

Queensland University of Technology Brisbane Australia

This may be the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

Liu, Haibo, Chen, Tianhu, & Frost, Ray (2014) An overview of the role of goethite surfaces in the environment. *Chemosphere*, *103*, pp. 1-11.

This file was downloaded from: https://eprints.qut.edu.au/69745/

## © Copyright 2013 Elsevier Ltd.

NOTICE: this is the author?s version of a work that was accepted for publication in Chemosphere. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Chemosphere, [Volume 103, (May 2014)] DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.11.065

**License**: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

**Notice**: Please note that this document may not be the Version of Record (*i.e.* published version) of the work. Author manuscript versions (as Submitted for peer review or as Accepted for publication after peer review) can be identified by an absence of publisher branding and/or typeset appearance. If there is any doubt, please refer to the published source.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.11.065

1	An overview of the role of goethite surfaces in the environment
2	
3	Haibo Liu <sup>a,b</sup> , Tianhu Chen <sup>a,*</sup> , Ray L. Frost <sup>b*</sup>
4	
5	<sup>a</sup> Laboratory for Nanomineralogy and Environmental Material, School of Resources &
6	Environmental Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, China
7	
8	<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry, Physics and Mechanical Engineering, Science and Engineering
9	Faculty, Queensland University of Technology, Australia
10	

## 11 Abstract:

12 Goethite, one of the most thermodynamically stable iron oxides, has been extensively 13 researched especially the structure (including surface structure), the adsorption capacity to 14 anions, organic/organic acid (especially for the soil organic carbon) and cations in the natural 15 environment and its potential application in environmental protection. For example, the 16 adsorption of heavy metals by goethite can decrease the concentration of heavy metals in 17 aqueous solution and immobilize; the adsorption to soil organic carbon can decrease the release of carbon and fix carbon. In this present overview, the possible physicochemical 18 19 properties of the goethite surface contributing to the strong affinity of goethite to nutrients 20 and contaminants in natural environment are reported. Moreover, these chemicals adsorbed 21 by goethite were also summarized and the suggested adsorption mechanism for these 22 adsorbates was elucidated, which will help us understand the role of goethite in natural 23 environment and provide some information about goethite as an absorbent. In addition, the 24 feasibility of goethite used as catalyst carrier and the precursor of NZVI was proposed for 25 removal of environmental pollution.

- 26 Keywords: goethite, adsorption, catalysis, environmental remediation
- 27

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 13956099615, +86 0551 62903990.
E-mail address: <u>chentianhu@hfut.edu.cn</u>; <u>chentianhu1964@126.com</u>
\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (<u>r.frost@qut.edu.au</u>)
P +61 7 3138 2407 F: +61 7 3138 1804

## 28 1. Introduction

29 Goethite ( $\alpha$ -FeOOH) is a widespread soil mineral and a major component of many ores, 30 sediments and soils and it is one of the most thermodynamically stable iron oxide (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). Goethite can be found in both humid and semiarid regions and also 31 32 appears as the weathering product of various iron-containing rocks (Kemp, 1985). The orthorhombic structure of goethite has been confirmed as hexagonally close-packed array of 33 O<sup>2-</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> anions with Fe<sup>3+</sup> in the center of the octahedral (Cornell and Schwertmann, 34 35 2003). The two octahedrons compose double chains of octahedra formed by edge sharing, running parallel to the [001] direction. Fig. 1 shows these chains are linked to adjacent double 36 chains by corner-sharing with one chain and the OH groups are linked to another O atom in a 37 38 chain diagonally opposite.

39

40



41





Fig. 1. (010) plane of goethite (top) polyhedral framework and (bottom) ball and stick model(Blue line represents unit cell and the dash line denotes the hydrogen bonding).

Generally, goethite can be found in pedosphere, hydrosphere and biosphere, resulting from rock weathering. In terms of goethite, it can derive from olivine or pyrite due to complicated processes including physical, chemical and biological. As is written in the book (Schwertmann and Cornell, 2000), the general formation process can be described as followed.

51 
$$2Fe_2SiO_4 + O_2 + 6H_2O = 4\alpha - FeOOH + 2H_4SiO_4$$

52 
$$4\text{FeS}_2 + 15\text{O}_2 + 10\text{H}_2\text{O} = 4 \alpha - \text{FeOOH} + 8\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$$

53 The newly formed goethite has a low solubility and it is the most stable iron oxyhydroxide in nature. Generally, goethite formed from weathering is often poorly crystalline and rich in 54 55 defects and impurities, which makes goethite has much good surface activity. Moreover, 56 goethite displays nanometer sized particles in width and several microns in length for both 57 naturally formed and the laboratory synthesized analog. Such sizes make goethite with a high specific surface area, varying from 10-132  $\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$  (Atkinson et al., 1967; Strauss et al., 1997) 58 59 depending on the transforming environment and the synthetic conditions. Therefore, the wide 60 spread in nature and special physicochemical properties attracted many attentions on the 61 study of the structure, surface physiochemical properties and adsorption capacity of goethite. As for the structure of goethite, the existence of bulk groups have been investigated widely 62 63 using various techniques such as IR and TG/DTG (Russell et al., 1974; Schwertmann, 1984). 64 In addition to this bulk groups, goethite still has a complicated surface structure especially the 65 distribution of adsorbed water/hydroxyl group. In recent years, several reports about the fine surface structure can be found using crystal truncation rod (CTR) or model means. Ghose et 66 67 al. reported that the proposed interface stoichiometry is (H<sub>2</sub>O)-(H<sub>2</sub>O)-OH<sub>2</sub>-OH-Fe-O-O-Fe-R, 68 which indicates the existence of two layers of absorbed water and two types of terminal 69 hydroxyls, a hydroxo group and a aquo group (Ghose et al., 2010). The report of Boily (Boily, 70 2012) confirmed that interfacial water molecules adopted highly surface-specific 71 configurations on (010), (100), (110), and (021) planes of goethite. Besides, water molecules 72 generally had weaker hydrogen bonding strengths, as well as smaller self-diffusion 73 coefficients, than their bulk liquid counterparts. Relevant reports on the surface functional 74 groups (Fe-O, Fe-OH, Fe-O-Fe) calculated using density functional theory (DFT) or other

models can be found in the literature (Boily et al., 2001; Villalobos et al., 2003; Rustad and
Boily, 2010). All these physicochemical properties imply goethite has a great potential as an
adsorbent or catalyst due to its large surface area and special surface active sites.

78 The widespread occurrence and special physicochemical properties determine the 79 significant role of goethite in nature and in the man-made environment. In the past several decades, goethite has been documented playing a crucial role in regulating the mobility and 80 81 transformation of species in various parts of the ecosystem and their transport between these 82 parts. It involves the uptake of plant nutrients from soil, the transportation of pesticides and 83 other contaminants from soil to the aquatic system, and the purification of carbon-, sulfurand nitrogen-containing gases (Russell et al., 1975; Kaneko and Inouye, 1981; Ishiwaka and 84 85 Inouye, 1983; Baltrusaitis et al., 2007; Simonetti et al., 2007). In addition, goethite has been 86 demonstrated to be a natural catalyst for catalytic oxidation of organic compounds in soil or 87 wastewater (Lin and Gurol, 1996; Lu, 2000).

88

89 Under this research background, we have summarized the structure and promising 90 values of goethite in the environment, as adsorbent for nutrients and as an adsorbent or/and 91 catalyst for contaminants especially for organic substances and cations. As an important constituent of soil and sediments, it is essential to have a comprehensive understanding for its 92 93 structure, especially for the surface functional groups, the physicochemical properties and its 94 potential applications. As an abundant and cheap natural material with a large specific surface 95 area and high surface reactivity, it is important and worthwhile to understand its potential 96 applications in environmental protection. Nowadays, environmental pollutions are displaying 97 a development of multi-dimension namely from ground surface to subsurface and air with the 98 rapid economic development. Therefore, this present work reviews the research of goethite as 99 an adsorbent or/and catalyst and reveals the implied significance to the environment. 100 Meanwhile, the further possible applications as a precursor of multi-porous iron oxides or 101 nZVI, which can be used in environment protection, are also suggested.

102

### 103 **2. Surface properties of goethite**

104 As is well known, goethite is isostructural with diaspore ( $\alpha$ -AlOOH). However, it is the 105 surface structure not the bulk structure that makes this kind of iron oxyhydroxide sensitive to 106 the environment. From 1930s to now, several significant reports on the study of the structure 107 of goethite can be found using a range of different methods (Goldsztaub, 1932; Sampson, 108 1969; Gast et al., 1974; Busca et al., 1978; Rochester and Topham, 1979b; Schwertmann, 109 1984; Boily et al., 2001; Villalobos et al., 2003; Ghose et al., 2010; Rustad and Boily, 2010; 110 Han et al., 2011; Boily, 2012). Goldsztaub solved the crystal structure of goethite in 1932 and then Sampson reported the lattice parameters of a natural single crystal and synthetic 111 112 goethite in 1969 (Goldsztaub, 1932; Sampson, 1969). Russell et al. investigated the types of 113 goethite surface hydroxyl groups by characterizing goethite and phosphated goethite (Russell 114 et al., 1974), which proves goethite has three types of surface hydroxyl groups as is shown in 115 Fig. 1. More details about the surface hydroxyl groups were presented in the literature 116 (Rochester and Topham, 1979b). DFT has been used for calculations of the vibrational 117 frequencies of OH groups of an idealized goethite (110) surface (Rustad and Boily, 2010). 118 Later, surface adsorbed water even the number of its layers was investigated. Robert et al. 119 studied the interaction of water with goethite compared with other amorphous ferric oxides 120 (Gast et al., 1974). The results indicated that the last traces of physically adsorbed water 121 were removed from the amorphous ferric oxides by outgassing at 25 °C, however, still 122 retained approximately a monolayer of physically adsorbed water on goethite under the same 123 conditions. This monolayer of water on goethite was proposed presumably hydrogen-bonded 124 at least in part with the structural hydroxyls. Ghose et al. reported that the proposed interface 125 stoichiometry is (H<sub>2</sub>O)-(H<sub>2</sub>O)-OH<sub>2</sub>-OH-Fe-O-O-Fe-R, which indicated the existence of two 126 layers of absorbed water and two types of terminal hydroxyls, a hydroxy group and an aquo 127 group (Ghose et al., 2010). The bulk groups and the complicated surface functional groups 128 results in the potential of strong affinity and high reactivity. The surface hydroxyl groups of 129 goethite can promote more  $\cdot$ OH generation in the presence of ozone than that of  $\beta$ -FeOOH,  $\gamma$ -FeOOH and y-AlOOH (Zhang et al., 2008). Furthermore, Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2013) 130 131 postulated that not only the specific surface areas, but also the numbers of hydroxyl groups 132 (namely effective adsorption sites) on goethite influences the adsorption capacity of goethite 133 to phosphate.

