



Dispersive and diffusive limits for Ostrovsky–Hunter type equations

Giuseppe Maria Coclite and Lorenzo di Ruvo

Abstract. We consider the equation

$$\partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u) = \gamma u,$$

that includes the short pulse, the Ostrovsky–Hunter, and the Korteweg–deVries ones. We consider here the asymptotic behavior as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. The proof relies on deriving suitable a priori estimates together with an application of the compensated compactness method in the L^p setting.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35G25, 35L65, 35L05.

Keywords. Singular limit, Compensated compactness, Ostrovsky–Hunter equation, Entropy condition.

1. Introduction

The nonlinear evolution equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(u) = \frac{u^2}{2}$, was derived by Korteweg–deVries to model internal solitary waves in the atmosphere and ocean. Here $u(t, x)$ is the amplitude of an appropriate linear long wave mode, with linear long wave speed C_0 . However, when the effects of background rotation through the Coriolis parameter κ need to be taken into account, an extra term is needed, and (1.1) is replaced by

$$\partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u) = \gamma u, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\kappa^2}{2C_0}$ (see [9, 13]), which is known as the Ostrovsky equation (see [24]).

Mathematical properties of the Ostrovsky equation (1.2) were studied in many details, including the local and global well-posedness in energy space [10,

The authors are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per l’Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

[17, 20, 32], stability of solitary waves [15, 18, 21], and convergence of solutions in the limit of the Korteweg–deVries equation [16, 21]. We shall consider the limit of no high-frequency dispersion $\beta = 0$, therefore (1.2) reads

$$\partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u)) = \gamma u, \quad f(u) = \frac{u^2}{2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Equation (1.3) is deduced considering two asymptotic expansions of the shallow water equations, first with respect to the rotation frequency and then with respect to the amplitude of the waves (see [9, 13]). It is known under different names such as the reduced Ostrovsky equation [25, 30], the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation [1], the short-wave equation [12], and the Vakhnenko equation [22, 26].

Integrating (1.3) on x we gain the integro-differential formulation of (1.3) (see [19])

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = \gamma \int^x u(t, y) dy, \quad (1.4)$$

that is equivalent to

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = \gamma P, \quad \partial_x P = u. \quad (1.5)$$

The unique useful conserved quantities are

$$t \longmapsto \int u(t, x) dx = 0, \quad t \longmapsto \int u^2(t, x) dx. \quad (1.6)$$

In the sense that if $u(t, \cdot)$ has zero mean at time $t = 0$, then it will have zero mean at any time $t > 0$. In addition, the L^2 norm of $u(t, \cdot)$ is constant with respect to t .

In [4, 7, 9], it is proved that (1.3) admits an unique entropy solutions in the sense of the following definition

Definition 1.1. We say that $u \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $T > 0$, is an entropy solution of the initial value problem (1.3), if

- (i) u is a distributional solution of (1.4) or equivalently of (1.5);
- (ii) for every convex function $\eta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ the entropy inequality

$$\partial_t \eta(u) + \partial_x q(u) - \gamma \eta'(u) P \leq 0, \quad q(u) = \int^u f'(\xi) \eta'(\xi) d\xi, \quad (1.7)$$

holds in the sense of distributions in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$.

In [2], it is proved the wellposedness of the entropy solutions of (1.4), or (1.5), for the non-homogeneous initial boundary problem, while in [5] it is proved the convergence of the solutions of (1.2) to the discontinuous solutions of (1.4), or (1.5).

If $f(u) = -\frac{1}{6}u^3$, (1.2) reads,

$$\partial_x \left(\partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x(u^3) - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u \right) = \gamma u. \quad (1.8)$$

Equation (1.8) is known as the regularized short pulse equation, and was derived by Costanzino et al. [8] in the context of the nonlinear Maxwell equations with high-frequency dispersion.

If we send $\beta \rightarrow 0$ in (1.8), we pass from (1.8) to the equation

$$\partial_x \left(\partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x(u^3) \right) = \gamma u, \quad (1.9)$$

or equivalently (see [27]),

$$\partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x(u^3) = \gamma P, \quad \partial_x P = u. \quad (1.10)$$

Equation (1.9) is known as the short pulse equation, and was introduced recently by Schäfer and Wayne [28] as a model equation describing the propagation of ultra-short light pulses in silica optical fibers. It provides also an approximation of nonlinear wave packets in dispersive media in the limit of few cycles on the ultra-short pulse scale. In [3, 7, 9], it is proved the wellposedness of the entropy solution of (1.9) in sense of Definition 1.1, for the initial boundary problem and for the Cauchy problem, while, in [6], it is proved the convergence of the solutions of (1.8) to the discontinuous solutions of (1.9).

The deep difference between the two equations is in the flux. If we have a function that preserves the conserved quantities we can make sense of (1.3) using the distribution theory because the flux is quadratic and the L^2 norm is preserved. On the contrary the same argument does not apply to (1.9). Indeed, the flux is cubic and we do not have any information on the L^3 norm of the solution. In [3], we solved this problem proving that the solutions are bounded, and the argument is much more delicate than the one in [2].

In this paper, we study the dispersion–diffusion of (1.2) and of (1.5), when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ (that is, when $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, or $C_0 \rightarrow \infty$). We prove that, if $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the solution of (1.2) and of (1.5) converge to the discontinuous solutions of the Burgers’ equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x(u^2) = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

Likewise, when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the solutions of (1.8) and of (1.9) converge to the discontinuous solutions of the following scalar conservation law

$$\partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x(u^3) = 0. \quad (1.12)$$

Inspired by [11], we consider the approximate problem for (1.5)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} + \partial_x f(u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}) - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} = \gamma P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} + \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}, \\ -\delta \partial_t P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} + \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} = u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}, \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

with δ independent on ε .

