PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: July 17, 2013 Accepted: August 30, 2013 PUBLISHED: October 3, 2013

Linear polarization of gluons and photons in unpolarized collider experiments

Cristian Pisano,^a Daniël Boer,^b Stanley J. Brodsky,^c Maarten G.A. Buffing^a and Piet J. Mulders a

- ^aNikhef and Department of Physics and Astronomy, VU University Amsterdam,
- De Boelelaan 1081, NL-1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

- Zernikelaan 25, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands
- c SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309, U.S.A.
- E-mail: c.pisano@nikhef.nl, d.boer@rug.nl, sjbth@slac.stanford.edu, m.g.a.buffing@vu.nl, mulders@few.vu.nl

Abstract: We study azimuthal asymmetries in heavy quark pair production in unpolarized electron-proton and proton-proton collisions, where the asymmetries originate from the linear polarization of gluons inside unpolarized hadrons. We provide cross section expressions and study the maximal asymmetries allowed by positivity, for both charm and bottom quark pair production. The upper bounds on the asymmetries are shown to be very large depending on the transverse momentum of the heavy quarks, which is promising especially for their measurements at a possible future Electron-Ion Collider or a Large Hadron electron Collider. We also study the analogous processes and asymmetries in muon pair production as a means to probe linearly polarized photons inside unpolarized protons. For increasing invariant mass of the muon pair the asymmetries become very similar to the heavy quark pair ones. Finally, we discuss the process dependence of the results that arises due to differences in color flow and address the problem with factorization in case of proton-proton collisions.

Keywords: QCD Phenomenology, Hadronic Colliders

 $ARXIV$ EPRINT: 1307.3417

 b Theory Group, KVI, University of Groningen,

Contents

1 Introduction

It is well-known that photons radiated off from electrons can carry linear polarization. In terms of photon helicity, it corresponds to an interference between +1 and −1 helicity states. Formally one can view this momentum dependent photon distribution as the distribution of linearly polarized photons 'inside' an electron. Similarly, there are distributions of linearly polarized photons and gluons inside a proton, here denoted by h_1^{\perp} $\frac{1}{1}^{\gamma}$ and $h_1^{\perp g}$ $i^{\perp g}$, respectively. The latter has received growing attention recently, because it affects high energy collisions involving unpolarized protons, such as at LHC.

The distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside an unpolarized hadron was first considered in ref. [\[1](#page-19-0)] and later discussed in a model context in ref. [\[2](#page-19-1)]. In ref. [\[3\]](#page-19-2) it was noted that it contributes to the dijet imbalance in unpolarized hadronic collisions, which is commonly used to determine the average transverse momentum squared $(\langle p_T^2 \rangle)$ of partons inside protons. Depending on the size of $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ and on whether its contribution can be calculated and taken into account, it may complicate or even hamper the determination of the average transverse momentum of partons. It is therefore important to determine its size separately using other observables. Although in ref. [\[3](#page-19-2)] it was discussed how to isolate the contribution from $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ by means of an azimuthal angular dependent weighting of the cross section, proton-proton collisions are expected to suffer from contributions that break factorization, through initial and final state interactions [\[4](#page-19-3)]. In ref. [\[5\]](#page-19-4) a theoretically cleaner and safer way was considered: heavy quark pair production in electron-proton collisions, for instance at a future Electron-Ion Collider. Another process, where the problem of factorization breaking is absent, is $pp \to \gamma \gamma X$, which was investigated in ref. [\[6](#page-19-5)] specifically for RHIC.

Linearly polarized gluons in proton-nucleus scattering have been considered in refs. [\[7](#page-19-6)– [10](#page-20-0)], where factorization may also work out in the dilute-dense regime as discussed in ref. [\[10](#page-20-0)]. These studies also suggest that at small x-fractions of the gluons inside a nucleus, the distribution of linear polarization may reach its maximally allowed size, which is bounded by the distribution of unpolarized gluons [\[1\]](#page-19-0). Moreover, just like in the case of linearly polarized photons, which are perturbatively generated from electrons, linearly polarized gluons are also perturbatively generated from unpolarized quarks and gluons inside the proton [\[11](#page-20-1)[–13](#page-20-2)]. This determines the large transverse momentum tail of the distribution [\[14](#page-20-3)]. It shows that the tail falls off with the same power as the unpolarized gluon distribution. Therefore, the degree of polarization does not fall off with increasing transverse momentum, see figure 2 of ref. [\[15](#page-20-4)]. This means that although the magnitude has not yet been determined from experiment, the expectation is that it is not small at high energy.

It has also recently been noted that $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ affects the angular independent transverse momentum distribution of scalar or pseudoscalar particles, such as the Higgs boson [\[14](#page-20-3)– [16](#page-20-5)] or charmonium and bottomonium states [\[17](#page-20-6)]. These results could help pinpoint the quantum numbers of the boson recently discovered at LHC or allow a determination of $\bar{h}_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ at LHCb for example. These ideas are very similar to the suggestions to use linear polarization at photon colliders to investigate Higgs production [\[18](#page-20-7)[–22](#page-20-8)] and heavy quark production [\[23](#page-20-9)[–27](#page-21-0)], that are of interest for investigations at ILC. There are some notable differences with the proton distributions though: the transverse momentum of photons radiated off from electrons is known exactly in the photon-photon scattering case, whereas in proton-proton collisions the gluonic transverse momentum distributions enter in a convolution integral. Moreover, the QED case does not have the problems with non-factorizing initial and final state interactions (ISI/FSI) that arise in the non-Abelian case for certain processes. Like any other transverse momentum dependent parton distribution, the function $h_1^{\perp g}$ will receive contributions from ISI or FSI and is therefore expected to be process dependent. Apart from the fact that $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ can thus be nonuniversal, the ISI/FSI can even lead to violations of pQCD factorization at leading twist, as already mentioned above. By considering several different extractions, the nonuniversality and the factorization breaking can be studied and quantified. From this point of view it is also very interesting to compare to the linearly polarized photon distribution inside the proton.

In the present paper we will consider heavy quark pair production in electron-proton and proton-proton collisions. It is partly intended to provide the calculational details of the results in ref. [\[5\]](#page-19-4), but it also contains additional results, for example on process dependence. Also, we include the analogues in muon pair production as a means to probe h_1^{\perp} $1^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$, which describes linearly polarized photons inside unpolarized protons. The paper is organized as follows. First we will discuss electron-hadron scattering for three cases: heavy quark pair production, dijet production and muon pair production. After presenting the general expressions, we identify the most promising azimuthal asymmetries that will allow access

to $h_1^{\perp\,g}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ ^g and h_1^{\perp} ^{γ} $1^{\text{+}}$ ². We present upper bounds on these asymmetries, for charm and bottom quarks and muons. This will hopefully expedite future experimental investigations of these distributions. Next we turn to hadron-hadron collisions and discuss in more detail the color flow dependence and factorization breaking issues, according to the latest insights [\[28](#page-21-1)[–30\]](#page-21-2).

2 The TMDs for unpolarized hadrons

The information on linearly polarized gluons is encoded in the transverse momentum dependent correlator, for which, in this paper, we only consider unpolarized hadrons. The parton correlators describe the hadron \rightarrow parton transitions and are defined as matrix elements on the light-front LF ($\lambda \cdot n \equiv 0$, where n is a light-like vector, $n^2 = 0$, conjugate to P). The correlators are parameterized in terms of transverse momentum dependent distribution functions (TMDs). Specifically, at leading twist and omitting gauge links, the quark correlator is given by [\[31\]](#page-21-3)

$$
\Phi_q(x, \mathbf{p}_T) = \int \frac{d(\lambda \cdot P) d^2 \lambda_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ip \cdot \lambda} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(0) \psi(\lambda) | P \rangle \Big|_{LF} \n= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ f_1^q(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) \not P + i h_1^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) \frac{[\not p_T, \not P]}{2M} \right\},
$$
\n(2.1)

with f_1^q $T_1^q(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$ denoting the transverse momentum dependent distribution of unpolarized quarks inside an unpolarized hadron, and where we have used the naming convention of ref. [\[34\]](#page-21-4). Its integration over p_T provides the well-known light-cone momentum distribution f_1^q $q_1^q(x) = q(x)$. The function $h_1^{\perp q}$ $\frac{1}{1}(x, p_T^2)$, nowadays commonly referred to as Boer-Mulders function, is time-reversal (T) odd and can be interpreted as the distribution of transversely polarized quarks inside an unpolarized hadron [\[31\]](#page-21-3). It gives rise to the $\cos 2\phi$ double Boer-Mulders asymmetry in the Drell-Yan process and to a violation of the Lam-Tung relation [\[32](#page-21-5), [33\]](#page-21-6). Similarly, for an antiquark,

$$
\bar{\Phi}_q(x,p_T) = -\int \frac{\mathrm{d}(\lambda \cdot P) \, d^2 \lambda_T}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{-ip \cdot \lambda} \, \langle P | \, \overline{\psi}(0) \, \psi(\lambda) | P \rangle \, \Big|_{\text{LF}} \n= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ f_1^{\bar{q}}(x, p_T^2) \, \mathcal{P} + i h_1^{\perp \bar{q}}(x, p_T^2) \, \frac{[\not p_T, \not P]}{2M} \right\}.
$$
\n(2.2)

Omitting gauge links, the gluon correlator is defined as [\[1](#page-19-0)]

$$
\Phi_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x,\mathbf{p}_T) = \frac{n_{\rho} n_{\sigma}}{(p \cdot n)^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}(\lambda \cdot P) d^2 \lambda_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ip \cdot \lambda} \langle P | \operatorname{Tr} \left[F^{\rho\mu}(0) F^{\sigma\nu}(\lambda) \right] | P \rangle \Big|_{\text{LF}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ -g_T^{\mu\nu} f_1^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) + \left(\frac{p_T^{\mu} p_T^{\nu}}{M^2} + g_T^{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathbf{p}_T^2}{2M^2} \right) h_1^{\perp} g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) \right\}, \tag{2.3}
$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the gluon field strength and $g_T^{\mu\nu}$ T ^{μ} a transverse tensor given by

$$
g_T^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - P^{\mu}n^{\nu}/P \cdot n - n^{\mu}P^{\nu}/P \cdot n , \qquad (2.4)
$$

and where we have used the naming convention of ref. [\[2\]](#page-19-1). The transverse momentum dependent function f_1^g $L_1^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$ describes the distribution of unpolarized gluons inside an unpolarized hadron, and, integrated over p_T , gives the familiar light-cone momentum distribution f_1^g $f_1^g(x) = g(x)$. The function $h_1^{\perp g}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ ^{*g*} (x, p_T^2) is *T*-even and represents the distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside an unpolarized hadron.