134

#### 135 **3. Adsorbent**

As an adsorbent, surface site density is an important factor determining the adsorption capacity of material. Villalobos et al. (Villalobos et al., 2003) investigated the surface site density of goethite by adsorption of proton and carbonate, indicating an inverse relationship between sorption capacity for protons and carbonate ions and specific surface area of goethite for three synthetic goethite preparations. An explanation for this is the variability of the surface site density. Extra speculation was presumed depending on the different preparation methods which lead to different predominant faces for goethite. In addition, goethite usually presents large specific surface area as is mentioned above. All the confirmed information on the properties of goethite displays it possesses underlying predomination on physical, chemical and even biological reaction.

### 146 **3.1 For anions**

147 Table 1 lists the researched anions and relevant references in part, although it is 148 confirmed there are more than 100 papers can be found on the research of inorganic anion adsorption on goethite. It mainly involves the effect of goethite on their transport, 149 150 transformation and bioavailability. Furthermore, the effect of pH, ionic strength, exotic ions 151 and temperature were also investigated. In these researches, some of the reported research 152 mentioned the application of goethite for the treatment of environmental pollutants. The 153 extent of goethite adsorption and the in-depth study of adsorption mechanisms substantially 154 improved the understanding of the role of goethite in the environment.

155

According to the reported results and adsorption theory, the main adsorption 156 157 mechanisms contain both non-specific adsorption and specific adsorption (ligand exchange). 158 Hingston et al. illuminated both adsorption mechanisms in detail (Hingston et al., 1972). In 159 aqueous suspension, the terminal iron atoms on the surface will complete their coordination 160 shells with OH<sup>-</sup> and water molecular. When the ions in solution do not have specific affinity 161 for the iron atoms of the surface, the surface will adsorb  $H^+$  and  $OH^-$ . In contrast, specific adsorption means replacement of hydroxyl groups by the adsorbing ligand. Specific 162 163 adsorption frequently occurs for anions, in which phosphate and arsenate were most widely researched. At the earliest, Atkinson et al. proposed that phosphate replaces type A hydroxyl 164 group and forms bridges between adjacent Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Atkinson et al., 1972). Afterward, many 165 reports can be found on the adsorption model of phosphate on the surface of goethite using 166 167 various methods (Tejedor-Tejedor and Anderson, 1990; Torrent et al., 1990; Torrent et al., 168 1992; Geelhoed et al., 1997b; Strauss et al., 1997; Nowack and Stone, 1999a; Li and 169 Stanforth, 2000; Ler and Stanforth, 2003; Lin et al., 2004; Chitrakar et al., 2006). Adsorption 170 of phosphate on goethite mainly involves a ligand exchange mechanism which is similar to 171 the adsorption of arsenate. Tejedor-Tejedor et al. studied the mechanism of phosphate 172 adsorption on goethite using in-situ CIR-FTIR, which provided evidence for the formation of

three different type of complexes, protonated and nonprotonated bridging bidentate as well as 173 a nonprotonated monodentate, between orthophosphate ions and surface  $Fe^{3+}$  of  $\alpha$ -FeOOH 174 175 particles in aqueous suspensions (Tejedor-Tejedor and Anderson, 1990). Hiemstra et al 176 modeled phosphate adsorption on goethite with the CD-MUSIC using comparable 177 experimental data, concluding the existence of monodentate species at very low surface 178 loading. In fact, many researchers presented different ligand models considerably dependent 179 on the surface coverage and solution pH. Generally, the bidentate surface complex is the most 180 abundant for the adsorption of phosphate on goethite. Recently, the other mechanism of 181 surface precipitation was reported by Josasson et al. (Jonasson et al., 1988), Li et al. (Li and 182 Stanforth, 2000), and Ler et al. (Ler and Stanforth, 2003). Li et al. (Li and Stanforth, 2000) 183 also investigated the distinction between the adsorption and surface precipitation of 184 phosphate on goethite, which supposing the onset of surface precipitation occurs well before 185 monolayer coverage, particularly at high pH values. Later, Ler et al. (Ler and Stanforth, 2003) 186 confirmed the existence of surface precipitation of phosphate on goethite by monitoring the 187 variation of  $\zeta$ -potential of the phosphated goethite. The adsorption capacity of goethite to phosphate reached 10 mg-P/g-goethite (Chitrakar et al., 2006). In general, it is slow for the 188 189 diffusion of phosphate adsorption between domains and for desorption of phosphate from 190 goethite (Torrent et al., 1990; Strauss et al., 1997). The slow and even irreversible desorption 191 for phosphate are attributed to slow rediffusion out of micropores, to the formation of inert, 192 binuclear surface complexes, and to the affinity making goethite crystals into aggregates 193 (Atkinson et al., 1972; Anderson et al., 1985).

194

195 The adsorption of chromate and arsenate/arsenite is also widely investigated due to their 196 toxicity to humans (Abdel-Samad and Watson, 1997; Fendorf et al., 1997; Manning et al., 197 1998; Gao and Mucci, 2001; Rietra et al., 2001a; Gräfe et al., 2004; Lakshmipathiraj et al., 198 2006; Luengo et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Grafe et al., 2008; Amstaetter et al., 2009; 199 Dimirkou et al., 2009; Catalano et al., 2011; Das et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2013a; Wang et al., 200 2013). The chromium XPS signal indicated that initially a small amount of chromium adsorbs in the  $Cr^{3+}$  oxidation state via a redox reaction, but that the large majority of chromium 201 remains in the Cr<sup>6+</sup> oxidation state (Abdel-Samad and Watson, 1997). As for the adsorption 202 model, Fendorf et al. (Fendorf et al., 1997) gave a detailed account that chromate or arsenate 203 204 retention on goethite is due to a monodentate complex at very low coverage, both the 205 monodentate and bidentate complexes at intermediate coverage, and predominantly the 206 bidentate complexes at very high coverage. It indicates that the adsorption model for both chromate and arsenate is strongly dependent on the surface coverage. In case of the effect of 207 208 pH, it is different for arsenate and arsenite. Arsenite adsorption increases with increasing pH, 209 as compared to low pH which improves the adsorption of arsenate. Lakshmipathiraj et al. 210 (Lakshmipathiraj et al., 2006) reported the adsorption amount of goethite to arsenate decreased from 4.7 to 1.1 mg $\cdot$ g<sup>-1</sup> as the pH increased from 5 to 8. Additionally, competitive 211 adsorption on goethite also has been the subject of much research because the competitive 212 213 adsorption results at least to some extent, affects the bioavailability of nutrients and 214 immobilization of toxic chemicals, such as the competitive adsorption between phosphate and 215 sulfate/arsenate (Geelhoed et al., 1997b; Gao and Mucci, 2003).

216

The adsorption of goethite for other anions was also studied including silicate, chloride, fluoride and sulphate, and others, which reveals the universality of goethite adsorption. The adsorption capacity and strong affinity of goethite to these adsorbates confirmed the significance of goethite at least on their transport in environments.

- 222
- 223

Table 1. 1	List for	inorganic	anion	adsorption	on goethite
------------	----------	-----------	-------	------------	-------------

Inorganic anions	References
Silicate	(Hingston et al., 1967)
Selenite /Selenate	(Hingston et al., 1968; Manceau and Charlet, 1994;
	Saeki and Matsumoto, 1998; Rietra et al., 2001a;
	Das et al., 2013)
Phosphate	(Atkinson et al., 1972; Parfitt et al., 1975; Sigg and
	Stumm, 1981; Geelhoed et al., 1997a; Geelhoed et
	al., 1997b; Strauss et al., 1997; Venema et al.,
	1997; Geelhoed et al., 1998; Barrow, 1999;
	Nowack and Stone, 1999b; Li and Stanforth, 2000;
	Gao and Mucci, 2001; Dideriksen and Stipp, 2003;
	Gao and Mucci, 2003; Ler and Stanforth, 2003;
	Gimsing et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2004; Wang and
	Xing, 2004; Antelo et al., 2005; Chitrakar et al.,

	2006; Nowack and Stone, 2006; Cheng et al.,
	2007; Luengo et al., 2007; Rahnemaie et al., 2007;
	Kim et al., 2011)
Fluoride	(Hingston et al., 1972; Sigg and Stumm, 1981;
	Hiemstra and Van Riemsdijk, 2000)
Chloride	(Hingston et al., 1972)
Sulphate	(Hingston et al., 1972; Sigg and Stumm, 1981;
	Geelhoed et al., 1997a; Geelhoed et al., 1997b;
	Peak et al., 1999; Rietra et al., 1999; Rietra et al.,
	2001a; Juang and Wu, 2002; Beattie et al., 2008)
Silicate	(Sigg and Stumm, 1981; Kersten and Vlasova,
	2009)
Arsenate/Arsenite/Arsenic	(Grossl and Sparks, 1995; Fendorf et al., 1997;
	Matis et al., 1997; Manning et al., 1998; Gao and
	Mucci, 2001; Liu et al., 2001; Waltham and Eick,
	2002; Gao and Mucci, 2003; Gräfe et al., 2004;
	Antelo et al., 2005; Gräfe and Sparks, 2005; Dixit
	and Hering, 2006; Lakshmipathiraj et al., 2006;
	Giménez et al., 2007; Luengo et al., 2007; Sahai et
	al., 2007; Stachowicz et al., 2007; Grafe et al.,
	2008; Luxton et al., 2008; Stachowicz et al., 2008;
	Asta et al., 2009; Dimirkou et al., 2009; Hartzog et
	al., 2009; Catalano et al., 2011; Mamindy-Pajany
	et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013)
Chromate	(Abdel-Samad and Watson, 1997; Fendorf et al.,
	1997)
Carbonate	(Hiemstra et al., 2004; Rahnemaie et al., 2007)

# 225 **3.2 For organic compounds and organic acids**

226

Table 2 displays the list of goethite adsorption of organic compound and organic acid. The adsorption/desorption behavior of water-soluble organics on goethite has been researched for several decades due to its significance on the transport and transformation of organic compounds in soil and groundwater as well as the use of surfactants in the flotation
of minerals (Tejedor-Tejedor et al., 1992). Therefore, this overview summarizes the
adsorption behavior of fulvic acid, humic acid and glycophosphate, etc. on goethite.
Generally, adsorption of organic on goethite is usually dominated by electrostatic effects,
although ligand exchange and hydrogen bonding may also be involved (Cornell and
Schwertmann, 2003).

