

Novel approach to the smart constructing adequate predictive or confidence decisions for applied mathematical models under parametric uncertainty via pivotal quantities and ancillary statistics

Abstract

The technique used here emphasizes pivotal quantities and ancillary statistics relevant for obtaining statistical predictive or confidence decisions for anticipated outcomes of applied stochastic models under parametric uncertainty and is applicable whenever the statistical problem is invariant under a group of transformations that acts transitively on the parameter space. It does not require the construction of any tables and is applicable whether the experimental data are complete or Type II censored. The proposed technique is based on a probability transformation and pivotal quantity averaging to solve real-life problems in all areas including engineering, science, industry, automation & robotics, business & finance, medicine and biomedicine. It is conceptually simple and easy to use.

Keywords: anticipated outcomes, parametric uncertainty, unknown (nuisance) parameters, elimination, pivotal quantities, ancillary statistics, new-sample prediction, within-sample prediction

Volume 8 Issue 2 - 2024

Nicholas Nechval,¹ Gundars Berzins,¹ Konstantin Nechval²

¹BVEF Research Institute, University of Latvia, Latvia

²Aviation Department, Riga Aeronautical Institute, Latvia

Correspondence: Nicholas Nechval, BVEF Research Institute, University of Latvia, Riga LV-1586, Latvia, Email nechva@telenet.lv

Received: March 30, 2024 | **Published:** April 11, 2024

Introduction

Statistical predictive or confidence decisions (under parametric uncertainty) for future random quantities (future outcomes, order statistics, etc.) based on the past and current data is the most prevalent form of statistical inference. Predictive inferences for future random quantities are widely used in risk management, finance, insurance, economics, hydrology, material sciences, telecommunications, and many other industries. Predictive inferences (predictive distributions, prediction or tolerance limits (or intervals), confidence limits (or intervals) for future random quantities on the basis of the past and present knowledge represent a fundamental problem of statistics, arising in many contexts and producing varied solutions. The approach used here is a special case of more general considerations applicable whenever the statistical problem is invariant under a group of transformations, which acts transitively on the parameter space.¹⁻²⁹

I. Adequate mathematical models of cumulative distribution functions of order statistics for constructing one-sided tolerance limits (or two-sided tolerance interval) in new (future) data samples under parametric uncertainty

Theorem 1: Let us assume that $Y_1 \leq \dots \leq Y_n$ will be a new (future) random sample of n ordered observations from a known distribution with a probability density function (pdf) $f_\rho(y)$, cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F_\rho(y)$, where ρ is the parameter (in general, vector). Then the adequate mathematical models for a cumulative probability distribution function of the k th order statistic Y_k , $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, to construct one-sided γ -content tolerance limits (or two-sided tolerance interval) for Y_k with confidence level β , are given as follows:

A. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 1 of a Cumulative Distribution Function of the k th Order Statistic Y_k is given by

$$\int_0^{F_\rho(y_k)} f_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n) = \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} [F_\rho(y_k)]^j [1 - F_\rho(y_k)]^{n-j}. \quad (1)$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit y_k^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{F_\rho(y_k^U)} f_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr (P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma) \right\} = \beta, \quad (2)$$

where

$$f_{k,n-k+1}(r) = \frac{1}{B(k, n-k+1)} r^{k-1} (1-r)^{(n-k+1)-1}, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (3)$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the beta distribution ($Beta(k, n-k+1)$) with the shape parameters k and $n-k+1$.

Proof: It follows from (1) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_k} \int_0^{F_\rho(y_k)} f_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_k} P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n). \tag{4}$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(1 - \int_0^{F_\rho(y_k^L)} f_{k,n-k+1}(u) du \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \tag{5}$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ – content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{F_\rho(y_k^L)} f_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{F_\rho(y_k^U)} f_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = [y_k^L, y_k^U]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

B. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 2 of a Cumulative Distribution Function of the kth Order Statistic Y_k is given by

$$\int_{1-F_\rho(y_k)}^1 f_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n) = \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} [F_\rho(y_k)]^j [1 - F_\rho(y_k)]^{n-j}. \tag{7}$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit y_k^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{1-F_\rho(y_k^U)}^1 f_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \tag{8}$$

where

$$f_{n-k+1,k}(u) = \frac{1}{B(n-k+1, k)} r^{(n-k+1)-1} (1-r)^{k-1} f_{k,n-k+1}(r), \quad 0 < r < 1, \tag{9}$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the beta distribution ($Beta(n-k+1, k)$) with the shape parameters $n-k+1$ and k .

Proof: It follows from (9) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_k} \int_{1-F_\rho(y_k)}^1 f_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_k} P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n). \tag{10}$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(1 - \int_{1-F_\rho(y_k^L)}^1 f_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \tag{11}$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ – content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{1-F_\rho(y_k^L)}^1 f_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{1-F_\rho(y_k^U)}^1 f_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = [y_k^L, y_k^U]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

C. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 3 of a Cumulative Distribution Function of the k th Order Statistic Y_k is given by

$$\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{F_\rho(y_k)}{1-F_\rho(y_k)} \int_0^{n-k+1} \varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n) = \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} [F_\rho(y_k)]^j [1-F_\rho(y_k)]^{n-j}. \quad (13)$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit y_k^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{F_\rho(y_k^U)}{1-F_\rho(y_k^U)}} \varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) = \frac{1}{B(k, n-k+1)} \frac{\left[\frac{k}{n-k+1} r \right]^{k-1}}{\left[1 + \frac{k}{n-k+1} r \right]^{n+1}} \frac{k}{n-k+1}, \quad r \in (0, \infty), \quad (15)$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the F distribution ($F(k, n-k+1)$) with parameters k and $n-k+1$, which are positive integers known as the degrees of freedom for the numerator and the degrees of freedom for the denominator.

Proof: It follows from (13) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_k} \int_0^{\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{F_\rho(y_k)}{1-F_\rho(y_k)}} \varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_k} P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n). \quad (16)$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(1 - \int_0^{\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{F_\rho(y_k^L)}{1-F_\rho(y_k^L)}} \varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \quad (17)$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ -content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{F_\rho(y_k^L)}{1-F_\rho(y_k^L)}} \varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{F_\rho(y_k^U)}{1-F_\rho(y_k^U)}} \varphi_{k,n-k+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = [y_k^L, y_k^U]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

D. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 4 of a Cumulative Distribution Function of the k th Order Statistic Y_k is given by

$$\frac{k}{n-k+1} \frac{1-F_\rho(y_k)}{F_\rho(y_k)} \int_{n-k+1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n) = \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} [F_\rho(y_k)]^j [1-F_\rho(y_k)]^{n-j}. \quad (19)$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit y_k^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{\frac{n-k+1}{k} \frac{1-F_\rho(y_k^U)}{F_\rho(y_k^U)}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) = \frac{\frac{n-k+1}{k}}{B(n-k+1,k)} \frac{\left[\frac{n-k+1}{k}r\right]^{n-k}}{\left[1+\frac{n-k+1}{k}r\right]^{n+1}}, \quad r \in (0, \infty), \quad (21)$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the F distribution ($F(n-k+1, k)$) with parameters $n-k+1$ and k , which are positive integers known as the degrees of freedom for the numerator and the degrees of freedom for the denominator.