3 Electron-hadron collisions: calculation of the cross sections

3.1 Heavy quark pair production

We consider the process

$$
e(\ell) + h(P) \to e(\ell') + Q(K_1) + \bar{Q}(K_2) + X, \tag{3.1}
$$

where the four-momenta of the particles are given within brackets, and the quark-antiquark pair is almost back-to-back in the plane orthogonal to the direction of the hadron and the exchanged photon. Following refs. [\[3](#page-19-2), [35](#page-21-7)], we will instead of collinear factorization consider a generalized factorization scheme taking into account partonic transverse momenta. We make a decomposition of the momenta where $q \equiv \ell - \ell'$ and P determine the light-like directions,

$$
P = n_{+} + \frac{M^{2}}{2} n_{-} \approx n_{+} \quad \text{and} \quad q = -x_{B} n_{+} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2 x_{B}} n_{-} \approx -x_{B} P + (P \cdot q) n_{-} \,, \tag{3.2}
$$

where $Q^2 = -q^2$ and $x_B = Q^2/2P \cdot q$ (up to target mass corrections). We will thus expand in $n_{+} = P$ and $n_{-} = n = (q + x_{B} P)/P \cdot q$. We note that the leptonic momenta define a plane transverse with respect to q and P . Explicitly the leptonic momenta are given by

$$
\ell = \frac{1-y}{y} x_B P + \frac{1}{y} \frac{Q^2}{2x_B} n + \frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} Q \hat{\ell}_{\perp} = \frac{1-y}{y} x_B P + \frac{s}{2} n + \frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} Q \hat{\ell}_{\perp},
$$
 (3.3)

$$
\ell' = \frac{1}{y} x_B P + \frac{1-y}{y} \frac{Q^2}{2x_B} n + \frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} Q \hat{\ell}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{y} x_B P + (1-y) \frac{s}{2} n + \frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} Q \hat{\ell}_{\perp}, \quad (3.4)
$$

where $y = P \cdot q/P \cdot \ell$. The total invariant mass squared is $s = (\ell + P)^2 = 2\ell \cdot P =$ $2 P \cdot q/y = Q^2/x_B y$. The invariant mass squared of the virtual photon-target system is given by $W^2 = (q+P)^2 = Q^2(1-x_B)/x_B$. We then have $Q^2 = x_B y_s$ and $W^2 = (1-x_B)y_s$. We expand the parton momentum using the Sudakov decomposition,

$$
p = x P + p_T + (p \cdot P - x M^2) n \approx x P + p_T, \qquad (3.5)
$$

where $x = p \cdot n$. We can expand the heavy quark momenta as

$$
K_1 = z_1 (P \cdot q) n + \frac{M_Q^2 + \mathbf{K}_{1\perp}^2}{2z_1 P \cdot q} P + K_{1\perp},
$$
\n(3.6)

$$
K_2 = z_2 (P \cdot q) n + \frac{M_Q^2 + \mathbf{K}_{2\perp}^2}{2z_2 P \cdot q} P + K_{2\perp},
$$
\n(3.7)

with $K_{i\perp}^2 = -K_{i\perp}^2$. We denote the heavy (anti)quark mass with M_Q . For the partonic subprocess we have $p+q = K_1+K_2$, implying $z_1+z_2 = 1$. For our discussions, we introduce the sum and difference of the transverse heavy quark momenta, $K_{\perp} = (K_{1\perp} - K_{2\perp})/2$ and $q_T = K_{1\perp} + K_{2\perp}$ with $|q_T| \ll |K_{\perp}|$. In that situation, we can use the approximate transverse momenta $K_{1\perp} \approx K_{\perp}$ and $K_{2\perp} \approx -K_{\perp}$ denoting $M_{i\perp}^2 \approx M_{\perp}^2 = M_Q^2 + \mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2$. We use the Mandelstam variables

$$
\hat{s} = (q+p)^2 = \frac{x - x_B}{x_B} Q^2 = xyz - Q^2 = (K_1 + K_2)^2 = \frac{M_{1\perp}^2}{z_1} + \frac{M_{2\perp}^2}{z_2} \approx \frac{M_{\perp}^2}{z_1 z_2},\qquad(3.8)
$$

$$
\hat{t} = (q - K_1)^2 = M_Q^2 - \frac{M_{1\perp}^2}{z_1} - (1 - z_1) Q^2 \approx M_Q^2 - z_2 (\hat{s} + Q^2), \qquad (3.9)
$$

$$
\hat{u} = (q - K_2)^2 = M_Q^2 - \frac{M_{2\perp}^2}{z_2} - (1 - z_2) Q^2 \approx M_Q^2 - z_1 (\hat{s} + Q^2), \tag{3.10}
$$

from which we obtain momentum fractions,

$$
x = x_B \frac{\hat{s} + Q^2}{Q^2} = \frac{\hat{s} + Q^2}{ys} = x_B + \frac{M_{\perp}^2}{yz_1 z_2 s},
$$
\n(3.11)

$$
z = z_2 = \frac{1}{e^{y_1 - y_2} + 1} = -\frac{\hat{t} - M_Q^2}{\hat{s} + Q^2} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - z = z_1 = \frac{1}{e^{y_2 - y_1} + 1} = -\frac{\hat{u} - M_Q^2}{\hat{s} + Q^2}, \quad (3.12)
$$

where we have also introduced the rapidities y_i for the heavy quark momenta (along the photon-target direction).

In analogy to refs. [\[35](#page-21-7)] and [\[3\]](#page-19-2) we assume that at sufficiently high energies the cross section factorizes in a leptonic tensor, a soft parton correlator for the incoming hadron and a hard part:

$$
d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \frac{d^3 \ell'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'_e} \frac{d^3 K_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 K_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \int dx d^2 \mathbf{p}_T (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q + p - K_1 - K_2)
$$

$$
\times \sum_{a,b,c} \frac{1}{Q^4} L(\ell, q) \otimes \Phi_a(x, \mathbf{p}_T) \otimes |H_{\gamma^* a \to bc}(q, p, K_1, K_2)|^2, \qquad (3.13)
$$

where the leptonic tensor $L(\ell, q)$ is given by

$$
L^{\mu\nu}(\ell, q) = -g^{\mu\nu} Q^2 + 2 \left(\ell^{\mu} \ell^{\prime \nu} + \ell^{\nu} \ell^{\prime \mu} \right). \tag{3.14}
$$

In eq. [\(3.13\)](#page-5-0) the sum runs over all the partons in the initial and final states, and $H_{\gamma^*a\to bc}$ is the amplitude for the hard partonic subprocess $\gamma^* a \to bc$. The convolutions ⊗ denote appropriate traces over the Dirac indices.

In order to derive an expression for the cross section in terms of parton distributions, we insert the parametrizations in eqs. (2.1) , (2.2) and (2.3) of the TMD correlators into eq. [\(3.13\)](#page-5-0). In a frame where the virtual photon and the incoming hadron move along the z axis, and the lepton scattering plane defines the azimuthal angle $\phi_{\ell} = \phi_{\ell'} = 0$, one has

$$
\frac{d^3\ell'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'_e} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} sy \, dx_B \, dy \,, \quad \text{and} \quad dy_i = \frac{dz_i}{z_1 z_2} \,. \tag{3.15}
$$