236

237 Totally, organic compound adsorption on goethite usually depends on the solution pH, 238 chemical composition of organic matter, ionic strength, composition of cation in solution. As 239 for pH, solution pH<PZC will benefit the increase of adsorption capacity, and vice versa. 240 Example is the decrease of the adsorption of lactate, tartrate and citrate with increasing pH 241 (Cornell and Schindler, 1980). Moreover, low pH favors the inner-sphere surface complex at least for oxalate and malonate (Persson and Axe, 2005). Fu et al. (Fu and Quan, 2006) found 242 243 the inner-sphere surface complex of fulvic on goethite which is consistent with the previously 244 reported (Filius et al., 2000) which revealed a inner-sphere in low pH in contrast a out-sphere 245 in high pH. In contrast, acetate, benzoate, and cyclohexanecarboxylate got a out-sphere 246 surface complex as can be seen in the report (Norén and Persson, 2007). To the best of our 247 knowledge, the adsorption model for organic also has a little difference, which has been 248 widely researched using diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform, attenuated total 249 reflectance Fourier transform infrared, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, atomic force 250 microscopy, Extended X-Ray Adsorption Fine Structure, etc. Barja et al. (Barja and dos 251 Santos Afonso, 2004) reported two predominating complexes where the phosphonate group 252 in glyphosate or aminomethylphosphonic acid (a product of biodegradation of glyphosate) 253 bonds monodentately or bridges bidentately to the surface of iron oxide in an inner sphere 254 mode, while the carboxylate and amino group are noncoordinated to the surface. As for the 255 adsorption model, different authors had different results for the same organic. Using citrate as 256 an example, Cornell et al. (Cornell and Schindler, 1980) supported a tridenate complex 257 according to the results of IR; however, Filius et al. (Filius et al., 1997) supported a bidentate 258 complex based on their modeling work. Besides, organic acids, such as humic acid, still can 259 improve the adsorption capacity of goethite for other organic compounds. Lglesias and coauthors (Iglesias et al., 2010) found the adsorption amount of paraquat dramatically 260 increased from 0.03 to 0.24  $\mu$ mol·(m<sup>2</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> as goethite was replaced by humic-coated goethite 261 which was confirmed by Briganate et al. (Brigante et al., 2010) and later proposed the 262

263 adsorption mechanism. The same authors made another similar conclusion that humic-coated goethite favored the adsorption of MCPA ((4-chloro-2-methylphenoxy)-acetic acid, a kind of 264 265 acid pesticide) at all concentrations of this pesticide. In addition, fulvic acid increased the adsorption of cadmium on goethite at low pH(<7) and decreased that at high pH(>7), and 266 267 improved the adsorption of mercury within the whole pH interval (Bäckström et al., 2003). 268 This adsorption, especially for natural organic matter (NOM), has another important 269 significance in affecting the global carbon balance by protecting NOM from enzymatic 270 oxidation to CO<sub>2</sub> in soil and sediments. Goethite not only influences the transport of these organic/organic acid listed in the table below, but also passively affects their transformation 271 272 by surface complexation.

273

Organic/organic acid	References
Acetate	(Sigg and Stumm, 1981; Norén and
	Persson, 2007)
Benzoic/benzoate	(Tejedor-Tejedor et al., 1992; Norén and
	Persson, 2007)
Phenolic	(Tejedor-Tejedor et al., 1992)
Citrate	(Geelhoed et al., 1998; Barrow, 1999;
	Lindegren et al., 2009)
Methylphosphonic Acid	(Barja et al., 1999)
Malonate	(Filius et al., 1999; Persson and Axe,
	2005; Axe et al., 2006)
Phosphonate	(Nowack and Stone, 1999a; Mustafa et
	al., 2006)
Benzenecarboxylate/benzencarboxylic	(Boily et al., 2000; Lindegren and
	Persson, 2010)
Fulvic acid	(Filius et al., 2000; Weng et al., 2005; Fu
	and Quan, 2006; Weng et al., 2006b)
Humic acid	(Saito et al., 2003; Weng et al., 2006a;
	Kang and Xing, 2008)
Anthracene	(Angove et al., 2002)
Glyphosate	(Dideriksen and Stipp, 2003; Sheals et
	al., 2003; Barja and dos Santos Afonso,
	2004; Gimsing et al., 2004; Jonsson et al.,
	2008)
Aminomethylphosphonic Acid	(Barja and dos Santos Afonso, 2004)
Oxalate	(Persson and Axe, 2005; Axe et al., 2006)
Mellitic acid	(Angove et al., 2006)
Bisphenol A (BPA)	(Shareef et al., 2006)
17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2)	(Shareef et al., 2006)
Estrone (E1)	(Shareef et al., 2006)
Molybdate	(Xu et al., 2006a, b)
Tetrathiomolybdate	(Xu et al., 2006a)

Table 2. List for organic compound and organ	nic acid adsorption on goethite
--	---------------------------------

1-hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid	(Hanna and Carteret, 2007)
Silicic acid	(Hiemstra et al., 2007)
Fipronil	(Miranda Masutti and Mermut, 2007)
Ciprofloxacin	(Trivedi and Vasudevan, 2007;
	Carrasquillo et al., 2008)
p-Arsanilic Acid	(Depalma et al., 2008)
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon	(Tunega et al., 2009)
Paraquat	(Brigante et al., 2010)
2-Ketogluconate	(Journey et al., 2010)
Glucose	(Olsson et al., 2011)
Methylene Blue	(Nassar and Ringsred, 2012)
Catechol	(Yang et al., 2012)
Tylosin	(Guo et al., 2013b)
Sulfamethazine	(Guo et al., 2013b)

## 277 **3.3 For cations**

278

279 Table lists the cations adsorption on goethite. On the whole, the possibility of adsorption 280 on goethite to these mentioned metal ions have been documented and the strength of some of 281 them on goethite decreases in the order: Cu>Pb>Zn>Cd>Co>Ni>Mn (Grimme, 1968; Gerth 282 and Brümmer, 1983). Cation adsorption may be suppressed as the ionic strength increases 283 and generally this is considered as an out-sphere adsorption (R-O-M, M presents the cations, 284 R denotes the bulk), although it may be also the result of ion pairing in solution. Moreover, 285 the affinity of cations for goethite is strongly dependent on the temperature of the system and 286 on the time allowed for adsorption (Bruemmer et al., 1988). It was proposed that the process 287 involved rapid adsorption on the external surface surfaces of crystals followed by slow diffusion into the internal sites, possibly along the domain boundaries, with finally, 288 289 adsorption on internal sites (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2010) investigated the effect of adsorption temperature, pH and ionic strength on the adsorption of 290 radionickel on goethite. The results showed that the adsorption of Ni<sup>2+</sup> was strongly 291 dependent on the pH, ionic strength and temperature, indicating high temperature and high 292 pH, low ionic strength favored the increase of Ni<sup>2+</sup> adsorption. Still, the adsorption of Ni<sup>2+</sup> 293 294 was dominated by out-sphere surface complex at low pH in contrast to the inner-sphere at

295 high pH. Desorption is unavoidable after desorption, which depends on the types of metal and surface complexes formed. For example,  $Pb^{2+}$  can desorb completely from goethite whereas 296 297 Cu, Zn, Cd, Ni and Co displayed hysteresis and Al as well as Np showed a extremely slow 298 desorption (Padmanabham, 1983a, b; Lövgren et al., 1990; Tinnacher et al., 2011). The slow 299 desorption should be ascribed to the inner-sphere surface complex and high affinity between 300 metal and goethite. Besides, ternary adsorption, usually formed in the presence of anions and 301 organic acids, also devotes a lot for the slow desorption of adsorbed metal ions. Fulvic acid 302 can improve the adsorption of mercury and cadmium, citric acid and tartaric acid (at low concentration < 1.0 mM) increased the adsorption of Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto goethite (Huang et al., 2010). 303 Fulvic acid and phosphate enhanced the adsorption of Th<sup>4+</sup> was also confirmed (Yan et al., 304 2011). Perelomov et al. revealed the final  $Cu^{2+}$  adsorbed/Pb<sup>2+</sup> adsorbed molar ratio was 1.58 305 in the absence of oxalic acid but greater than 2 in the presence of the organic ligand. 306 307 Furthermore, most of previous investigations have suggested that humic substance promoted the adsorption of metal ions at low pH, such as the report of Ali et al. (Ali and Dzombak, 308 309 1996). The increase of adsorption was attributed to the adsorption of anions/organic acid on 310 the mineral surface followed by the interaction of heavy metal ions with modified surface and generally formed ternary adsorption. Therefore, goethite is playing an important role in the 311 312 water/particles system on the adsorption/desorption of metal ions, which is also affected in 313 the presence/absence of natural organic matter, such as humic acid and fulvic acid.

