

CHARACTERIZING THE NUMBER OF m -ARY PARTITIONS MODULO m

GEORGE E. ANDREWS, AVIEZRI S. FRAENKEL, AND JAMES A. SELLERS

ABSTRACT. Motivated by a recent conjecture of the second author related to the ternary partition function, we provide an elegant characterization of the values $b_m(mn)$ modulo m where $b_m(n)$ is the number of m -ary partitions of the integer n and $m \geq 2$ is a fixed integer.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A17, 11P83

Keywords: partition, congruence, generating function

1. INTRODUCTION

Congruences for partition functions have been studied extensively for the last century or so, beginning with the discoveries of Ramanujan [7]. In this note, we will focus our attention on congruence properties for the partition functions which enumerate restricted integer partitions known as m -ary partitions. These are partitions of an integer n wherein each part is a power of a fixed integer $m \geq 2$. Throughout this note, we will let $b_m(n)$ denote the number of m -ary partitions of n .

As an example, note that there are five 3-ary partitions of $n = 9$:

$$9, \quad 3 + 3 + 3, \quad 3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1, \\ 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1, \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

Thus, $b_3(9) = 5$.

In the late 1960s, Churchhouse [3, 4] initiated the study of congruence properties of binary partitions (m -ary partitions with $m = 2$). By his own admission, he did so serendipitously. To quote Churchhouse [4], “It is however salutary to realise that the most interesting results were discovered because I made a mistake in a hand calculation!”

Within months, other mathematicians proved Churchhouse’s conjectures and proved natural extensions of his results. These included Rødseth [8] who extended Churchhouse’s results to include the functions $b_p(n)$ where p is any prime as well as Andrews [2] and Gupta [5, 6] who proved that corresponding results also held for $b_m(n)$ where m could be any integer greater than 1. As part of an infinite family of results, these authors proved that, for any $m \geq 2$ and any nonnegative integer n , $b_m(m(mn - 1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

We now fast forward forty years. In 2012, the second author conjectured the following absolutely remarkable result related to the ternary partition function $b_3(n)$:

- For all $n \geq 0$, $b_3(3n)$ is divisible by 3 if and only if at least one 2 appears as a coefficient in the base 3 representation of n .

Date: October 30, 2013.

- Moreover, $b_3(3n) \equiv (-1)^j \pmod{3}$ whenever no 2 appears in the base 3 representation of n and j is the number of 1s in the base 3 representation of n .

This conjecture is remarkable for at least two reasons. First, it provides a complete characterization of $b_3(3n)$ modulo 3. Such **characterizations** in the world of integer partitions are rare. Secondly, the result depends on the base 3 representation of n and nothing else.

Just to “see” what the second author saw, let’s quickly look at some data related to this conjecture.

n	Base 3 Representation of n	$b_3(3n)$	$b_3(3n) \pmod{3}$
1	1×3^0	2	2
2	2×3^0	3	0
3	$0 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1$	5	2
4	$1 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1$	7	1
5	$2 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1$	9	0
6	$0 \times 3^0 + 2 \times 3^1$	12	0
7	$1 \times 3^0 + 2 \times 3^1$	15	0
8	$2 \times 3^0 + 2 \times 3^1$	18	0
9	$0 \times 3^0 + 0 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	23	2
10	$1 \times 3^0 + 0 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	28	1
11	$2 \times 3^0 + 0 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	33	0
12	$0 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	40	1
13	$1 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	47	2
14	$2 \times 3^0 + 1 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	54	0
15	$0 \times 3^0 + 2 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^2$	63	0

In recent days, the authors succeeded in proving this conjecture. Thankfully, the proof was both elementary and elegant. After just a bit of additional consideration, we were able to alter the proof to provide a completely unexpected generalization. We describe this generalized result, and provide its proof, in the next section.

2. THE FULL RESULT

Our main theorem, which includes the above conjecture in a very natural way, provides a complete characterization of $b_m(mn)$ modulo m :

Theorem 2.1. *Let $m \geq 2$ be a fixed integer and let*

$$n = a_0 + a_1m + \cdots + a_jm^j$$

be the base m representation of n (so that $0 \leq a_i \leq m - 1$ for each i). Then

$$b_m(mn) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^j (a_i + 1) \pmod{m}.$$

Notice that the conjecture mentioned above is exactly the $m = 3$ case of Theorem 2.1.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need a few elementary tools. We describe these tools here.

First, it is important to note that the generating function for $b_m(n)$ is given by

$$(1) \quad B_m(q) := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{mj}}.$$

Note that $B_m(q)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$(1 - q)B_m(q) = B_m(q^m).$$

From here it is straightforward to prove that

$$b_m(mn) = b_m(mn + i)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$. Thus, we see that Theorem 2.1 actually provides a characterization of $b_m(N) \pmod{m}$ for **all** N , not just for those N which are multiples of m .