With the decompositions of the parton momenta in eq. (3.5) , the δ -function in eq. (3.13) can be rewritten as

$$
\delta^4(p+q-K_1-K_2) = \delta\left(x-x_B - \frac{M_\perp^2}{yz_1z_2 s}\right) \delta\left(\frac{ys}{2}(1-z_1-z_2)\right) \delta^2(p_T-q_T), \quad (3.16)
$$

with corrections of order $\mathcal{O}(1/s)$. After integration over x and p_T , one obtains from the first and last δ -functions on the r.h.s. of eq. [\(3.16\)](#page-5-1), relations of x in terms of other kinematical variables [eq. [\(3.11\)](#page-5-2)], while p_T is related to the sum of the transverse momenta of the heavy quarks, $p_T = q_T$. Hence the complete angular structure of the cross section is as follows:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dy_1 dy_2 dy dx_B d^2 \mathbf{q}_T d^2 \mathbf{K}_{\perp}} =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\alpha^2 \alpha_s}{\pi s M_{\perp}^2} \frac{1}{x_B y^2} \left\{ A_0 + A_1 \cos \phi_{\perp} + A_2 \cos 2\phi_{\perp} + \mathbf{q}_T^2 \left[B_0 \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) + B_1 \cos(\phi_{\perp} - 2\phi_T) + B_1 \cos(3\phi_{\perp} - 2\phi_T) + B_2 \cos 2\phi_T + B_2' \cos 2(2\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) \right] \right\} \delta(1 - z_1 - z_2),
$$
\n(3.17)

with ϕ_T and ϕ_\perp denoting the azimuthal angles of q_T and K_\perp , respectively. The terms A_i , B_i , with $i = 0, 1, 2$, and $B'_{1,2}$, calculated at leading order (LO) in perturbative QCD, are given explicitly in the following. For this calculation we have used the approximations discussed above, which are applicable in the situation in which the outgoing heavy quark and antiquark are almost back to back in the transverse plane, implying $|q_T| \ll |K_\perp|$. In order to access experimentally A_1 , B_1 and B'_1 , the measurement of the electric charge of both the heavy quark and antiquark is required. This would allow one to distinguish between the two of them, avoiding the $\cos \phi_{\perp}$, $\cos(\phi_{\perp} - 2\phi_T)$ and $\cos(3\phi_{\perp} - 2\phi_T)$ modulations from averaging out [\[36\]](#page-21-8). The terms A_i in eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-6-0) are given by the sum of several contributions $\mathcal{A}_i^{ea\rightarrow ebc}$ coming from the partonic subprocesses $ea \rightarrow ebc$ underlying the reaction $eh \rightarrow eQ\bar{Q}X$,

$$
A_i^{eh \to eQ\bar{Q}X} = e_Q^2 T_R \mathcal{A}_i^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} f_1^g(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2), \qquad i = 0, 1, 2, \tag{3.18}
$$

with $T_R = 1/2$. They obey the relations

$$
\mathcal{A}_{0}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = [1 + (1 - y)^{2}] \mathcal{A}_{U+L}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}} - y^{2} \mathcal{A}_{L}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{1}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = (2 - y)\sqrt{1 - y} \mathcal{A}_{I}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{2}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{A}_{T}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$
\n(3.19)

where we have introduced the following linear combinations of helicity amplitudes squared $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda'_{\gamma}}$ for the process $\gamma^*g \to Q\bar{Q} \; (\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda'_{\gamma}=0,\pm 1)$ [\[37](#page-21-9)]:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{U+L} \sim \mathcal{A}_{++} + \mathcal{A}_{--} + \mathcal{A}_{00}, \n\mathcal{A}_{L} \sim \mathcal{A}_{00}, \n\mathcal{A}_{I} \sim \mathcal{A}_{0+} + \mathcal{A}_{+0} - \mathcal{A}_{0-} - \mathcal{A}_{-0}, \n\mathcal{A}_{T} \sim \mathcal{A}_{+-} + \mathcal{A}_{-+}.
$$
\n(3.20)

We find

$$
\mathcal{A}_{U+L}^{\gamma^* g \to Q\bar{Q}} = \frac{1}{D^3} - \frac{z(1-z)}{D^3} \left\{ 2 - 4 \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} + 4 \frac{M_Q^4}{M_\perp^4} - \left[4z(1-z) \left(2 - 3 \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) + 2 \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right] \frac{Q^2}{M_\perp^2} - z(1-z)[1 - 2z(1-z)] \frac{Q^4}{M_\perp^2} \right\},
$$
\n(3.21)

$$
\mathcal{A}_{L}^{\gamma^* g \to Q \bar{Q}} = 8 \frac{z^2 (1 - z)^2}{D^3} \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \frac{Q^2}{M_\perp^2},\tag{3.22}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{I}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}} = 4\sqrt{1 - \frac{M_{Q}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}} \frac{z(1-z)(1-2z)}{D^{3}} \frac{Q}{M_{\perp}} \left[1 - z(1-z)\frac{Q^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}} - 2\frac{M_{Q}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}\right],
$$
(3.23)

$$
\mathcal{A}_T^{\gamma^* g \to Q \bar{Q}} = 4 \frac{z(1-z)}{D^3} \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \left[z(1-z) \frac{Q^2}{M_\perp^2} + \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right],\tag{3.24}
$$

where the denominator D is defined as

$$
D \equiv D\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{M_{\perp}^2}\right) = 1 + z(1-z)\frac{Q^2}{M_{\perp}^2}.
$$
\n(3.25)

The remaining terms in eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-6-0) depend on the polarized gluon distribution $h_1^{\perp g}$ $\frac{1}{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x,\boldsymbol{q}_{T}^{2})$ and have the following general form,

$$
B_i^{eh \to eQ\bar{Q}X} = \frac{1}{M^2} e_Q^2 T_R \mathcal{B}_i^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} h_1^{\perp g}(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2), \qquad i = 0, 1, 2,
$$

\n
$$
B_{1,2}^{\prime eh \to eQ\bar{Q}X} = \frac{1}{M^2} e_Q^2 T_R \mathcal{B}_{1,2}^{\prime eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} h_1^{\perp g}(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2),
$$
\n(3.26)

where, in analogy to eq. (3.19) , one can write

$$
\mathcal{B}_{0}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = [1 + (1 - y)^{2}] \mathcal{B}_{U+L}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}} - y^{2} \mathcal{B}_{L}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = (2 - y)\sqrt{1 - y} \mathcal{B}_{I}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{B}_{T}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{B}_{T}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{B}_{T}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}},
$$
\n
$$
(3.27)
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{B}_{U+L}^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = \frac{z(1-z)}{D^3} \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2}\right) \left\{ \left[-1 + 6z(1-z)\right] \frac{Q^2}{M_\perp^2} + 2 \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right\},\tag{3.28}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{L}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}} = 4 \frac{z^{2}(1-z)^{2}}{D^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{M_{Q}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}\right) \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}},
$$
\n(3.29)

$$
\mathcal{B}_{I}^{\gamma^{*}g \to Q\bar{Q}} = -2\sqrt{1 - \frac{M_{Q}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}} \frac{z(1-z)(1-2z)}{D^{3}} \frac{Q}{M_{\perp}} \left[z(1-z) \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}} + \frac{M_{Q}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}} \right],
$$
 (3.30)

$$
\mathcal{B}'_I^{\gamma^* g \to Q \bar{Q}} = 2 \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{z(1-z)(1-2z)}{D^3} \frac{Q}{M_\perp},\tag{3.31}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_T^{eg \to eQ\bar{Q}} = -\frac{z(1-z)}{D^3} \left[z(1-z) \frac{Q^2}{M_\perp^2} + \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right]^2,\tag{3.32}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}'^{\gamma^* g \to Q \bar{Q}}_{T} = -\frac{z(1-z)}{D^3} \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2}\right)^2.
$$
\n(3.33)

If the azimuthal angle of the final lepton ϕ_{ℓ} is not measured, only one of the azimuthal modulations in eq. (3.17) (3.17) (3.17) can be defined, and the cross section will be given by $[16]$ ¹

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}y_1 \mathrm{d}y_2 \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x_B \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{q}_T \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{K}_\perp} = \frac{\alpha^2 \alpha_s}{\pi s M_\perp^2} \frac{1}{x_B y^2} \left[A + B \mathbf{q}_T^2 \cos 2(\phi_\perp - \phi_T) \right] \delta(1 - z_1 - z_2),\tag{3.34}
$$

where we have defined $A \equiv A_0$ and $B \equiv B_0$. Further integration over y_2 leads to

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}y_1 \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x_B \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{q}_T \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{K}_\perp} = \frac{\alpha^2 \alpha_s}{\pi s M_\perp^2} \frac{1}{x_B y^2 z (1-z)} \left[A + B \mathbf{q}_T^2 \cos 2(\phi_\perp - \phi_T) \right]. \tag{3.35}
$$

The proposed observables involve heavy quarks in the final state, therefore they could be measured at high energy colliders such as the Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC) proposed at CERN or at a future Electron-Ion Collider (EIC). The measurement or reconstruction of the transverse momenta of the heavy quarks is essential. The individual heavy quark transverse momenta $K_{i\perp}$ need to be reconstructed with an accuracy better than the magnitude of the sum of the transverse momenta $K_{1\perp} + K_{2\perp} = q_T$, which means one has to satisfy $\delta K_{\perp} \ll |q_T| \ll |K_{\perp}|$, requiring a sufficiently large $|K_{\perp}|$.

One observes from eqs. [\(3.26\)](#page-7-0)–[\(3.29\)](#page-7-1) that the magnitude B_0 of the cos $2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T)$ modulation in eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-6-0) is determined by $h_1^{\perp g}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ ^g and that if Q^2 and/or M_Q^2 are of the same order as K_{\perp}^2 , the coefficient B_0 is not power suppressed. Using the positivity bound [\[1\]](#page-19-0)

$$
\frac{\mathbf{p}_T^2}{2M^2} |h_1^{\perp g}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)| \le f_1^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2), \tag{3.36}
$$

we arrive at the maximum value R on $|\langle \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) \rangle|$:

$$
|\langle \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) \rangle| = \left| \frac{\int d\phi_{\perp} d\phi_T \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) d\sigma}{\int d\phi_{\perp} d\phi_T d\sigma} \right|
$$

=
$$
\frac{q_T^2 |B_0|}{2 A_0} = \frac{q_T^2}{2M^2} \frac{|h_1^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2)|}{f_1^g(x, p_T^2)} \frac{|B_0|}{A_0} \le \frac{|B_0|}{A_0} \equiv R.
$$
 (3.37)

The upper bound R is depicted in figure [1](#page-9-0) as a function of $|K_{\perp}|$ (> 1 GeV) at different values of Q^2 for charm (left panel) and bottom (right panel) production, where we have selected $y = 0.01$, $z = 0.5$, and taken $M_c^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, $M_b^2 = 25 \text{ GeV}^2$. Asymmetries of this size, together with the relative simplicity of the suggested measurement (polarized beams are not required), likely will allow an extraction of $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ at EIC (or LHeC). The bound R' on $|\langle \cos 2\phi_T \rangle|$ is similarly defined:

$$
|\langle \cos 2\phi_T \rangle| = \left| \frac{\int d\phi_\perp d\phi_T \, \cos 2\phi_T \, d\sigma}{\int d\phi_\perp d\phi_T \, d\sigma} \right| = \frac{\mathbf{q}_T^2}{2 A_0} = \frac{\mathbf{q}_T^2}{2 M^2} \frac{|h_1^{\perp g}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)|}{f_1^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)} \frac{|B_2|}{A_0} \le \frac{|B_2|}{A_0} \equiv R',\tag{3.38}
$$

and is shown in figure [2](#page-9-1) in the same kinematic region as in figure [1.](#page-9-0) One can see that R' can be larger than R, but only at smaller $|K_\perp|$. R' falls off more rapidly at larger values of $|K_\perp|$ than R.