315 Table 3. List for cation adsorption on goethite

Cation	References
$\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$	(Forbes et al., 1976; Angove et al., 1999; Buerge-Weirich et
	al., 2002; Wang and Xing, 2002; Bäckström et al., 2003;
	Buerge-Weirich et al., 2003; Mustafa et al., 2004; Wang and
	Xing, 2004; Mustafa et al., 2006; Dimirkou et al., 2009;
	Swedlund et al., 2009)
Co <sup>2+</sup>	(Forbes et al., 1976; Angove et al., 1999)
$Cu^{2+}$	(Forbes et al., 1976; Grossl and Sparks, 1995; Kooner et al.,
	1995; Ali and Dzombak, 1996; Rodda et al., 1996; Robertson
	and Leckie, 1998; Buerge-Weirich et al., 2002; Juang and Wu,
	2002; Buerge-Weirich et al., 2003; Sheals et al., 2003; Lin et
	al., 2004; Huerta-Diaz, 2006; Jonsson et al., 2006; Grafe et al.,

	2008; Weng et al., 2008; Swedlund et al., 2009; Perelomov et
	al., 2011)
$Pb^{2+}$	(Forbes et al., 1976; Kooner et al., 1995; Abdel-Samad and
	Watson, 1998; Kraemer et al., 1999; Kovačević et al., 2000;
	Ostergren et al., 2000a; Ostergren et al., 2000b; Villalobos et
	al., 2001; Glover et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2003; Orsetti et al.,
	2006; Garman et al., 2007; Swedlund et al., 2009; Perelomov
	et al., 2011)
$Zn^{2+}$	(Forbes et al., 1976; Kooner et al., 1995; Kosmulski et al.,
	2003; Gräfe and Sparks, 2005; Xu et al., 2006c; Wang et al.,
	2008; Perelomov et al., 2011)
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	(Ali and Dzombak, 1996; Rietra et al., 2001b; Kosmulski et
	al., 2003; Weng et al., 2005; Weng et al., 2008)
$\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$	(Davis and Upadhyaya, 1996; Venema et al., 1996; Collins et
	al., 1999a; Glover et al., 2002; Granados-Correa et al., 2011)
Cr <sup>6+</sup>	(Villalobos et al., 2001)
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	(Buerge-Weirich et al., 2002; Buerge-Weirich et al., 2003; Xu
	et al., 2006c; Marcussen et al., 2009)
$Hg^{2+}$	(Collins et al., 1999b; Bäckström et al., 2003)
Sr <sup>2+</sup>	(Sahai et al., 2000)
$U^{6+}$	(Giammar and Hering, 2001; Villalobos et al., 2001; Missana
	et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2004; Cheng et al., 2007; Sherman et
	al., 2008; Guo et al., 2009; Yusan and Erenturk, 2011; Singh et
	al., 2012)
$V^{5+}$	(Peacock and Sherman, 2004)
${\rm Sb}^{3+}/{\rm Sb}^{5+}$	(Leuz et al., 2006; Watkins et al., 2006; Martínez - Lladó et
	al., 2008)
Ga <sup>3+</sup>	(Persson et al., 2006)
Ge <sup>4+</sup>	(Pokrovsky et al., 2006)
$\mathrm{Se}^{4+}/\mathrm{Se}^{6+}$	(Rovira et al., 2008)
Np <sup>5+</sup>	(Tinnacher et al., 2011)
$\mathrm{Th}^{4+}$	(Yan et al., 2011)
$Nd^{3+}$	(Armstrong and Wood, 2012)

### 317 **3.4 For gases**

318 Goethite was seldom used as a gas adsorbent. On the other hand, various gases were often utilized as probe molecules and provide information about the surface properties, such 319 320 as surface acid-base nature and surface adsorption sites. Russell et al. (Russell et al., 1975) reported the CO<sub>2</sub> is strongly adsorbed as  $CO_3^{2-}$  on moist goethite surface, but as both  $CO_3^{2-}$ 321 322 and  $HCO_3^-$  on dry surfaces. The adsorbed carbonate played a role in redistributing surface 323 charge and similar role was proposed to extent to other anions such as phosphate. Afterward, 324 infrared spectra were recorded of pyridine, acetic acid, nitric acid and trimethylchlorosilane 325 adsorbed on the surface of goethite, which confirmed the relationship between the adsorption 326 characteristic and the surface Lewis acid sites of goethite (Rochester and Topham, 1979a). Furthermore, NO was demonstrated to be adsorbed more strongly than SO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> and NO 327 328 can displace previously adsorbed SO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> from the surface of goethite (Ishiwaka and 329 Inouye, 1983). The adsorption of  $SO_2$  and NO on the surface of the goethite increased the 330 electrical conductivity which accelerated atmospheric corrosion of steel (Kaneko and Inouye, 331 1981; Kaneko and Matsumoto, 1989; Baltrusaitis et al., 2007). The bright side is the potential application as a catalyst or catalyst carrier for selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to be 332 determined in future, which is being investigated by our research group. Recently, Simonetti 333 334 et al. investigated the electronic structure of S and H<sub>2</sub>S adsorbed on the goethite (110) surface 335 by AESD-MO cluster calculations (Simonetti et al., 2006; Simonetti et al., 2007). The results 336 showed that S-H<sub>(goethite)</sub> is the major interaction for S and H<sub>2</sub>S, indicating hydrogen bonding contributed the interaction between S and H<sub>2</sub>S and goethite. Although goethite has not been 337 338 researched as adsorbent or catalyst for sulfide at present, it is presumed this will attract much 339 attention on desulfurization and denitrification in industries due to goethite affinity to Ncontaining and S-containing gases. The significance of goethite in the environment still 340 embodies the immobilization of dissolved goethite to generated CO2. Yao et al. (Yao et al., 341 2013) investigated the effect of goethite on the release of methane in the anaerobic 342 343 biochemical system consisted of dissimilar iron-reducing bacteria and methane-producing 344 bacteria. The results indicated that the maximum cumulative production of methane was 345 brought forward by 60 to 78 d in the presence of goethite and CO<sub>2</sub> was decreased by 30 to 346 67% compared with that without goethite.

349 Except for the adsorption of anions, organics and organic acid, cations and gases, 350 goethite still decomposes or catalytic decomposition of some contaminants in the absence and 351 presence of hydrogen peroxide and/or UV radiation. Recently, Han et al. first reported that 352 aqueous goethite can generate singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical under room light and aeration conditions investigated using spin-trapping electron paramagnetic resonance and 353 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> can improve the generation of both reactive species (Han et al., 2011). Table 4 lists the 354 355 references on the application of goethite as a catalyst. The main mechanism for catalytic 356 reactions involved the generation of hydroxyl radicals both directly or indirectly. Like the 357 adsorption of goethite to organics, the catalysis behavior is also strongly dependent on the solution pH. As a catalyst, goethite was seldom researched before the 21th century. 358 359 Hydroquinone can be converted into quinine in the presence of finely divided goethite in 360 slightly acid solutions (Shindo and Huang, 1984). Cunningham et al. (Cunningham et al., 1988) reported benzoate, oxalate, and succinate adsorbed goethite in slightly acid solution 361 362 were degraded under in the presence of UV and revealed the reaction mechanism by detecting the products of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $\cdot OH$ . In the recent decade, increasing attention was paid to 363 364 this kind of natural phenomenon and potential environmental application related to goethite 365 due to its special surface properties, such as large surface area and high surface hydroxyl 366 content. Muruganandham et al. (Muruganandham and Wu, 2007) investigated the 367 decomposition of dissolved ozone in the presence of granular goethite to reduce the residual ozone in treated water and the decomposition of ozone increased from 53.2 to 98% as the 368 goethite loading increased from 2 to 30 g·L<sup>-1</sup>. The results indicated granular goethite is a 369 370 promising catalyst for the decomposition of ozone in aqueous medium. Oxalate and salicylate 371 have a competitive adsorption on goethite, however, the existence of oxalate improved the 372 photodegradation of salicylate by reacting with goethite producing OH radicals (Krýsa et al., 373 2011). BPA also can be degraded by goethite suspensions and acidic solutions accelerated the 374 reaction, which implies goethite may play a crucial role in the abiotic attenuation of BPA in 375 the natural environment (Lin et al., 2012). A direct evidence for the significance of goethite 376 in environment is the photooxidation of arsenite to lowly toxic arsenate in the natural goethite suspension. In addition, improved oxidation will substantially occur in the presence of 377 378 hydrogen peroxide and/or UV radiation in the presence of goethite. Lu et al. (Lu, 2000) reported the 2-chlorophenol can be decomposed with hydrogen peroxide catalyzed by 379 380 goethite and the oxidation rate increased with the decrease of goethite particle size. He et al. 381 (He et al., 2005) found that aromatic compounds could undergo rapid decomposition and

382 mineralization (even to 100% yield) in the presence of both a-FeOOH and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> under UV irradiation, and the degradation rates of the organics were related to their sorption ability of 383 384 the surface of a-FeOOH and were in the following order: salicylic acid≈m-hydroxylbenzoic 385 acid > p-hydroxylbenzoic acid  $\approx$  benzoic acid > p-biphthalic acid > phenol > benzenesulfonic 386 acid. The results imply the role of goethite in the transportation and photochemical processes 387 of NOM in the natural environment. Not only UV, but also ultrasonic irradiation can enhance 388 the catalytic activity of goethite- $H_2O_2$  system. The decoloration of dye was strongly 389 enhanced by ultrasonic irradiation on Fenton-like process, namely goethite-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system, based on the research of Muruganandham et al. (Muruganandham et al., 2006). The similar 390 result can be found in the report (Wu et al., 2012) indicating goethite surfaces catalysed a 391 392 Fenton-like reaction responsible for the decolorizing of azo dye Orange G. Furthermore, 393 goethite still can catalyze ozonation. Zhang et al. (Zhang and Ma, 2008) reported that 394 catalytic ozonation with goethite can substantially enhance nitrobenzene decomposition 395 compared with ozonation alone. It is speculated this phenomenon is closely related to the 396 decomposition of dissolved ozone in goethite suspension as is mentioned above.

