

A Model of ZF Set Theory Language

Grzegorz Bancerek
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. The goal of this article is to construct a language of the ZF set theory and to develop a notational and conceptual base which facilitates a convenient usage of the language.

MML Identifier: ZF_LANG.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zf_lang.html

The articles [4], [6], [7], [3], [1], [5], and [2] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

For simplicity, we follow the rules: k, n are natural numbers, a is a set, D is a non empty set, and p, q are finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{N} .

The subset VAR of \mathbb{N} is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\text{VAR} = \{k : 5 \leq k\}$.

Let us observe that VAR is non empty.

A variable is an element of VAR.

Let us consider n . The functor x_n yielding a variable is defined by:

(Def. 2) $x_n = 5 + n$.

In the sequel x, y, z, t denote variables.

Let us consider x . Then $\langle x \rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} .

Let us consider x, y . The functor $x=y$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} is defined by:

(Def. 3) $x=y = \langle 0 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x \rangle \hat{\ } \langle y \rangle$.

The functor $x\epsilon y$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} is defined by:

(Def. 4) $x\epsilon y = \langle 1 \rangle \hat{\ } \langle x \rangle \hat{\ } \langle y \rangle$.

Next we state two propositions:

(6)¹ If $x=y = z=t$, then $x = z$ and $y = t$.

(7) If $x\epsilon y = z\epsilon t$, then $x = z$ and $y = t$.

Let us consider p . The functor $\neg p$ yields a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} and is defined by:

(Def. 5) $\neg p = \langle 2 \rangle \hat{\ } p$.

Let us consider q . The functor $p \wedge q$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} is defined as follows:

¹ The propositions (1)–(5) have been removed.

(Def. 6) $p \wedge q = \langle 3 \rangle \wedge p \wedge q$.

One can prove the following proposition

(10)² If $\neg p = \neg q$, then $p = q$.

Let us consider x, p . The functor $\forall_x p$ yields a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} and is defined by:

(Def. 7) $\forall_x p = \langle 4 \rangle \wedge \langle x \rangle \wedge p$.

One can prove the following proposition

(12)³ If $\forall_x p = \forall_y q$, then $x = y$ and $p = q$.

The non empty set WFF is defined by the conditions (Def. 8).

(Def. 8)(i) For every a such that $a \in \text{WFF}$ holds a is a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} ,

(ii) for all x, y holds $x=y \in \text{WFF}$ and $x\in y \in \text{WFF}$,

(iii) for every p such that $p \in \text{WFF}$ holds $\neg p \in \text{WFF}$,

(iv) for all p, q such that $p \in \text{WFF}$ and $q \in \text{WFF}$ holds $p \wedge q \in \text{WFF}$,

(v) for all x, p such that $p \in \text{WFF}$ holds $\forall_x p \in \text{WFF}$, and

(vi) for every D such that for every a such that $a \in D$ holds a is a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} and for all x, y holds $x=y \in D$ and $x\in y \in D$ and for every p such that $p \in D$ holds $\neg p \in D$ and for all p, q such that $p \in D$ and $q \in D$ holds $p \wedge q \in D$ and for all x, p such that $p \in D$ holds $\forall_x p \in D$ holds $\text{WFF} \subseteq D$.

Let I_1 be a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} . We say that I_1 is ZF-formula-like if and only if:

(Def. 9) I_1 is an element of WFF.

One can check that there exists a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} which is ZF-formula-like.

A ZF-formula is a ZF-formula-like finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} .

One can prove the following proposition

(14)⁴ a is a ZF-formula iff $a \in \text{WFF}$.

In the sequel F, F_1, G, G_1, H, H_1 are ZF-formulae.

Let us consider x, y . Observe that $x=y$ is ZF-formula-like and $x\in y$ is ZF-formula-like.

Let us consider H . Note that $\neg H$ is ZF-formula-like. Let us consider G . Observe that $H \wedge G$ is ZF-formula-like.

Let us consider x, H . Note that $\forall_x H$ is ZF-formula-like.

Let us consider H . We say that H is equality if and only if:

(Def. 10) There exist x, y such that $H = x=y$.

We introduce H is an equality as a synonym of H is equality. We say that H is membership if and only if:

(Def. 11) There exist x, y such that $H = x\in y$.