¹Note that the flux factor of the cross section in eq. (2) of ref. [\[16\]](#page-20-5) has been corrected. The results on azimuthal asymmetries remain the same.

Figure 1. Upper bounds R on $|\langle \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) \rangle|$ plotted as a function of $|K_{\perp}|$ (> 1 GeV) at different values of Q^2 for charm (left panel) and bottom (right panel) production in the process $eh \rightarrow e'Q\bar{Q}X$, calculated at $z = 0.5$, $y = 0.01$.

Figure 2. Same as in figure [1,](#page-9-0) but for the upper bounds R' on $|\langle \cos 2\phi_{T} \rangle|$.

Finally, we point out that final state heavy quarks can also arise from diagrams where intrinsic charm or bottom quark pairs couple to two or more valence quarks [\[38](#page-21-10)[–43\]](#page-22-0), thus contributing primarily in the valence region $(x > 0.1)$. Therefore, the expressions for heavy quark pairs created in the photon-gluon fusion process, as presented in this paper, should

be applicable for smaller x values, which means $s \gg M_{\perp}^2$, Q^2 . Moreover, the intrinsic probabilities scale as $1/M_Q^2$, unlike the logarithmic contributions from gluon splitting. Strong polarization correlations of the intrinsic heavy quarks are possible because of their multiple couplings to the projectile hadron. This is clearly worth further investigation.

3.2 Dijet production

The cross section for the process

$$
e(\ell) + h(P) \to e(\ell') + \text{jet}(K_1) + \text{jet}(K_2) + X \tag{3.39}
$$

can be calculated in the same way as previously described for heavy quark production. This means that eqs. (3.2) – (3.17) and eqs. (3.34) – (3.35) still hold when $M_Q = 0$. One can then also replace the rapidities of the outgoing particles, y_i , with the pseudo-rapidities $\eta_i =$ $-\ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]$ $(\frac{1}{2}\theta_i)$, θ_i being the polar angles of the final partons in the virtual photon-hadron center of mass frame. The explicit expressions for A_i , B_i , $B'_{1,2}$ appearing in eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-6-0) are given below. Note that A_i now receive contributions from two subprocesses, namely $eq \rightarrow e'qg$ and $eg \rightarrow e'q\bar{q}$. Therefore the upper bounds of the asymmetries will be smaller than the ones for heavy quark pair production presented in the previous section. More explicitly, one can write

$$
A_i^{eh \to e \, \text{jet}\, \text{jet}X} = \sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 \, C_F \, \mathcal{A}_i^{eq \to eqg} f_1^q(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2) + \sum_q e_q^2 \, T_R \, \mathcal{A}_i^{eg \to eq\bar{q}} f_1^g(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \,, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \,,
$$
\n(3.40)

where $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/2N_c$, with N_c being the number of colors, and, similarly to eq. [\(3.19\)](#page-6-1),

$$
\mathcal{A}_0^{eq \to eqg} = \left[1 + (1 - y)^2\right] \mathcal{A}_{U+L}^{\gamma^*q \to qg} - y^2 \mathcal{A}_L^{\gamma^*q \to qg},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_1^{eq \to qg} = (2 - y)\sqrt{1 - y} \mathcal{A}_I^{\gamma^*q \to qg},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_2^{eq \to eqg} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{A}_T^{\gamma^*q \to qg},
$$
\n(3.41)

see also eq. [\(3.20\)](#page-6-2). Neglecting terms suppressed by powers of $|q_T|/|K_\perp|$, in agreement with the results in ref. [\[44\]](#page-22-1), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}_{U+L}^{\gamma^*q \to qg} = \frac{1-z}{D_0^2} \left\{ 1 + z^2 + \left[2z(1-z) + 4z^2(1-z)^2 \right] \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} + \left[z^2(1-z)^2 \right] \left[1 + (1-z)^2 \right] \frac{Q^4}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^4} \right\},\tag{3.42}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{L}^{\gamma^{*}q \to qg} = 4 \, \frac{z^{2}(1-z)^{3}}{D_{0}^{2}} \, \frac{Q^{2}}{K_{\perp}^{2}} \,, \tag{3.43}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{I}^{\gamma^* q \to qg} = -4 \frac{z^2 (1-z)^2}{D_0^2} \left[1 + (1-z)^2 \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} \right] \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{K}_{\perp}|}, \tag{3.44}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_T^{\gamma^* q \to qg} = 2 \frac{z^2 (1-z)^3}{D_0^2} \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2},\tag{3.45}
$$

with

$$
D_0 \equiv D_0 \left(z, \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} \right) = 1 + z(1 - z) \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} \,. \tag{3.46}
$$

Furthermore, taking $M_Q = 0$ and $M_\perp = |\mathbf{K}_\perp|$ in eqs. [\(3.21\)](#page-7-2)–[\(3.25\)](#page-7-3), for the subprocess $\gamma^* g \to q \bar{q}$ we get

$$
\mathcal{A}_{U+L}^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{D_0^3} \left\{ 1 - 2z(1-z) + z^2(1-z)^2 \left[8\frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} + [1 - 2z(1-z)]\frac{Q^4}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^4} \right] \right\},\qquad(3.47)
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{L}^{\gamma^{*}g \to q\bar{q}} = 8 \, \frac{z^{2}(1-z)^{2}}{D_{0}^{3}} \, \frac{Q^{2}}{K_{\perp}^{2}} \,, \tag{3.48}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{I}^{\gamma^{*}g \to q\bar{q}} = 4 \frac{z(1-z)(1-2z)}{D_0^3} \left[1 - z(1-z) \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} \right] \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{K}_{\perp}|}, \tag{3.49}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_T^{\gamma^* g \to eq\bar{q}} = 4 \frac{z^2 (1-z)^2}{D_0^3} \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} \,. \tag{3.50}
$$

In analogy to eq. [\(3.26\)](#page-7-0), we have for the terms that depend on the gluon distribution function $h_1^{\perp g}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ ^g:

$$
B_i^{eh \to e \text{ jet jet}} = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_q e_q^2 \mathcal{B}_i^{eg \to eq\bar{q}} h_1^{\perp g}(x, q_T^2),
$$

$$
B_{1,2}^{\prime eh \to e \text{ jet jet}} = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_q e_q^2 \mathcal{B}_{1,2}^{\prime eg \to eq\bar{q}} h_1^{\perp g}(x, q_T^2),
$$
(3.51)

with

$$
\mathcal{B}_0^{eg \to eq\bar{q}} = [1 + (1 - y)^2] \mathcal{B}_{U+L}^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}} - y^2 \mathcal{B}_L^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_1^{eg \to eq\bar{q}} = (2 - y) \sqrt{1 - y} \mathcal{B}_I^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_2^{eg \to eq\bar{q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{B}_T^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_2^{\prime e g \to eq\bar{q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{B}_T^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{B}_2^{\prime e g \to eq\bar{q}} = 2(1 - y) \mathcal{B}_T^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}}.
$$

\n(3.52)

By taking $M_Q = 0$ and $M_{\perp} = |\mathbf{K}_{\perp}|$ in eqs. [\(3.28\)](#page-7-1)–[\(3.33\)](#page-7-1), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{B}_{U+L}^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}} = -\frac{z(1-z)[1-6z(1-z)]}{D_0^3} \frac{Q^2}{K_{\perp}^2},\tag{3.53}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{L}^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}} = 4 \, \frac{z^2 (1-z)^2}{D_0^3} \, \frac{Q^2}{\mathbf{K}_{\perp}^2} \,, \tag{3.54}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{I}^{\gamma^{*}g \to q\bar{q}} = -2 \, \frac{z^{2}(1-z)^{2}(1-2z)}{D_{0}^{3}} \frac{Q^{3}}{|\mathbf{K}_{\perp}|^{3}}, \tag{3.55}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}'_I^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}} = 2 \, \frac{z(1-z)(1-2z)}{D_0^3} \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{K}_\perp|},\tag{3.56}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{T}^{\gamma^{*}g \to q\bar{q}} = -\frac{z^{3}(1-z)^{3}}{D_{0}^{3}} \frac{Q^{4}}{K_{\perp}^{4}} , \qquad (3.57)
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}'^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}}_T = -\frac{z(1-z)}{D_0^3} \,. \tag{3.58}
$$

3.3 Dilepton production

Azimuthal modulations analogous to the ones calculated above arise in QED as well, in the 'tridents' processes $\ell e(p) \to \ell \mu^+ \mu^- e'(p' \text{ or } X)$ or $\mu^- Z \to \mu^- \ell \bar{\ell} Z$ [\[45](#page-22-2)[–49\]](#page-22-3). Such asymmetries

