mathcal{C}\text{art})$.

- Interpretation: X assigns to each \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the space of smooth maps $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$.

Let $\mathcal{C}art$ denote the category with objects $\{\mathbb{R}^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and morphisms all smooth maps $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

This has a Grothendieck coverage of good open covers [Fiorenza, Schreiber, Stasheff].

Let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces ('spaces' = ' ∞ -groupoids').

Definition (Smooth space) [Schreiber]

A **smooth space** is an ∞ -sheaf $X \in \mathcal{S}h_\infty(\mathcal{C}art)$. We write \mathbb{H} for the ∞ -category of smooth spaces. A **smooth ∞ -group** is a group object in $\mathcal{S}h_\infty(\mathcal{C}art)$.

- Interpretation: X assigns to each \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the space of smooth maps $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$.
- **Example:** If M is a manifold, then $\mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathcal{M}fd(\mathbb{R}^n, M)$ defines an object $M \in \mathbb{H}$. This furnishes an embedding $\mathcal{M}fd \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}$.
- $BU(1)$ is a smooth ∞ -group with $BU(1)(\mathbb{R}^n) = N(\mathcal{M}fd(\mathbb{R}^n, U(1)) \rightrightarrows *) \simeq \mathcal{B}un(\mathbb{R}^n; U(1))$.
- There are smooth ∞ -groups $\mathcal{B}un_\nabla(-; U(1))$ without an 'underlying space/Lie groupoid'.

With a notion of (smooth) higher groups at hand, we can build higher principal bundles.

- Works in a particular type of ∞ -categories, the ∞ -**topoi** [Giraud; Rezk; Lurie].
- **Example:** Both \mathcal{S} and \mathbb{H} are ∞ -topoi. The EEpis in \mathcal{S} are those maps which are surjective on π_0 .

Definition (Principal ∞ -bundle) [Nikolaus, Schreiber, Stevenson; SB]

Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos and G a group object in \mathcal{X} . A **G -principal ∞ -bundle** consists of an effective epimorphism $P \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{X} and a fibre-preserving G -action on P such that the canonical morphism $P \times G \rightarrow P \times_X P$ is an equivalence.

Examples:

- For G a Lie group, the canonical map $* \rightarrow BG$ is a G -principal ∞ -bundle (G acts trivially).
- A **(bundle) gerbe** is equivalently a $BU(1)$ -principal ∞ -bundle.

- Parallel transport for a **strict** type of **2-bundles** was introduced by [Baez, Schreiber '04], later linked to connections [Schreiber, Waldorf '07; Faria Martins, Picken '10; Waldorf '17; Saemann, Schmidt, Kim '19;...].
- For higher bundles whose structure ∞ -group arises as an **integration of an L_∞ -algebra**, a general formalism was provided by [Sati, Schreiber, Stasheff '08].
- For ∞ -groups with another **strictness condition**, connections and parallel transport on **trivial** bundles was given by [Kapranov '07, '15] using the free Lie algebroid on the tangent bundle TM .
- A theory of holonomy for **flat** connections and its relation to ∞ -local systems was developed by [Abad, Schätz '14].
- An approach using rational homotopy for bundles controlled/classified by a **discrete** space by [Fiorenza, Sati, Schreiber '20].
- ...

- Parallel transport for a **strict** type of **2-bundles** was introduced by [Baez, Schreiber '04], later linked to connections [Schreiber, Waldorf '07; Faria Martins, Picken '10; Waldorf '17; Saemann, Schmidt, Kim '19;...].
- For higher bundles whose structure ∞ -group arises as an **integration of an L_∞ -algebra**, a general formalism was provided by [Sati, Schreiber, Stasheff '08].
- For ∞ -groups with another **strictness condition**, connections and parallel transport on **trivial** bundles was given by [Kapranov '07, '15] **using the free Lie algebroid on the tangent bundle TM** .
- A theory of holonomy for **flat** connections and its relation to ∞ -local systems was developed by [Abad, Schätz '14].
- An approach using rational homotopy for bundles controlled/classified by a **discrete** space by [Fiorenza, Sati, Schreiber '20].
- ...