We begin by considering the case (see Sect. 2)

$$f \text{ genuinely nonlinear, } \beta = 0, \quad \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.14)$$

We prove the convergence of the solutions to (1.13) to the unique entropy one of

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0. \quad (1.15)$$

We continue with the Ostrovsky equation (see Sect. 3), namely

$$f(u) = u^2, \quad \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.16)$$

We prove the convergence (1.13) to the unique entropy one of (1.11). In [5], we studied the case

$$f(u) = u^2, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta = 0, \quad \beta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.17)$$

Indeed, since here γ is vanishing, the L^∞ estimate on P and the L^∞ and L^4 estimates on u are different from the corresponding ones in [5].

The next step is the Regularized Short Pulse equation (see Sect. 4), namely

$$f(u) = -\frac{u^3}{6}, \quad \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.18)$$

We prove the convergence (1.13) to the unique entropy one of (1.12). In [6], we considered the approximation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \beta} - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x (u_{\varepsilon, \beta})^3 - \beta \partial_{xx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta} = \gamma P_{\varepsilon, \beta} + \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta}, \\ -\varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 P_{\varepsilon, \beta} + \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta} = u_{\varepsilon, \beta}, \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

and $\gamma \neq 0$ is given. Since in [6] γ does not vanish, the L^∞ and L^6 estimates on u are deeply different. Indeed, they are based on the conserved quantity (see [6, Lemma 2.3])

$$\int \left(\beta (\partial_x u)^2 - \frac{u^4}{12} + \gamma P^2 + \varepsilon^2 \gamma (\partial_x P)^2 \right) dx \quad (1.20)$$

and the L^∞ estimate on P . Finally, the use of the conserved quantity (1.20) is the key difference between the arguments in Sects. 3 and 4.

The paper is organized in three sections. In Sect. 2 we prove the convergence of (1.5) and of (1.9) to (1.11) and (1.12), respectively. In Sect. 3, we prove the convergence of (1.2) to the (1.11), while in Sect. 4, we prove the convergence of (1.8) to (1.12).

2. Ostrovsky–Hunter equation and short pulse one: $\gamma \rightarrow 0$

In this section, we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = \gamma P, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_x P = u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P(t, -\infty) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = \gamma \int_{-\infty}^x u(t, y) dy, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

On the initial datum, we assume that

$$u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

while, on the function

$$P_0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.4)$$

we assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy \right) dx = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, the flux $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ is assumed to be smooth.

If $\gamma = 0$, (2.1) reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

which is a scalar conservation law.

Fix three small numbers $0 < \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma < 1$, and let $u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)$ be the unique classical solution of the following mixed problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} + \partial_x f(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) = \gamma P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} + \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\delta \partial_t P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} + \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, -\infty) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(0, x) = u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where $u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}$ is a C^∞ approximation of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0} &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma \rightarrow 0, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma > 0, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq C_0, \quad \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx = 0, \quad \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and C_0 is a constant independent on ε, δ and γ .

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Fix $T > 0$. Assume (2.3)–(2.5) and (2.8). If f is genuinely nonlinear (i.e., $|\{f''(\cdot) = 0\}| = 0$)*

$$\gamma = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/3} \delta). \quad (2.9)$$

There exists three sequences $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k} \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L_{loc}^p((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

where u is the unique entropy solution of (2.6). Moreover, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) dx = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Let us prove some a priori estimates on $u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$ and $P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$, denoting with C_0 the constants which depend on the initial datum, and $C(T)$ the constants which depend also on T .

Lemma 2.1. *For each $t > 0$,*

$$P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \infty) = \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, -\infty) = \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \infty) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

In particular, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = -\delta \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Arguing as [2, Lemma 3.1], we have (2.11).

Let us show that (2.12) holds. Integrating the second equation in (2.7) on $(-\infty, x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) &= \int_{-\infty}^x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y) dy + \delta \int_{-\infty}^x \partial_t P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y) dy + \delta \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, (2.12) follows from (2.11) and (2.13). \square

Lemma 2.2. *For each $t > 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

In particular, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. Let $t > 0$. Integrating the first equation in (2.7) on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx. \quad (2.16)$$

Differentiating (2.12) with respect to t , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = -\delta \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx. \quad (2.17)$$

Therefore, (2.16) and (2.17) give

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx + \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = 0.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = C_1 \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} t\right) + C_2 \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} t\right), \quad (2.18)$$

where C_1, C_2 are two constants.

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Thanks to (2.8) and (2.19), to compute C_1, C_2 , we must solve the following system:

$$\begin{cases} C_1 \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} t\right) + C_2 \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} t\right) = 0, \\ -C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} t\right) + C_2 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}} t\right) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Equation (2.20) says that

$$C_1 = C_2 = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Then, (2.18) and (2.21) give (2.14).

Finally, let us show that (2.15) holds. Differentiating (2.14) with respect to t , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Therefore, (2.15) follows from (2.12) and (2.22). \square

Lemma 2.3. *For each $t > 0$,*

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dx \leq C_0. \quad (2.23)$$

Moreover, fixed $T > 0$, there exists $C(T) > 0$, independent on ε , δ and γ , such that

$$\|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad 0 < t < T. \quad (2.24)$$

Proof. Let $t > 0$. Multiplying by $P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$ the second equation in (2.7), we have

$$-\delta P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} \partial_t P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} + P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}. \quad (2.25)$$

Due to (2.11), an integration on \mathbb{R} gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Multiplying by $u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$ the first equation in (2.7), an integration on \mathbb{R} gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} f'(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx \\ &= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx - \varepsilon \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 2\varepsilon \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 2\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} dx. \quad (2.27)$$

It follows from (2.26) and (2.27) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) + 2\varepsilon \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

Integrating (2.28) on $(0, t)$, from (2.8), we have (2.23).