We introduce H is a membership as a synonym of H is membership. We say that H is negative if and only if:

(Def. 12) There exists H_1 such that $H = \neg H_1$.

We say that H is conjunctive if and only if:

² The propositions (8) and (9) have been removed.

³ The proposition (11) has been removed.

⁴ The proposition (13) has been removed.

(Def. 13) There exist F, G such that $H = F \wedge G$.

We say that H is universal if and only if:

(Def. 14) There exist x, H_1 such that $H = \forall_x H_1$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (16)⁵(i) H is an equality iff there exist x, y such that $H = x=y$,
(ii) H is a membership iff there exist x, y such that $H = x \in y$,
(iii) H is negative iff there exists H_1 such that $H = \neg H_1$,
(iv) H is conjunctive iff there exist F, G such that $H = F \wedge G$, and
(v) H is universal iff there exist x, H_1 such that $H = \forall_x H_1$.

Let us consider H . We say that H is atomic if and only if:

(Def. 15) H is an equality and a membership.

Let us consider F, G . The functor $F \vee G$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

(Def. 16) $F \vee G = \neg(\neg F \wedge \neg G)$.

The functor $F \Rightarrow G$ yielding a ZF-formula is defined by:

(Def. 17) $F \Rightarrow G = \neg(F \wedge \neg G)$.

Let us consider F, G . The functor $F \Leftrightarrow G$ yielding a ZF-formula is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) $F \Leftrightarrow G = (F \Rightarrow G) \wedge (G \Rightarrow F)$.

Let us consider x, H . The functor $\exists_x H$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

(Def. 19) $\exists_x H = \neg \forall_x \neg H$.

Let us consider H . We say that H is disjunctive if and only if:

(Def. 20) There exist F, G such that $H = F \vee G$.

We say that H is conditional if and only if:

(Def. 21) There exist F, G such that $H = F \Rightarrow G$.

We say that H is biconditional if and only if:

(Def. 22) There exist F, G such that $H = F \Leftrightarrow G$.

We say that H is existential if and only if:

(Def. 23) There exist x, H_1 such that $H = \exists_x H_1$.

The following proposition is true

- (22)⁶(i) H is disjunctive iff there exist F, G such that $H = F \vee G$,
(ii) H is conditional iff there exist F, G such that $H = F \Rightarrow G$,
(iii) H is biconditional iff there exist F, G such that $H = F \Leftrightarrow G$, and
(iv) H is existential iff there exist x, H_1 such that $H = \exists_x H_1$.

Let us consider x, y, H . The functor $\forall_{x,y} H$ yielding a ZF-formula is defined as follows:

(Def. 24) $\forall_{x,y} H = \forall_x \forall_y H$.

⁵ The proposition (15) has been removed.

⁶ The propositions (17)–(21) have been removed.

The functor $\exists_{x,y}H$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

(Def. 25) $\exists_{x,y}H = \exists_x \exists_y H$.

We now state the proposition

(23) $\forall_{x,y}H = \forall_x \forall_y H$ and $\exists_{x,y}H = \exists_x \exists_y H$.

Let us consider x, y, z, H . The functor $\forall_{x,y,z}H$ yielding a ZF-formula is defined by:

(Def. 26) $\forall_{x,y,z}H = \forall_x \forall_{y,z}H$.

The functor $\exists_{x,y,z}H$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined as follows:

(Def. 27) $\exists_{x,y,z}H = \exists_x \exists_{y,z}H$.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (24) $\forall_{x,y,z}H = \forall_x \forall_{y,z}H$ and $\exists_{x,y,z}H = \exists_x \exists_{y,z}H$.
- (25) H is an equality, a membership, negative, conjunctive, and universal.
- (26) H is atomic, negative, conjunctive, and universal.
- (27) If H is atomic, then $\text{len}H = 3$.
- (28) H is atomic or there exists H_1 such that $\text{len}H_1 + 1 \leq \text{len}H$.
- (29) $3 \leq \text{len}H$.
- (30) If $\text{len}H = 3$, then H is atomic.
- (31) For all x, y holds $(x=y)(1) = 0$ and $(x\in y)(1) = 1$.
- (32) For every H holds $(\neg H)(1) = 2$.
- (33) For all F, G holds $(F \wedge G)(1) = 3$.
- (34) For all x, H holds $(\forall_x H)(1) = 4$.
- (35) If H is an equality, then $H(1) = 0$.
- (36) If H is a membership, then $H(1) = 1$.
- (37) If H is negative, then $H(1) = 2$.
- (38) If H is conjunctive, then $H(1) = 3$.
- (39) If H is universal, then $H(1) = 4$.
- (40)(i) H is an equality and $H(1) = 0$, or
(ii) H is a membership and $H(1) = 1$, or
(iii) H is negative and $H(1) = 2$, or
(iv) H is conjunctive and $H(1) = 3$, or
(v) H is universal and $H(1) = 4$.
- (41) If $H(1) = 0$, then H is an equality.
- (42) If $H(1) = 1$, then H is a membership.
- (43) If $H(1) = 2$, then H is negative.
- (44) If $H(1) = 3$, then H is conjunctive.
- (45) If $H(1) = 4$, then H is universal.

In the sequel s_1 denotes a finite sequence.

Next we state several propositions:

- (46) If $H = F \cap s_1$, then $H = F$.
- (47) If $H \wedge G = H_1 \wedge G_1$, then $H = H_1$ and $G = G_1$.
- (48) If $F \vee G = F_1 \vee G_1$, then $F = F_1$ and $G = G_1$.
- (49) If $F \Rightarrow G = F_1 \Rightarrow G_1$, then $F = F_1$ and $G = G_1$.
- (50) If $F \Leftrightarrow G = F_1 \Leftrightarrow G_1$, then $F = F_1$ and $G = G_1$.
- (51) If $\exists_x H = \exists_y G$, then $x = y$ and $H = G$.

Let us consider H . Let us assume that H is atomic. The functor $\text{Var}_1(H)$ yields a variable and is defined by:

(Def. 28) $\text{Var}_1(H) = H(2)$.

The functor $\text{Var}_2(H)$ yielding a variable is defined by:

(Def. 29) $\text{Var}_2(H) = H(3)$.

Next we state three propositions:

- (52) If H is atomic, then $\text{Var}_1(H) = H(2)$ and $\text{Var}_2(H) = H(3)$.
- (53) If H is an equality, then $H = (\text{Var}_1(H)) = \text{Var}_2(H)$.
- (54) If H is a membership, then $H = (\text{Var}_1(H)) \varepsilon \text{Var}_2(H)$.

Let us consider H . Let us assume that H is negative. The functor $\text{Arg}(H)$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

(Def. 30) $\neg \text{Arg}(H) = H$.

Let us consider H . Let us assume that H is conjunctive and disjunctive. The functor $\text{LeftArg}(H)$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 31)(i) There exists H_1 such that $\text{LeftArg}(H) \wedge H_1 = H$ if H is conjunctive,
- (ii) there exists H_1 such that $\text{LeftArg}(H) \vee H_1 = H$, otherwise.

The functor $\text{RightArg}(H)$ yielding a ZF-formula is defined as follows:

- (Def. 32)(i) There exists H_1 such that $H_1 \wedge \text{RightArg}(H) = H$ if H is conjunctive,
- (ii) there exists H_1 such that $H_1 \vee \text{RightArg}(H) = H$, otherwise.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (56)⁷ If H is conjunctive, then $F = \text{LeftArg}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $F \wedge G = H$ and $F = \text{RightArg}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $G \wedge F = H$.
- (57) If H is disjunctive, then $F = \text{LeftArg}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $F \vee G = H$ and $F = \text{RightArg}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $G \vee F = H$.
- (58) If H is conjunctive, then $H = \text{LeftArg}(H) \wedge \text{RightArg}(H)$.
- (59) If H is disjunctive, then $H = \text{LeftArg}(H) \vee \text{RightArg}(H)$.

Let us consider H . Let us assume that H is universal and existential. The functor $\text{Bound}(H)$ yielding a variable is defined by:

⁷ The proposition (55) has been removed.

- (Def. 33)(i) There exists H_1 such that $\forall_{\text{Bound}(H)} H_1 = H$ if H is universal,
(ii) there exists H_1 such that $\exists_{\text{Bound}(H)} H_1 = H$, otherwise.