Higher connections as infinitesimal symmetries

Derived geometry and deformation theory

For $G \in \mathcal{G}rp(\mathcal{X})$, let $BG \in \mathcal{X}$ denote the quotient of the trivial action of G on the point $*$.

Definition (Classifying object) [Nikolaus, Schreiber, Stevenson]

The object BG is called the **classifying object of G** .

Theorem [Nikolaus, Schreiber, Stevenson]

Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. There is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids

$$\mathcal{B}un(X; G) \simeq \mathcal{X}(X, BG).$$

For $G \in \mathcal{G}rp(\mathcal{X})$, let $BG \in \mathcal{X}$ denote the quotient of the trivial action of G on the point $*$.

Definition (Classifying object) [Nikolaus, Schreiber, Stevenson]

The object BG is called the **classifying object of G** .

Theorem [Nikolaus, Schreiber, Stevenson]

Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. There is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids

$$\mathcal{B}un(X; G) \simeq \mathcal{X}(X, BG).$$

If $p: X \rightarrow BG$ classifies a G -principal ∞ -bundle $P \rightarrow X$, the symmetries of P are the ‘**deformations**’

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \downarrow f & \searrow p & \\ X & \xrightarrow{p} & BG \end{array}$$

For **infinitesimal symmetries** of P , study **infinitesimal deformations** of its classifying map

$$p: X \rightarrow BG.$$

Incorporate infinitesimals into the formalism of smooth spaces: **derived differential geometry** (DDG) [Lawvere; Dubuc; Moerdijk, Reyes; Kock; Spivak; Carchedi, Steffens; Nuiten; ...].

- This works by incorporating **algebra**: the functions $C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ on each manifold form a C^∞ -**ring**.
- Roughly speaking, DDG is algebraic geometry over dg- or simplicial C^∞ -rings; it behaves differently from (derived) algebraic geometry, e.g. due to existence of partitions of unity.
- Strongly related to dg/higher Lie geometry [Xu, Zhu, Behrend, Weinstein, Gualtieri, Ševera, ...].

We replace $\mathcal{C}\text{art}$ by $\mathcal{C}\text{art}_{th}$, whose function algebras are of the form $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \otimes W$, where W is a local algebra with nilpotent ideal. These are the infinitesimal thickenings of the \mathbb{R}^n s.

Definition (Formal smooth space)

A **formal smooth space** is an ∞ -sheaf $X \in \mathcal{S}h_\infty(\mathcal{C}\text{art}_{th})$. We denote this ∞ -topos by \mathbb{H}_{th} .

We replace $\mathcal{C}\text{art}$ by $\mathcal{C}\text{art}_{th}$, whose function algebras are of the form $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \otimes W$, where W is a local algebra with nilpotent ideal. These are the infinitesimal thickenings of the \mathbb{R}^n s.

Definition (Formal smooth space)

A **formal smooth space** is an ∞ -sheaf $X \in \mathcal{S}h_\infty(\mathcal{C}\text{art}_{th})$. We denote this ∞ -topos by \mathbb{H}_{th} .

Example: We now indeed capture infinitesimal deformations of smooth geometric data intrinsically: Consider the space \mathbb{R}_ϵ with function C^∞ -ring $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. Then,

$$\mathbb{H}_{th}(\mathbb{R}_\epsilon, M) \cong TM \quad (\text{as a set}).$$

Remark: There is a fully faithful embedding $\mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{th}$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and A a connective commutative dg algebra over k .