Finally, we prove (2.24). Let $T > 0$. We begin by observing that, from (2.23), we have that

$$\delta\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}^2 dx \leq C_0.$$

An integration on $(0, T)$ gives

$$\delta\gamma \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}^2 dt dx \leq C_0 T = C(T),$$

that is (2.24). \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $T > 0$. There exists $C(T) > 0$, independent on ε , δ and γ , such that*

$$\|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \quad (2.29)$$

for every $0 < t < T$. Moreover,

$$\|P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta^{\frac{3}{4}}\gamma^{\frac{1}{4}}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof. Let $0 < t < T$. Differentiating the second equation in (2.7) with respect to x , we have

$$\delta\partial_{tx}^2 P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} = -\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} + \partial_{xx}^2 P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}. \quad (2.31)$$

Multiplying (2.31) by $\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}$, an integration on \mathbb{R} and (2.11) give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Due to the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} dx &\leq 2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} dx \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right| \left| \sqrt{\delta} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma} \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

that is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) - \delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

The Gronwall Lemma and (2.8) give

$$\delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C_0 e^t + \frac{e^t}{\delta} \int_0^t e^{-s} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds$$

$$\leq C(T) + \frac{C(T)}{\delta} \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds. \quad (2.33)$$

Due to (2.23),

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds = \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta \varepsilon} \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq \frac{C_0}{\delta \varepsilon}. \quad (2.34)$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon, \delta < 1$, it follows from (2.33) and (2.34) that

$$\delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C(T) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta \varepsilon}\right) \leq C(T) \left(\frac{\delta \varepsilon + 1}{\delta \varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta \varepsilon}.$$

Hence,

$$\|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta^2 \varepsilon},$$

which gives (2.29).

Let us show that (2.30) holds. We begin by observing that, thanks to the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}^2(t, x) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y) \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y) dy \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y)| |\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, y)| dy dx \\ &\leq \|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

It follows from (2.23) and (2.29) that

$$\|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{\delta \gamma}} \frac{C(T)}{\delta \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

which gives (2.30). \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $T > 0$. Assume (2.9). Then, there exists $C(T) > 0$, independent on ε, δ and γ , such that*

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + C(T). \quad (2.36)$$

Proof. We begin by observing that, from (2.9) and (2.30), we have

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} + \partial_x f(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) - \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} \leq \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\frac{3}{4}} C(T)}{\delta^{\frac{3}{4}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq C(T).$$

Since the map

$$\mathcal{F}(t) := \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + C(T)t,$$

solves the equation

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} = C(T)$$

and

$$\max\{u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(0, x), 0\} \leq \mathcal{F}(t), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

the comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that

$$u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) \leq \mathcal{F}(t), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In a similar way, we can prove that

$$u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) \geq -\mathcal{F}(t), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore,

$$|u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)| \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + C(T)t \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + C(T),$$

which gives (2.36). \square

To prove Theorem 2.1, the following technical lemma is needed [23].

Lemma 2.6. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Suppose that the sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distributions is bounded in $W^{-1, \infty}(\Omega)$. Suppose also that*

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L}_{1,n} + \mathcal{L}_{2,n},$$

where $\{\mathcal{L}_{1,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a compact subset of $H_{loc}^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\{\mathcal{L}_{2,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a bounded subset of $\mathcal{M}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a compact subset of $H_{loc}^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Now, we are ready for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any convex C^2 entropy function, and $q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding entropy flux defined by $q' = f'\eta'$. By multiplying the first equation in (2.7) with $\eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma})$ and using the chain rule, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \eta(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) + \partial_x q(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) \\ &= \underbrace{\varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma})}_{=: \mathcal{L}_{1, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}} - \underbrace{\varepsilon \eta''(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma})^2}_{=: \mathcal{L}_{2, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}} + \underbrace{\gamma \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) P_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}}_{=: \mathcal{L}_{3, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{1, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$, $\mathcal{L}_{2, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$, $\mathcal{L}_{3, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}$ are distributions.

Let us show that

$$\mathcal{L}_{1, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}), T > 0.$$

Since

$$\varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) = \partial_x (\varepsilon \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}),$$

from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq \varepsilon^2 \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)}^2 \int_0^T \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0, \infty)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)}^2 C_0 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_T = \left(-\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} - C(T), \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + C(T) \right).$$

We claim that

$\{\mathcal{L}_{2, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma > 0}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}))$, $T > 0$.

Again by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon \eta''(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}) (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma})^2\|_{L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} &\leq \|\eta''\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ &\leq \|\eta''\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} C(T). \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$\{\mathcal{L}_{3,\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}\}_{\varepsilon,\delta>0}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^1_{loc}((0,T)\times(0,\infty)), T>0$.

Let K be a compact subset of $(0,T)\times(\mathbb{R})$. From (2.9) and (2.30),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\eta'(u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma})P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^1(K)} &= \gamma \int_K |\eta'(u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma})||P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}| dt dx \\ &\leq \gamma \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} \|P_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} |K| \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\delta^{\frac{3}{4}}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} C(T) \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} |K| \\ &= C(T) \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} |K|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$\{\partial_t\eta(u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma})+\partial_x q(u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma})\}_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma>0} \text{ lies in a compact subset of } H^{-1}_{loc}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.38)$$

The L^∞ bound stated in Lemma 2.5, (2.38) and the Tartar's compensated compactness method [31] give the existence of a subsequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a limit function $u\in L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k} \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. and in } L^p_{loc}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}), 1\leq p<\infty. \quad (2.39)$$

Hence,

$$u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.40)$$

We conclude by proving that u is unique entropy solution of (2.6). Let $\phi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a positive test function with compact support. We have to prove that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\eta(u)\partial_t\phi + q(u)\partial_x\phi) dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(u_0(x))\phi(0,x) dx \geq 0. \quad (2.41)$$