The functor $\text{Scope}(H)$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

- (Def. 34)(i) There exists x such that $\forall_x \text{Scope}(H) = H$ if H is universal,
(ii) there exists x such that $\exists_x \text{Scope}(H) = H$, otherwise.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (60) If H is universal, then $x = \text{Bound}(H)$ iff there exists H_1 such that $\forall_x H_1 = H$ and $H_1 = \text{Scope}(H)$ iff there exists x such that $\forall_x H_1 = H$.
(61) If H is existential, then $x = \text{Bound}(H)$ iff there exists H_1 such that $\exists_x H_1 = H$ and $H_1 = \text{Scope}(H)$ iff there exists x such that $\exists_x H_1 = H$.
(62) If H is universal, then $H = \forall_{\text{Bound}(H)} \text{Scope}(H)$.
(63) If H is existential, then $H = \exists_{\text{Bound}(H)} \text{Scope}(H)$.

Let us consider H . Let us assume that H is conditional. The functor $\text{Antecedent}(H)$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

- (Def. 35) There exists H_1 such that $H = \text{Antecedent}(H) \Rightarrow H_1$.

The functor $\text{Consequent}(H)$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 36) There exists H_1 such that $H = H_1 \Rightarrow \text{Consequent}(H)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (64) If H is conditional, then $F = \text{Antecedent}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $H = F \Rightarrow G$ and $F = \text{Consequent}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $H = G \Rightarrow F$.
(65) If H is conditional, then $H = \text{Antecedent}(H) \Rightarrow \text{Consequent}(H)$.

Let us consider H . Let us assume that H is biconditional. The functor $\text{LeftSide}(H)$ yields a ZF-formula and is defined by:

- (Def. 37) There exists H_1 such that $H = \text{LeftSide}(H) \Leftrightarrow H_1$.

The functor $\text{RightSide}(H)$ yielding a ZF-formula is defined as follows:

- (Def. 38) There exists H_1 such that $H = H_1 \Leftrightarrow \text{RightSide}(H)$.

We now state two propositions:

- (66) Suppose H is biconditional. Then
(i) $F = \text{LeftSide}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $H = F \Leftrightarrow G$, and
(ii) $F = \text{RightSide}(H)$ iff there exists G such that $H = G \Leftrightarrow F$.
(67) If H is biconditional, then $H = \text{LeftSide}(H) \Leftrightarrow \text{RightSide}(H)$.

Let us consider H, F . We say that H is an immediate constituent of F if and only if:

- (Def. 39) $F = \neg H$ or there exists H_1 such that $F = H \wedge H_1$ or $F = H_1 \wedge H$ or there exists x such that $F = \forall_x H$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (69)⁸ H is not an immediate constituent of $x=y$.

⁸ The proposition (68) has been removed.

- (70) H is not an immediate constituent of $x\exists y$.
- (71) F is an immediate constituent of $\neg H$ iff $F = H$.
- (72) F is an immediate constituent of $G \wedge H$ iff $F = G$ or $F = H$.
- (73) F is an immediate constituent of $\forall x H$ iff $F = H$.
- (74) If H is atomic, then F is not an immediate constituent of H .
- (75) If H is negative, then F is an immediate constituent of H iff $F = \text{Arg}(H)$.
- (76) If H is conjunctive, then F is an immediate constituent of H iff $F = \text{LeftArg}(H)$ or $F = \text{RightArg}(H)$.
- (77) If H is universal, then F is an immediate constituent of H iff $F = \text{Scope}(H)$.

In the sequel L denotes a finite sequence.

Let us consider H, F . We say that H is a subformula of F if and only if the condition (Def. 40) is satisfied.

- (Def. 40) There exist n, L such that
- (i) $1 \leq n$,
 - (ii) $\text{len} L = n$,
 - (iii) $L(1) = H$,
 - (iv) $L(n) = F$, and
 - (v) for every k such that $1 \leq k$ and $k < n$ there exist H_1, F_1 such that $L(k) = H_1$ and $L(k+1) = F_1$ and H_1 is an immediate constituent of F_1 .

We now state the proposition

- (79)⁹ H is a subformula of F .

