Evaluation of ALOS/PALSAR L-band data for the estimation of Eucalyptus plantations aboveground biomass in Brazil

Nicolas Baghdadi, Guerric Le Maire, Jean-Stéphane Bailly, Yann Nouvellon, Kenji Ose, Mehrez Zribi, Cristiane Lemos, Rodrigo Hakamada

HAL Id: hal-01496753
https://hal.science/hal-01496753v1

Submitted on 30 Mar 2017

Evaluation of ALOS/PALSAR L-band data for the estimation of *Eucalyptus* plantations aboveground biomass in Brazil

Nicolas Baghdadi[1], Guerric le Maire[2], Jean-Stéphane Bailly[3], Kenji Osé[1], Yann Nouvellon[2], Mehrez Zribi[4], Cristiane Lemos[5], Rodrigo Hakamada[5]

[1]IRSTEA, UMR TETIS, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

[2]CIRAD, UMR Eco&Sols, 34060 Montpellier, France

[3]AgroParisTech, UMR TETIS - LISAH, 34093 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

[4]CESBIO, 31401 Toulouse Cedex 9, France

[5]International Paper do Brasil, Rodovia SP 340, 13.840-970, Mogi Guaçu, SP, Brazil

Abstract- The Phased Array L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR-1) has provided very useful images dataset for several applications such as forestry. L-band radar measurements have been widely used but with somewhat contradictory conclusions on the potential of this radar wavelength to estimate the aboveground biomass. The first objective of this study was to analyze the L-band SAR backscatter sensitivity to forest biomass for *Eucalyptus* plantations. The results showed that the radar signal is highly dependent on biomass only for values lower than 50 t/ha, which corresponds to plantations of approximately three years of age. Next, Random Forest regressions were performed to evaluate the potential of PALSAR data to predict the *Eucalyptus* biomass. Regressions were constructed to link the biomass to both radar signal and age of plantations. Results showed that the age was the variable that best explained the biomass followed by the PALSAR HV polarized signal. For biomasses lower than 50 t/ha, HV signal and plantation age were found to have the same level of importance in predicting biomass. For biomasses higher than 50 t/ha, plantation age was the main variable in the random forest models. The use of PALSAR signal alone did not correctly predict the biomass of *Eucalyptus* plantations (R^2 lower than 0.5 and RMSE higher than 46.7 t/ha). The use of plantation age in addition to the PALSAR signal improved slightly the prediction results (R^2 increased from 0.88 to 0.92 and RMSE decreased from 22.7 to 18.9 t/ha). PALSAR imagery does not allow a direct estimation of the planting date of *Eucalyptus* stands but can follow efficiently the occurrence of clear-cuts if images are acquired sequentially, therefore allowing a rough estimate of the following plantation date because a stand of *Eucalyptus* is generally re-planted 2 to 4 months after cutting. With a time series of radar images, it could be therefore possible to estimate the plantation age, and therefore improving the estimates of plantation biomass.

Index Terms— L-band ALOS/PALSAR, Aboveground biomass, fast-growing plantations, short-rotation plantations, Eucalyptus, Brazil.

I. INTRODUCTION

Forests aboveground biomass represents a major biospheric carbon pool [1]. Changes in this pool through deforestation/reforestation activities, forest degradation or regrowth lead to large CO_2 fluxes (emissions and uptake) that strongly influence the global C cycle and climate system [1],[2]. Forest biomass is also an important economic product, providing materials and energy for humans, and its spatial distribution influences some important ecosystem services such as conservation of biodiversity [3]. For all these reasons, and also for forest management and the implementation of REDD+ mechanisms, accurate and effective observation systems are required to map and monitor forest aboveground biomass stocks [4],[5]. Satellite-based estimation of carbon biomass is a promising solution in terms of i) cost- and time-effectiveness compared to large-scale field inventories, and 2) spatial coverage and temporal repetitivity.

From the two last decades, a number of studies have examined the dependence of microwave backscatter on total aboveground biomass (AGB) using airborne and spaceborne Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR). According to some reports focused on the potential of multifrequency (C-, L- and P-bands) and multipolarization data, the longer wavelengths (L- and P-bands) at a single polarization are sensitive to variations of AGB up to a certain biomass level at which the radar signal saturates. However, only L-band SAR is currently onboard satellites such as the PALSAR/ALOS. Spaceborne P-band is not yet available.

Statistical analyses performed to evaluate the correlation between backscattering coefficient (σ^0) and AGB use mainly polynomial, logarithmic or sigmoid functions. For L-band, the dynamic range of the cross-polarized HV response is often

larger than co-polarized HH or VV channels [6],[7],[8],[9]. The level of signal saturation occurs when AGB exceeds approximately 60-100 t/ha. On the L-band SAR, various forest types have been investigated. Le Toan et al. [6], Wu et al. [10], and Dobson et al. [11] reported in coniferous forests (maritime pine and loblolly pine) a backscatter saturation aboveground biomass levels of 100 t/ha. According to Imhoff [12], the practical saturation thresholds occur before the regression maxima due to the large residuals near the point of inflection and beyond it and the biomass saturation levels would be rather close to 40 t/ha. Rignot et al. [7], Ranson et al. [13] and Sandberg et al. [14] observed in boreal forests (conifer and deciduous trees) that the backscatter intensity increases with biomass up to 100-150 t/ha. In tropical forests (broadleaf evergreen trees), Luckmann et al. [8],[15], who has investigated regenerating forests in the Central Amazon basin, reported a saturation point around 60 t/ha. The results presented in that study focused on mono-specific and even-aged forests with a relatively flat topography. Some studies applied on mixed-species forests without species-discrimination, obtained similar saturation levels. However, they often generate scattering/biomass models with greater uncertainties.