Definition (L_∞ -algebroid)

A **L_∞ -algebroid** over A is a dg module E over A together with an **anchor map** $\rho: E \rightarrow T_A$ and a family of brackets $[-]_{n,E}: E^{\otimes n} \rightarrow E$ of degree $2 - n$ such that

- (1) the brackets turn E into an L_∞ -algebra (antisymmetry, coherent Jacobi),
- (2) ρ is a morphism of L_∞ -algebras, and
- (3) $[-]_{n,E}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$[\xi, f \cdot \eta]_E = (-1)^{|\xi||f|} f \cdot [\xi, \eta]_E + \rho(\xi)(f) \cdot \eta.$$

for $n = 2$ and is graded A -linear for $n > 2$.

If $[-]_{n,E} = 0$ for all $n > 2$, then $(E, [-, -]_E, \rho)$ is called a **dg Lie algebroid** over A .

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and A a connective commutative dg algebra over k .

Definition (L_∞ -algebroid)

A **L_∞ -algebroid** over A is a dg module E over A together with an **anchor map** $\rho: E \rightarrow T_A$ and a family of brackets $[-]_{n,E}: E^{\otimes n} \rightarrow E$ of degree $2 - n$ such that

- (1) the brackets turn E into an L_∞ -algebra (antisymmetry, coherent Jacobi),
- (2) ρ is a morphism of L_∞ -algebras, and
- (3) $[-]_{n,E}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$[\xi, f \cdot \eta]_E = (-1)^{|\xi||f|} f \cdot [\xi, \eta]_E + \rho(\xi)(f) \cdot \eta.$$

for $n = 2$ and is graded A -linear for $n > 2$.

If $[-]_{n,E} = 0$ for all $n > 2$, then $(E, [-, -]_E, \rho)$ is called a **dg Lie algebroid** over A .

Morphisms of L_∞ -algebroids: tower of $\phi_1: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ and $\phi_n: \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}[n]$ with coherences. Conveniently encoded using Chevalley-Eilenberg CDGCs.

Our situation: $A = C^\infty(M)$; we then speak of L_∞ -algebroids on M .

Definition (Formal moduli problem over A) [Nuiten '17]

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and A be a connective commutative k -algebra. A **formal moduli problem (FMP) over A** is a functor $F: (\mathcal{CAlg}_k^{\text{Art}})_{/A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that

- (1) $F(A) \simeq *$, and
- (2) F maps square-zero extensions to pullbacks.

We use the following extension of the famous **Lurie-Pridham Theorem** [Pridham '07; Lurie '10]:

Theorem [Nuiten '17]

There is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\text{MC}: L_{\infty}\mathcal{A}gd_A \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{FMP}(A).$$

We are interested in the FMP describing deformations of the classifying map $p: M \rightarrow BG$.

Definition (Atiyah L_∞ -algebroid) [SB, Müller, Nuiten, Szabo]

Let G be a smooth ∞ -group and $P \rightarrow M$ a G -principal ∞ -bundle classified by a morphism $p: M \rightarrow BG$ in $\mathbb{H}_{(th)}$. The **Atiyah L_∞ -algebroid $At(P)$ of P** is the L_∞ -algebroid corresponding to the above FMP under Nuiten's theorem.

We are interested in the FMP describing deformations of the classifying map $p: M \rightarrow BG$.

Definition (Atiyah L_∞ -algebroid) [SB, Müller, Nuiten, Szabo]

Let G be a smooth ∞ -group and $P \rightarrow M$ a G -principal ∞ -bundle classified by a morphism $p: M \rightarrow BG$ in $\mathbb{H}_{(th)}$. The **Atiyah L_∞ -algebroid $At(P)$ of P** is the L_∞ -algebroid corresponding to the above FMP under Nuiten's theorem.

Goal: Define (not necessarily flat) connections on generic ∞ -bundles P .

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an ∞ -functor $Q^{(l)}: L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_A \rightarrow L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_A$ which truncates away all terms in $CE_*(\mathfrak{g})$ containing more than l tensor factors, i.e. $CE_*(Q^{(l)}\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Sym}_{C^\infty(M)}^{1 \leq \bullet \leq l}(\mathfrak{g})$ [Nuiten].