Table 4. List for the catalytic reaction

Reactant	References
N-butyl chloride	(Lin and Gurol, 1996)
2-chlorophenol	(Lu, 2000; Lu et al., 2002)
2,6-dimethylphenol	(Mazellier and Bolte, 2000)
3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid	(Andreozzi et al., 2002a)
1,2-benzenediol (catechol)	(Andreozzi et al., 2002b)
2-aminophenol	(Andreozzi et al., 2002b)
2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acid	(Andreozzi et al., 2002b)
Disinfection Byproducts	(Chun et al., 2005)
Aromatic compounds	(He et al., 2005)
4-chloronitrobenzene	(Chun et al., 2006)
Trichloronitromethane	(Chun et al., 2006)
Direct Orange 39 (DO39) azo dye	(Muruganandham et al., 2006)
Polyethylene	(Liu et al., 2009)
C.I. Acid Orange 7	(Zhang et al., 2009)

Bisphenol A	(Lin et al., 2012)
Trimethyl Phosphate	(Mäkie et al., 2012)
Triethyl Phosphate	(Mäkie et al., 2012)
Azo dye Orange G	(Wu et al., 2012)
Oxalate	(Krýsa et al., 2011)

## 400 **5. Effect of Al substitution**

401 Goethite has a similar structure with diaspore and the 6-fold coordinated Fe has been demonstrated to be replaced usually by Al, Co, Mn, Cr, Ni, etc., among which the 402 substitution of Al for Fe was well documented and was also demonstrated to occur in natural 403 goethite (Norrish and Taylor, 1961; Mendelovici et al., 1979; Fitzpatrick and Schwertmann, 404 405 1982; Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). In addition, Al-substituted goethite can also be 406 synthesized easily in the laboratory. The Al substitution for Fe significantly affects the 407 physicochemical properties, which has been studied by many researchers using different 408 modern techniques, such as XRD, TG/DTG/DTA, TEM, IR, SEM, Mössbauer spectra, etc. 409 (Golden et al., 1979; Mendelovici et al., 1979; Fey and Dixon, 1981; Goodman and Lewis, 410 1981; Fysh and Fredericks, 1983; Schulze, 1984; Schulze and Schwertmann, 1984; Schulze 411 and Schwertmann, 1987; Schwertmann and Murad, 1990; Ruan and Gilkes, 1995; Scheinost 412 et al., 1999; Ruan et al., 2002; Blanch et al., 2008; Morozov and Vasil'ev, 2010; Liu et al., 413 2012b; Liu et al., 2012c). Ainsworth et al. (Ainsworth and Sumner, 1985; Ainsworth et al., 414 1985) postulated that the occurrence of Al substitution for Fe in the structure of goethite may sterically hinder the sorption of phosphate on goethite, although the substitution increased the 415 specific surface area and improved the isotopic exchange rates. However, in recent years, Al-416 substituted-goethite also exhibited higher specific surface area and higher adsorption capacity 417 for Cu, Zn and Cd ions compared to pure goethite (Spathariotis and Kallianou, 2007). The 418 419 results were similar with the current report indicating the presence of structural Al in the 420 goethite enhanced considerably the As uptake capacity and also decreased the mobilization of 421 Fe and As adsorbed as the substitution amount increased. However, whether the increased 422 specific surface area or the substitution of Al for Fe improved the adsorption capacity is still 423 not confirmed since the substitution of Al generally favors the increase of surface area.

424

### 425 6. Conclusions and summary

426 As is mentioned above, goethite has an isostructure with diaspore. However, it generally 427 has a size in nanometers in width and several microns in length, which presumably results in 428 the large specific surface area. Combining with the abundant surface hydroxyl groups, 429 goethite is playing an important role in the transport and transformation of nutrients and 430 contaminants containing anions, organic/organic acid (including soil organic carbon), cations 431 and some gases. The adsorption of goethite to nutrients can decrease their loss; the adsorption 432 to heavy metals can reduce their concentration in aqueous solution; the adsorption to soil 433 organic carbon can reduce the release of carbon from soil, which at some degree can fix 434 carbon. Although these gases were selected as probe molecule to characterize the surface 435 properties of goethite, the results still displayed a selective adsorption of goethite to NO 436 compared with SO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. As for other nutrients and contaminants, goethite showed a 437 good affinity by non-specific adsorption, specific adsorption (ligand exchange) and ternary 438 adsorption. Non-specific adsorption, in general, occurs for the ions which have specific affinity for the metal atoms of the surface, e.g. NaNO<sub>3</sub>, and then was absorbed by 439 electrostatic interaction. Specific adsorption frequently happened for organic/organic acid by 440 441 surface complexation (inner-sphere complexation), such as phosphate and citrate, while 442 ternary adsorption generally takes place for the mixture of organic/organic acid and cations 443 where cations were adsorbed by the linkage of organic/organic acid. Basically, all the 444 adsorption is strongly dependent on the solution pH. In addition, goethite surfaces showed 445 preferential adsorption of P-containing and high molar mass organic solutes, but not of N-446 containing compounds. The strength of surface affinity of goethite to metals generally 447 decreases in the order: Cu>Pb>Zn>Cd>Co>Ni>Mn.

448

449 According to the overview, goethite has a crucial significance in the natural environment. 450 Based on the property, recently, natural goethite and synthetic goethite were also researched 451 as catalyst carrier and precursor of nano zero valent iron (NZVI) for treatment of aqueous 452 contaminants in our group. Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2012a) investigated the effect of carrier 453 (natural goethite, synthetic goethite and palygorskite) on catalytic cracking of biomass tar, 454 which indicated that goethite as a carrier had the best catalytic reactivity. Afterward, natural 455 goethite was selected to prepare NZVI to remove nitrite and nitrate and compared with the 456 ordinary iron powder, which also presented the natural goethite as a precursor had a good reactivity in decomposition of nitrite and nitrate (Liu et al., 2012d). This year, NZVI prepared 457 458 by reducing natural goethite in hydrogen was considered to remove phosphate and p459 nitrophenol (PNP). This kind of NZVI displayed good adsorption efficiency and reduction efficiency, respectively, and the former is in the process of under review and the latter is 460 461 being completed. In addition, the role of goethite in accelerating the generation of methane and hindering the production of CO<sub>2</sub> in anaerobic decomposition of organics was confirmed. 462 463 Therefore, goethite is really playing a crucial role in the transport and transformation of anions, organic/organic acid, cations and gases in environments. Furthermore, the 464 465 significance of goethite is presumably to be expanded based on the inherent properties, such as large specific surface area and abundant hydroxyl groups in the bulk as well as on the 466 467 surfaces. Goethite as the precursor of nano-hematite, nano-magnetite and NZVI is being or 468 going to be considered in the future.

469

### 471 **References**

- 472 Abdel-Samad, H., Watson, P.R., 1997. An XPS study of the adsorption of chromate on goethite (α 473 FeOOH). Appl. Surf. Sci. 108, 371-377.
- 474 Abdel-Samad, H., Watson, P.R., 1998. An XPS study of the adsorption of lead on goethite (α-FeOOH).
  475 Appl. Surf. Sci. 136, 46-54.
- 476 Ainsworth, C.C., Sumner, M.E., 1985. Effect of aluminum substitution in goethite on phosphorus
  477 adsorption: II. rate of adsorption1. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 49, 1149-1153.
- 478 Ainsworth, C.C., Sumner, M.E., Hurst, V.J., 1985. Effect of aluminum substitution in goethite on phosphorus adsorption: I. adsorption and isotopic exchange1. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 49, 1142-1149.
- Ali, M.A., Dzombak, D.A., 1996. Effects of simple organic acids on sorption of Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> on goethite.
   Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 60, 291-304.
- 482 Amstaetter, K., Borch, T., Larese-Casanova, P., Kappler, A., 2009. Redox transformation of arsenic by
   483 Fe(II)-activated goethite (α-FeOOH). Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 102-108.
- Anderson, M.A., Tejedor-Tejedor, M.I., Stanforth, R.R., 1985. Influence of aggregation on the uptake
   kinetics of phosphate by goethite. Environ. Sci. Technol. 19, 632-637.
- Andreozzi, R., Caprio, V., Marotta, R., 2002a. Oxidation of 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid by means of
   hydrogen peroxide in aqueous goethite slurry. Water Res. 36, 2761-2768.
- Andreozzi, R., D'Apuzzo, A., Marotta, R., 2002b. Oxidation of aromatic substrates in water/goethite slurry
   by means of hydrogen peroxide. Water Res. 36, 4691-4698.
- Angove, M.J., Fernandes, M.B., Ikhsan, J., 2002. The sorption of anthracene onto goethite and kaolinite in
   the presence of some benzene carboxylic acids. J Colloid Interface Sci. 247, 282-289.
- Angove, M.J., Wells, J.D., Johnson, B.B., 1999. The influence of temperature on the adsorption of cadmium(II) and cobalt(II) on goethite. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 211, 281-290.
- Angove, M.J., Wells, J.D., Johnson, B.B., 2006. The influence of temperature on the adsorption of mellitic
   acid onto goethite. J Colloid Interface Sci 296, 30-40.
- Antelo, J., Avena, M., Fiol, S., López, R., Arce, F., 2005. Effects of pH and ionic strength on the adsorption of phosphate and arsenate at the goethite-water interface. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 285, 478
  476-486.
- Armstrong, C.R., Wood, S.A., 2012. Effect of fulvic acid on neodymium uptake by goethite. J Colloid
   Interface Sci 387, 228-233.
- Asta, M.P., Cama, J., Martinez, M., Gimenez, J., 2009. Arsenic removal by goethite and jarosite in acidic
   conditions and its environmental implications. J Hazard Mater 171, 965-972.
- Atkinson, R.J., Posner, A.M., Quirk, J.P., 1967. Adsorption of potential-determining ions at the ferric
   oxide-aqueous electrolyte interface. J. Phys. Chem. 71, 550-558.
- Atkinson, R.J., Posner, A.M., Quirk, J.P., 1972. Kinetics of isotopic exchange of phosphate at the α FeOOH-aqueous solution interface. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem 34, 2201-2211.
- Axe, K., Vejgarden, M., Persson, P., 2006. An ATR-FTIR spectroscopic study of the competitive adsorption between oxalate and malonate at the water-goethite interface. J Colloid Interface Sci. 294, 31-37.
- Bäckström, M., Dario, M., Karlsson, S., Allard, B., 2003. Effects of a fulvic acid on the adsorption of mercury and cadmium on goethite. Sci. Total Environ. 304, 257-268.
- Baltrusaitis, J., Cwiertny, D.M., Grassian, V.H., 2007. Adsorption of sulfur dioxide on hematite and
   goethite particle surfaces. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. : PCCP 9, 5542-5554.
- Barja, B.C., dos Santos Afonso, M., 2004. Aminomethylphosphonic acid and glyphosate adsorption onto
   goethite: a comparative study. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 585-592.
- Barja, B.C., Tejedor-Tejedor, M.I., Anderson, M.A., 1999. Complexation of methylphosphonic acid with
   the surface of goethite particles in aqueous solution. Langmuir 15, 2316-2321.
- Barrow, N.J., 1999. A simple equation to describe sorption of anions by goethite. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 50, 151155.
- Beattie, D.A., Chapelet, J.K., Gräfe, M., Skinner, W.M., Smith, E., 2008. In situ ATR FTIR studies of SO<sub>4</sub>
   adsorption on goethite in the presence of copper ions. Environ Sci. Technol. 42, 9191-9196.
- Blanch, A.J., Quinton, J.S., Lenehan, C.E., Pring, A., 2008. The crystal chemistry of Al-bearing goethites:
   an infrared spectroscopic study. Mineral. Mag. 72, 1043-1056.
- Boily, J.-F., 2012. Water structure and hydrogen bonding at goethite/water interfaces: implications for
   proton affinities. J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 4714-4724.