As argued by Woodhouse et al. [16], the radar backscatter is not a 'direct measure' of forest biomass. It may be strongly affected by the forest structure ([17],[18],[19],[20],[21]) as well as its spatial variability [22]. Hence, the backscatter saturation depends on stand characteristics related to biomass such as the size, density, and spatial distribution of the stems, branches and leaves.

Regardless of slope and surface roughness characteristics, the backscatter signal may also be affected by surface and vegetation moisture conditions. Few studies investigated this issue and there is no consensus among scientists. Nevertheless, Lucas et al. [9] demonstrated the greater sensitivity of L-band HH to surface moisture. While the forest AGB at backscatter saturation level remains similar for L-band HV under conditions of relative maximum surface moisture, it is reduced for L-band HH. An analysis of the radar backscatter from *Eucalyptus* plantations in Congo showed that the L-HH signal was strongly influenced by the ground surface [23]. This direct contribution of the ground surface depended mainly on the soil moisture and roughness.

Luckman et al. [15] observed a variation of dynamic range and saturation in backscatter (L-band HH, JERS-1) within seasonal cycle. For Harrell et al. [24], the presence of wet soils introduces an additional source of variability that increases the RMS error of the predictive biomass equations. In of these studies, the dry season was found to be the most suitable acquisition period for biomass retrieval purpose.

More complex approaches were presented in studies dealing with the use of SAR data for biomass estimation ([25],[26]): the synergistic use of multiple-channel radar imagery (for instance the use of HV backscattering from two channels, a longer wavelength (P- or L- band) and a shorter wavelength (C-band or X-band)) ([13],[27]), the use of different polarizations ([7],[14]) and the use of multiple-step

approaches ([18],[24],[28]) that take into account separate layers of forest and finally determine total aboveground biomass. Those methods would allow higher biomass estimation (up to 200-600 t/ha according to forest stands) with a better precision than correlations between total biomass and radar backscatter from a single frequency/polarization system.

Whatever the method applied, it is necessary to stratify the forests into categories following their characteristics (structure, specie, moisture conditions) before applying SAR backscatter-based biomass estimation models.

The objective of this study was to assess the potential of PALSAR L-band data for the estimation of the *Eucalyptus* plantation aboveground biomass in Brazil. Recently, Gama et al. [29] used airborne interferometric and polarimetric SAR data in X and P bands to estimate *Eucalyptus* Biomass in the southeast region of Brazil. A *Eucalyptus* biomass model was obtained with a prediction error of around 10% on the retrieval of *Eucalyptus* biomass. It used the canopy scattering index calculated from the P band backscatter magnitude data and the interferometric height defined by the difference between interferometric digital elevation model in X and P bands. Le Maire et al. [30] proposed an efficient method based on the use of MODIS NDVI time-series, bioclimatic data and soil type for estimating *Eucalyptus* plantation biomass: the age of the plantation and the NDVI integrated over the first two years of plantation growth were used to estimate wood biomass with an accuracy of about 25 m^3/ha, corresponding to about 13 t/ha. Baghdadi et al. [31] tested the use of ICESat/GLAS satellite LiDAR data for estimating aboveground biomass in the same *Eucalyptus* plantations. First, the height of planted *Eucalyptus* forest stands was estimated from GLAS waveform metrics. Next, the aboveground biomass was estimated using a power law model between the biomass and the GLAS-derived height, giving a final accuracy of 16.1 t/ha for biomass values up to 170 t/ha.

In this study, the Random Forest regression method was used to assess the possibility of estimating accurately *Eucalyptus* stand biomass from the radar signal only, or from the radar signal plus the plantation age. A description of study area, SAR images and in situ measurements is given in Section II, followed by the sensitivity analysis of PALSAR signal to aboveground biomass. The potential of PALSAR images for the detection of plantation harvest is discussed in section III. Finally, the results obtained for the estimation of the biomass using PALSAR images and the Random Forest method are presented and discussed in Section IV.