Definition (Space of l -connections) [SB, Müller, Nuiten, Szabo]

The **∞ -groupoid of l -connections on P** is the **mapping space**

$$\text{Con}_l(P) := L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}(Q^{(l)}\mathfrak{X}(M), At(P)) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Case studies

Testing the new model

First check: If $P \rightarrow M$ is an ordinary principal bundle (G a Lie group), then

$$\text{Con}_1(P) = \{\text{classical connections on } P\}, \quad \text{Con}_l(P) = \{\text{flat conns. on } P\}, \quad \forall l > 1. \quad \checkmark$$

First check: If $P \rightarrow M$ is an ordinary principal bundle (G a Lie group), then

$$\text{Con}_1(P) = \{\text{classical connections on } P\}, \quad \text{Con}_l(P) = \{\text{flat conns. on } P\}, \quad \forall l > 1. \quad \checkmark$$

Particularly well-known higher case: connections on n -gerbes/higher $U(1)$ -bundles.

Definition (n -gerbe with l -connection) [Deligne; Gajer; SB, Shahbazi]

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}_{a \in \Lambda}$ be a good open covering of M .

(1) An **$(n-1)$ -gerbe/ $B^n U(1)$ -bundle on M** is a collection $g = (g_{a_0 \dots a_n} : U_{a_0 \dots a_n} \rightarrow U(1))$ satisfying the Čech cocycle condition, $\delta g := \prod_{i=0}^n (-1)^i g_{a_0 \dots \widehat{a}_i \dots a_n} = 1$.

First check: If $P \rightarrow M$ is an ordinary principal bundle (G a Lie group), then

$$\text{Con}_1(P) = \{\text{classical connections on } P\}, \quad \text{Con}_l(P) = \{\text{flat conns. on } P\}, \quad \forall l > 1. \quad \checkmark$$

Particularly well-known higher case: connections on n -gerbes/higher $U(1)$ -bundles.

Definition (n -gerbe with l -connection) [Deligne; Gajer; SB, Shahbazi]

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}_{a \in \Lambda}$ be a good open covering of M .

(1) An **$(n-1)$ -gerbe/ $B^n U(1)$ -bundle on M** is a collection $g = (g_{a_0 \dots a_n} : U_{a_0 \dots a_n} \rightarrow U(1))$ satisfying the Čech cocycle condition, $\delta g := \prod_{i=0}^n (-1)^i g_{a_0 \dots \widehat{a}_i \dots a_n} = 1$.

(2) An **l -connection on an n -gerbe g** is a tuple $(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(l)})$, where

$$A^{(p)} = (A_{a_0 \dots a_{n-p}}^{(p)} \in \Omega^p(U_{a_0 \dots a_{n-p}}))$$

and such that

$$d \log(g) = \delta A^{(1)}, \quad dA^{(p)} = (-1)^p \delta A^{(p+1)} \quad \forall p = 1, \dots, l-1.$$

For each $0 \leq l \leq n+1$, there is an ∞ -groupoid $\text{Grb}_{\nabla|l}^n(M)$ of n -gerbes with l -connections.

Example: 0-gerbes are the same as $U(1)$ -bundles.

1-gerbes with connections model the B-field in string theory/SuGra [Kapustin; Witten]

n -gerbes with $(n+1)$ -connection model differential cohomology [Deligne; Brylinski; Gajer; Schreiber]

Example: 0-gerbes are the same as $U(1)$ -bundles.

1-gerbes with connections model the B-field in string theory/SuGra [Kapustin; Witten]

n -gerbes with $(n+1)$ -connection model differential cohomology [Deligne; Brylinski; Gajer; Schreiber]

(Space of connections) [SB, Shahbazi]

The **space of l -connections** on an n -gerbe g is the (homotopy) fibre [SB, Shahbazi]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Con}_{\text{geo},l}(g) & \longrightarrow & \text{Grb}_{\nabla|l}^n(M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \xrightarrow{\{g\}} & \text{Grb}^n(M) \end{array}$$

Question: Is this space equivalent to the one obtained from our L_∞ -algebroid picture?