Proof: It follows from (19) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_k} \int_{\frac{k}{n-k+1} \frac{1-F_\rho(y_k)}{F_\rho(y_k)}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_k} P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k | n). \quad (22)$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[1 - \int_{\frac{k}{n-k+1} \frac{1-F_\rho(y_k^L)}{F_\rho(y_k^L)}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \quad (23)$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ -content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ &= \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{\frac{k}{n-k+1} \frac{1-F_\rho(y_k^L)}{F_\rho(y_k^L)}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{\frac{k}{n-k+1} \frac{1-F_\rho(y_k^U)}{F_\rho(y_k^U)}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n-k+1,k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ &= [y_k^L, y_k^U]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

II. Adequate mathematical models of conditional cumulative distribution functions of order statistic for constructing one-sided tolerance limits (or two-sided tolerance interval) in new (future) data samples under parametric uncertainty

Theorem 2: Let us assume that $Y_1 \leq \dots \leq Y_n$ will be a new (future) random sample of n ordered observations from a known distribution with a probability density function (pdf) $f_\rho(y)$, cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F_\rho(y)$, where ρ is the parameter (in general, vector). Then the adequate mathematical models for a conditional cumulative distribution function (ccdf) of the l th order statistic Y_l , $l \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, to construct one-sided γ -content tolerance limits (or two-sided tolerance interval) for Y_l ($1 \leq k < l \leq n$), given $Y_k = y_k$, with confidence level β , are determined as follows:

E. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 5 of a Conditional Cumulative Distribution Function of the l th Order Statistic Y_l is given by

$$\int_0^{1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n) = \sum_{j=l-k}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^j \left[\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^{n-k-j}, \quad (25)$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit y_l^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[\int_0^{1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \quad (26)$$

where $\bar{F}_\mu(z) = 1 - F_\mu(z)$,

$$f_{l-k,n-l+1}(r) = \frac{r^{l-k-1}(1-r)^{(n-l+1)-1}}{B(l-k, n-l+1)}, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (27)$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the beta distribution ($Beta(l-k, n-l+1)$) with shape parameters $l-k$ and $n-l+1$.

Proof: It follows from (25) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_l} \int_0^{1-\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k,n-l+1}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_l} P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n). \quad (28)$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[1 - \int_0^{1-\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k,n-l+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \quad (29)$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ – content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\arg_{y_l^L} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg_{y_l^U} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ &= \left[\arg_{y_k} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{1-\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k,n-l+1}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg_{y_k} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{1-\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k,n-l+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ &= [y_l^L, y_l^U]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

F. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 6 of a Conditional Cumulative Distribution Function of the l th Order Statistic Y_l is given by

$$\int_{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^1 f_{n-l+1,l-k}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n) = \sum_{j=l-k}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^j \left[\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^{n-k-j}, \quad (31)$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit y_l^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[\int_{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^1 f_{n-l+1,l-k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \quad (32)$$

where $\bar{F}_\rho(y) = 1 - F_\rho(y)$,

$$f_{n-l+1,l-k}(r) = \frac{r^{(n-l+1)-1}(1-r)^{l-k-1}}{B(n-l+1, l-k)}, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (33)$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the beta distribution ($Beta(n-l+1, l-k)$) with shape parameters $n-l+1$ and $l-k$.

Proof: It follows from (31) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_l} \int_{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^1 f_{n-l+1,l-k}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_l} P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n). \quad (34)$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[1 - \int_{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^1 f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \tag{35}$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ – content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\arg_{y_l^L} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg_{y_l^U} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = \left[\arg_{y_l^L} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left[\int_{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^1 f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right] \right\} = \beta \right), \arg_{y_k^U} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left[\int_{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^1 f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ & = [y_l^L, y_l^U]. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This ends the proof.

G. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 7 of a Conditional Cumulative Distribution Function of the l th Order Statistic Y_l is given by

$$\frac{n-l+1}{l-k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right) \int_0^{\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n) = \sum_{j=l-k}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^j \left[\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^{n-k-j}, \tag{37}$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit y_l^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[\int_0^{\frac{n-l+1}{l-k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right) \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \tag{38}$$

where $\bar{F}_\rho(y) = 1 - F_\rho(y)$,

$$f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) = \frac{l-k}{n-l+1} \frac{\left[\frac{l-k}{n-l+1} r \right]^{l-k-1}}{\left[1 + \frac{l-k}{n-l+1} r \right]^{n-k+1}}, \tag{39}$$

$r \in (0, \infty)$,

is the probability density function (pdf) of the F distribution ($F(l-k, n-l+1)$) with parameters $l-k$ and $n-l+1$, which are positive integers known as the degrees of freedom for the numerator and the degrees of freedom for the denominator.

Proof: It follows from (36) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_l} \int_0^{\frac{n-l+1}{l-k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right) \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_l} P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n). \tag{40}$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left[1 - \int_0^{\frac{n-l+1}{l-k} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right) \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \tag{41}$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ – content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] = \left[\arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{\frac{n-l+1 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{\frac{n-l+1 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}} f_{l-k, n-l+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] = [y_l^L, y_l^U]. \tag{42}$$

This ends the proof.

H. Adequate Applied Mathematical Model 8 of a Conditional Cumulative Distribution Function of the l th Order Statistic Y_l is given by

$$\int_0^{\frac{l-k \bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{n-l+1 \bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \bigg/ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right)} f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr = P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n) = \sum_{j=l-k}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^j \left[\frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right]^{n-k-j} \tag{43}$$

In the above case, a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit y_l^U with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{\frac{l-k \bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{n-l+1 \bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \bigg/ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right)} f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta, \tag{44}$$

where $\bar{F}_\rho(y) = 1 - F_\rho(y)$,

$$f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) = \frac{l-k}{n-l+1} \frac{\left[\frac{l-k}{n-l+1} r \right]^{l-k-1}}{\text{B}(l-k, n-l+1) \left[1 + \frac{l-k}{n-l+1} r \right]^{n-k+1}}, \quad r \in (0, \infty), \tag{45}$$

is the probability density function (pdf) of the F distribution ($F(n-l+1, l-k)$) with parameters $n-l+1$ and $l-k$, which are positive integers known as the degrees of freedom for the numerator and the degrees of freedom for the denominator.