- Boily, J.-F., Lützenkirchen, J., Balmès, O., Beattie, J., Sjöberg, S., 2001. Modeling proton binding at the
   goethite (α-FeOOH)–water interface. Colloids Surf. A: Physicochemical and Eng. Aspects 179, 11-27.
- Boily, J.F., Persson, P., Sjoberg, S., 2000. Benzenecarboxylate surface complexation at the goethite (alpha FeOOH)/water interface. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 227, 132-140.
- Brigante, M., Zanini, G., Avena, M., 2010. Effect of humic acids on the adsorption of paraquat by goethite.
   J Hazard Mater 184, 241-247.
- Bruemmer, G.W., Gerth, J., Tiller, K.G., 1988. Reaction kinetics of the adsorption and desorption of nickel,
  zinc and cadmium by goethite. I. Adsorption and diffusion of metals. J. Soil Sci. 39, 37-52.
- Buerge-Weirich, D., Behra, P., Sigg, L., 2003. Adsorption of copper, nickel, and cadmium on goethite in
   the presence of organic ligands. Aquat. Geochem. 9, 65-85.
- Buerge-Weirich, D., Hari, R., Xue, H., Behra, P., Sigg, L., 2002. Adsorption of Cu, Cd, and Ni on goethite
  in the presence of natural groundwater ligands. Environ Sci. Technol. 36, 328-336.
- Busca, G., Cotena, N., Rossi, P.F., 1978. Infrared spectroscopic study of micronised geothite. Mater. Chem.
   3, 271-283.
- 540 Carrasquillo, A.J., Bruland, G.L., MacKay, A.A., Vasudevan, D., 2008. Sorption of ciprofloxacin and
  541 oxytetracycline zwitterions to soils and soil minerals: influence of compound structure. Environ Sci.
  542 Technol. 42, 7634-7642.
- 543 Catalano, J.G., Luo, Y., Otemuyiwa, B., 2011. Effect of aqueous Fe(II) on arsenate sorption on goethite
  544 and hematite. Environ Sci. Technol. 45, 8826-8833.
- 545 Cheng, T., Barnett, M.O., Roden, E.E., Zhuang, J., 2004. Effects of phosphate on uranium(VI) adsorption
   546 to goethite-coated sand. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 6059-6065.
- 547 Cheng, T., Barnett, M.O., Roden, E.E., Zhuang, J., 2007. Reactive transport of uranium(VI) and phosphate
  548 in a goethite-coated sand column: an experimental study. Chemosphere 68, 1218-1223.
- 549 Chitrakar, R., Tezuka, S., Sonoda, A., Sakane, K., Ooi, K., Hirotsu, T., 2006. Phosphate adsorption on synthetic goethite and akaganeite. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 298, 602-608.
- Chun, C.L., Hozalski, R.M., Arnold, W.A., 2005. Degradation of drinking water disinfection byproducts
   by synthetic goethite and magnetite. Environ Sci. Technol. 39, 8525-8532.
- Chun, C.L., Penn, R.L., Arnold, W.A., 2006. Kinetic and microscopic studies of reductive transformations
   of organic contaminants on goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 40, 3299-3304.
- Collins, C.R., Ragnarsdottir, K.V., Sherman, D.M., 1999a. Effect of inorganic and organic ligands on the
   mechanism of cadmium sorption to goethite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 63, 2989-3002.
- Collins, C.R., Sherman, D.M., Ragnarsdottir, K.V., 1999b. Surface complexation of Hg<sup>2+</sup> on goethite:
   mechanism from EXAFS spectroscopy and density functional calculations. J. Colloid Interface Sci.
   219, 345-350.
- 560 Cornell, R.M., Schindler, P.W., 1980. Infrared study of the adsorption of hydroxycarboxylic acids onα 561 FeOOH and amorphous Fe (III)hydroxide. Colloid Polym. Sci. 258, 1171-1175.
- 562 Cornell, R.M., Schwertmann, U., 2003. The iron oxides: structure, properties, reactions, occurences and
   563 uses. 2th edition, WILEY-VCH GmbH&Co. KGaA.
- 564 Cunningham, K.M., Goldberg, M.C., Weiner, E.R., 1988. Mechanisms for aqueous photolysis of adsorbed
  565 benzoate, oxalate, and succinate on iron oxyhydroxide (goethite) surfaces. Environ Sci. Technol. 22,
  566 1090-1097.
- 567 Das, S., Hendry, M.J., Essilfie-Dughan, J., 2011. Effects of adsorbed arsenate on the rate of transformation
   568 of 2-line ferrihydrite at pH 10. Environ Sci Technol 45, 5557-5563.
- Das, S., Jim Hendry, M., Essilfie-Dughan, J., 2013. Adsorption of selenate onto ferrihydrite, goethite, and
   lepidocrocite under neutral pH conditions. Appl. Geochem. 28, 185-193.
- 571 Davis, A.P., Upadhyaya, M., 1996. Desorption of cadmium from goethite (α-FeOOH). Water Res. 30,
   572 1894-1904.
- 573 Depalma, S., Cowen, S., Hoang, T., Al-Abadleh, H.A., 2008. Adsorption thermodynamics of p-arsanilic
   574 acid on iron (oxyhydr)oxides: in-situ ATR-FTIR studies. Environ Sci. Technol. 42, 1922-1927.
- 575 Dideriksen, K., Stipp, S.L.S., 2003. The adsorption of glyphosate and phosphate to goethite: a molecular 576 scale atomic force microscopy study. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 67, 3313-3327.
- 577 Dimirkou, A., Ioannou, Z., Golia, E.E., Danalatos, N., Mitsios, I.K., 2009. Sorption of cadmium and
  578 arsenic by goethite and clinoptilolite. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 40, 259-272.
- 579 Dixit, S., Hering, J.G., 2006. Sorption of Fe(II) and As(III) on goethite in single- and dual-sorbate systems.
  580 Chem. Geol. 228, 6-15.
- Fendorf, S., Eick, M.J., Grossl, P., Sparks, D.L., 1997. Arsenate and chromate retention mechanisms on
   goethite. 1. surface structure. Environ Sci. Technol. 31, 315-320.

- Fey, M.V., Dixon, J.B., 1981. Synthesis and properties of poorly crystalline hydrated aluminous goethites.
   Clays Clay Miner. 29, 91-100.
- Filius, J.D., Hiemstra, T., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1997. Adsorption of small weak organic acids on goethite:
   modeling of mechanisms. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 195, 368-380.
- Filius, J.D., Lumsdon, D.G., Meeussen, J.C.L., Hiemstra, T., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2000. Adsorption of
   fulvic acid on goethite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 64, 51-60.
- Filius, J.D., Meeussen, J.C.L., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1999. Transport of malonate in a goethite-silica sand system. Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 151, 245-253.
- Fitzpatrick, R.W., Schwertmann, U., 1982. Al-substituted goethite—An indicator of pedogenic and other
   weathering environments in South Africa. Geoderma 27, 335-347.
- Forbes, E.A., Posner, A.M., Quirk, J.P., 1976. The specific adsorption of divalent Cd, Co, Cu, Pb, and Zn
  on goethite. J. Soil Sci. 27, 154-166.
- Fu, H., Quan, X., 2006. Complexes of fulvic acid on the surface of hematite, goethite, and akaganeite:
   FTIR observation. Chemosphere 63, 403-410.
- 597 Fysh, S.A., Fredericks, P.M., 1983. Fourier transform infrared studies of aluminous goethites and hematites. Clays Clay Miner. 31, 377-382.
- 599 Gao, Y., Mucci, A., 2001. Acid base reactions, phosphate and arsenate complexation, and their competitive
  adsorption at the surface of goethite in 0.7 M NaCl solution. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 23612378.
- Gao, Y., Mucci, A., 2003. Individual and competitive adsorption of phosphate and arsenate on goethite in
   artificial seawater. Chem. Geol. 199, 91-109.
- Garman, S.M., Eick, M.J., Beck, M., 2007. Desorption kinetics of lead from goethite. Soil Science 172, 177-188.
- Gast, R.G., Landa, E.R., Meyer, G.W., 1974. The interaction of water with goethite and amorphous
   hydrated ferric oxide surfaces. Clays Clay Miner. 22, 31-39.
- 608 Geelhoed, J., Van Riemsdijk, W., Findenegg, G., 1997a. Effects of sulphate and pH on the plant 609 availability of phosphate adsorbed on goethite. Plant Soil 197, 241-249.
- Geelhoed, J.S., Hiemstra, T., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1997b. Phosphate and sulfate adsorption on goethite:
   Single anion and competitive adsorption. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 61, 2389-2396.
- 612 Geelhoed, J.S., Hiemstra, T., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1998. Competitive interaction between phosphate and
   613 citrate on goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 32, 2119-2123.
- 614 Gerth, J., Brümmer, G., 1983. Adsorption und festlegung von nickel, zink und cadmium durch goethite (α 615 FeOOH). Z. Anal. Chem. 316, 616-620.
- 616 Ghose, S.K., Waychunas, G.A., Trainor, T.P., Eng, P.J., 2010. Hydrated goethite (α-FeOOH) (100)
  617 interface structure: Ordered water and surface functional groups. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 1943-1953.
- Giammar, D.E., Hering, J.G., 2001. Time scales for sorption-desorption and surface precipitation of
   uranyl on goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 35, 3332-3337.
- Giménez, J., Martínez, M., de Pablo, J., Rovira, M., Duro, L., 2007. Arsenic sorption onto natural hematite,
   magnetite, and goethite. J. Hazard. Mater. 141, 575-580.
- Gimsing, A.L., Borggaard, O.K., Sestoft, P., 2004. Modeling the kinetics of the competitive adsorption and
   desorption of glyphosate and phosphate on goethite and gibbsite and in soils. Environ Sci. Technol. 38,
   1718-1722.
- 626 Glover, L.J., II, Eick, M.J., Brady, P.V., 2002. Desorption kinetics of cadmium(<sup>2+</sup>) and lead(<sup>2+</sup>) from goethite: Influence of time and organic acids. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 66, 797-804.
- Golden, D.C., Bowen, L.H., Weed, S.B., Bigham, J.M., 1979. Mössbauer studies of synthetic and soil occurring aluminum-substituted goethites. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43, 802-808.
- 630 Goldsztaub, S., 1932. Structure cristalline de la goethite. Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris 195.
- 631 Goodman, B.A., Lewis, D.G., 1981. Mössbauer spectra of aluminous goethite (α-FeOOH). J. Soil Sci. 32,
  632 351-364.
- Gräfe, M., Nachtegaal, M., Sparks, D.L., 2004. Formation of metal-arsenate precipitates at the
   goethite-water interface. Environ Sci. Technol. 38, 6561-6570.
- Gräfe, M., Sparks, D.L., 2005. Kinetics of zinc and arsenate co-sorption at the goethite–water interface.
  Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 4573-4595.
- 637 Grafe, M., Beattie, D.A., Smith, E., Skinner, W.M., Singh, B., 2008. Copper and arsenate co-sorption at the mineral-water interfaces of goethite and jarosite. J Colloid Interface Sci 322, 399-413.