From (2.37), we have

$$\partial_t\eta(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) + \partial_x q(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) \leq \varepsilon_k \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) + \gamma_k \eta'(u_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}) P_{\varepsilon,\delta,\gamma}.$$

Multiplying by ϕ and integrating on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\eta(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k})\partial_t\phi + q(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k})\partial_x\phi) dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(u_{0,\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}(x))\phi(0,x) dx \\ &+ \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) \partial_{xx}^2 \phi dt dx \\ &+ \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) P_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k} \phi dt dx \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Let us show that

$$\gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) P_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k} \phi dt dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.43)$$

From (2.9), (2.24), (2.36) and the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\gamma_k \left| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k}) P_{\varepsilon_k,\delta_k,\gamma_k} \phi dt dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})| \|P_{\varepsilon_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\| |\phi| dt dx \\
&\leq \gamma_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} \|P_{\varepsilon_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2(\text{supp}(\phi))} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\text{supp}(\phi))} \\
&\leq \gamma_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} \|P_{\varepsilon_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \|\phi\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta_k^{\frac{1}{2}}} C(T) \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} \|\phi\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\
&= \varepsilon_k^{\frac{1}{6}} C(T) \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(I_T)} \|\phi\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

that is (2.43). Therefore, (2.41) follows from (2.8), (2.36), (2.42), (2.43) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

Finally, (2.15) and (2.39) give (2.10). \square

3. Ostrovsky equation: $\gamma \rightarrow 0$

In this section, we consider the following Cauchy probelm

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u^2 - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u = \gamma P, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_x P = u & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P(t, -\infty) = 0 & t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u^2 - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u = \gamma \int_{-\infty}^x u(t, y) dy, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

On the initial datum, we assume

$$u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

and on the function

$$P_0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.4)$$

we assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy \right) dx = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

We observe that, if $\beta, \gamma \rightarrow 0$, then (3.1) reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u^2 = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

which is the Burges' equation.

Fix four small numbers $0 < \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma < 1$, and let $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)$ be the unique classical solution of the following mixed problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ \quad = \gamma P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\delta \partial_t P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, -\infty) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(0, x) = u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}$ is a C^∞ approximation of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0} &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p < 4, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma \rightarrow 0, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &+ \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^4 + (\beta + \varepsilon^2) \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &+ \beta^2 \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C_0, \quad \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0, \\ \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0})^2 &\leq C_0, \quad \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx = 0, \quad \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and C_0 is a constant independent on $\varepsilon, \beta, \delta$ and γ .

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that (3.3)–(3.5), and (3.8) hold. If*

$$\beta = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad \gamma = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon\delta) \quad (3.9)$$

then, there exist four sequences $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\gamma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k \rightarrow 0$, and a limit function $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, $T > 0$, such that

(i) $u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L_{loc}^p((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, for each $1 \leq p < 4$, $T > 0$, and u is a distributional solution of (3.6). Moreover, if

$$\beta = o(\varepsilon^2), \quad \gamma = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon\delta) \quad (3.10)$$

then,

(ii) u is the unique entropy solution of (3.6).

In particular, we have (2.10).

Let us prove some a priori estimates on $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ and $P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, denoting with C_0 the constants which depend on the initial datum, and $C(T)$ the constants which depend also on T .

Arguing as Sect. 2, we obtain the following results

Lemma 3.1. *For each $t > 0$,*

$$P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \infty) = \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, -\infty) = \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \infty) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = -\delta \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, x) dx = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

In particular, we have that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C_0. \quad (3.15)$$

Moreover, fixed $T > 0$, there exists $C(T) > 0$, independent on $\varepsilon, \beta, \delta$ and γ , such that,

$$\|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta \sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C(T)}{\delta^{\frac{3}{4}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{4}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad (3.18)$$

for every $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Lemma 3.2. Fixed $T > 0$. Then,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T) \beta^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Moreover, for every $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\beta \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \beta \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T) \beta^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. Multiplying (3.7) by $-2\beta \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2$, and arguing as [5, Lemma 2.5], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 dx \right) \\ & + 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + 2\beta \varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & = -2\gamma \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Since $0 < \beta, \varepsilon < 1$, it follows from (3.9), (3.15) and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\beta \sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| \left| \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \right| dx \\ & \leq \beta^2 \varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon} \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & \leq \beta \varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta \gamma} C(T) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \beta\varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C(T)\varepsilon \\ &\leq \beta\varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C(T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Since $0 < \delta, \varepsilon < 1$, due to (3.9), (3.15) and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon,\beta} dx &\leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx \\ &\leq \gamma \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{\gamma}} C_0 \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore, (3.15), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23) give

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^3 dx \right) + \beta\varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\leq C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} - 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + C(T) \\ &\leq C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} + 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + C(T) \\ &\leq C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} + 2\varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + C(T). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.8), (3.15) and an integration on $(0, t)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \beta\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ &\leq C_0 + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \int_0^t ds + C(T) \int_0^t ds \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}|^3 dx \\ &\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} + C_0 C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{3} C_0 \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \beta\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ &\leq C(T) \left(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Due to (3.15), (3.24) and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2(t, x) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dy \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\beta} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}} C_0 \sqrt{C(T)(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})})},
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^4 \leq \frac{C(T)}{\beta} (1 + \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}). \quad (3.25)$$

Arguing as [5, Lemma 2.7], we have (3.19).

Finally, (3.20) follows from (3.19) and (3.24). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $T > 0$. Assume (3.9) holds true. Then:*

- (i) *the family $\{u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ is bounded in $L^4((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$;*
- (ii) *the following families $\{\beta \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, $\{\sqrt{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, $\{\beta \sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ are bounded in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$.*

The proof of the previous lemma is based on the regularity of the functions $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ and [5, Lemma 2.5].