With this information in hand, we now prove a small number of lemmas which we will use in our proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. For $|x| < 1$,

$$\frac{1 - x^m}{(1 - x)^2} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^m kx^{k-1} \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. This elementary congruence can be proven rather quickly using well-known mathematical tools. We begin with the geometric series identity

$$\frac{1}{1 - x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k.$$

Differentiating both sides yields

$$\frac{1}{(1 - x)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1}.$$

We then multiply both sides by $1 - x^m$ and simplify as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - x^m}{(1 - x)^2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1} - x^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k-1} - \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} (k - m)x^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m kx^{k-1} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} mx^{k-1} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^m kx^{k-1} \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 2.3. Let ζ be the m^{th} root of unity given by $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^k q} = m \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^m} \right).$$

Proof. Using geometric series and elementary series manipulations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^k q} &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{kr} q^r \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r \mid m} \zeta^{kr} q^r + \sum_{r \nmid m} \zeta^{kr} q^r \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{k(jm)} q^{jm} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{r \nmid m} \zeta^{kr} q^r \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1 - q^m} \quad \text{using facts about roots of unity} \\
&= m \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^m} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 2.4. *Let $T_m(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} b_m(mn)q^n$. Then*

$$T_m(q) = \frac{1}{1 - q} B_m(q).$$

Proof. As in Lemma 2.3, let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_m(q^m) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_m(mn)q^{mn} \\
&= \frac{1}{m} (B_m(q) + B_m(\zeta q) + \cdots + B_m(\zeta^{m-1} q)) \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{mj}} \right) \times \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta^k q} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - q^m} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{mj}}
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 2.3. Lemma 2.4 then follows by replacing q^m by q . ■

We now combine these elementary facts from the lemmas above to prove one last lemma. This lemma will, in essence, allow us to “move” from considering $T_m(q)$ modulo m to a new function modulo m which makes the result of Theorem 2.1 transparent.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $U_m(q) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + 2q^{m^j} + 3q^{2m^j} + \cdots + mq^{(m-1)m^j})$. Then*

$$T_m(q) \equiv U_m(q) \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.5 will follow if we can prove that $\frac{1}{T_m(q)} \cdot U_m(q) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, and this will be our means of attack. Thankfully, this follows from a novel generating function manipulation which we demonstrate here. Using (1) and Lemma 2.4, we

know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{T_m(q)} \cdot U_m(q) \\
= & (1-q)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{m^j}) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + 2q^{m^j} + 3q^{2m^j} + \dots + mq^{(m-1)m^j}\right) \\
\equiv & (1-q)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{m^j}) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{m^{j+1}}}{(1-q^{m^j})^2} \pmod{m} \quad \text{thanks to Lemma 2.2} \\
= & \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} 1-q^{m^{j+1}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} 1-q^{m^j}} \\
= & 1.
\end{aligned}$$

■

We can now utilize all of the above results to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof. First, we remember that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} b_m(mn)q^n = T_m(q) \equiv U_m(q) \pmod{m}.$$

So we simply need to consider $U_m(q)$ modulo m to obtain our proof. Note that

$$U_m(q) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + 2q^{m^j} + 3q^{2m^j} + \dots + mq^{(m-1)m^j}\right).$$

If we expand this product as a power series in q , then each term of the form q^n can occur at most once (because the terms $q^{i \cdot m^j}$ are serving as the building blocks for the **unique** base m representation of m). Thus, if

$$n = a_0 + a_1m + \dots + a_jm^j,$$

then the coefficient of q^n in this expansion is

$$\prod_{i=0}^j (a_i + 1) \pmod{m}.$$

■

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, Reading 1976; reprinted, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984, 1998
2. G. E. Andrews, Congruence properties of the m -ary partition function, *J. Number Theory* **3** (1971), 104-110
3. R. F. Churchhouse, Congruence properties of the binary partition function, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **66** (1969), 371-376
4. R. F. Churchhouse, Binary partitions, in *Computers in Number Theory*, edited A. O. L. Atkin and B. J. Birch, Academic Press, London, 1971
5. H. Gupta, A simple proof of the Churchhouse conjecture concerning binary partitions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (1972), no. 5, 791-794
6. H. Gupta, On m -ary partitions, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **71** (1972), 343-345
7. S. Ramanujan, Some properties of $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n , *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **19** (1919), 207-210
8. Ø. Rødseth, Some arithmetical properties of m -ary partitions, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **68** (1970), 447-453

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA,
ANDREWS@MATH.PSU.EDU

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF
SCIENCE, 76100 REHOVOT, ISRAEL, AVIEZRI.FRAENKEL@WEIZMANN.AC.IL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA,
SELLERSJ@PSU.EDU