- Granados-Correa, F., Corral-Capulin, N.G., Olguín, M.T., Acosta-León, C.E., 2011. Comparison of the
   Cd(II) adsorption processes between boehmite (γ-AlOOH) and goethite (α-FeOOH). Chem. Eng. J.
   171, 1027-1034.
- 642 Grimme, H., 1968. Die adsorption von Mn, Co, Cu und Zn durch goethite aus verdünnten Lösungen.
  643 Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde 121, 58-65.
- Grossl, P.R., Sparks, D.L., 1995. Evaluation of contaminant ion adsorption/desorption on goethite using
   pressure jump relaxation kinetics. Geoderma 67, 87-101.
- Guo, H., Ren, Y., Liu, Q., Zhao, K., Li, Y., 2013a. Enhancement of arsenic adsorption during mineral
  transformation from siderite to goethite: mechanism and application. Environ Sci Technol 47, 10091016.
- Guo, X., Yang, C., Dang, Z., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., Meng, Q., 2013b. Sorption thermodynamics and kinetics
   properties of tylosin and sulfamethazine on goethite. Chem. Eng. J. 223, 59-67.
- Guo, Z., Li, Y., Wu, W., 2009. Sorption of U(VI) on goethite: effects of pH, ionic strength, phosphate,
  carbonate and fulvic acid. Applied radiation and isotopes : including data, instrumentation and
  methods for use in agriculture, industry and medicine 67, 996-1000.
- Han, S.K., Hwang, T.M., Yoon, Y., Kang, J.W., 2011. Evidence of singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical
  formation in aqueous goethite suspension using spin-trapping electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR).
  Chemosphere 84, 1095-1101.
- Hanna, K., Carteret, C., 2007. Sorption of 1-hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid to goethite, lepidocrocite and
   ferrihydrite: batch experiments and infrared study. Chemosphere 70, 178-186.
- Hartzog, O.K., Loganathan, V.A., Kanel, S.R., Jeppu, G.P., Barnett, M.O., 2009. Normalization,
   comparison, and scaling of adsorption data: arsenate and goethite. J Colloid Interface Sci 333, 6-13.
- He, J., Ma, W., Song, W., Zhao, J., Qian, X., Zhang, S., Yu, J.C., 2005. Photoreaction of aromatic compounds at alpha-FeOOH/H<sub>2</sub>O interface in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: evidence for organic-goethite surface complex formation. Water Res 39, 119-128.
- Hiemstra, T., Barnett, M.O., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2007. Interaction of silicic acid with goethite. J Colloid
   Interface Sci 310, 8-17.
- Hiemstra, T., Rahnemaie, R., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2004. Surface complexation of carbonate on goethite:
   IR spectroscopy, structure and charge distribution. J Colloid Interface Sci 278, 282-290.
- Hiemstra, T., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2000. Fluoride adsorption on goethite in relation to different types of
   surface sites. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 225, 94-104.
- Hingston, F.J., Atkinson, R.J., Posner, A.M., Quirk, J.P., 1967. Specific adsorption of anions. Nature 215, 1459-1461.
- Hingston, F.J., Posner A, M., Quirk J, P., 1968. Adsorption of selenite by goethite. adsorption from aqueous solution. American Chemical Society, pp. 82-90.
- Hingston, F.J., Posner, A.M., Quirk, J.P., 1972. Anion adsorption by goethite and gibbsite. J. Soil Sci. 23,
  177-192.
- Hu, B., Cheng, W., Zhang, H., Sheng, G., 2010. Sorption of radionickel to goethite: Effect of water quality
   parameters and temperature. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 285, 389-398.
- Huang, L., Hu, H., Li, X., Li, L.Y., 2010. Influences of low molar mass organic acids on the adsorption of
   Cd2+ and Pb2+ by goethite and montmorillonite. Appl. Clay Sci. 49, 281-287.
- Huerta-Diaz, M.A., 2006. Influence of light on the adsorption of copper from seawater onto goethite and
   birnessite. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 77, 60-66.
- Iglesias, A., Lopez, R., Gondar, D., Antelo, J., Fiol, S., Arce, F., 2010. Adsorption of paraquat on goethite
   and humic acid-coated goethite. J Hazard Mater 183, 664-668.
- Ishiwaka, T., Inouye, K., 1983. The selective adsorption of NO on synthetic iron(III) oxide hydroxides. in:
  Nakagaski, M., Shinoda, K., Matijević, E. (Eds.). Frontiers in Colloid Science In Memoriam Professor
  Dr. Bun-ichi Tamamushi. Steinkopff, pp. 152-157.
- Jonasson, R.G., Martin, R.R., Giuliacci, M.E., Tazaki, K., 1988. Surface reactions of goethite with
   phosphate. Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 1 84, 2311.
- Jonsson, C.M., Persson, P., Sjöberg, S., Loring, J.S., 2008. Adsorption of glyphosate on goethite (α FeOOH): surface complexation modeling combining spectroscopic and adsorption data. Environ Sci.
   Technol. 42, 2464-2469.
- Jonsson, J., Sjoberg, S., Lovgren, L., 2006. Adsorption of Cu(II) to schwertmannite and goethite in
   presence of dissolved organic matter. Water Res 40, 969-974.
- Journey, J.S., Anderson, R.M., Essington, M.E., 2010. The Adsorption of 2-Ketogluconate by goethite.
   Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 74, 1119.

- Juang, R.-S., Wu, W.-L., 2002. Adsorption of sulfate and copper(II) on goethite in relation to the changes
   of zeta potentials. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 249, 22-29.
- Kaneko, K., Inouye, K., 1981. The mechanism of chemisorption of SO2 on iron (III) hydroxide oxides.
  Corros. Sci. 21, 639-646.
- Kaneko, K., Matsumoto, A., 1989. The role of surface defects in the chemisorption of nitric oxide and
  sulfur dioxide on variable-sized crystalline .alpha.-iron hydroxide oxide. J. Phys. Chem. 93, 80908095.
- Kang, S., Xing, B., 2008. Humic acid fractionation upon sequential adsorption onto goethite. Langmuir 24, 2525-2531.
- Kemp, R.A., 1985. The cause of redness in some buried and non-buried soils in eastern England. J. Soil
   Sci. 36, 329-334.
- Kersten, M., Vlasova, N., 2009. Silicate adsorption by goethite at elevated temperatures. Chem. Geol. 262,
   336-343.
- Kim, J., Li, W., Philips, B.L., Grey, C.P., 2011. Phosphate adsorption on the iron oxyhydroxides goethite
   (α-FeOOH), akaganeite (β-FeOOH), and lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH): a 31P NMR Study. Energy
   Environ. Sci.4, 4298.
- Kooner, Z.S., Cox, C.D., Smoot, J.L., 1995. Prediction of adsorption of divalent heavy metals at the
   goethite/water interface by surface complexation modeling. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 14, 2077-2083.
- Kosmulski, M., Saneluta, C., Mączka, E., 2003. Electrokinetic study of specific adsorption of cations on
   synthetic goethite. Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 222, 119-124.
- Kovačević, D., Pohlmeier, A., Özbaş, G., Narres, H.D., Kallay, M.J.N., 2000. The adsorption of lead
  species on goethite. Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 166, 225-233.
- Krýsa, J., Jirkovský, J., Bajt, O., Mailhot, G., 2011. Competitive adsorption and photodegradation of
   salicylate and oxalate on goethite. Catalysis Today 161, 221-227.
- Kraemer, S.M., Cheah, S.-F., Zapf, R., Xu, J., Raymond, K.N., Sposito, G., 1999. Effect of hydroxamate
  siderophores on Fe release and Pb(II) adsorption by goethite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 63, 30033008.
- Lövgren, L., Sjöberg, S., Schindler, P.W., 1990. Acid/base reactions and Al(III) complexation at the
   surface of goethite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 54, 1301-1306.
- Lakshmipathiraj, P., Narasimhan, B.R., Prabhakar, S., Bhaskar Raju, G., 2006. Adsorption of arsenate on
   synthetic goethite from aqueous solutions. J Hazard Mater 136, 281-287.
- Ler, A., Stanforth, R., 2003. Evidence for surface precipitation of phosphate on goethite. Environ Sci.
   Technol. 37, 2694-2700.
- Leuz, A.-K., Mönch, H., Johnson, C.A., 2006. Sorption of Sb(III) and Sb(V) to Goethite: Influence on
   Sb(III) oxidation and mobilization. Environ Sci. Technol. 40, 7277-7282.
- Li, L., Stanforth, R., 2000. Distinguishing adsorption and surface precipitation of phosphate on goethite (α FeOOH). J. Colloid Interface Sci. 230, 12-21.
- Lin, K., Ding, J., Wang, H., Huang, X., Gan, J., 2012. Goethite-mediated transformation of bisphenol A.
   Chemosphere 89, 789-795.
- Lin, S.-H., Kao, H.-C., Cheng, C.-H., Juang, R.-S., 2004. An EXFAS study of the structures of copper and
   phosphate sorbed onto goethite. Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 234, 71-75.
- Lin, S.S., Gurol, M.D., 1996. Heterogeneous catalytic oxidation of organic compounds by hydrogen peroxide. Water Sci. Technol. 34, 57-64.
- Lindegren, M., Loring, J.S., Persson, P., 2009. Molecular structures of citrate and tricarballylate adsorbed on alpha-FeOOH particles in aqueous suspensions. Langmuir 25, 10639-10647.
- Lindegren, M., Persson, P., 2010. Competitive adsorption involving phosphate and benzenecarboxylic
   acids on goethite--effects of molecular structures. J Colloid Interface Sci 343, 263-270.
- Liu, F., De Cristofaro, A., Violante, A., 2001. Effect of pH, phosphate and oxalate on the adsorption
   /desorption of arsenate on/from goethite. Soil Sci. 166.
- Liu, G.L., Zhu, D.W., Liao, S.J., Ren, L.Y., Cui, J.Z., Zhou, W.B., 2009. Solid-phase photocatalytic degradation of polyethylene-goethite composite film under UV-light irradiation. J Hazard Mater 172, 1424-1429.
- Liu, H., Chen, T., Chang, D., Chen, D., Frost, R.L., 2012a. Catalytic cracking of tars derived from rice hull
   gasification over goethite and palygorskite. Appl. Clay Sci. 70, 51-57.
- Liu, H., Chen, T., Chang, J., Zou, X., Frost, R.L., 2013. The effect of hydroxyl groups and surface area of
   hematite derived from annealing goethite for phosphate removal. J Colloid Interface Sci 398, 88-94.