Theorem [Nuiten; SB, Müller, Nuiten, Szabo]

Let g describe an n -gerbe on M . Its Atiyah L_∞ -algebroid is the dg Lie algebroid

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{U}^{[0]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} C^\infty(\mathcal{U}^{[n-1]}) \xrightarrow{(0,\delta)} E_n(g) ,$$

where $E_n(g) = \{(X, f) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times C^\infty(\mathcal{U}^{[n]}) \mid \delta f = (-1)^{n+1} d \log(g)(X)\}$.

The anchor map is the projection onto $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, and the bracket is the Lie derivative of functions and vector fields.

Theorem [Nuiten; SB, Müller, Nuiten, Szabo]

Let g describe an n -gerbe on M . Its Atiyah L_∞ -algebroid is the dg Lie algebroid

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{U}^{[0]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} C^\infty(\mathcal{U}^{[n-1]}) \xrightarrow{(0,\delta)} E_n(g) ,$$

where $E_n(g) = \{(X, f) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times C^\infty(\mathcal{U}^{[n]}) \mid \delta f = (-1)^{n+1} d \log(g)(X)\}$.

The anchor map is the projection onto $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, and the bracket is the Lie derivative of functions and vector fields.

Theorem [SB, Müller, Nuiten, Szabo]

For any n -gerbe g on M , there is an equivalence of $(l-1)$ -groupoids

$$\text{Con}_{\text{geo},l}(g) \simeq \text{Con}_l(g) .$$

This is an algebraic description of differential cohomology.

- Goal: compute explicitly the mapping space $\text{Map}_{L_\infty \mathcal{A} \text{gd}_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}} (Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M), \text{At}(g))$.
- Use model structure on $L_\infty \mathcal{A} \text{gd}_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}$: $Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -cofibrant.

- Goal: compute explicitly the mapping space $\text{Map}_{L_\infty \mathcal{A} \text{gd}_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}} (Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M), \text{At}(g))$.
- Use model structure on $L_\infty \mathcal{A} \text{gd}_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}$: $Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -cofibrant.
- Find manageable simplicial resolution of $\text{At}(g)$: we give a general, explicit construction for ‘semi-abelian extensions’ of a dg Lie algebroid structure on

$$[n] \longmapsto \text{Hom}_k(C_*(\Delta^n; k), \text{ch}_k(\mathfrak{g})),$$

which in this case allows us to simplify formal constructions of [\[Getzler; Robert-Nicoud, Vallette\]](#).

- Lemma: if \mathfrak{g} is fibrant (surjective anchor map) this produces a simplicial resolution $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} .

- Goal: compute explicitly the mapping space $\text{Map}_{L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}} (Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M), \text{At}(g))$.
- Use model structure on $L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}}$: $Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -cofibrant.
- Find manageable simplicial resolution of $\text{At}(g)$: we give a general, explicit construction for ‘semi-abelian extensions’ of a dg Lie algebroid structure on

$$[n] \longmapsto \text{Hom}_k(C_*(\Delta^n; k), \text{ch}_k(\mathfrak{g})),$$

which in this case allows us to simplify formal constructions of [Getzler; Robert-Nicoud, Vallette].

- Lemma: if \mathfrak{g} is fibrant (surjective anchor map) this produces a simplicial resolution $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} .
- The mapping space is thus modelled by the simplicial set

$$[n] \longmapsto L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}} (Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M), \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n).$$

- Explicit computation: we have an isomorphism of simplicial sets

$$L_\infty \mathcal{A}gd_{C^\infty(M)}^{\text{dg}} (Q^{(l)} \mathfrak{X}(M), \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n) \cong \text{Con}_{geo,l}(g).$$

Thank you for your attention!