Proof: It follows from (36) that

$$\frac{d}{dy_l} \int_0^{\frac{l-k \bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{n-l+1 \bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \bigg/ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right)} f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dy_l} P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l | Y_k = y_k; n). \tag{46}$$

This ends the proof.

A (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$E \left\{ \Pr \left(1 - \int_0^{\frac{l-k \bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{n-l+1 \bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \bigg/ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)} \right)} f_{n-l+1, l-k}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta. \tag{47}$$

A (γ, β) two-sided γ – content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using the following formula:

$$\left[\arg_{y_l^L} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l > y_l^L | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \arg_{y_l^U} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\Pr \left(P_\rho(Y_l \leq y_l^U | Y_k = y_k; n) \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \right. \\ = \left[\arg_{y_l^L} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{\frac{l-k}{n-l+1} \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^L)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^{\infty} f_{n-l+1, l-k, \cdot}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right), \right. \\ \left. \arg_{y_l^U} \left(E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_{\frac{l-k}{n-l+1} \frac{\bar{F}_\rho(y_l^U)}{\bar{F}_\rho(y_k)}}^{\infty} f_{n-l+1, l-k, \cdot}(r) dr \geq \gamma \right) \right\} = \beta \right) \right] \\ = [y_l^L, y_l^U]. \tag{48}$$

This ends the proof.

III. Two-parameter exponential distribution

Let $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1 \dots \leq Y_m)$ be the first m ordered observations (order statistics) in a sample of size h from the two-parameter exponential distribution with the probability density function

$$f_\rho(y) = \mathcal{G}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{y-\nu}{\mathcal{G}}\right), \quad \mathcal{G} > 0, \nu \geq 0, \tag{49}$$

and the cumulative probability distribution function

$$F_\rho(y) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{y-\nu}{\mathcal{G}}\right), \quad \bar{F}_\rho(y) = 1 - F_\rho(y) = \exp\left(-\frac{y-\nu}{\mathcal{G}}\right), \tag{50}$$

where $\rho = (\nu, \mathcal{G})$, ν is the shift parameter and \mathcal{G} is the scale parameter. It is assumed that these parameters are unknown. In Type II censoring, which is of primary interest here, the number of survivors is fixed and Y is a random variable. In this case, the likelihood function is given by

$$L(\nu, \mathcal{G}) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_\rho(y_i) (\bar{F}_\rho(y_m))^{h-m} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^m} \exp\left(-\left[\sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \nu) + (h-m)(y_m - \nu)\right] / \mathcal{G}\right) \\ = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^m} \exp\left(-\left[\sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - y_1 + y_1 - \nu) + (h-m)(y_m - y_1 + y_1 - \nu)\right] / \mathcal{G}\right) \\ = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^{m-1}} \exp\left(-\left[\sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - y_1) + (h-m)(y_m - y_1)\right] / \mathcal{G}\right) \\ \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(-\frac{h(y_1 - \nu)}{\mathcal{G}}\right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^{m-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{s_m}{\mathcal{G}}\right) \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(-\frac{h(s_1 - \nu)}{\mathcal{G}}\right), \tag{51}$$

where

$$\mathbf{S} = \left(S_1 = Y_1, S_m = \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i - Y_1) + (h-m)(Y_m - Y_1) \right) \tag{52}$$

is the complete sufficient statistic for ρ . The probability density function of $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_m)$ is given by

$$f_\rho(s_1, s_m) = \frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^{m-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{s_m}{\mathcal{G}}\right) \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(-\frac{h(s_1 - \nu)}{\mathcal{G}}\right)}{\frac{1}{s_m^{m-2}} \int_0^{s_m-2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^{m-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{s_m}{\mathcal{G}}\right) ds_m \times \frac{1}{q} \int_0^\infty \frac{h}{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(-\frac{h(s_1 - \nu)}{\mathcal{G}}\right) ds_1} = \frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}^{m-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{s_m}{\mathcal{G}}\right) \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(-\frac{h(s_1 - \nu)}{\mathcal{G}}\right)}{\frac{\Gamma(m-1)}{s_m^{m-2}} \times \frac{1}{h}} \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1) \mathcal{G}^{m-1} s_m^{m-2}} \exp\left(-\frac{s_m}{\mathcal{G}}\right) \times \frac{h}{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(-\frac{h(s_1 - \nu)}{\mathcal{G}}\right) = f_\mathcal{G}(s_m) f_\rho(s_1), \tag{53}$$

where

$$f_{\rho}(s_1) = \frac{h}{g} \exp\left(-\frac{h(s_1 - \nu)}{g}\right), \quad s_1 \geq \nu, \tag{54}$$

$$f_g(s_m) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1)g^{m-1}} s_m^{m-2} \exp\left(-\frac{s_m}{g}\right), \quad s_m \geq 0. \tag{55}$$

$$V_1 = \frac{S_1 - \nu}{g} \tag{56}$$

is the pivotal quantity, the probability density function of which is given by

$$f_1(v_1) = h \exp(-hv_1), \quad v_1 \geq 0, \tag{57}$$

$$V_m = \frac{S_m}{g} \tag{58}$$

is the pivotal quantity, the probability density function of which is given by

$$f_m(v_m) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1)} v_m^{m-2} \exp(-v_m), \quad v_m \geq 0. \tag{59}$$

A. Constructing a (γ, β) upper, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β for the case of Model 1

Theorem 3: Let $Y_1 \leq \dots \leq Y_m$ be the first m ordered observations from the preliminary sample of size h from a two-parameter exponential distribution defined by the probability density function (49). Then the upper one-sided γ -content tolerance limit (with a confidence level β) y_k^U on the k th order statistic Y_k from a set of n future ordered observations $Y_1 \leq \dots \leq Y_n$ also from the distribution (49), which satisfies

$$E\left\{\Pr\left(P_{\rho}(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma\right)\right\} = \beta, \tag{60}$$

is given by

$$y_k^U = \begin{cases} S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\Omega_{\gamma}^h}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right], & \text{if } \left(\frac{\Omega_{\gamma}^h}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \leq 1, \\ S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left[\left(\frac{\Omega_{\gamma}^h}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} - 1 \right], & \text{if } \left(\frac{\Omega_{\gamma}^h}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} > 1, \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

where

$$\Omega_{\gamma} = 1 - q_{(k, n-k+1), \gamma}(\text{Beta}(k, n-k+1), \gamma \text{ quantile}). \tag{62}$$