II. Datasets Description

A. Study area

The study area was located in the state of São Paulo, Brazil, ranging from 46°49' to 48°10' longitude West and from 20°30' to 22°34' latitude South (Figure 1). The study is focused on industrial fast-growing *Eucalyptus* plantations managed to produce pulpwood for paper production by the International Paper do Brasil company. They were planted with seedlings or clones of *E. grandis* (W. Hill ex Maiden) *x E. urophylla* (S.T. Blake) hybrids, arranged in rows at a

density of approximately 1300 trees/ha. Tree mortality after planting is very low (less than 7%; Zhou et al. [32]). They are harvested (plantation clear-cutting) every six to seven years.. The annual increment varied according to the growth stage, soil type management practices (clone, fertilization) and climatic conditions but was generally higher than 30 m³/ha/year, sometimes reaching values as high as 60 m³/ha/year, thus leading to harvested volume of 250-300 m³/ha. These plantations were managed locally by stand units of variable area (~50 hectares on average for studied stands). Management practices were uniform within each stand (e.g. harvesting and weeding dates, genetic material, soil preparation and fertilization). Chemical weeding was carried out during the first two years after planting, resulting in a very sparse understory and herbaceous strata in these plantations. The stands were rather simply structured with a crown layer of 3 to 10 m in width above a dense "trunk layer" ranging from 0 (in the first months) up to more than 20 m in height with very few understories. In the study area, the stands were established in a low to moderate topographic relief (slope under 7°).


Figure 1: Study site, *Eucalyptus* stands, and the coverage of PALSAR images.

B.   ALOS/PALSAR data

Twelve radar images acquired by the Advanced Land Observing Satellite's Phased Array-type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (ALOS/PALSAR) were used in this study (Table 1). These images were acquired between July 2007 and August 2009 with dual polarization (HH and HV), incidence angles of 34.3°, and 12.5 m x 12.5 m pixel size. Radiometric calibration of PALSAR images were performed for obtaining the backscattering coefficients ($\sigma°$).

Before calculating the mean backscattering coefficient of each documented *Eucalyptus* stand, the radiometric quality of calibrated PALSAR images was analyzed using several stands of natural forests where the backscattering coefficient is

assumed to have little changed. Figure 2 shows that the mean backscattering coefficient on these areas of interest is similar for all PALSAR images with -8.0dB (±0.7dB) in HH polarization and -12.6dB (±0.6dB) in HV polarization. However, an important decrease of the radar signal (several decibels) was observed on *Eucalyptus* stands for the two images acquired at the end of dry season (September 20 and October 19, 2007). The dry season effect may result from the partial shedding of *Eucalyptus* foliage (decrease in Leaf Area Index) during drought, as observed on Landsat NDVI time series (Figure 3 and le Maire et al. [33]), and from lower soil surface humidity. These two images were removed of the following study.

TABLE 1
CHARACTERISTICS OF PALSAR IMAGES

| DATE 2007 (DD/MM)	19/07; 20/09. 19/10
DATE 2008 (DD/MM)	07/05; 05/06; 07/08; 24/08; 05/09 (2 IMAGES); 22/09
DATE 2009 (DD/MM)	24/07; 10/08

Figure 2: Mean backscattering coefficient on stands of natural forests for the twelve PALSAR images used in this study.


Figure 3: Landsat NDVI time-series of a given *Eucalyptus* stand. Dashed line indicates the planting date.

C.   In situ measurements

A total of 695 *Eucalyptus* stands were used, corresponding to the stands of International Paper do Brazil covered by our PALSAR images where inventory data were available. Permanent inventory plots had an area of approximately 400 to 600 m² and were systematically distributed throughout the stand with a density of one plot per 12 ha. They included 30 to 100 trees (average of 58 trees). During a *Eucalyptus* rotation,

three field inventories were generally carried out in every field plots (at age of 2 years, 4 years, and before harvesting). During a field inventory, the diameter at breast height (DBH, 1.3 m above the ground) of each tree in the inventory plot, the height of a central subsample of 10 trees, and the height of the 10% of largest DBH (dominant trees) were measured. The mean height of the 10% of the largest trees defined the dominant height of the plot (H_{dom}). The H_{dom}, basal area and age at the inventory date were then used in a company-calibrated volume equation, specific to the genetic material, to estimate the plot stem volume (wood and bark of the merchantable part of the stem that has a diameter of more than 2 cm). Trunk biomass was then estimated from the trunk volume using age-dependent estimates of wood biomass density (see [34] for more details). Plot-scale biomass was then averaged to get stand scale estimates, for each inventory date. Note that branches and leaves biomass represent only a small percentage of the trunk biomass after the first year of the rotation [35]).

As the dates of the ground measurements were different from the PALSAR acquisition dates, biomass for the PALSAR acquisition dates were estimated using linear interpolations of the inventory plot measurements between the two dates either side of each PALSAR acquisition date, as was done in Baghdadi et al. [31]. This simple linear interpolation gave fairly good estimates since forest inventories were regularly carried out. These estimates of biomass gave a large dataset of 1255 single biomass-PALSAR pairs of values for testing the potential of PALSAR data to estimate the aboveground biomass.

Figure 4 shows that the *Eucalyptus* stand biomass increases almost linearly with the stand age after 2 years old, but with a high scattering hiding the tendency for individual stands to have lower growth rates before 2 years-old and after 5 years-old. This correlation between age and biomass is at the basis of the method applied further in this study.