Let us consider H, F . We say that H is a proper subformula of F if and only if:

- (Def. 41) H is a subformula of F and $H \neq F$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (81)¹⁰ If H is an immediate constituent of F , then $\text{len} H < \text{len} F$.
- (82) If H is an immediate constituent of F , then H is a proper subformula of F .
- (83) If H is a proper subformula of F , then $\text{len} H < \text{len} F$.
- (84) If H is a proper subformula of F , then there exists G which is an immediate constituent of F .
- (85) If F is a proper subformula of G and G is a proper subformula of H , then F is a proper subformula of H .
- (86) If F is a subformula of G and G is a subformula of H , then F is a subformula of H .
- (87) If G is a subformula of H and H is a subformula of G , then $G = H$.
- (88) F is not a proper subformula of $x=y$.
- (89) F is not a proper subformula of $x\exists y$.
- (90) If F is a proper subformula of $\neg H$, then F is a subformula of H .

⁹ The proposition (78) has been removed.

¹⁰ The proposition (80) has been removed.

- (91) If F is a proper subformula of $G \wedge H$, then F is a subformula of G and a subformula of H .
- (92) If F is a proper subformula of $\forall_x H$, then F is a subformula of H .
- (93) If H is atomic, then F is not a proper subformula of H .
- (94) If H is negative, then $\text{Arg}(H)$ is a proper subformula of H .
- (95) If H is conjunctive, then $\text{LeftArg}(H)$ is a proper subformula of H and $\text{RightArg}(H)$ is a proper subformula of H .
- (96) If H is universal, then $\text{Scope}(H)$ is a proper subformula of H .
- (97) H is a subformula of $x=y$ iff $H = x=y$.
- (98) H is a subformula of $x\epsilon y$ iff $H = x\epsilon y$.

Let us consider H . The functor $\text{Subformulae } H$ yielding a set is defined as follows:

(Def. 42) $a \in \text{Subformulae } H$ iff there exists F such that $F = a$ and F is a subformula of H .

The following propositions are true:

- (100)¹¹ If $G \in \text{Subformulae } H$, then G is a subformula of H .
- (101) If F is a subformula of H , then $\text{Subformulae } F \subseteq \text{Subformulae } H$.
- (102) $\text{Subformulae } x=y = \{x=y\}$.
- (103) $\text{Subformulae } x\epsilon y = \{x\epsilon y\}$.
- (104) $\text{Subformulae } \neg H = \text{Subformulae } H \cup \{\neg H\}$.
- (105) $\text{Subformulae } (H \wedge F) = \text{Subformulae } H \cup \text{Subformulae } F \cup \{H \wedge F\}$.
- (106) $\text{Subformulae } \forall_x H = \text{Subformulae } H \cup \{\forall_x H\}$.
- (107) H is atomic iff $\text{Subformulae } H = \{H\}$.
- (108) If H is negative, then $\text{Subformulae } H = \text{Subformulae } \text{Arg}(H) \cup \{H\}$.
- (109) If H is conjunctive, then $\text{Subformulae } H = \text{Subformulae } \text{LeftArg}(H) \cup \text{Subformulae } \text{RightArg}(H) \cup \{H\}$.
- (110) If H is universal, then $\text{Subformulae } H = \text{Subformulae } \text{Scope}(H) \cup \{H\}$.
- (111) Suppose H is an immediate constituent of G , a proper subformula of G , and a subformula of G and $G \in \text{Subformulae } F$. Then $H \in \text{Subformulae } F$.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *ZF Ind* concerns a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

For every H holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$

provided the following conditions are met:

- For every H such that H is atomic holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$,
- For every H such that H is negative and $\mathcal{P}[\text{Arg}(H)]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$,
- For every H such that H is conjunctive and $\mathcal{P}[\text{LeftArg}(H)]$ and $\mathcal{P}[\text{RightArg}(H)]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$, and
- For every H such that H is universal and $\mathcal{P}[\text{Scope}(H)]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$.

The scheme *ZF CompInd* concerns a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

For every H holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$

provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- For every H such that for every F such that F is a proper subformula of H holds $\mathcal{P}[F]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$.

¹¹ The proposition (99) has been removed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/finseq_1.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/func_1.html.
- [4] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [6] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.
- [7] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.

Received April 4, 1989

Published January 2, 2004