Proof: It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left\{\Pr\left(P_{\rho}(Y_k \leq y_k^U | n) \geq \gamma\right)\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\Pr\left(\int_0^{F_{\rho}(y_k^U)} f_{k, n-k+1}(r) dr \geq \gamma\right)\right\} = E\left\{\Pr\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{y_k^U - \nu}{g}\right) \geq q_{k, n-k+1; \gamma}\right)\right\} = E\left\{\Pr\left(\exp\left(-\frac{y_k^U - \nu}{g}\right) \leq 1 - q_{k, n-k+1; \gamma}\right)\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\Pr\left(-\frac{y_k^U - \nu}{g} \leq \ln(1 - q_{k, n-k+1; \gamma})\right)\right\} = E\left\{\Pr\left(\frac{y_k^U - \nu}{g} \geq -\ln(1 - q_{k, n-k+1; \gamma})\right)\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\Pr\left(\frac{y_k^U - S_1}{S_m} \frac{S_m}{g} + \frac{S_1 - \nu}{g} \geq -\ln(1 - q_{k, n-k+1; \gamma})\right)\right\} = E\left\{\Pr\left(\frac{S_1 - \nu}{g} \geq -\frac{y_k^U - S_1}{S_m} \frac{S_m}{g} - \ln(1 - q_{k, n-k+1; \gamma})\right)\right\} \\ &= E\left\{\Pr\left(V_1 \geq -\eta_k^U V_m - \ln \Omega_{\gamma}\right)\right\} = E\left\{1 - \Pr\left(V_1 \leq -\eta_k^U V_m - \ln \Omega_{\gamma}\right)\right\} = E\left\{1 - \int_0^{-\eta_k^U V_m - \ln \Omega_{\gamma}} f_1(v_1) dv_1\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\eta_k^U = \frac{y_k^U - S_1}{S_m}. \tag{64}$$

It follows from (63) and (64) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left\{ 1 - \int_0^{-\eta_k^U V_m - \ln \Omega_\gamma} f_1(v_1) dv_1 \right\} &= E \left\{ 1 - \int_0^{-\eta_k^U V_m - \ln \Omega_\gamma} h \exp(-hv_1) dv_1 \right\} \\
 &= E \left\{ 1 - \left[1 - \exp(-h[-\eta_k^U V_m - \ln \Omega_\gamma]) \right] \right\} = E \left\{ \exp(h\eta_k^U V_m) \exp(\ln \Omega_\gamma^h) \right\} = E \left\{ \Omega_\gamma^h \exp(h\eta_k^U V_m) \right\} \\
 &= \int_0^\infty (\Omega_\gamma^h \exp(h\eta_k^U v_m)) f_m(v_m) dv_m \\
 &= \int_0^\infty (\Omega_\gamma^h \exp(h\eta_k^U v_m)) \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1)} v_m^{m-2} \exp(-v_m) dv_m = \Omega_\gamma^h \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1)} v_m^{m-2} \exp(-v_m [1 - h\eta_k^U]) dv_m \\
 &= \frac{\Omega_\gamma^h}{[1 - h\eta_k^U]^{m-1}} = \beta.
 \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

It follows from (64) and (65) that

$$\eta_k^U = \frac{y_k^U - S_1}{S_m} = \frac{1}{h} \left(1 - \left[\frac{\Omega_\gamma^h}{\beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right). \tag{66}$$

It follows from (66) that

$$y_k^U = S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left(1 - \left[\frac{\Omega_\gamma^h}{\beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right). \tag{67}$$

Then (61) follows from (67), this ends the proof.

B. Constructing a (γ, β) lower, one-sided γ – content tolerance limit with confidence level β for the case of Model 1

Theorem 4: Let $Y_1 \leq \dots \leq Y_m$ be the first m ordered observations from the preliminary sample of size h from a two-parameter exponential distribution defined by the probability density function (49). Then the lower one-sided γ -content tolerance limit (with a confidence level β) y_k^L on the k th order statistic Y_k from a set of n future ordered observations $Y_1 \leq \dots \leq Y_n$ also from the distribution (49), which satisfies

$$E \left\{ \Pr(P_\mu(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma) \right\} = \beta, \tag{68}$$

is given by

$$y_k^L = \begin{cases} S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right], & \text{if } \left(\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \leq 1, \\ S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left[\left(\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} - 1 \right], & \text{if } \left(\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} > 1, \end{cases} \tag{69}$$

where

$$\Omega_{1-\gamma} = 1 - q_{(k, n-k+1), 1-\gamma} (\text{Beta}(k, n-k+1), 1-\gamma \text{ quantile}). \tag{70}$$

Proof: It follows from (3) and (5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left\{ \Pr(P_\rho(Y_k > y_k^L | n) \geq \gamma) \right\} &= E \left\{ \Pr \left(\int_0^{F_\rho(y_k^L)} f_{k, n-k+1}(r) dr \leq 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(\exp \left(-\frac{y_k^L - \nu}{g} \right) \geq 1 - q_{k, n-k+1; 1-\gamma} \right) \right\} \\
 &= E \left\{ \Pr \left(\frac{y_k^L - S_1}{S_m} \frac{S_m}{g} + \frac{S_1 - \nu}{g} \leq -\ln(1 - q_{k, n-k+1; 1-\gamma}) \right) \right\} = E \left\{ \Pr \left(\frac{S_1 - \nu}{g} \leq -\frac{y_k^L - S_1}{S_m} \frac{S_m}{g} - \ln(1 - q_{k, n-k+1; 1-\gamma}) \right) \right\} \\
 &= E \left\{ \Pr(V_1 \leq -\eta_k^L V_m - \ln \Omega_{1-\gamma}) \right\} = E \left\{ \int_0^{-\eta_k^L V_m - \ln \Omega_{1-\gamma}} f_1(v_1) dv_1 \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where

$$\eta_k^L = \frac{y_k^L - S_1}{S_m} \tag{72}$$

It follows from (57) and (71) that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left\{ \int_0^{-\eta_k^L V_m - \ln \Omega_{1-\gamma}} f_1(v_1) dv_1 \right\} &= E \left\{ \int_0^{-\eta_k^L V_m - \ln \Omega_{1-\gamma}} h \exp(-hv_1) dv_1 \right\} \\ &= E \left\{ 1 - \exp(-h[-\eta_k^L V_m - \ln \Omega_{1-\gamma}]) \right\} = E \left\{ 1 - \exp(h\eta_k^L V_m) \exp(q \ln \Omega_{1-\gamma}) \right\} = E \left\{ 1 - \Omega_{1-\gamma}^h \exp(h\eta_k^L V_m) \right\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - \Omega_{1-\gamma}^h \exp(h\eta_k^L v_m)) f_m(v_m) dv_m \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - \Omega_{1-\gamma}^h \exp(h\eta_k^L v_m)) \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1)} v_m^{m-2} \exp(-v_m) dv_m = 1 - \Omega_{1-\gamma}^h \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-1)} v_m^{m-2} \exp(-v_m [1 - h\eta_k^L]) dv_m \\ &= 1 - \frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{[1 - h\eta_k^L]^{m-1}} = \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

It follows from (72) and (73) that

$$\eta_{L_k} = \frac{y_k^L - S_1}{S_m} = \frac{1}{h} \left(1 - \left[\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{1 - \beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right) \tag{74}$$

It follows from (74) that

$$y_k^L = S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left(1 - \left[\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{1 - \beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right) \tag{75}$$

Then (69) follows from (75), this ends the proof.