Figure 4: *Eucalyptus* plantation biomass in function of stand age.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study will analyze first the sensitivity of PALSAR L-band SAR signal on the aboveground biomass of *Eucalyptus* plantations. As shown in the literature, the estimate of the biomass is usually possible with SAR signal for a certain range of biomass values, and beyond a given threshold the radar signal becomes insensitive to the biomass. The L-band radar signal saturation threshold is very different from one study to another due in particular to the characteristics of the vegetation (thresholds between 40 to 150 t/ha, e.g. [36]). This analysis of the radar signal on *Eucalyptus* stands will allow us to determine the range of biomass values for which the radar signal may be used alone to estimate biomass with acceptable accuracy. This PALSAR saturation threshold will be determined according to HH and HV polarizations.

The second part of this study analyzes the accuracy of aboveground biomass estimates obtained from the PALSAR signal alone, or from the PALSAR signal and the plantation age as a additional information. Then, the potential of PALSAR images for estimating the plantation age will be evaluated. Finally, nonlinear nonparametric regressions will be performed in order to estimate wood biomass as accurately as possible.

IV. PALSAR BEHAVIOUR ANALYSIS

The mean backscattering coefficient was computed for each reference stand and each polarization. Figure 5 shows that the PALSAR signal increases with the aboveground biomass until a saturation threshold. For biomasses below 30-50 t/ha, the radar signal showed a high sensitivity to biomass values. After this threshold, the radar signal saturated. This threshold value of the biomass was relatively low when compared to the biomass of our *Eucalyptus* stands after 3 years of age. Results also showed that the HV polarization (σ°HV) produced better correlation (R^2=0.85) with the biomass than the HH polarization (σ°HH) (R^2=0.71). This better correlation between σ°HV and the biomass is mainly due to volume scattering which enhances the cross-polarization returns with the increase in biomass (e.g. [6],[20],[37],[38],[39]).

The relationship between the PALSAR signal in HH polarization and biomass is noisier than in HV band. Mitchard et al. [21] also observed in HH high fluctuations for PALSAR data acquired in the wet season and low fluctuations for JERS data acquired during the dry season. They explained that the soil moisture effect during the wet season could be responsible of the observed high fluctuation in the radar signal at HH polarization as well as structural variation within a stand. Collins et al. [38] argued that σ°HV is less influenced by soil and vegetation moisture than σ°HH.

According to the analysis made by Proisy et al. [40] which used AIRSAR data and the polarimetric scattering model of Karam ([41]) over mangrove forests, the L-band backscattered signal in HH polarization is dominated for low biomass values (<30-50 t/ha) by both the ground scattering and the double-bounce scattering (ground-canopy interactions), whereas volume scattering (tree components) dominates above 30-50 t/ha. In HV polarization, the PALSAR signal comes from the interaction between the canopy and the ground for low biomasses. For high biomasses, volume scattering within the crown dominates.

Imhoff [17], based on simulations using the Michigan Microwave Canopy Scattering model (MIMICS), showed that the effect of forest structure on SAR backscatter can be significant for forests with equivalent aboveground biomass. These results explain the different biomass saturation limits observed in numerous studies, and the difficulty to propose a universal SAR biomass equation for Globe's forest. Indeed, the scattering mechanisms contributing to the total backscatter depends on forest structure.

The increase of radar backscatter (σ°) against aboveground biomass (B) followed a logarithmic or exponential behavior (e.g. [21], [42], [43]). The best fitting relationship between PALSAR signal and the biomass was found in using an exponential model:

$$\sigma° = \alpha + \beta \left(1 - e^{-\mu B}\right) \tag{1}$$

where σ° and B are expressed in dB and t/ha respectively. The coefficients α, β and μ were fitted using a least squares fitting method. For HH polarization, α= -15.36, β= 7.28 and μ= 0.08 with R²= 0.71. For HV, the following values were found: α= -24.11, β= 9.11 and μ= 0.09 with R²= 0.88.

(a)

19/07/2007	07/05/2008	05/06/2008	07/08/2008
24/08/2008	05/09/2008(1)	05/09/2008(2)	22/09/2008
24/07/2009	10/08/2009		

(b)

|				
19/07/2007	07/05/2008	05/06/2008	07/08/2008
24/08/2008	05/09/2008(1)	05/09/2008(2)	22/09/2008
24/07/2009	10/08/2009		

Figure 5: Relationships between PALSAR signal and aboveground biomass: (a) HH polarization and (b) HV polarization.

Imhoff [12] analyzed the effect of forest structure on the radar signal for several forest stands (natural forest and plantations including *Eucalyptus*). He showed that the radar signal was mainly correlated with the surface area (SA) to vegetation volume (V) (i.e Biomass/wood density) ratio, rather than the volume only. For a same aboveground biomass, *Eucalyptus* stands have the lower values of SA/V ratio than natural forests. He showed that for both low and high biomasses (between 50 and 300 t/ha), σ°HV in L-band decreased when SA/V decreased. For low values of biomass (50 t/ha), the decrease of σ°HV was about 3dB when SA/V decreased from 617 m⁻¹ (one year old regenerating tropical forest) to 90 m⁻¹ (*Eucalyptus* plantations aged 5 years old and more). For high biomass (300 t/ha), σ°HV decreased by about 9dB for a decrease of SA/V from 254 m⁻¹ (tropical evergreen rain forest) to 84 m⁻¹ (Tropical evergreen and tropical deciduous plantations). In our data, the trunk SA/V values (under the realistic hypothesis that branches have a very low volume and surface compared to the trunk) are comprised between 100 m⁻¹ at 2 years of age and 60 m⁻¹ at 6 years of age, therefore a rather low amplitude. This result explains the observed low dynamic of radar signal for our *Eucalyptus* stands (low SA/V) in comparison to other forest types where SA/V is generally higher.

