### INTRODUCTION

The latest genomic routine international evaluation for udder traits took place as scheduled at the Interbull Centre. Data from 29 countries were

included in this evaluation.

International genetic evaluations for udder health traits of bulls from Australia, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark-Finland-Sweden, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Netherlands, New Zealand, South Africa, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, the United States of America, Poland, Portugal, Lithuania, Latvia and Uruguay were computed.
Holstein data were included in this evaluation.

BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN submitted GEBVs.

mas: BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, , ITA, NLD, POL, HUN scs: BEL, CAN, DEU, ESP, FRA, DFS, GBR, ITA, NLD, POL, HUN

CHANGES IN NATIONAL PROCEDURES

-----

Changes in the national genetic evaluation of uder traits are as follows:

NDL (HOL) mas re-included (blocked in 2009t)

INTERBULL CHANGES COMPARED TO THE DECEMBER ROUTINE RUN

-----

No changes in Interbull procedures

# DATA AND METHOD OF ANALYSIS

\_\_\_\_\_

Eleven Holstein populations sent GEBV data for up to 38 traits, while classical EBVs for the same traits were used in the analyses. Young bull GEBVs from the GEBV providers have been converted to the scales of all

countries participating in classical MACE. A bull will get a MACE EBV or a GMACE EBV but not both.

From those eleven countries, National GEBVs of bulls less than seven years of age and with no classical MACE proofs were included for the breeding value prediction

with a further requirement of either a MACE-PA or a GMACE-PA (for young genomic bulls with young genomic sires) being available.

The parameter-space approach is used for the GMACE genetic evaluations (Sullivan, 2016)

# SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE

\_\_\_\_\_

The international genetic evaluation procedure is based on international work described in the following scientific publications:

Sullivan, P.G. 2016. Defining a Parameter Space for GMACE. Interbull Bulletin 50, p 85-93.

VanRaden, P.M. and Sullivan, P.G. 2010. International genomic evaluation methods for dairy cattle. Gen. Sel. Evol. 42:7

Sullivan, P.G. and Jakobsen, J.H. 2012. Robust GMACE for young bulls methodology. Interbull Bulletin 45, Article 1.

Sullivan, P.G. 2012a. GMACE reliability approximation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE\_rels 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012b. GMACE variance estimation. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE\_vce 2013

Sullivan, P.G. 2012c. GMACE Weighting Factors. Report to the GMACE working group of Interbull. GMACE\_gedcs 2013

Jakobsen, J.H. and Sullivan, P.G. 2013. Trait specific computation of shared reference population. Reference sharing Nov 2013

NEXT ROUTINE INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

### NEXT TEST INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION

\_\_\_\_\_

Dates for next routine run can be found on http://www.interbull.org/ib/servicecalendar

# PUBLICATION OF INTERBULL ROUTINE RUN

\_\_\_\_\_

Results were distributed by the Interbull Centre to designated representatives in each country. The international evaluation file comprised international proofs expressed on the base and unit of each country included in the analysis. Such records readily provide more information on bull performance in various countries, thereby minimising the need to resort to conversions.

At the same time, all recipients of Interbull results are expected to honour the agreed code of practice, decided by the Interbull Steering Committee, and only publish international evaluations on their own country scale. Evaluations expressed on another country scale are confidential and may only be used internally for research and review purposes.

Table 1. National evaluation dates in GMACE run December 2020

Country Date

\_\_\_\_\_

```
20201201
CAN
DEU
       20201201
DFS
       20201103
FRA
       20201202
GBR
       20201020
NLD
       20201201
ITA
       20201104
HUN
       20201112
BEL
       20190901
ESP
       20201110
POL
       20201031
_____
Table 2.
______
Number of bulls in reference population for
CAN 41190.0
DEU 7303.0 42084.0
DFS 4543.0 37072.0 38160.0
FRA 4121.0 34797.0 34355.0 36686.0
GBR 34580.0 7446.0 4660.0 4125.0 36787.0
NLD 4165.0 36417.0 35969.0 34388.0 4321.0 38486.0
ITA 34192.0 6498.0 3680.0 3262.0 33067.0 3327.0 35196.0
HUN 1884.0 7564.0 7159.0 7054.0 1925.0 7329.0 1790.0 8140.0
BEL 1805.0 1356.0 1139.0 1291.0 1389.0 1238.0 1678.0 807.0 3260.0
ESP 5105.0 37919.0 37364.0 34952.0 5266.0 36558.0 4181.0 7440.0 1293.0 39035.0
POL 4438.0 32352.0 32255.0 30437.0 4217.0 31778.0 3519.0 7179.0 1740.0 32721.0 34354.0
Number of bulls in reference population for
_____
CAN 23446.0
DEU 5683.0 31124.0
DFS 3812.0 28045.0 28942.0
FRA 3499.0 26632.0 26352.0 28293.0
NLD 3422.0 27434.0 27155.0 26313.0 29077.0
ITA 18576.0 5131.0 3210.0 2892.0 2840.0 19009.0
HUN 1781.0 7455.0 7079.0 6981.0 7233.0 1711.0 7973.0
BEL 1362.0 1293.0 1103.0 1249.0 1191.0 1259.0 802.0 2780.0
ESP 4223.0 28698.0 28324.0 26761.0 27563.0 3606.0 7342.0 1245.0 29596.0
POL 3528.0 23307.0 23329.0 22354.0 22866.0 2869.0 7105.0 1624.0 23667.0 24955.0
```