C. Numerical Practical Example

Let us assume that $k=5, m=8, h=10, n=12, \gamma = \beta = 0.95$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} &= \left(S_1 = Y_1 = 9, S_m = \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i - Y_1) + (h-m)(Y_m - Y_1) \right) \\ &= (S_1 = 9, S_m = 0 + 1 + 2 + 4 + 6 + 10 + 15 + 23 + (10-8)23 = 107), \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Then, the $(\gamma = 0.95, \beta = 0.95)$ upper, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit y_k^U with confidence level β can be obtained from (61), where the quantile of $Beta(k, n-k+1), \gamma$ is given by

$$q_{(k, n-k+1), \gamma} = 0.609138, \tag{77}$$

$$\Omega_{1-\gamma} = 1 - q_{(k, n-k+1), 1-\gamma} = 1 - 0.609138 = 0.390862. \tag{78}$$

It follows from (61), (76) and (78) that

$$y_k^U = S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\Omega_{1-\gamma}^h}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right] = 9 + \frac{107}{10} \left[\left(1 - \frac{[0.390862]^{10}}{0.95} \right)^{\frac{1}{8-1}} \right] = 9 + 7.883285 = 16.883285. \tag{79}$$

The $(\gamma = 0.95, \beta = 0.95)$ lower, one-sided γ -content tolerance limit y_k^L with confidence level β can be obtained from (69), where the quantile of $Beta(k, n-k+1), 1-\gamma$ is given by

$$q_{(k, n-k+1), 1-\gamma} = 0.181025, \tag{80}$$

$$\Omega_{1-\gamma} = 1 - q_{(k, n-k+1), 1-\gamma} = 1 - 0.181025 = 0.818975. \tag{81}$$

It follows from (69), (76) and (81) that

$$y_k^L = S_1 + \frac{S_m}{h} \left[\left(\frac{\Omega_\gamma^h}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} - 1 \right] = 9 + \frac{107}{10} \left[\left(\frac{[0.818975]^{10}}{1-0.95} \right)^{\frac{1}{8-1}} - 1 \right] = 9 + \frac{107}{10} [1.15335326 - 1] = 10.64088. \quad (82)$$

The $(\gamma = 0.95, \beta = 0.95)$ two-sided γ -content tolerance interval with confidence level β can be obtained by using (6), (79) and (82): $[y_k^L, y_k^U] = [10.64088, 16.883285]$. (83)

IV. New intelligent transformation technique for derivation of the density function of the student’s T distribution

Theorem 5: If $W_1 \in N(0,1)$ and $W_2 \in \chi^2(\nu)$ are independent random variables, then

$$W_1 / \sqrt{W_2 / \nu} = T(\nu), \quad (84)$$

where $t(\nu)$ follows the student’s t distribution with ν degrees of freedom,

$$t(\nu) \sim f(t) = \frac{\Gamma((\nu+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi\nu} \Gamma(\nu/2)} \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu} \right]^{-(\nu+1)/2}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \quad (85)$$

Proof:

$$w_1 \sim f_1(w_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{w_1^2}{2}\right), \quad -\infty < w_1 < \infty, \quad (86)$$

where

$$w_1 = t \left[\frac{w_2}{\nu} \right]^{1/2}, \quad dw_1 = \left[\frac{w_2}{\nu} \right]^{1/2} dt. \quad (87)$$

It follows from (86) and (87) that

$$f_1(w_1)dw_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{w_1^2}{2}\right)dw_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2[w_2/\nu]}{2}\right) \left[\frac{w_2}{\nu} \right]^{1/2} dt = f(t|w_2)dt, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \quad (88)$$

$$w_2 \sim f_2(w_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu/2)2^{\nu/2}} w_2^{(\nu/2)-1} \exp\left(-\frac{w_2}{2}\right), \quad 0 < w_2 < \infty. \quad (89)$$

It follows from (88) and (89) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \int_0^\infty f(t|w_2)f_2(w_2)dw_2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2[w_2/\nu]}{2}\right) \left[\frac{w_2}{\nu} \right]^{1/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu/2)2^{\nu/2}} w_2^{(\nu/2)-1} \exp\left(-\frac{w_2}{2}\right)dw_2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}\Gamma(\nu/2)2^{(\nu+1)/2}} w_2^{(\nu+1)/2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{w_2}{2}\left[1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu}\right]\right)dw_2 = \frac{\Gamma((\nu+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi\nu} \Gamma(\nu/2)} \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu} \right]^{-(\nu+1)/2}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

This ends the proof.

V. Confidence interval for the difference of means of two different normal populations

In most applications, two populations are compared using the difference in the means. Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m be a sample of size m from a normal population having mean μ_m and variance σ_m^2 and let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be a sample of size n from a different normal population having mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 and suppose that the two samples are independent of each other. We are interested in constructing a confidence interval for $\mu_m - \mu_n$. To obtain this confidence interval, we need the distribution of $\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n$, where

$$\bar{U}_m = \sum_{i=1}^m U_i / m \sim N(\mu_m, \sigma_m^2/m), \quad \bar{Z}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i / n \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2/n). \quad (91)$$

It follows from (91) that

$$\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n \sim N\left(\mu_m - \mu_n, \frac{\sigma_m^2}{m} + \frac{\sigma_n^2}{n}\right). \quad (92)$$

It follows from (92) that

$$\frac{\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n} = W_1 \sim N(0,1). \tag{93}$$

This is independent of

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (U_i - \bar{U}_m)^2 / \sigma_m^2 = \frac{(m-1) \sum_{i=1}^m (U_i - \bar{U}_m)^2}{\sigma_m^2 (m-1)} = \frac{(m-1)S_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} \sim \chi_{m-1}^2 \tag{94}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \bar{Z}_n)^2 / \sigma_n^2 = \frac{(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \bar{Z}_n)^2}{\sigma_n^2 (n-1)} = \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2, \tag{95}$$

where

$$\frac{(m-1)S_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} + \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} = W_2 \sim \chi^2(m+n-2). \tag{96}$$