V.   HARVEST DETECTION FROM PALSAR

In general, *Eucalyptus* stands are re-planted 2 to 4 months after clear-cutting. Radar imagery does not allow to estimate directly the planting date but can provide an approximation of it through the accurate estimate of the clear-cut date if the temporal resolution of images is high. In this section, the opportunity to detect the clearcuts using PALSAR images will be analyzed at HH and HV polarizations. This harvesting date retrieval is possible with optical satellite images ([30]), but radar images can be very effective in areas with dense cloud covers.

Figure 6 shows that the PASLAR images are suitable to monitor the Eucalyptus harvest. The radar signal decreases by about 8dB in HV and 7dB in HH after the clearcut (Fig. 6, plots A, July 2009). The clearcut remains easily detectable on PALSAR images for data acquired less than one year after the harvest, with 3dB difference in radar signal between harvested plots and mature Eucalyptus plots (Fig. 6, plots B, October 2007). After 18 months, the difference in radar signal becomes negligible (<1dB) (Fig. 6, plots B, June 2008).

Figure 6: Time sequence of four PALSAR image segments at HV polarization for two groups of *Eucalyptus* reference plots (A and B). Light grey areas (high backscatter signal) are old Eucalyptus stands, while darker areas (lower backscatter) are recently harvested areas. According to the inventories, plots A were harvested between December 2008 and February 2009 while plots B were harvested between September and November 2006.

VI. BIOMASS ESTIMATION

Nonlinear nonparametric regressions using the Random Forest algorithm ([44]) were used to evaluate the potential of PALSAR data to predict the Eucalyptus biomass. Random Forest is an algorithm commonly used for classification and prediction of biophysical parameters [30]. It is a data-mining technique which builds multiple regression trees, where for each regression tree, a random subset of the dataset is chosen. The final prediction is the average from predicting all regression trees. The dataset were randomly divided into 90% training and 10% validation data samples (1130 and 125, respectively). The prediction error based on a 10-fold cross-validation was estimated for each configuration in order to validate the predictive performance of the Random Forest.

Regressions were constructed to link the biomass to both radar signal and plantation age. Several configurations were tested and the performance of the Random Forest algorithm on the PALSAR data was investigated using: (1) $\sigma°HH$ alone; (2) $\sigma°HV$ alone; (3) $\sigma°HH$ and $\sigma°HV$; (4) Age alone; (5) $\sigma°HH$ and Age; (6) $\sigma°HV$ and Age; and (7) $\sigma°HH$ and $\sigma°HV$ and Age.

The performance of the Random Forest regression for each studied configuration was analyzed from the comparison between measured and predicted biomasses, using the coefficient of determination R^2 and the root mean squared error (RMSE). First, the relative importance of different variables used in the various random forest models was investigated. Results showed that the plantation age was the variable which best explained the biomass followed by the PALSAR HV polarized signal. Better results were obtained with PALSAR signal in HV than in HH, as was already seen from the exponential fit of Equation 1.

The analyses of PALSAR signal according to biomass showed that the dynamic of the signal was very strong for biomasses lower than 50 t/ha (i.e. for plantation age < 3 yrs) (Figure 5). Thus, the importance of different variables (age, HV and HH) in the models was analyzed separately for biomasses lower than 50t/ha and higher than 50 t/ha. For biomasses lower than 50t/ha, results showed that the HV signal and the age of plantation have the same level of importance in predicting the biomass and that the importance of HH signal is slightly lower. For biomasses higher than 50 t/ha, the age of plantation is the relevant variable.

The Random Forest results are presented in Table 2. They show that the use of PALSAR signal alone ($\sigma°HH$ alone, or $\sigma°HV$ alone, or $\sigma°HH$ and $\sigma°HV$) does not correctly predict the biomass of Eucalyptus plantations (R^2 lower than 0.5 and RMSE higher than 46.7 t/ha). $\sigma°HV$ was found more sensitive to forest biomass compared to $\sigma°HH$ (R^2 and RMSE are respectively of 0.48 and 47.8 t/ha for $\sigma°HV$, and of 0.15 and

61.1 t/ha for $\sigma°HH$). From our results, the age of plantation has proved to be the most relevant variable in the prediction of the biomass that offered the best overall predictive accuracy (R^2=0.88 and RMSE=22.7 t/ha). Finally, the use of the plantation age in addition to the PALSAR signal ($\sigma°$) improves slightly the prediction results (R^2 increases from 0.88 to 0.92 and RMSE decreases from 22.7 to 18.9 t/ha).