Figure 3. Upper bounds R (left panel) and R' (right panel) on $|\langle \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) \rangle|$ and $|\langle \cos 2\phi_T \rangle|$, respectively, as a function of $|K_\perp|$ (> 1 GeV) at different values of Q^2 , for the process $eh \rightarrow$ $e'\mu^{-}\mu^{+}X$, calculated at $z = 0.5$, $y = 0.01$.

could be described by the distribution of linearly polarized photons inside a lepton, proton, or atom. The transverse momentum dependent unpolarized and linearly polarized photon distributions in a hadron, denoted by f_1^{γ} $\overline{\hat{P}_1}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ and $h_1^{\perp \gamma}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ ^{γ} (x, p_T^2) respectively, can be defined in the same way as their gluonic counterparts, see eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-3-3). Therefore, the cross section for the electroproduction of two muons,

$$
e(\ell) + h(P) \to e(\ell') + \mu^-(K_1) + \mu^+(K_2) + X, \qquad (3.59)
$$

proceeds, at LO in QED, via the subprocess

$$
\gamma^*(q) + \gamma(p) \to \mu^-(K_1) + \mu^+(K_2), \qquad (3.60)
$$

where the second (real) photon is emitted by the hadron. If the $\mu^{-}\mu^{+}$ pair in the final state is almost back-to-back in the plane perpendicular to the direction of the exchanged (virtual) photon and hadron, the corresponding cross section is the same as the one in eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-6-0) derived for QQ production, with α_s replaced by α and M_Q by M_μ . The coefficients of the various azimuthal modulations are those given in eqs. (3.18) – (3.33) with the replacements $e_Q^2 \to 1, T_R \to 1, f_1^g \to f_1^{\gamma}$ $h_1^{\gamma}, h_1^{\perp g} \to h_1^{\perp \gamma}$ $\frac{1}{1}$.

The bounds R and R' for the process $eh \rightarrow e'\mu^{-}\mu^{+}X$ can be obtained using the positivity constraint for linearly polarized photon distributions, analogous to the one in eq. (3.36) (3.36) (3.36) for gluons, and they are shown in figure 3. Especially as Q^2 increases, they become very similar to R and R' for the process $eh \rightarrow e'Q\overline{Q}X$.

4 Hadron-hadron collisions

4.1 Heavy quark production

The cross section for the process

$$
h_1(P_1) + h_2(P_2) \to Q(K_1) + \bar{Q}(K_2) + X, \qquad (4.1)
$$

in a way similar to the hadroproduction of two jets discussed in ref. [\[3\]](#page-19-2) (to which we refer for the details of the calculation), can be written in the following form

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dy_1 dy_2 d^2 \mathbf{K}_{1\perp} d^2 \mathbf{K}_{2\perp}} = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{s M_\perp^2} \left[A(\mathbf{q}_T^2) + B(\mathbf{q}_T^2) \mathbf{q}_T^2 \cos 2(\phi_\perp - \phi_T) + C(\mathbf{q}_T^2) \mathbf{q}_T^4 \cos 4(\phi_\perp - \phi_T) \right],\tag{4.2}
$$

where y_i are the rapidities of the outgoing particles, $q_T \equiv K_{1\perp} + K_{2\perp}$, $K_{\perp} \equiv (K_{1\perp} (K_{2\perp})/2$ and $M_{\perp} = \sqrt{M_Q^2 + K_{\perp}^2}$, M_Q being the heavy quark mass. The momentum q_T is in principle experimentally accessible and is related to the intrinsic transverse momenta of the incoming partons, $q_T = p_{1T} + p_{2T}$. The azimuthal angles of K_{\perp} and ϕ_T are denoted by ϕ_T and ϕ_{\perp} , respectively. Besides q_T^2 , the terms A, B and C depend on other kinematic variables not explicitly shown, such as z, which is given in eq. (3.12) with $Q^2 = 0$ and with the Mandelstam variables defined by the momenta of the incoming (p_1, p_2) and outgoing (K_1, K_2) partons as follows,

$$
\hat{s} = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \qquad \hat{t} = (p_1 - K_1)^2, \qquad \hat{u} = (p_1 - K_2)^2.
$$
\n(4.3)

Furthermore, they depend on M_Q^2/M_\perp^2 and on the light-cone momentum fractions $x_1, x_2,$ related to the rapidities, the mass and the transverse momenta of the heavy quark and antiquark by the relations

$$
x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left(M_{1\perp} e^{y_1} + M_{2\perp} e^{y_2} \right), \qquad x_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left(M_{1\perp} e^{-y_1} + M_{2\perp} e^{-y_2} \right), \tag{4.4}
$$

with, as before, $M_{i\perp}^2 = \mathbf{K}_{i\perp}^2 + M_Q^2 \approx M_{\perp}^2$.

The terms A, B, and C have been calculated at LO in perturbative QCD, adopting the approximation $|q_T| \ll |K_{11}| \approx |K_{21}| \approx |K_1|$ which is applicable when the heavy quark and antiquark pair is produced almost back-to-back in the transverse plane. Their explicit expressions, which contain convolutions of different TMDs, are given in the following. As discussed in ref. [\[5](#page-19-4)], the coefficients B and C in eq. [\(4.2\)](#page-13-2) could be separated by q_T^2 -weighted integration over q_T . We point out that in the limiting situation when $|K_{1\perp}| = |K_{2\perp}|$, one has exactly $\cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) = -1$ and $\cos 4(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T) = 1$, since K_{\perp} and q_T are orthogonal. In this case the remaining angular dependence (on the imbalance angle $\delta\phi = \phi_Q - \phi_{\bar{Q}} - \pi$) enters through q_T^2 only [\[5](#page-19-4)].

The angular independent part A of the cross section in eq. (4.2) is given by the sum of the contributions $\mathcal{A}^{q\bar{q}\to Q\bar{Q}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{gg\to Q\bar{Q}}$, coming respectively from the partonic subprocesses $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$ and $gg \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$, which underlie the process $h_1h_2 \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}X$:

$$
A = \mathcal{A}^{q\bar{q}\to Q\bar{Q}} + \mathcal{A}^{gg\to Q\bar{Q}},\tag{4.5}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{A}^{q\bar{q}\to Q\bar{Q}} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c^2} z(1-z) \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 + 2z(1-z) \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right] \left[\mathcal{F}^{q\bar{q}}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) + \mathcal{F}^{\bar{q}q}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \right],\tag{4.6}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}^{gg \to Q\bar{Q}} = \mathcal{A}_1 \left(z, \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \mathcal{F}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) + \frac{M_Q^4}{M_\perp^4} \mathcal{A}_2(z) \, \mathbf{q}_T^4 \mathcal{N}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \,, \tag{4.7}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{1}{2} \left(z^2 + (1 - z)^2 - \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right) \left[z^2 + (1 - z)^2 + 4z(1 - z) \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right],\tag{4.8}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_2 = -\frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{z(1-z)}{4} \left[z^2 + (1-z^2) - \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right]. \tag{4.9}
$$

We have adopted the following convolutions of TMDs,

$$
\mathcal{F}^{ab}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \equiv \int d^2 \mathbf{p}_{1T} d^2 \mathbf{p}_{2T} \,\delta^2(\mathbf{p}_{1T} + \mathbf{p}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_T) f_1^a(x_1, \mathbf{p}_{1T}^2) f_1^b(x_2, \mathbf{p}_{2T}^2), \qquad (4.10)
$$

where a sum over all (anti)quark flavors is understood, and

$$
\mathbf{q}_{T}^{4} \mathcal{N}^{gg}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) \equiv \frac{1}{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}} \int d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{1T} d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2T} \delta^{2}(\mathbf{p}_{1T} + \mathbf{p}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_{T}) \left[2(\mathbf{p}_{1T} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2T})^{2} - \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2} \right] \times h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2}) h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2}). \tag{4.11}
$$

The results in eq. [\(4.6\)](#page-14-0) and in eq. [\(4.7\)](#page-14-0), integrated over q_T , recover the ones calculated in the framework of collinear LO pQCD, which can be found, for example, in refs. [\[50](#page-22-4)[–52\]](#page-22-5) and in refs. [\[50,](#page-22-4) [51](#page-22-6)], respectively. Moreover, taking the limit $M_Q \to 0$, agreement is found between eqs. (4.6) – (4.9) and the explicit expressions derived for massless partons published in ref. [\[3\]](#page-19-2) [eqs. (23), (28)], namely

$$
\mathcal{A}^{q\bar{q}\to q'\bar{q}'} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c^2} z(1-z) \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right] \left[\mathcal{F}^{q\bar{q}}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) + \mathcal{F}^{\bar{q}q}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \right], \qquad (4.12)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}^{gg \to q\bar{q}} = \frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \left[z^2 + (1 - z)^2 - \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right] \frac{z^2 + (1 - z)^2}{2} \mathcal{F}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2).
$$
 (4.13)

In analogy to eq. (4.5) , we write

$$
B = \mathcal{B}^{q\bar{q}\to Q\bar{Q}} + \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \mathcal{B}^{gg\to Q\bar{Q}},\tag{4.14}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}^{q\bar{q}\to Q\bar{Q}} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} z^2 (1 - z)^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \left[\mathcal{H}^{q\bar{q}}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) + \mathcal{H}^{\bar{q}q}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \right], \qquad (4.15)
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}^{gg \to Q\bar{Q}} = \frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \mathcal{B}_1 \left(z, \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \mathcal{H}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \,, \tag{4.16}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{B}_1 = z(1-z)\bigg[z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \frac{1}{N_c^2}\bigg]\bigg(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2}\bigg). \tag{4.17}
$$