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. Multiplying (3.7) by

$$u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 - 3\beta(\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 - 6\beta u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \frac{18}{5}\beta^2 \partial_{xxxx}^4 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma},$$

and arguing as [5, Lemma 2.6], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} G(t) + 3D_1 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \varepsilon \beta^2 D_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\
&\leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}|^3 |P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx + 3\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 |P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\
&\quad + 6\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\| |P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{18}{5}\gamma\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xxxx}^4 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + 3\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \frac{9}{5}\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx, \quad (3.26)$$

while D_1, D_2 are fixed positive constants.

Due to (3.11),

$$\frac{18}{5}\gamma\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xxxx}^4 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx = -\frac{18}{5}\gamma\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \quad (3.27)$$

Since $0 < \beta < 1$, it follows from (3.9), (3.17), (3.27) and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{18}{5}\gamma\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} dx &\leq \frac{18}{5}\gamma\beta^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{18}{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \beta^2 \sqrt{A\varepsilon} \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} \right| \left| \frac{\gamma \partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}}{\sqrt{A\varepsilon}} \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{9A}{5} \beta^4 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + \frac{9}{5A} \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{9A}{5} \beta^2 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + \frac{9}{5A} \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta^2 \varepsilon^2} C(T) \\ &\leq \frac{9A}{5} \beta^2 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + \frac{C(T)}{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a positive constant that will be specified later. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} G(t) + 3D_1 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + \varepsilon \beta^2 \left(D_2 - \frac{9A}{5} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx \\ \leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}|^3 |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx + 3\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx \\ + 6\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx + \frac{C(T)}{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $A < \frac{5D_2}{9}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} G(t) + 3D_1 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + \varepsilon \beta^2 D_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx \\ \leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}|^3 |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx + 3\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx \\ + 6\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx + C(T), \end{aligned}$$

where D_3 is a fixed positive constant.

Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, due to (3.9), (3.15), (3.18) and the Young inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^3| |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dx + 6\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| |P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 \right| \left| \sqrt{2}\gamma u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} \right| dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \beta \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} \right| \left| \frac{\sqrt{5}\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma} \right| dx \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^4 dx + \gamma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 dx \\ + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx + \frac{5\gamma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \frac{9\gamma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\
&\quad + \frac{9\gamma^2}{4} \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2(t, x) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + C(T) \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \varepsilon C(T) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + C(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Again by (3.9) and (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
3\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 |P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx &\leq 3\gamma\beta \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\
&\leq C(T) \frac{\gamma}{\delta^{\frac{3}{4}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{4}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\
&\leq C(T) \sqrt{\varepsilon} \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\
&\leq C(T) \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} G(t) + 3\varepsilon D_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta}^2 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \varepsilon \beta^2 D_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{9}{5} \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + C(T) \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + C(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as [5, Lemma 2.6], thanks to [5, Lemma 2.7], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^4((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T), \\
&\beta \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T), \\
&\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T), \\
&\sqrt{\varepsilon} \beta \|\partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $T > 0$. Assume that (3.9) holds true. Then:*

- (i) *the family $\{\varepsilon \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$;*
- (ii) *the family $\{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ is bounded in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$;*
- (iii) *the family $\{\beta \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ is bounded in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Moreover,

$$\beta^2 \int_0^T \left\| \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T) \varepsilon. \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. Multiplying (3.7) by $-\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, arguing as [5, Lemma 2.8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + 2\varepsilon^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\ &= 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx - 2\varepsilon^2 \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, due to (3.9), (3.15) and the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx - 2\varepsilon^2 \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \\ & \leq \left| 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx - 2\varepsilon^2 \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| + 2\varepsilon^2 \gamma \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} |u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma |P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \varepsilon^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + 2\gamma^2 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \frac{3\varepsilon^3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta\gamma} C(T) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \frac{3\varepsilon^3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + C(T). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\varepsilon^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + C(T).$$

An integration on $(0, t)$, (3.8) and Lemma 3.3 give

$$\varepsilon^2 \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^2 \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C(T), \\ & \varepsilon^3 \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Thanks to (3.9), (3.15), (3.29) and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| ds dx = \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\ & \leq \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\varepsilon^3 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 ds dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C_0 C(T) \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C(T). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (3.9) and (3.29), we have

$$\beta^2 \int_0^T \left\| \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C_0^2 \varepsilon^4 \int_0^T \left\| \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T) \varepsilon,$$

which gives (4.21). \square

To prove Theorem 3.1, we use Lemma 2.6 and the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A pair of functions (η, q) is called an entropy–entropy flux pair if $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 function and $q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$q(u) = \int^u \eta'(\xi) f'(\xi) d\xi.$$

An entropy–entropy flux pair (η, q) is called convex/compactly supported if, in addition, η is convex/compactly supported.

We begin by proving the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.8), and (3.9) hold. Then for any compactly supported entropy–entropy flux pair (η, q) , there exist four sequences $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\gamma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k \rightarrow 0$, and a limit function*

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R})), \quad T > 0$$

such that

$$u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^p((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq p < 4, \quad (3.30)$$

and u is a distributional solution of (3.6).

Proof. Let us consider a compactly supported entropy–entropy flux pair (η, q) . Multiplying (3.7) by $\eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) + \partial_x q(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) &= \varepsilon \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \beta \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &\quad + \gamma \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &= I_{1, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + I_{2, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &\quad + I_{3, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + I_{4, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + I_{5, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} &= \partial_x (\varepsilon \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}), \\ I_{2, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} &= -\varepsilon \eta''(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2, \\ I_{3, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} &= \partial_x (\beta \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}), \\ I_{4, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} &= -\beta \eta''(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, \\ I_{5, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} &= \gamma \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Arguing as [5, Lemma 3.2], we have that $I_{1, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $\{I_{2, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0}$ is bounded in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $I_{3, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $\{I_{4, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0}$ is bounded in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$.