Taking (84), (93) and (96) into account, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W_1}{\sqrt{W_2 / (m+n-2)}} &= \frac{\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_m^2 + \sigma_n^2}} / (m+n-2) \\ &= \frac{\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)}{\sqrt{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n-2}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n}} = T(m+n-2) \sim f(t), \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where $T(m+n-2)$ is a t -random variable with $m+n-2$ degrees of freedom,

$$f(t) = \frac{\Gamma((m+n-1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi(m+n-2)} \Gamma((m+n-2)/2)} \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{m+n-2} \right]^{-(m+n-1)/2}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \tag{98}$$

Using (97) and (98), it can be obtained a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} P(t_1 \leq T(m+n-2) \leq t_2) &= P\left(t_1 \leq \frac{\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)}{\sqrt{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}} \frac{\sqrt{m+n-2}}{\sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n}} \leq t_2 \right) \\ &= P\left(\begin{aligned} t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n} \leq \bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n) \\ \leq t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n} \end{aligned} \right) = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

by suitably choosing the decision variables t_1 and t_2 . Hence, the statistical confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)$ is given by

$$\left[t_1 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n}}, t_2 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n}} \right]. \tag{100}$$

The length of the statistical confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(t_1, t_2) &= \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n} \\ &= (t_2 - t_1) \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \sigma_n^2 / n}. \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

In order to find the confidence interval of shortest-length for $\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)$, we should find a pair of decision variables t_1 and t_2 such that (101) is minimum.

It follows from (98) and (99) that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t)dt = \int_0^{t_2} f(t)dt - \int_0^{t_1} f(t)dt = (1 - \alpha + p) - p = 1 - \alpha, \tag{102}$$

where p ($0 \leq p \leq \alpha$) is a decision variable,

$$\int_0^{t_2} f(t)dt = 1 - \alpha + p \tag{103}$$

and

$$\int_0^{t_1} f(t)dt = p. \tag{104}$$

Then t_2 represents the $(1 - \alpha + p)$ -quantile, which is given by

$$t_2 = q_{1-\alpha+p; t(m+n-2)}, \tag{105}$$

t_1 represents the p -quantile, which is given by

$$t_1 = q_{p; t(m+n-2)}. \tag{106}$$

The shortest length confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n - (\mu_m - \mu_n)$ can be found as follows:

Minimize

$$(t_2 - t_1)^2 = (q_{1-\alpha+p; t(m+n-2)} - q_{p; t(m+n-2)})^2 \tag{107}$$

subject to

$$0 \leq p \leq \alpha, \tag{108}$$

The optimal numerical solution minimizing $(t_2 - t_1)^2$ can be obtained using the standard computer software ‘‘Solver’’ of Excel 2016. If $\sigma_m^2 = \sigma_n^2$, it follows from (101) that

$$L\left(t_1, t_2 \mid \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{mn}}\right) = (t_2 - t_1) \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{mn}}. \tag{109}$$

If, for example, $m=58, n=27, \alpha = 0.05, \bar{U}_m = 70.7, \bar{Z}_n = 76.13, S_m^2 = (1.8)^2, S_n^2 = (2.42)^2$, then the optimal numerical solution of (107) is given by

$$p = 0.025, \quad t_1 = q_{p; t(m+n-2)} = -1.98896, \quad t_2 = q_{1-\alpha+p; t(m+n-2)} = 1.98896 \tag{110}$$

and it follows from (99) and (109) that the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval of shortest-length (or equal tails) for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is given by

$$(\mu_m - \mu_n) \in \left(\begin{aligned} & \left(\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n \right) - t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{mn}}, \\ & \left(\bar{U}_m - \bar{Z}_n \right) - t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{mn}} \end{aligned} \right) = (-6.330947, -4.52905) \tag{111}$$

or

$$-6.330947 \leq \mu_m - \mu_n \leq -4.52905. \tag{112}$$

VI. Confidence interval for the ratio of means of two different normal populations

Ratio in the means is used to compare two populations of positive data. Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m be a sample of size m from a normal population having mean μ_m and variance σ_m^2 and let U_1, \dots, U_n be a sample of size n from a different normal population having mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 and suppose that the two samples are independent of each other. We are interested in constructing a confidence interval for the ratio of means (μ_m, μ_n) of two different normal populations To obtain this confidence interval, we need the distribution of $\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n$, where

$$\bar{U}_m = \sum_{i=1}^m U_i / m \sim N(\mu_m, \sigma_m^2/m), \quad \bar{U}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i / n \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2/n). \tag{113}$$

It can be shown that

$$\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n \sim N\left(\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n, \frac{\sigma_m^2}{m} + \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma_n^2}{n}\right) \tag{114}$$

or

$$\frac{\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_m^2}{m} + \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma_n^2}{n}}} = W_1 \sim N(0,1). \tag{115}$$

This is independent of

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (U_i - \bar{U}_m)^2 / \sigma_m^2 = \frac{(m-1) \sum_{i=1}^m (U_i - \bar{U}_m)^2}{\sigma_m^2 (m-1)} = \frac{(m-1)S_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} \sim \chi_{m-1}^2 \tag{116}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (U_j - \bar{U}_n)^2 / \sigma_n^2 = \frac{(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n (U_j - \bar{U}_n)^2}{\sigma_n^2 (n-1)} = \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2, \tag{117}$$

where

$$\frac{(m-1)S_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} + \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_n^2} = W_2 \sim \chi^2(m+n-2). \tag{118}$$

It follows from (84), (115) and (118) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W_1}{\sqrt{W_2 / (m+n-2)}} &= \frac{\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_m^2}{m} + \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma_n^2}{n}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left[\frac{(m-1)S_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} + \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_n^2}\right] / (m+n-2)}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)}{\sqrt{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n-2}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}} = T(m+n-2) \sim f(t), \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

where $T(m+n-2)$ is a t -random variable with $m+n-2$ degrees of freedom. Taking Theorem 5 into account, we have that

$$f(t) = \frac{\Gamma((m+n-1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi(m+n-2)} \Gamma((m+n-2)/2)} \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{m+n-2}\right]^{-(m+n-1)/2}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \tag{120}$$

Using (119) and (120), it can be obtained a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(t_1 \leq T(m+n-2 | \bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)) \leq t_2\right) \\ &= P\left(t_1 \leq \frac{\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)}{\sqrt{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+n-2}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}} \leq t_2\right) \\ &= P\left(t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}} \leq \bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n) \leq t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}}\right) = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