Random Forest regressions were also performed in using a threshold value on the plantation age (three years) which corresponds to the saturation limit of the radar signal with the biomass. Regressions were constructed to link the biomass to both radar signal and plantation age, using separately stands with plantation age lower and higher than three years: (1) $\sigma°HH$ and $\sigma°HV$ and Age with Age < 3 years, and (2) $\sigma°HH$ and $\sigma°HV$ and Age with Age > 3 years. Estimated biomass accuracy were 5.0 t/ha and 23.4 t/ha for plantation age lower and higher than three years, respectively. The overall accuracy (RMSE=18.4 t/ha) is close to the accuracy obtained by the regression without threshold on the plantation age (RMSE=18.9 t/ha).

TABLE 2
ACCURACY ON THE ESTIMATION OF BIOMASS IN USING RANDOM FOREST ALGORITHM AND DIFFERENT VARIABLES

| Variables	R^2	RMSE (t/ha)
$\sigma°HH$	0.15	61.1
$\sigma°HV$	0.48	47.8
$\sigma°HH$; $\sigma°HV$	0.50	46.7
Age of plantation	0.88	22.7
$\sigma°HH$; Age	0.90	21.3
$\sigma°HV$; Age	0.92	19.2
$\sigma°HH$; $\sigma°HV$; Age	0.92	18.9

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 7: Comparison of predicted and measured biomass using random forest algorithm and three configurations: (a) σ°HH and σ°HV, (b) Age of plantation, (c) σ°HH and σ°HV and Age.

Figure 7 shows examples of comparisons between measured and predicted biomasses, obtained from a selection of variables in the random forest algorithm. Without the use of the age of plantation, random forest tended to over-estimate biomass below 50 t/ha and under-estimate biomass above 50 t/ha (Figure 7a). Using plantation age in the regressions provided much better results (Figures 7b and 7c).

VII. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to examine the potential of L-band SAR images to estimate biomass in *Eucalyptus* plantations. Random Forest regressions were performed and evaluated for biomass estimation. As in some previous studies, a rapid saturation of PALSAR signal with increasing biomass was observed (threshold of about 50 t/ha). Some other studies found a much higher threshold with saturation occurring for biomass levels of about 150-200 t/ha [20],[27],[37]).The large range of L-band saturation thresholds reported in the literature (i.e from 30 to 200 t/ha) was mainly ascribed to the variability in vegetation structure across forest ecosystems (Woodhouse et al., 2012).

Our results suggest that for fast-growing *Eucalyptus* plantations, it might be necessary to combine the backscattered signal with other data sources, such as the plantation age, that is accessible from SAR imagery itself, in order to obtain accurate biomass estimates, especially for high biomass levels. The overall accuracy for the Random Forest

regression using the plantation age and the PALSAR backscattered signals in HH and HV polarizations was 18.9 t/ha, a much better result than those obtained with regressions based only on the backscattered signals (RMSE=46.7 t/ha). Furthermore, for high biomass levels, the Random Forest regression tended to underestimate the biomass.

With the upcoming launch of ALOS-2 sensor, the L-band radar data could be used to provide good estimates of the biomass of *Eucalyptus*. The probable arrival of BIOMASS P-band sensor (planned by the European Space Agency; [45]) should allow improved estimates of biomass with higher dynamic of the radar signal according to biomass (saturation of radar signal for biomass higher in P-band than in L-band).

Results of this study showed that the acquisition of SAR images in the same season is necessary for biomass estimation. Indeed, important differences in the radar signal were observed between images acquired in different seasons (water stress in the dry season), which makes the estimation of biomass inaccurate from SAR images acquired at different seasons.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Pan, R.A. Birdsey, J. Fang, R. Houghton, P.E. Kauppi, W.A. Kurz, O.L. Phillips, A. Shvidenko, S.L. Lewis, J.G. Canadell, P. Ciais, R.B. Jackson, S.W. Pacala, A.D. McGuire, S. Piao, A. Rautiainen, S. Sitch, and D. Hayes", A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests", *Science*, 333 (6045), 988-993, 2011.

[2] R.A. Houghton, J.I. House, J. Pongratz, G.R. Van Der Werf, R.S. Defries, M.C. Hansen, C. Le Quéré, and N. Ramankutty, "Carbon emissions from land use and land-cover change", *Biogeosciences*, 9, 5125-5142, 2012.

[3] T. Le Toan, S. Quegan, M.W.J. Davidson, H. Balzter, P. Paillou, K. Papathanassiou, S. Plummer, F. Rocca, S. Saatchi, H. Shugart, and L. Ulander, "The BIOMASS mission: Mapping global forest biomass to better understand the terrestrial carbon cycle", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, 2850-2860, 2011.

[4] R. Birdsey, Y. Pan, and R.A. Houghton, "Sustainable landscapes in a world of change: tropical forests, land use and implementation of REDD+: Part I", *Carbon Management*, 4, 465-468, 2013.

[5] R.A. Houghton, "The emissions of carbon from deforestation and degradation in the tropics: past trends and future potential", *Carbon Management*, 4, 539-546, 2013.

[6] T. Le Toan, A. Beaudoin, J. Riom, and D. Guyon, "Relating forest biomass to SAR data", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol.* 30, 403–411, 1992.

[7] E. Rignot, J. Way, C. Williams, and L. Viereck, "Radar estimates of aboveground biomass in boreal forests of interior Alaska", *IEEE*

Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 32, 1117–1124, 1994.