Similarly to eqs. [\(4.10\)](#page-14-2) and [\(4.11\)](#page-14-3), we have defined the following convolutions of parton distributions

$$
q_T^2 \mathcal{H}^{q\bar{q}}(x_1, x_2, q_T^2) \equiv
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{M_1 M_2} \sum_{\text{flavors}} \int d^2 p_{1T} d^2 p_{2T} \delta^2(p_{1T} + p_{2T} - q_T)
$$

\n
$$
\times [2(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot p_{1T})(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot p_{2T}) - (p_{1T} \cdot p_{2T})] h_1^{\perp q}(x_1, p_{1T}^2) h_1^{\perp \bar{q}}(x_2, p_{2T}^2),
$$
\n(4.18)

and

$$
\begin{split} \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2} \mathcal{H}^{gg}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) & \equiv \\ \frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}} \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathbf{p}_{1T} \, \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2T} \, \delta^{2}(\mathbf{p}_{1T} + \mathbf{p}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_{T}) \Big\{ \big[2(\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{1T})^{2} - \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2} \big] h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2}) f_{1}^{g}(x_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2}) \\ & + \big[2(\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2T})^{2} - \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2} \big] f_{1}^{g}(x_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2}) h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2}) \Big\} \,, \end{split} \tag{4.19}
$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{h}} \equiv \mathbf{q}_T / |\mathbf{q}_T|$. The result given in eq. (36) of ref. [\[3\]](#page-19-2),

$$
\mathcal{B}^{q\bar{q}\to q'\bar{q}'} = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} z^2 (1 - z)^2 \left[\mathcal{H}^{q\bar{q}}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) + \mathcal{H}^{\bar{q}q}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \right],
$$
(4.20)

is recovered taking the massless limit of eqs. (4.14) – (4.17) .

Finally, the cos $4(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T)$ angular distribution of the $Q\overline{Q}$ pair is related exclusively to the presence of (linearly) polarized gluons inside unpolarized hadrons. It turns out that

$$
C = C^{gg \to Q\bar{Q}} = C(z) \left(1 - \frac{M_Q^2}{M_\perp^2} \right)^2 \left[2\mathcal{I}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) - \mathcal{L}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \right],\tag{4.21}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{C}(z) = \mathcal{A}_2(z) = -\frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{z(1-z)}{4} \left[z^2 + (1-z^2) - \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right],\tag{4.22}
$$

see eq. (4.9) , where we have introduced the convolutions $[3]$

$$
\mathbf{q}_{T}^{4} \mathcal{I}^{gg}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) \equiv \frac{1}{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}} \int d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{1T} d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2T} \delta^{2}(\mathbf{p}_{1T} + \mathbf{p}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_{T}) \times \left[2(\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{1T})(\hat{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2T}) - (\mathbf{p}_{1T} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2T}) \right]^{2} h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2}) h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2}), \tag{4.23}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{q}_{T}^{4} \mathcal{L}^{gg}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) \equiv \frac{1}{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}} \int d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{1T} d^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2T} \, \delta^{2}(\mathbf{p}_{1T} + \mathbf{p}_{2T} - \mathbf{q}_{T}) \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2} \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2} h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1T}^{2}) h_{1}^{\perp g}(x_{2}, \mathbf{p}_{2T}^{2}). \tag{4.24}
$$

In the massless limit, we recover the result in eq. (46) of ref. [\[3\]](#page-19-2),

$$
\mathcal{C}^{gg \to q\bar{q}} = -\frac{N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{z(1-z)}{4} \left(z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right) \left[2\mathcal{I}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) - \mathcal{L}^{gg}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) \right]. \tag{4.25}
$$

In arriving at the above expressions we have ignored the modifications due to initial and final state interactions. We address their effect in section [5.](#page-17-0)

4.2 Dilepton production

The cross section for the reaction

$$
h_1(P_1) + h_2(P_2) \to \mu^-(K_1) + \mu^+(K_2) + X, \qquad (4.26)
$$

which proceeds via the two channels $q\bar{q} \to \mu^- \mu^+$ (Drell-Yan scattering) and $\gamma\gamma \to \mu^- \mu^+$ (photon fusion), can be recovered from the results for heavy quark pair production by taking the limit $N_c \rightarrow 0$ [\[53](#page-22-7)]. It can still be written as in eq. [\(4.2\)](#page-13-2), with α_s replaced by α and

$$
A = \mathcal{A}^{q\bar{q}\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}} + \mathcal{A}^{\gamma\gamma\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}}, \qquad B = \mathcal{B}^{q\bar{q}\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}} + \frac{M_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}} \mathcal{B}^{\gamma\gamma\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}}, \qquad C = \mathcal{C}^{\gamma\gamma\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}},
$$
\n(4.27)

with

$$
\mathcal{A}^{q\bar{q}\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}} = 2z(1-z)\left[z^{2} + (1-z)^{2} + 2z(1-z)\frac{M_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}\right]\left[\mathcal{F}^{q\bar{q}}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) + \mathcal{F}^{\bar{q}q}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2})\right],\tag{4.28}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\gamma\gamma\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}} = \mathcal{A}_{1}\left(z, \frac{M_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}\right) \mathcal{F}^{\gamma\gamma}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) - \frac{M_{\mu}^{4}}{M_{\perp}^{4}} z(1-z) \, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{4} \, \mathcal{N}^{\gamma\gamma}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2})\,,\tag{4.29}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}^{q\bar{q}\to\mu^{-}\mu^{+}} = 4z^{2}(1-z)^{2}\left(1 - \frac{M_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{\perp}^{2}}\right)\left[\mathcal{H}^{q\bar{q}}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}) + \mathcal{H}^{\bar{q}q}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{T}^{2})\right],
$$
\n(4.30)

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\gamma\gamma \to \mu^- \bar{\mu}^+} = 4z(1-z)\left(1 - \frac{M_\mu^2}{M_\perp^2}\right) \mathcal{H}^{\gamma\gamma}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) ,\qquad(4.31)
$$

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\gamma\gamma \to \mu^- \mu^+} = -z(1-z)\left(1 - \frac{M_\mu^2}{M_\perp^2}\right)^2 \left[2\mathcal{I}^{\gamma\gamma}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2) - \mathcal{L}^{\gamma\gamma}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{q}_T^2)\right],\tag{4.32}
$$

where we have defined the function

$$
\mathcal{A}_1 = 2 \left[z^2 + (1 - z)^2 + 4z(1 - z) \left(1 - \frac{M_\mu^2}{M_\perp^2} \right) \frac{M_\mu^2}{M_\perp^2} \right] \tag{4.33}
$$

and the convolutions adopted are the ones in eqs. (4.10) – (4.11) , (4.18) – (4.19) , (4.23) – (4.24) , with the obvious substitutions $f_1^g \rightarrow f_1^{\gamma}$ h_1^{γ} and $h_1^{\perp g} \rightarrow h_1^{\perp \gamma}$ $_1^{\perp \gamma}$. We note that, because of the Drell-Yan background process, the cleanest way to extract h_1^{\perp} $\frac{1}{1}^{\gamma}$ in hadronic collisions would be through the measurement of a $\cos 4(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_T)$ asymmetry, or else a selection that suppresses s-channel muon pair production, like a sizable lower Q^2 cut, should be considered.

5 Factorization issues and process dependent color factors

The results in this paper have assumed TMD factorization. As is well-known, initial and final state interactions generally lead to modifications of the expressions depending on the process under consideration. Already at the level of resumming the corresponding collinear gluons into the gauge links required for color gauge invariance, problems can arise with factorization [\[4](#page-19-3)]. Such factorization breaking effects show up in the dijet and heavy quark pair production cases, considered in the previous section. Despite these problems with TMD factorization for the differential (unintegrated) cross sections, transverse momentum weighted expressions, for $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$ defined as

$$
h_1^{\perp g(2)[U]}(x) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^2 p_T (\mathbf{p}_T^2 / 2M^2)^2 h_1^{\perp g[U]}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) \,, \tag{5.1}
$$

can be factorized, but they appear with specific factors for different diagrams in the partonic subprocess [\[54](#page-22-8), [55](#page-22-9)]. This is simplest in cases where only the transverse momenta in just one of the hadrons matter [\[28\]](#page-21-1). The various factors result from the initial and final state interactions that can contribute differently in different subprocesses. By studying all weightings one can calculate and quantify the process dependence and the nonuniversality of the TMDs involved. Subsequently, one can then re-collect these transverse moments and express any gauge link dependent TMD into a finite number of TMDs of definite rank, e.g. three different 'pretzelocity' functions (h_{11}^{\perp}) $\frac{1}{1T}$) in the case of quark TMDs [\[29\]](#page-21-11). Each of the functions corresponds to a Fourier transform of a well-defined operator combination in the defining matrix element.

Also, when writing down TMD factorized expressions for the processes $ep \rightarrow e'Q\overline{Q}X$, $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ or $pp \rightarrow H/\eta_c/\chi_{c0}/...X$ that have been suggested as clean and safe ways to extract $h_1^{\perp g}$ $\frac{1}{1}^{g}(x, p_T^2)$, one needs to be aware that one is not extracting a single TMD function, but a combination of several functions. For example, the $\gamma^* g \to Q \bar{Q}$ subprocess that transports a color octet initial state into a color octet final state, will lead to a gluon correlator with a different gauge link structure as compared to the subprocess where two gluons fuse to produce a color singlet final state.