By suitably choosing the decision variables t_1 and t_2 . Hence, the statistical confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa \mu_n)$ is given by

$$\left[t_1 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_m^2}{m} + \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma_n^2}{n}}}, t_2 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_m^2}{m} + \frac{\kappa^2 \sigma_n^2}{n}}} \right]. \tag{122}$$

The length of the statistical confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \kappa\bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa\mu_n)$ is given by

$$L\left(t_1, t_2 \mid \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}\right) = (t_2 - t_1) \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 / \sigma_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2 / \sigma_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\sigma_m^2 / m + \kappa^2 \sigma_n^2 / n}. \tag{123}$$

In order to find the confidence interval of shortest-length for $\bar{U}_m - \kappa\bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa\mu_n)$, we should find a pair of decision variables t_1 and t_2 such that (123) is minimum. It follows from (121) and (123) that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t)dt = \int_0^{t_2} f(t)dt - \int_0^{t_1} f(t)dt = (1 - \alpha + p) - p = 1 - \alpha, \tag{124}$$

where p ($0 \leq p \leq \alpha$) is a decision variable,

$$\int_0^{t_2} f(t)dt = 1 - \alpha + p \tag{125}$$

and

$$\int_0^{t_1} f(t)dt = p. \tag{126}$$

Then t_2 represents the $(1 - \alpha + p)$ -quantile, which is given by

$$t_2 = q_{1-\alpha+p; t(m+n-2)}, \tag{127}$$

t_1 represents the p -quantile, which is given by

$$t_1 = q_{p; t(m+n-2)}. \tag{128}$$

The shortest length confidence interval for $\bar{U}_m - \kappa\bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa\mu_n)$ can be found as follows:

Minimize

$$(t_2 - t_1)^2 = (q_{1-\alpha+p; t(m+n-2)} - q_{p; t(m+n-2)})^2 \tag{129}$$

subject to

$$0 \leq p \leq \alpha, \tag{130}$$

The optimal numerical solution minimizing $(t_2 - t_1)^2$ can be obtained using the standard computer software ‘‘Solver’’ of Excel 2016. If $\sigma_m^2 = \sigma_n^2$, it follows from (123) that

$$L\left(t_1, t_2 \mid \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \kappa^2}{m + n}}\right) = (t_2 - t_1) \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \kappa^2}{m + n}}. \tag{131}$$

If, for example, $m=6, n=4, \alpha = 0.05, \bar{U}_m = 117.5, \bar{U}_n = 126.8, S_m^2 = (9.7)^2, S_n^2 = (12)^2$, then the optimal numerical solution of (129) is given by

$$p = 0.025, \quad t_1 = q_{p; t(m+n-2)} = -2.306, \quad t_2 = q_{1-\alpha+p; t(m+n-2)} = 2.306 \tag{132}$$

and it follows from (121) and (131) that the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval of shortest-length (or equal tails) for $\mu_1 - \kappa\mu_2$ is given by

$$\left(\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_m - \kappa\bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa\mu_n) &\geq t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \kappa^2}{m + n}}, \\ \bar{U}_m - \kappa\bar{U}_n - (\mu_m - \kappa\mu_n) &\leq t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \kappa^2}{m + n}} \end{aligned} \right) \tag{133}$$

If $\kappa = 1$, it follows from (133) that

$$(\mu_m - \mu_n) \in \left(\begin{aligned} (\bar{U}_m - \bar{U}_n) - t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 1}{m + n}}, \\ (\bar{U}_m - \bar{U}_n) - t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 1}{m + n}} \end{aligned} \right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (117.5 - 126.8) - 2.306 \times 10.6 \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4}}, \\ (117.5 - 126.8) + 2.306 \times 10.6 \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4}} \end{pmatrix} = (-25.07, 6.47) \quad (134)$$

or

$$-25.07 < \mu_m - \mu_n < 6.47. \quad (135)$$

An analytical expression for determining the optimal value of κ (the ratio in means of two different normal populations) can be obtained from (121), where it is assumed that $\sigma_m^2 = \sigma_n^2$ and $(\mu_m - \kappa\mu_n) = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{l} t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n} \\ \leq \bar{U}_m - \kappa \bar{U}_n \\ \leq t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \kappa \bar{U}_n + t_1 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n} \leq \bar{U}_m, \\ \bar{U}_m \leq \kappa \bar{U}_n + t_2 \sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n} \end{array} \right) \\ & = \left(\begin{array}{l} \kappa + t_1 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n}}{\bar{U}_n} \leq \frac{\bar{U}_m}{\bar{U}_n}, \\ \frac{\bar{U}_m}{\bar{U}_n} \leq \kappa + t_2 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n}}{\bar{U}_n} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \kappa \leq \frac{\bar{U}_m}{\bar{U}_n} - t_1 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n}}{\bar{U}_n}, \\ \kappa \geq \frac{\bar{U}_m}{\bar{U}_n} - t_2 \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)S_m^2 + (n-1)S_n^2}{m+n-2}} \sqrt{1/m + \kappa^2/n}}{\bar{U}_n} \end{array} \right) \\ & = \left(\begin{array}{l} \kappa \leq 0.926656 + 2.306 \frac{10.6}{126.8} \sqrt{1/6 + \kappa^2/4}, \\ \kappa \geq 0.926656 - 2.306 \frac{10.6}{126.8} \sqrt{1/6 + \kappa^2/4} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \kappa \leq 0.926656 + 0.192773 \sqrt{0.166667 + 0.25\kappa^2}, \\ \kappa \geq 0.926656 - 0.192773 \sqrt{0.166667 + 0.25\kappa^2} \end{array} \right) \\ & \Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{minimize:} \\ \left(\kappa - 0.926656 - 0.192773 \sqrt{0.166667 + 0.25\kappa^2} \right)^2, \\ \left(\kappa - 0.926656 + 0.192773 \sqrt{0.166667 + 0.25\kappa^2} \right)^2, \\ \text{subject to: } \kappa \geq 0. \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{l} \kappa \leq 1.05526, \\ \kappa \geq 0.815431 \end{array} \right). \quad (136)$$