Let us show that

$$I_{5, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^1((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Let K be a compact subset of $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$. Equation (3.9) and Lemma 3.1 give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\eta'(u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^1(K)} &= \gamma \int_K |\eta'(u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})||P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}| dt dx \\ &\leq \gamma \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} |K| \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{\delta_k^{3/4} \gamma_k^{1/4} \varepsilon_k^{1/4}} C(T) \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} |K| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C(T) \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} |K| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.6 and the L^p compensated compactness of [29] give (3.30).

We conclude by proving that u is a distributional solution of (3.6). Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a test function with compact support. We have to prove that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u \partial_t \phi + \frac{u^2}{2} \partial_x \phi \right) dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) \phi(0, x) dx = 0. \quad (3.32)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_t \phi + \frac{u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}^2}{2} \partial_x \phi \right) dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{0, \varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}(x) \phi(0, x) dx \\ &- \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \phi dt dx + \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xx}^2 \phi dt dx \\ &+ \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty u_{0, \varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}(x) \partial_{xx}^2 \phi(0, x) dx - \beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xxx}^3 \phi dt dx \\ &- \beta_k \int_0^\infty u_{0, \varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}(x) \partial_{xxx}^3 \phi(0, x) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that

$$-\gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \phi dt dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.33)$$

From (3.9) and (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_k \left| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \phi dt dx \right| \\ &\leq \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}| |\phi| dt dx \\ &\leq \gamma_k \|P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| dt dx \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_k}{\delta_k^{3/4} \gamma_k^{1/4} \varepsilon_k^{1/4}} C(T) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| dt dx \\ &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} C(T) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| dt dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is (3.33).

Therefore, (3.32) follows from (3.8), (3.30) and (3.33). \square

Arguing as [14], we prove the following result.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.8), and (3.10) hold. Then,*

$$u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^p_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq p < 4, \quad (3.34)$$

where u is the unique entropy solution of (3.6).

Proof. Let us consider a compactly supported entropy–entropy flux pair (η, q) . Multiplying (3.7) by $\eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) + \partial_x q(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) &= \varepsilon \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \beta \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &\quad + \gamma \eta'(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &= I_{1, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + I_{2, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} I_{3, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + I_{4, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &\quad + I_{5, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_{1, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, $I_{2, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, $I_{3, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, $I_{4, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ and $I_{5, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ are defined in (3.31).

Arguing as [5, Lemma 3.3], we obtain that $I_{1, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $\{I_{2, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\}_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0}$ is bounded in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $I_{3, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, $I_{4, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, while arguing in Lemma 3.5, $I_{5, \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.6 gives (3.34).

We conclude by proving that u is the unique entropy solution of (3.6). Let us consider a compactly supported entropy–entropy flux pair (η, q) , and $\phi \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ non-negative. We have to prove that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t \eta(u) + \partial_x q(u)) \phi dt dx \leq 0. \quad (3.35)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x \eta(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) + \partial_x q(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})) \phi dt dx \\ &= \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \phi dt dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(\eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \phi dt dx \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta''(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})(\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})^2 \phi dt dx \\ &\quad + \beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(\eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \phi dt dx \\ &\quad + \beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta''(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \phi dt dx \\ &\leq \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \phi dt dx \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_x \phi dt dx \\ &\quad - \beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_x \phi dt dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta''(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \phi dt dx \\
& \leq \gamma_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}| |\eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})| |\phi| dt dx \\
& \quad + \varepsilon_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})| |\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}| |\partial_x \phi| dt dx \\
& \quad + \beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta'(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})| |\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}| |\partial_x \phi| dt dx \\
& \quad + \beta_k \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta''(u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k})| |\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}| |\phi| dt dx \\
& \leq \gamma_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \|\partial_x \phi\|_{L^2(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \\
& \quad + \varepsilon_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \|\partial_x \phi\|_{L^2(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \\
& \quad + \beta_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \|\partial_x \phi\|_{L^2(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \\
& \quad + \beta_k \|\eta''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^1(supp(\partial_x \phi))} \\
& \leq \gamma_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x \phi\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad + \varepsilon_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x \phi\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad + \beta_k \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x \phi\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad + \beta_k \|\eta''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k}\|_{L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.35) follows from (3.10), (3.34), Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.1 follows from Lemmas 3.5, and 3.6, while (2.10) follows from (3.14) (3.30), or (3.34). Therefore, the proof is done. \square

4. The regularized short pulse equation: $\gamma \rightarrow 0$

In this section, we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x u^3 - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u = \gamma P, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_x P = u & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P(t, -\infty) = 0 & t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x u^3 - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u = \gamma \int_{-\infty}^x u(t, y) dy, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

On the initial datum, we assume

$$u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^6(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

and on the function

$$P_0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.4)$$

we assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x u_0(y) dy \right) dx = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

We observe that, if $\beta, \gamma \rightarrow 0$, then (4.1) reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x u^3 = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Fix four small numbers $0 < \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma < 1$, and let $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, x)$ be the unique classical solution of the following mixed problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 - \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ \quad = \gamma P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -\delta \partial_t P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} + \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} = u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, -\infty) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(0, x) = u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}$ is a C^∞ approximation of u_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0} &\rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p < 6, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma \rightarrow 0, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &+ \delta \gamma \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \delta \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &+ \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 + (\beta + \varepsilon^2) \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &+ \beta^2 \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C_0, \quad \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma, 0}(x) dx = 0, \quad \varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and C_0 is a constant independent on $\varepsilon, \beta, \delta$ and γ .