Using transverse weightings for the case of $h_1^{\perp g}$ $_1^{\perp g}$, the gauge link dependent TMDs can be expressed in a set of five universal TMDs [\[30\]](#page-21-2),

$$
h_1^{\perp g[U]}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) = h_1^{\perp g(A)}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) + \sum_{c=1}^4 C_{GG, Bc}^{[U]} h_1^{\perp g(Bc)}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2) ,\qquad (5.2)
$$

all of which have the same azimuthal dependence. Four of them, labeled (Bc), are gluonic pole matrix elements with in this case two soft gluonic pole contributions (and hence Teven), coming with a link dependent factor. There are multiple functions because the color trace can be performed in different ways. The function labeled with (A) does not contain a gluonic pole contribution (hence also T-even) and it contributes with factor unity in all situations. For further details on the definition of these functions and the relevant (calculable) gluonic pole factors we refer to ref. [\[30](#page-21-2)].

As mentioned earlier it depends on the process under consideration which of the color structures appear. In $ep \rightarrow e'Q\bar{Q}X$ and in all the processes with a colorless final state, $pp \to \gamma \gamma X$ and $pp \to H/\eta_c/\chi_{c0}/\ldots X$, only the two functions $h_1^{\perp g(A)}$ $\frac{1}{1}g(A)$ and $h_1^{\perp g(B1)}$ $_1^{\perp g(D1)}$ appear in the combination $h_1^{\perp g[g\to \text{color single}]} = h_1^{\perp g(A)} + h_1^{\perp g(B1)}$ $\frac{1}{1}$, despite the different gauge link structures. For $pp \rightarrow Q\overline{Q}X$ also the other functions appear due to the more complicated color flow of the diagram(s) involved. For example, in the case of $gg \to q\bar{q}$ in the hard scattering amplitude, there are multiple Feynman diagrams contributing to the process and all five functions in eq. [\(5.2\)](#page-17-1) are required. Even if the basic tree level values of the gluonic pole coefficients $C_{GG,c}^{[U]}$ (with $c = 1, ..., 4$) can be calculated straightforwardly, one must be careful in those cases in which transverse momenta of more than just one hadron are involved, since these hadron-hadron scattering processes do not factorize in general. Therefore the relative strengths of the various azimuthal dependences attributed to linearly polarized gluons need further study.

6 Summary and conclusions

In this paper we have presented expressions for azimuthal asymmetries that arise in heavy quark and muon pair production due to the fact that gluons and also photons inside unpolarized hadrons can be linearly polarized. We studied these asymmetries for both electron-hadron and hadron-hadron scattering, not taking into account the presence of initial and final state interactions, which however modify the expressions by N_c -dependent pre-factors if not hampering TMD factorization altogether. For the processes considered in this paper this was addressed at the end in section [5.](#page-17-0)

First we considered the case of heavy quark pair production in electron-hadron scattering: $ep \rightarrow e'Q\overline{Q}X$. We calculated the maximal asymmetries $(R$ and $R')$ for two specific angular dependences. These turn out to be very sizable in certain transverse momentum regions. This finding, together with the relative simplicity of the measurements, are very promising concerning a future extraction of the linearly polarized gluon distribution $h_1^{\perp g}$ 1 at EIC or LHeC. A similar conclusion applies to the linearly polarized photon distribution inside unpolarized protons through muon pair production. These measurements can be made relatively free from background, where for heavy quark pair production the contributions from intrinsic charm and bottom can be suppressed by restricting to the x region below 0.1 (of course, the study of the polarization of intrinsic heavy quarks is of interest in itself) and for muon pair production the Drell-Yan background can be cut out by kinematic constraints. For the case of dijet production the asymmetries are expected to be smaller and background subtractions may be more involved.

Next we considered heavy quark and muon pair production in hadron-hadron collisions. In this case the main concern is the breaking of factorization due to ISI and FSI. As explained in section [5,](#page-17-0) cross sections can be expressed in terms of five universal $h_1^{\perp g}$ TMDs, in process dependent combinations, if factorization holds to begin with. It turns out that the $ep \rightarrow e'Q\bar{Q}X$ process probes the same combination of two of the five universal functions as processes like $pp \to \gamma \gamma X$ or $pp \to H/\eta_c/\chi_{c0}/\ldots X$. This restricted universality can be tested experimentally, using RHIC or LHC data. In the process $pp \to Q\bar{Q}X$ factorization

is expected to be broken, therefore, it is of interest to compare the extractions of $h_1^{\perp g}$ $j^{\perp g}$ from $ep \rightarrow e'Q\bar{Q}X$ and $pp \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}X$, in order to learn about the size and importance of the factorization breaking effects. A further comparison to $ep \to e' \mu^- \mu^+ X$ and $pp \to \mu^- \mu^+ X$ will be very interesting in this respect too, since these processes should not suffer from factorization breaking effects due to ISI/FSI. It will also teach us about the linearly polarization of photons in unpolarized protons. A further comparison to the distribution of linearly polarized photons 'inside' electrons could also be very instructive. In this respect any high energy e^+e^- , ep and pp scattering experiment can contribute valuably to such interesting comparisons.