Thus, it follows from (136) that

$$\kappa \in (0.815431, 1.05526). \quad (137)$$

Conclusion

The new intelligent computational models proposed in this paper are conceptually simple, efficient, and useful for constructing accurate statistical tolerance or prediction limits and shortest-length or equal-tailed confidence intervals under the parametric uncertainty of applied stochastic models. The methods listed above are based on adequate computational models of the cumulative distribution function of order statistics and constructive use of the invariance principle in mathematical statistics. These methods can be used to solve real-life problems in all areas including engineering, science, industry, automation & robotics, machine learning, business & finance, medicine and biomedicine, optimization, planning and scheduling.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Nechval NA, Vasermanis EK. Improved decisions in statistics. Riga: Izglitibas soli; 2004.
2. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Purgailis M, et al. Improved estimation of state of stochastic systems via invariant embedding technique. *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*. 2008;7:141–159.
3. Nechval NA, Nechval KN, Danovich V, et al. Optimization of new-sample and within-sample prediction intervals for order statistics. Proceedings of the 2011 World Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, and Applied Computing, WORLDCOMP'11; 2011 July 18-21; Las Vegas Nevada, USA: CSREA Press; 2011. p. 91–97.
4. Nechval NA, Nechval KN, Berzins G. A new technique for intelligent constructing exact γ -content tolerance limits with expected $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence on future outcomes in the Weibull case using complete or Type II censored data. *AC&CS*. 2018;52:476–488.
5. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. Intelligent technique of constructing exact statistical tolerance limits to predict future outcomes under parametric uncertainty for prognostics and health management of complex systems. *IJCSIA*. 2019;9:30–47.
6. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. A new technique of intelligent constructing unbiased prediction limits on future order statistics coming from an inverse Gaussian distribution under parametric uncertainty. *AC&CS*. 2019;53:223–235.
7. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A novel intelligent technique for product acceptance process optimization on the basis of misclassification probability in the case of log-location-scale distributions. In: Wotawa F, editors. *Advances and Trends in Artificial Intelligence From Theory to Practice IEA/AIE 2019: Lecture Notes in Computer Science*; Switzerland: Springer Nature; 2019;11606:801–818.
8. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A novel intelligent technique of invariant statistical embedding and averaging via pivotal quantities for optimization or improvement of statistical decision rules under parametric uncertainty. *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*. 2020;19:17–38.
9. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. A novel technique for optimization of statistical decisions under parametric uncertainty through invariant statistical embedding and averaging in terms of pivotal quantities. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2020;1603:012022.
10. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. Intelligent constructing optimal airline seat protection levels for multiple nested fare classes of single-leg flights. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2020;1603:012023.
11. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A new technique of invariant statistical embedding and averaging via pivotal quantities for intelligent constructing efficient statistical decisions under parametric uncertainty. *AC&CS*. 2020;54:191–206.
12. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. A new unified computational approach to intelligent constructing shortest-length or equal tails confidence intervals under parametric uncertainty. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2020;206–223.
13. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. Cost-effective planning reliability-based inspections of fatigued structures in the case of log-location-scale distributions of lifetime under parametric uncertainty. In: Piero Baraldi, Francesco Di Maio, Enrico Zio, editors. *ESREL2020-PSAM15: Proceedings of the 30th European Safety and Reliability Conference and the 15th Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management Conference*; 2020 November 1-6; Venice, Italy: 2020. p. 455–462.
14. Nechval NA. Intelligent constructing exact tolerance limits for prediction of future outcomes under parametric uncertainty. In: *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology*. 5th ed. USA: IGI Global; 2020;701–729.
15. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. A novel unified computational approach to constructing shortest-length or equal tails confidence intervals in terms of pivotal quantities and quantile functions. *AC&CS*. 2021;55:66–84.
16. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A new technique of invariant statistical embedding and averaging in terms of pivots for improvement of statistical decisions under parametric uncertainty. In: Arabnia HR, editor. *Advances in Parallel & Distributed Processing, and Applications, Transactions on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence*; CSCE'20-The 2020 World Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, & Applied Computing; 2020 July 27-30; Las Vegas, USA, Switzerland AG: Springer Nature; 2021. p. 257–274.
17. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A new pivot-based approach to constructing prediction limits and shortest-length or equal tails confidence intervals for future outcomes under parametric uncertainty. In: Bruno Castanier, Marko Cepin, David Bigaud, editors. *Proceedings of the 31st European Safety and Reliability Conference (ESREL 2021)*; 2021 September 19-23; Angers, France: Research Publishing; 2021. p. 2886–2893.
18. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. Intelligent constructing efficient statistical decisions via pivot-based elimination of unknown (nuisance) parameters from underlying models. *AC&CS*. 2021;55:469–489.
19. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. Innovative technique for computing shortest length and/or equal tails confidence intervals in reliability and safety under parametric uncertainty. *Pattern Recognition and Information Processing (PRIP'2021): Proceedings of the 15th International Conference*; 2021 Sept 21–24; Minsk, Belarus: 2021. p. 206–210.
20. Nechval NA, Nechval KN, Berzins G. A new unified computational method for finding confidence intervals of shortest length and/or equal tails under parametric uncertainty. *Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence (CSCI)*; 2021 December 15-17; Las Vegas, NV, USA: IEEE; 2021.p. 533 – 539.
21. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A new simple computational method of simultaneous constructing and comparing confidence intervals of shortest length and equal tails for making efficient decisions under parametric uncertainty. In: Yang XS, Sherratt S, Dey N, editors. *Lecture Notes in Network and Systems (LNNS): Proceedings of Sixth International Congress on Information and Communication Technology-ICICT 2021*; 2021 February 25-26; London, United Kingdom: Springer Nature; 2022. p. 473–482.
22. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. A new intelligent method for eliminating unknown (nuisance) parameters from underlying models as an alternative to the Bayesian approach. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2022;1:53–65.

23. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. Intelligent computational approach to constructing adequate statistical decisions under parametric uncertainty of applied stochastic models. Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence; 2022 December 14-16; Las Vegas, United States: IEEE; 2023. p. 522–529.
24. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. Adequate mathematical models of the cumulative distribution function of order statistics to construct accurate tolerance limits and confidence intervals of the shortest length or equal tails. *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*. 2023;20:154–166.
25. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. Optimal statistical estimation and dynamic adaptive control of airline seat protection levels for several nested fare classes under parametric uncertainty of customer demand models. *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*. 2023;22:395–408.
26. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A unified technique for prediction and optimization of future outcomes under parametric uncertainty via pivotal quantities and ancillary statistics. *AC&CS*. 2023;57(3):234–257.
27. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. A novel computational intelligence approach to making efficient decisions under parametric uncertainty of practical models and its applications to industry 4.0. In: *Advanced Signal Processing for Industry 4.0*. IOP Publishing Ltd; 2023;1(7):1–40.
28. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN. New applications of computational intelligence for constructing predictive or optimal statistical decisions under parametric uncertainty. *AC&CS*. 2023;57(5):473–489.
29. Nechval NA, Berzins G, Nechval KN, et al. Adequate intelligent constructing predictive or optimal statistical decisions under parametric uncertainty of applied stochastic models. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Machine Learning*. 2023;17(1):43–81.