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (4.3)–(4.5), and (4.8) hold. If*

$$\beta = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4), \quad \gamma = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \delta) \quad (4.9)$$

then, there exist four sequences $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\gamma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k \rightarrow 0$, and a limit function $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^6(\mathbb{R}))$, $T > 0$, such that

$$u_{\varepsilon_k, \beta_k, \delta_k, \gamma_k} \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^p((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq p < 6, T > 0, \quad (4.10)$$

where u is the unique entropy solution of (4.6).

In particular, we have (2.10).

Let us prove some a priori estimates on $u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$ and $P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}$, denoting with C_0 the constants which depend on the initial datum, and $C(T)$ the constants which depend also on T .

We begin by observing that Lemma 3.1 holds also for (4.7).

Lemma 4.1. *Fixed $T > 0$. There exists $C(T) > 0$, independent on $\varepsilon, \beta, \delta$ and γ such that*

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T)\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover, for every $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \beta\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T)\beta^{-1}. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. Multiplying (4.7) by $-\beta\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta} - \frac{1}{6}u_{\varepsilon, \beta}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(-\beta\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6}u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 \right) \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6} \left(-\beta\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6}u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 \right) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \\ & \quad - \beta \left(-\beta\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6}u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 \right) \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ & = \gamma \left(-\beta\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6}u_{\varepsilon, \beta}^3 \right) P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \left(-\beta\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6}u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 \right) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Arguing as [6, Lemma 2.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx \right) \\ & \quad + 2\beta\varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & = 2\gamma\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx - \frac{\gamma}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon, \beta < 1$, it follows from (3.15), (4.9) and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma\beta \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| \left| \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \right| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon\beta^2 \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon} \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon\beta \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon\delta} C(T) \\ & \leq \varepsilon\beta \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C(T). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (3.15), (3.18), (4.9) and the Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}}{3} \right| \left| \gamma u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \right| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(T) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \|P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\quad \times \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma}{3} \|P_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad \times \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq C(T) + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq C(T) + \varepsilon C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^4 dx \right) + \beta \varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^2 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx \\
&\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 + \varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (3.15), (4.8) and an integration on $(0, t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \beta \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\leq C_0 + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_0^t ds + C(T) \int_0^t ds + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^4 dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{1}{12} \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\quad \times \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq C(T) + C(T) \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{C_0}{12} \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \beta \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\
&\leq C(T) \left(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}^2 \right). \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to (3.15), (4.14) and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2(t, x) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dy \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \sqrt{\beta} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}} C_0 \sqrt{C(T) \left(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^4 \leq \frac{C(T)}{\beta} \left(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \right). \quad (4.15)$$

Arguing as [6, Lemma 2.3], we have (4.11).

Finally, (4.12) follows from (4.11) and (4.14). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $T > 0$. Assume (4.9) holds true. There exists $C(T) > 0$, independent on $\varepsilon, \beta, \delta$, and γ such that*

$$\|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(T), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\varepsilon \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(T), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\varepsilon e^t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-s} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4(s, \cdot) (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot))^2 ds dx \leq C(T), \quad (4.18)$$

$$\varepsilon^3 e^t \int_0^t e^{-s} \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T), \quad (4.19)$$

for every $0 < t < T$. Moreover,

$$\beta \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(T), \quad (4.20)$$

$$\beta^2 \int_0^T \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T) \varepsilon. \quad (4.21)$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. Multiplying (4.7) by $u_{\varepsilon, \beta}^5 - 3\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 - 3\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_t u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} - \frac{1}{6} (u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 - 3\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 \\ &\quad - (u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 - 3\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \beta \partial_{xxx}^3 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &= \gamma (u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 - 3\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon (u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 - 3\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}) \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as [6, Lemma 2.4], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 + \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 5\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta}^4 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^2 dx + 3\varepsilon^3 \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx - 3\gamma\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx - 10\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma})^3 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, due to (3.15), (3.18), (4.9), and the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^5 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{6}\gamma u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \right| \left| \frac{u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3}{\sqrt{6}} \right| dx \\
&\leq 3\gamma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 dx + \frac{1}{12} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 3\sqrt{6}\gamma^2 P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \right| \left| \frac{u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^3}{\sqrt{6}} \right| dx + \frac{1}{12} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \\
&\leq 27\gamma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^2 dx + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \\
&\leq 27\gamma^4 \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^4 \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \\
&\leq 27\gamma^4 C_0 \|P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})}^4 + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma^4}{\delta^3 \gamma \varepsilon} C(T) + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \leq \frac{\gamma^3}{\delta^3 \varepsilon} C(T) + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \\
&\leq \varepsilon^2 C(T) + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 \leq C(T) + \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon, \beta}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, it follows from (3.11), (3.17), (4.9) and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
-3\gamma\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx &= 3\gamma\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \\
&\leq 3\gamma\varepsilon^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma} dx \right| \leq 3\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| |\gamma \partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}| dx \\
&\leq \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{3\gamma^2 \varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x P_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\gamma^2 \varepsilon^2}{\delta^2 \varepsilon} C(T) \\
&\leq \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \varepsilon^3 C(T) \\
&\leq \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C(T).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Arguing as [6, Lemma 2.4], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} G_1(t) - G_1(t) + \frac{15\varepsilon}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}^4 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta})^2 dx + 2\varepsilon^3 \|\partial_{xx}^2 u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
\leq C(T) + \varepsilon \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon, \beta, \delta, \gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$G_1(t) = \frac{1}{6} \|u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})}^6 + \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2. \quad (4.26)$$

The Gronwall Lemma, (3.15) and (4.8) give

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t) &+ \frac{15\varepsilon}{4} e^t \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-s} u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}^4 (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma})^2 ds dx + 2\varepsilon^3 \int_0^t \|\partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ &\leq C_0 e^t + C(T) e^t \int_0^t e^{-s} ds + \varepsilon e^t \int_0^t e^{-s} \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \\ &\leq C(T) + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_x u_{\varepsilon,\beta,\delta,\gamma}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C(T). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Equations (4.26) and (4.27) give (4.16), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19).