Acknowledgments

This research is part of the research program of the "Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM)", which is financially supported by the "Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)". We acknowledge financial support from the European Community under the FP7 "Capacities — Research Infrastructures" program (HadronPhysics3 and the Grant Agreement 283286) and the "Ideas" program QWORK (contract 320389). C.P. would like to thank the Department of Physics of the University of Cagliari, and INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, where part of this work was performed.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] P.J. Mulders and J. Rodrigues, Transverse momentum dependence in gluon distribution and fragmentation functions, Phys. Rev. $\bf{D} 63$ [\(2001\) 094021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.094021) [[hep-ph/0009343](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0009343)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0009343)].
- [2] S. Meissner, A. Metz and K. Goeke, Relations between generalized and transverse momentum dependent parton distributions, Phys. Rev. D 76 [\(2007\) 034002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.034002) [[hep-ph/0703176](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703176)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D76,034002)].
- [3] D. Boer, P.J. Mulders and C. Pisano, *Dijet imbalance in hadronic collisions*, Phys. Rev. D 80 [\(2009\) 094017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.094017) [[arXiv:0909.4652](http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4652)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0909.4652)].
- [4] T.C. Rogers and P.J. Mulders, No generalized TMD-factorization in hadro-production of high transverse momentum hadrons, Phys. Rev. $D 81$ [\(2010\) 094006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.094006) [[arXiv:1001.2977](http://arxiv.org/abs/1001.2977)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1001.2977)].
- [5] D. Boer, S.J. Brodsky, P.J. Mulders and C. Pisano, *Direct probes of linearly polarized gluons* inside unpolarized hadrons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.132001) 106 (2011) 132001 $\arXiv:1011.4225$ $\arXiv:1011.4225$ [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1011.4225)].
- [6] J.-W. Qiu, M. Schlegel and W. Vogelsang, Probing gluonic spin-orbit correlations in photon pair production, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.062001) 107 (2011) 062001 $[aryiv:1103.3861]$ $[insPIRE]$.
- [7] A. Metz and J. Zhou, *Distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside a large nucleus*, Phys. Rev. **D 84** [\(2011\) 051503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.051503) [[arXiv:1105.1991](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1991)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1105.1991)].
- [8] F. Dominguez, J.-W. Qiu, B.-W. Xiao and F. Yuan, On the linearly polarized gluon distributions in the color dipole model, Phys. Rev. \bf{D} 85 [\(2012\) 045003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.045003) [[arXiv:1109.6293](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6293)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1109.6293)].
- [9] A. Schäfer and J. Zhou, *Higgs boson production in high energy proton-nucleus collisions*, Phys. Rev. **D 85** [\(2012\) 114004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.114004) [[arXiv:1203.1534](http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1534)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1203.1534)].
- [10] E. Akcakaya, A. Schäfer and J. Zhou, Azimuthal asymmetries for quark pair production in pA collisions, Phys. Rev. **D 87** [\(2013\) 054010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.054010) $\left[$ [arXiv:1208.4965](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4965) $\right]$ [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1208.4965)].
- [11] P.M. Nadolsky, C. Balázs, E.L. Berger and C.-P. Yuan, *Gluon-gluon contributions to the* production of continuum diphoton pairs at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 76 [\(2007\) 013008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.013008) [[hep-ph/0702003](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0702003)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0702003)].
- [12] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, QCD transverse-momentum resummation in gluon fusion processes, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.12.007) B 845 (2011) 297 [[arXiv:1011.3918](http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.3918)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1011.3918)].
- [13] D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini and D. Tommasini, Higgs boson production at the LHC: transverse momentum resummation effects in the $H \to \gamma\gamma$, $H \to WW \to l\nu l\nu$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ decay modes, JHEP 06 [\(2012\) 132](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)132) [[arXiv:1203.6321](http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.6321)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1203.6321)].
- [14] P. Sun, B.-W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Gluon distribution functions and Higgs boson production at moderate transverse momentum, Phys. Rev. D 84 [\(2011\) 094005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.094005) [[arXiv:1109.1354](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.1354)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1109.1354)].
- [15] D. Boer, W.J. den Dunnen, C. Pisano and M. Schlegel, Determining the Higgs spin and parity in the diphoton decay channel, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.032002) 111 (2013) 032002 [[arXiv:1304.2654](http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.2654)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1304.2654)].
- [16] D. Boer, W.J. den Dunnen, C. Pisano, M. Schlegel and W. Vogelsang, Linearly polarized gluons and the Higgs transverse momentum distribution, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.032002) 108 (2012) 032002 [[arXiv:1109.1444](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.1444)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1109.1444)].
- [17] D. Boer and C. Pisano, Polarized gluon studies with charmonium and bottomonium at LHCb and AFTER, Phys. Rev. D 86 [\(2012\) 094007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.094007) [[arXiv:1208.3642](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3642)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1208.3642)].
- [18] B. Grzadkowski and J.F. Gunion, Using back scattered laser beams to detect CP-violation in the neutral Higgs sector, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)91534-G) **B** 294 (1992) 361 [[hep-ph/9206262](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9206262)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9206262)].
- [19] J.F. Gunion and J.G. Kelly, Determining the CP eigenvalues of the neutral Higgs bosons of the minimal supersymmetric model in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)91015-4) **B** 333 (1994) 110 [[hep-ph/9404343](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9404343)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9404343)].
- [20] M. Krämer, J.H. Kühn, M.L. Stong and P.M. Zerwas, *Prospects of measuring the parity of* Higgs particles, Z. Phys. C 64 [\(1994\) 21](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01557231) [[hep-ph/9404280](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9404280)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9404280)].
- [21] G.J. Gounaris and G.P. Tsirigoti, Signatures of CP-violation in $\gamma\gamma \to H$ using polarized beams, Phys. Rev. D 56 [\(1997\) 3030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.3030) [Erratum ibid. D 58 (1998) 059901] [[hep-ph/9703446](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9703446)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9703446)].
- [22] D.M. Asner, J.B. Gronberg and J.F. Gunion, Detecting and studying Higgs bosons at a photon-photon collider, Phys. Rev. D 67 [\(2003\) 035009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.035009) [[hep-ph/0110320](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0110320)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0110320)].
- [23] B. Kamal, Z. Merebashvili and A.P. Contogouris, Heavy quark production by polarized and unpolarized photons in next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. $\bf{D} 51$ [\(1995\) 4808](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.3229) $[Erratum \ ibid. \ D \ 55 \ (1997) \ 3229]$ $[hep-ph/9503489]$ $[hep-ph/9503489]$ $[hep-ph/9503489]$ $[NSPIRE]$ $[NSPIRE]$ $[NSPIRE]$.
- [24] G. Jikia and A. Tkabladze, *QCD* corrections to heavy quark pair production in polarized $\gamma\gamma$ collisions and the intermediate mass Higgs signal, Phys. Rev. \bf{D} 54 [\(1996\) 2030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.2030) [[hep-ph/9601384](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9601384)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9601384)].
- [25] M. Melles and W.J. Stirling, All orders resummation of leading logarithmic contributions to heavy quark production in polarized $\gamma\gamma$ collisions, Phys. Rev. **D 59** [\(1999\) 094009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.094009) [[hep-ph/9807332](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9807332)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9807332)].
- [26] G. Jikia and A. Tkabladze, Heavy quark pair production in polarized photon-photon collisions, Phys. Rev. D 63 [\(2001\) 074502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.074502) [[hep-ph/0004068](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0004068)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0004068)].
- [27] B.A. Kniehl, A.V. Kotikov, Z.V. Merebashvili and O.L. Veretin, Heavy-quark pair production in polarized photon-photon collisions at next-to-leading order: fully integrated total cross sections, *Phys. Rev.* **D 79** [\(2009\) 114032](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.114032) [[arXiv:0905.1649](http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1649)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0905.1649)].
- [28] M.G.A. Buffing and P.J. Mulders, Gauge links for transverse momentum dependent correlators at tree-level, JHEP 07 [\(2011\) 065](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2011)065) arXiv:1105.4804 arXiv:1105.4804 arXiv:1105.4804 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1105.4804)].
- [29] M.G.A. Buffing, A. Mukherjee and P.J. Mulders, Generalized universality of higher transverse moments of quark TMD correlators, Phys. Rev. D 86 [\(2012\) 074030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.074030) [[arXiv:1207.3221](http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3221)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1207.3221)].
- [30] M.G.A. Buffing, A. Mukherjee and P.J. Mulders, Generalized universality of definite rank gluon transverse momentum dependent correlators, Phys. Rev. D 88 [\(2013\) 054027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.054027) [[arXiv:1306.5897](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.5897)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1306.5897)].
- [31] D. Boer and P.J. Mulders, Time reversal odd distribution functions in leptoproduction, Phys. Rev. **D 57** [\(1998\) 5780](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.5780) [[hep-ph/9711485](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9711485)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9711485)].
- [32] D. Boer, Investigating the origins of transverse spin asymmetries at RHIC, Phys. Rev. **D 60** [\(1999\) 014012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.014012) [[hep-ph/9902255](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9902255)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9902255)].
- [33] D. Boer, S.J. Brodsky and D.S. Hwang, *Initial state interactions in the unpolarized* Drell-Yan process, Phys. Rev. D 67 [\(2003\) 054003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.054003) [[hep-ph/0211110](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0211110)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0211110)].
- [34] A. Bacchetta et al., Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering at small transverse momentum, JHEP 02 [\(2007\) 093](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/02/093) [[hep-ph/0611265](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0611265)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0611265)].
- [35] D. Boer, P.J. Mulders and C. Pisano, T-odd effects in photon-jet production at the Tevatron, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.01.021) **B 660** (2008) 360 [arXiv: 0712.0777] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0712.0777)].
- [36] E. Mirkes and S. Willfahrt, Effects of jet azimuthal angular distributions on dijet production cross-sections in DIS, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01183-0) \bf{B} 414 (1997) 205 [[hep-ph/9708231](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9708231)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9708231)].
- [37] T. Brodkorb and E. Mirkes, Complete $O(\alpha_s^2)$ in deep inelastic scattering, Z. Phys. C 66 [\(1995\) 141](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01496589) [[hep-ph/9402362](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9402362)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9402362)].
- [38] S.J. Brodsky, P. Hoyer, C. Peterson and N. Sakai, The intrinsic charm of the proton, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90364-0) **B 93** (1980) 451 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B93,451)].
- [39] S.J. Brodsky, C. Peterson and N. Sakai, Intrinsic heavy quark states, Phys. Rev. **D 23** [\(1981\) 2745](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.2745) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D23,2745)].
- [40] J. Pumplin, *Light-cone models for intrinsic charm and bottom*, Phys. Rev. D 73 [\(2006\) 114015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.114015) [[hep-ph/0508184](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0508184)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0508184)].
- [41] J. Pumplin, H.L. Lai and W.K. Tung, *The charm parton content of the nucleon*, Phys. Rev. **D 75** [\(2007\) 054029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.054029) [[hep-ph/0701220](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0701220)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0701220)].
- [42] W.-C. Chang and J.-C. Peng, Flavor asymmetry of the nucleon sea and the five-quark components of the nucleons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.252002) 106 (2011) 252002 arXiv:1102.5631 arXiv:1102.5631 arXiv:1102.5631 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1102.5631)].
- [43] W.-C. Chang and J.-C. Peng, Extraction of various five-quark components of the nucleons, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.08.077) **B 704** (2011) 197 [[arXiv:1105.2381](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2381)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1105.2381)].
- [44] E. Mirkes, Theory of jets in deep inelastic scattering, Habilitation Thesis, Universität Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe Germany (1997) [[hep-ph/9711224](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9711224)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9711224)].
- [45] J.D. Bjorken and M.C. Chen, High-energy trident production with definite helicities, Phys. Rev. 154 [\(1966\) 1335](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.154.1335) [Erratum ibid. 162 (1967) 1750] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,154,1335)].
- [46] S.J. Brodsky and S.C. Ting, *Timelike momenta in quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **145** [\(1966\) 1018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.145.1018) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,145,1018)].
- [47] M.J. Tannenbaum, *Muon tridents*, *Phys. Rev.* **167** [\(1968\) 1308](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.167.1308) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,167,1308)].
- [48] M. Glück, C. Pisano and E. Reya, *The polarized and unpolarized photon content of the* nucleon, *[Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02125-1)* **B 540** (2002) 75 [[hep-ph/0206126](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0206126)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0206126)].
- [49] M. Glück, C. Pisano, E. Reya and I. Schienbein, *Delineating the polarized and unpolarized* photon distributions of the nucleon in eN collisions, Eur. Phys. J. \bf{C} 27 (2003) 427 [[hep-ph/0209335](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0209335)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0209335)].
- [50] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein and H. Spiesberger, *Inclusive* $D^{* \pm}$ production in pp \bar{p} collisions with massive charm quarks, Phys. Rev. D 71 [\(2005\) 014018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.014018) [[hep-ph/0410289](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0410289)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0410289)].
- [51] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, E. Leader and F. Murgia, Accessing Sivers gluon distribution via transverse single spin asymmetries in $p^{\uparrow}p \to DX$ processes at BNL RHIC, Phys. Rev. **D 70** [\(2004\) 074025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074025) [[hep-ph/0407100](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0407100)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0407100)].
- [52] M. Glück, J.F. Owens and E. Reya, *Gluon contribution to hadronic J/* ψ *production*, Phys. Rev. **D 17** [\(1978\) 2324](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.17.2324) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D17,2324)].
- [53] S.J. Brodsky and P. Huet, Aspects of $SU(N_c)$ gauge theories in the limit of small number of colors, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01209-4) B 417 (1998) 145 [[hep-ph/9707543](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9707543)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/9707543)].
- [54] C.J. Bomhof, P.J. Mulders and F. Pijlman, The construction of gauge-links in arbitrary hard processes, [Eur. Phys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2006-02554-2) C 47 (2006) 147 [[hep-ph/0601171](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0601171)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0601171)].
- [55] C.J. Bomhof and P.J. Mulders, Gluonic pole cross-sections and single spin asymmetries in hadron-hadron scattering, JHEP 02 [\(2007\) 029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/02/029) [[hep-ph/0609206](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0609206)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0609206)].
- [56] F. Dominguez, B.-W. Xiao and F. Yuan, k_t -factorization for hard processes in nuclei, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.022301) 106 (2011) 022301 [[arXiv:1009.2141](http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.2141)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1009.2141)].