[8] A. Luckman, J. Baker, T.M. Kuplich, C. da Costa Freitas Yanasse, and A.C. Frery, "A study of the relationship between radar backscatter and regenerating tropical forest biomass for spaceborne SAR instruments", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 60, 1–13, 1997.

[9] R. Lucas, J. Armston, R. Fairfax, R. Fensham, A. Accad, J. Carreiras, J. Kelley, P. Bunting, D. Clewley, S. Bray, D. Metcalfe, J. Dwyer, M. Bowen, T. Eyre, M. Laidlaw, and M. Shimada, "An Evaluation of the ALOS PALSAR L-Band Backscatter-Above Ground Biomass Relationship Queensland, Australia: Impacts of Surface Moisture Condition and Vegetation Structure*". IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 3, 576–593, 2010.

[10] S.T. Wu, "Potential application of multipolarization SAR for pine-plantation biomass estimation, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 403–409, 1997.

[11] M.C. Dobson, F.T. Ulaby, T. LeToan, A. Beaudoin, E.S. Kasischke, and N. Christensen, N., "Dependence of radar backscatter on coniferous forest biomass", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, 412–415, 1992.

[12] M.L. Imhoff, "A theoretical analysis of the effect of forest structure on synthetic aperture radar backscatter and the remote sensing of biomass", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 33, 341–352, 1995.

[13] K. Ranson, and G. Sun, "Mapping biomass of a northern forest using multifrequency SAR data", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 32, 388–396, 1994.

[14] G. Sandberg, L.M.H. Ulander, J.E.S. Fransson, J. Holmgren, and T. Le Toan, "L- and P-band backscatter intensity for biomass retrieval in hemiboreal forest", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, 2874–2886, 2011.

[15] A. Luckman, J. Baker, M. Honzák, and R. Lucas, "Tropical forest biomass density estimation using JERS-1 SAR: Seasonal variation, confidence limits, and application to image mosaics", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 63, 126–139, 1998.

[16] I.H. Woodhouse, E.T.A. Mitchard, and C.M. Ryan, "Radar bascckcatter is not a "direct measure" of forest biomass". *Nature climate change*, vol. 2, 556-557, 2012.

[17] M.L. Imhoff, "Radar backscatter and biomass saturation: ramifications for global biomass inventory", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 33, 511–518, 1995.

[18] E.S. Kasischke, N.L. Christensen, and L.L., Bourgeau-Chavez, "Correlating radar backscatter with components of biomass in loblolly pine forests", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 33, 643–659, 1995.

[19] T. Castel, F. Guerra, Y. Caraglio, and F. Houllier, F., "Retrieval biomass of a large Venezuelan pine plantation using JERS-1 SAR data. Analysis of forest structure impact on radar signature", Remote *Sensing of Environment*, vol. 79, 30–41, 2002.

[20] E.T.A. Mitchard, S.S. Saatchi, I.H. Woodhouse, G. Nangendo, N.S. Ribeiro, M. Williams, C.M. Ryan, S.L. Lewis, T.R. Feldpausch, and P. Meir, "Using satellite radar backscatter to predict above-ground woody biomass: A consistent relationship across four different African landscapes", *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol., 36, L23401, doi:23410.21029/22009GL040692, 2009.

[21] E.T.A. Mitchard, S.S. Saatchi, S.L. Lewis, T.R. Feldpausch, I.H. Woodhouse, B. Sonké, C. Rowland, and P. Meir, "Measuring biomass changes due to woody encroachment and deforestation/degradation in a forest–savanna boundary region of central Africa using multi-temporal L-band radar backscatter", Remote *Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, 2861–2873, 2011.

[22] S. Saatchi, M. Marlier, R.L. Chazdon, D.B. Clark, and A.E. Russell, "Impact of spatial variability of tropical forest structure on radar estimation of aboveground biomass", Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 115, 2836–2849, 2011.

[23] N. Floury, T. Le Toan, H. Jeanjean, A. Beaudoin, and O. Hamel, " L and C-band multipolarized backscatter responses of eucalyptus plantations in Congo", *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS'95)*, vol. 1, 728 – 730, DOI: 10.1109/IGARSS.1995.520569, 1995.

[24] P.A. Harrell, E.S. Kasischke, L.L. Bourgeau-Chavez, E.M. Haney, and N.L. Christensen, "Evaluation of approaches to estimating

aboveground biomass in southern pine forests using SIR-C data", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 59, 223–233, 1997.

[25] E.S. Kasischke, J.M. Melack, and M. Dobson, "The use of imaging radars for ecological applications—a review", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 59, 141–156, 1997.

[26] R.M. Lucas, and A.K. Milne, "Synthetic aperture radar for woodland biomass estimation in Australia: an overview", *IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, IGARSS'01, 1421-1423, 2001.

[27] S. Englhart, V. Keuck, and F. Siegert, F., "Aboveground biomass retrieval in tropical forests — The potential of combined X- and L-band SAR data use", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, 1260–1271, 2011.