Arguing as [6, Lemma 2.4], we have (4.20) and (4.21). \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Arguing as Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we have (4.10), and u is the unique entropy solution of (4.6). Equation (2.10) follows from (3.14) (4.10). \square

References

- [1] Brunelli, J.C.: The short pulse hierarchy. *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 123507 (2005)
- [2] Coclite, G.M., di Ruvo, L.: Wellposedness of bounded solutions of the non-homogeneous initial boundary value problem for the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **12**, 221–248 (2015)
- [3] Coclite, G.M.; di Ruvo, L.: Wellposedness results for the short pulse equation. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* (2014) (To appear on)
- [4] Coclite, G.M., di Ruvo, L.: Oleinik type estimates for the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **423**, 162–190 (2015)
- [5] Coclite, G.M., di Ruvo, L.: Convergence of the Ostrovsky equation to the Ostrovsky–Hunter one. *J. Differ. Equations* **256**, 3245–3277 (2014)
- [6] Coclite, G.M., di Ruvo, L.: Convergence of the regularized short pulse equation to the short pulse one. (Submitted)
- [7] Coclite, G.M., di Ruvo, L., Karlsen, K.H.: Some wellposedness results for the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation. In: *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws and Related Analysis with Applications*, 143–159. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 49. Springer, Heidelberg (2014)
- [8] Costanzino, N., Manukian, V., Jones, C.K.R.T.: Solitary waves of the regularized short pulse and Ostrovsky equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **41**, 2088–2106 (2009)
- [9] di Ruvo, L.: Discontinuous solutions for the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation and two phase flows. Phd Thesis, University of Bari (2013). <http://www.dm.uniba.it/home/dottorato/dottorato/tesi/>

- [10] Gui, G., Liu, Y.: On the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **32**(10–12), 1895–1916 (2007)
- [11] Grimshaw, R.H.J.: Adjustment processes and radiating solitary waves in a regularised Ostrovsky equation. Three-dimensional aspects of air-sea interaction. *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids* **18**(3), 535–543 (1999)
- [12] Hunter, J.: Numerical solutions of some nonlinear dispersive wave equations. In: Computational Solution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations (Fort Collins, CO, 1988) Lectures in Appl. Math., vol. 26, pp. 301–316. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1990)
- [13] Hunter, J., Tan, K.P.: Weakly dispersive short waves. In: Proceedings of the IVth International Congress on Waves and Stability in Continuous Media, Sicily (1987)
- [14] LeFloch, P.G., Natalini, R.: Conservation laws with vanishing nonlinear diffusion and dispersion. *Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A Theory Methods* **36**(2), 212–230 (1992)
- [15] Levandosky, S., Liu, Y.: Stability of solitary waves of a generalized Ostrovsky equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38**(3), 985–1011 (2006)
- [16] Levandosky, S., Liu, Y.: Stability and weak rotation limit of solitary waves of the Ostrovsky equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B* **7**(7), 793–806 (2007)
- [17] Linares, F., Milanes, A.: Local and global well-posedness for the Ostrovsky equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **222**(2), 325–340 (2006)
- [18] Liu, Y.: On the stability of solitary waves for the Ostrovsky equation. *Q. Appl. Math.* **65**(3), 571–589 (2007)
- [19] Liu, Y., Pelinovsky, D., Sakovich, A.: Wave breaking in the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42**(5), 1967–1985 (2010)
- [20] Liu, Y., Varlamov, V.: Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **10**(3), 731–753 (2004)
- [21] Liu, Y., Varlamov, V.: Stability of solitary waves and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **203**(1), 159–183 (2004)
- [22] Morrison, A.J., Parkes, E.J., Vakhnenko, V.O.: The N loop soliton solutions of the Vakhnenko equation. *Nonlinearity* **12**(5), 1427–1437 (1999)
- [23] Murat, F.: L'injection du cône positif de H^{-1} dans $W^{-1,q}$ est compacte pour tout $q < 2$. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **60**(3), 309–322 (1981)
- [24] Ostrovsky, L.A.: Nonlinear internal waves in a rotating ocean. *Okeanolologia* **18**, 181–191 (1978)
- [25] Parkes, E.J.: Explicit solutions of the reduced Ostrovsky equation. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **31**(3), 602–610 (2007)
- [26] Parkes, E.J., Vakhnenko, V.O.: The calculation of multi-soliton solutions of the Vakhnenko equation by the inverse scattering method. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **13**(9), 1819–1826 (2002)

- [27] Sakovich, A., Sakovich, S.: The short pulse equation is integrable. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **74**, 239–241 (2005)
- [28] Schäfer, T., Wayne, C.E.: Propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in cubic nonlinear media. *Phys. D* **196**, 90–105 (2004)
- [29] Schonbek, M.E.: Convergence of solutions to nonlinear dispersive equations. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **7**(8), 959–1000 (1982)
- [30] Stepanyants, Y.A.: On stationary solutions of the reduced Ostrovsky equation: periodic waves, compactons and compound solitons. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **28**(1), 193–204 (2006)
- [31] Tartar, L.: Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations. In: Nonlinear Analysis and Mechanics: Heriot-Watt Symposium, vol. IV, pp. 136–212. Pitman, Boston (1979)
- [32] Tsugawa, K.: Well-posedness and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **247**(12), 3163–3180 (2009)

Giuseppe Maria Coclite and Lorenzo di Ruvo
Department of Mathematics
University of Bari
via E. Orabona 4
70125 Bari
Italy
e-mail: giuseppemaria.coclite@uniba.it

Lorenzo di Ruvo
e-mail: lorenzo.diruvo@uniba.it
URL: <http://www.dm.uniba.it/Members/coclitegm/>

Received: 4 November 2014.

Accepted: 19 July 2015.