[28] M.C. Dobson, F.T. Ulaby, L.E. Pierce, T.L. Sharik, K.M. Bergen, J. Kellndorfer, J.R. Kendra, E. Li, Y.C. Lin, and A. Nashashibi, "Estimation of forest biophysical characteristics in northern Michigan with SIR-C/X-SAR", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 33, 877–895, 1995.

[29] F.F. Gama, J.R. Dos Santos, ad J.C. Mura, "Eucalyptus biomass and volume estimation using interferometric and polarimetric SAR data", *Remote Sensing*, 2, 939-956, doi:10.3390/rs2040939, 2010.

[30] G. Le Maire, C. Marsden, Y. Nouvellon, C. Grinand, R. Hakamada, J.-L. Stape, and J.-P. Laclau, "MODIS NDVI time-series allow the monitoring of Eucalyptus plantation biomass", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, no. 10, 2613–2625, 2011.

[31] N. Baghdadi, G. Le Maire, I. Fayad, J.S. Bailly, Y. Nouvellon, C. Lemos, and R. Hakamada, "Testing different methods of forest height and aboveground biomass estimations from ICESat/GLAS data on Eucalyptus plantations in Brazil", *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. xxx, no. xxx, xxxx-xxxx, DOI: 10.1109/JSTARS.2013.2261978, 2013.

[32] J. Zhou, C. Proisy, X. Descombes, G. le Maire, Y. Nouvellon, J.L. Stape, G. Viennois, J. Zerubia, and P. Couteron, "Mapping local density of young Eucalyptus plantations by individual tree detection in high spatial resolution satellite images", Forest Ecology and Management, vol. 301, 129-141, 2013.

[33] G. le Maire, C. Marsden, W. Verhoef, F.J. Ponzoni, D. Lo Seen, A. Bégué, J-L. Stape, and A. Nouvellon, "Leaf area index estimation with MODIS reflectance time series and model inversion during full rotations of Eucalyptus plantations", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, 586-599, 2011.

[34] C. Marsden, G. Le Maire, J.-L. Stape, D.L. Seen, O. Roupsard, O. Cabral, D. Epron, A.M.N. Lima, and Y. Nouvellon, Y. Relating, "MODIS vegetation index time-series with structure, light absorption and stem production of fast-growing Eucalyptus plantations", *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 259, no. 9, 1741-1753, 2010.

[35] C. Marsden, C. Nouvellon, J-P. Laclau, M. Corbeels, R.E. McMurtrie, J-L. Stape, D.G. Epron, and G. Le Maire, "Modifying the G'DAY process-based model to simulate the spatial variability of *Eucalyptus* plantation growth on deep tropical soils", *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 301, 112–128, 2013.

[36] A. Peregon, and Y. Yamagata, Y., "The use of ALOS/PALSAR backscatter to estimate above-ground forest biomass: A case study in Western Siberia", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 137, 139-146, 2013.

[37] R. Avtar, R. Suzuki, W. Takeuchi, and H. Sawada, "PALSAR 50 m Mosaic Data Basel National Level Biomass Estimation in Cambodia for implementation of REDD+ Mechanism", *PLOS ONE 8*, e74807, 2013.

[38] J.N. Collins, L.B. Hutley, R.J. Williams, G. Boggs, D. Bell, et al., "Estimating land-scape vegetation carbon stocks using airborne multi-frequency polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (SAR) in the savannahs of north Australia", *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 5, 1141–1159, 2009.

[39] P.A. Harrell, L.L. Bourgeau-Chavez, E.S. Kasischke, N.H.F. French, and N.L., Christensen, " Sensitivity of ERS-1 and JERS-1 radar data to biomass and stand structure in Alaskan boreal forest", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 54, 247–253, 1995.

[40] C. Proisy, E. Mougin, F. Fromard, and M.A. Karam, "Interpretation of polarimetric radar signatures of mangrove forests", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 71, 56-66, 2000.

[41] M.A. Karam, F. Amar, A.K. Fung, E. Mougin, A. Lopes, D.M. Le vine, and A. Beaudoin, "A microwave polarimetric scattering model for forest canopies based on vector radiative transfer theory", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 53, 16-30, 1995.

[42] A. J. Luckman, J. Baker, R. Lucas, and T.M. Kuplich, T. M., "Retrieval of the biomass of regenerating tropical forest in Amazonia using spaceborne SAR data", *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Retrieval of Bio- and Geophysical Parameters from SAR Data for L and Applications, T oulouse, France, October 1995*, ESA SP-441 (Noordwijk, The Netherlands: ESA), pp. 107–118, 1996.

[43] J. R. Santos , M. S. Pardi Lacruz , L. S. Araujo, and M. Keil, "Savanna and tropical rainforest biomass estimation and spatialization using JERS-1 data", *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23:7, 1217-1229, 2002.

[44] L. Breiman, "Random forests". *Machine learning 45 (1)*, 5-32, doi:10.1023/A:1010933404324, 2001.

[45] DT. Le Toan, S. Quegan, M.W.J. Davidson, H. Balzter, P. Paillou, K. Papathanassiou, S. Plummer, F. Rocca, S. Saatchi, H. Shugart, and L. Ulander, "The BIOMASS mission: Mapping global forest biomass to better understand the terrestrial carbon cycle", *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, 2850-2860, 2011.