- Liu, H., Chen, T., Frost, R.L., Chang, D., Qing, C., Xie, Q., 2012b. Effect of aging time and Al substitution
  on the morphology of aluminous goethite. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 385, 81-86.
- Liu, H., Chen, T., Xie, Q., Zou, X., Qing, C., Frost, R.L., 2012c. Kinetic study of goethite dehydration and
   the effect of aluminium substitution on the dehydrate. Thermochim. Acta 545, 20-25.
- Liu, H.B., Chen, T.H., Chang, D.Y., Chen, D., Liu, Y., He, H.P., Yuan, P., Frost, R., 2012d. Nitrate reduction over nanoscale zero-valent iron prepared by hydrogen reduction of goethite. Mater. Chem.
  Phys. 133, 205-211.
- Lu, M.-C., 2000. Oxidation of chlorophenols with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of goethite.
   Chemosphere 40, 125-130.
- Lu, M.-C., Chen, J.-N., Huang, H.-H., 2002. Role of goethite dissolution in the oxidation of 2chlorophenol with hydrogen peroxide. Chemosphere 46, 131-136.
- Luengo, C., Brigante, M., Avena, M., 2007. Adsorption kinetics of phosphate and arsenate on goethite. A
   comparative study. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 311, 354-360.
- Luxton, T., Eick, M., Rimstidt, D., 2008. The role of silicate in the adsorption/desorption of arsenite on
   goethite. Chem. Geol. 252, 125-135.
- Mäkie, P., Persson, P., Österlund, L., 2012. Solar light degradation of trimethyl phosphate and triethyl phosphate on dry and water-precovered hematite and goethite nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 14917-14929.
- Mamindy-Pajany, Y., Hurel, C., Marmier, N., Roméo, M., 2011. Arsenic (V) adsorption from aqueous
   solution onto goethite, hematite, magnetite and zero-valent iron: Effects of pH, concentration and
   reversibility. Desalination 281, 93-99.
- Manceau, A., Charlet, L., 1994. The mechanism of selenate adsorption on goethite and hydrous ferric
   oxide. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 168, 87-93.
- Manning, B.A., Fendorf, S.E., Goldberg, S., 1998. Surface structures and stability of arsenic(III) on
   goethite: spectroscopic evidence for inner-sphere complexes. Environ Sci. Technol. 32, 2383-2388.
- Marcussen, H., Holm, P.E., Strobel, B.W., Hansen, H.C.B., 2009. Nickel sorption to goethite and montmorillonite in presence of citrate. Environ Sci. Technol. 43, 1122-1127.
- Martínez-Lladó, X., de Pablo, J., Giménez, J., Ayora, C., Martí, V., Rovira, M., 2008. Sorption of antimony (V) onto synthetic goethite in carbonate medium. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. 26, 289-300.
- Matis, K.A., Zouboulis, A.I., Malamas, F.B., Ramos Afonso, M.D., Hudson, M.J., 1997. Flotation removal of As(V) onto goethite. Environ. Pollut. 97, 239-245.
- Mazellier, P., Bolte, M., 2000. Heterogeneous light-induced transformation of 2,6-dimethylphenol in aqueous suspensions containing goethite. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A: Chem. 132, 129-135.
- Mendelovici, E., Yariv, S., Villalba, R., 1979. Aluminum-bearing goethite in Venezuelan laterites. Clays
   Clay Miner. 27, 368-372.
- 787 Miranda Masutti, C.S., Mermut, A.R., 2007. Sorption of fipronil and its sulfide derivative by soils and
   788 goethite. Geoderma 140, 1-7.
- 789 Missana, T., García-Gutiérrez, M., Maffiotte, C., 2003. Experimental and modeling study of the uranium
   790 (VI) sorption on goethite. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 260, 291-301.
- Morozov, V., Vasil'ev, S., 2010. Effect of isomorphic substitutions on the Mössbauer and magnetic
   parameters of goethite. Eurasian Soil Sci. 43, 795-801.
- Muruganandham, M., Wu, J.J., 2007. Granular α-FeOOH A stable and efficient catalyst for the decomposition of dissolved ozone in water. Catal. Commun. 8, 668-672.
- Muruganandham, M., Yang, J.-S., Wu, J.J., 2006. Effect of ultrasonic irradiation on the catalytic activity
  and stability of goethite catalyst in the presence of H2O2 at acidic medium. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 46,
  691-698.
- Mustafa, G., Kookana, R.S., Singh, B., 2006. Desorption of cadmium from goethite: effects of pH, temperature and aging. Chemosphere 64, 856-865.
- Mustafa, G., Singh, B., Kookana, R.S., 2004. Cadmium adsorption and desorption behaviour on goethite at
   low equilibrium concentrations: effects of pH and index cations. Chemosphere 57, 1325-1333.
- Nassar, N.N., Ringsred, A., 2012. Rapid adsorption of methylene blue from aqueous solutions by goethite
   nanoadsorbents. Environ. Eng. Sci. 29, 790-797.
- Norén, K., Persson, P., 2007. Adsorption of monocarboxylates at the water/goethite interface: The importance of hydrogen bonding. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 5717-5730.
- Norrish, K., Taylor, R.M., 1961. The isomorphous replacement of iron by aluminium in soil goethite. J.
  Soil Sci. 12, 294-306.

- Nowack, B., Stone, A.T., 1999a. Adsorption of phosphonates onto the goethite-water interface. J. Colloid
   Interface Sci. 214, 20-30.
- Nowack, B., Stone, A.T., 1999b. The influence of metal ions on the adsorption of phosphonates onto
   goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 33, 3627-3633.
- Nowack, B., Stone, A.T., 2006. Competitive adsorption of phosphate and phosphonates onto goethite.
  Water Res. 40, 2201-2209.
- Olsson, R., Giesler, R., Persson, P., 2011. Adsorption mechanisms of glucose in aqueous goethite
   suspensions. J Colloid Interface Sci. 353, 263-268.
- Orsetti, S., Quiroga Mde, L., Andrade, E.M., 2006. Binding of Pb(II) in the system humic acid/goethite at
   acidic pH. Chemosphere 65, 2313-2321.
- 818 Ostergren, J.D., Brown, G.E., Jr., Parks, G.A., Persson, P., 2000a. Inorganic ligand effects on Pb(II)
   819 sorption to goethite (a-FeOOH). J. Colloid Interface Sci. 225, 483-493.
- Ostergren, J.D., Trainor, T.P., Bargar, J.R., Brown, G.E., Jr., Parks, G.A., 2000b. Inorganic ligand effects
   on Pb(II) sorption to goethite (a-FeOOH). J. Colloid Interface Sci. 225, 466-482.
- Padmanabham, M., 1983a. Adsorption-desorption behaviour of copper(II) at the goethite-solution interface.
  Soil Res. 21, 309-320.
- Padmanabham, M., 1983b. Comparative study of the adsorption-desorption behaviour of copper(II),
   zinc(II), cobalt(II) and lead(II) at the goethite solution interface. Soil Res. 21, 515-525.
- Parfitt, R.L., Atkinson, R.J., Smart, R.S.C., 1975. The mechanism of phosphate fixation by iron oxides.
  Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 39, 837-841.
- Peacock, C.L., Sherman, D.M., 2004. Vanadium(V) adsorption onto goethite (α-FeOOH) at pH 1.5 to 12: a
  surface complexation model based on ab initio molecular geometries and EXAFS spectroscopy.
  Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 1723-1733.
- Peak, D., Ford, R.G., Sparks, D.L., 1999. An in situ ATR-FTIR investigation of sulfate bonding
   mechanisms on goethite. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 218, 289-299.
- Perelomov, L.V., Pinskiy, D.L., Violante, A., 2011. Effect of organic acids on the adsorption of copper,
  lead, and zinc by goethite. Eurasian Soil Sci. 44, 22-28.
- Persson, P., Axe, K., 2005. Adsorption of oxalate and malonate at the water-goethite interface: Molecular
  surface speciation from IR spectroscopy. Geochim. Acta 69, 541-552.
- Persson, P., Zivkovic, K., Sjöberg, S., 2006. Quantitative adsorption and local structures of gallium(III) at
   the water-α-FeOOH interface. Langmuir 22, 2096-2104.
- Pokrovsky, O.S., Pokrovski, G.S., Schott, J., Galy, A., 2006. Experimental study of germanium adsorption
  on goethite and germanium coprecipitation with iron hydroxide: X-ray absorption fine structure and
  macroscopic characterization. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 70, 3325-3341.
- Rahnemaie, R., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2007. Carbonate adsorption on goethite in competition
   with phosphate. J Colloid Interface Sci 315, 415-425.
- Rietra, R.P., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2001a. Comparison of selenate and sulfate adsorption on
   goethite. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 240, 384-390.
- Rietra, R.P.J.J., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1999. Sulfate adsorption on goethite. J. Colloid
  Interface Sci. 218, 511-521.
- Rietra, R.P.J.J., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2001b. Interaction between calcium and phosphate
   adsorption on goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 35, 3369-3374.
- Robertson, A.P., Leckie, J.O., 1998. Acid/base, copper binding, and Cu2+/H+ exchange properties of
   goethite, an experimental and modeling study. Environ Sci. Technol. 32, 2519-2530.
- Rochester, C.H., Topham, S.A., 1979a. Infrared studies of the adsorption of probe molecules onto the
  surface of goethite. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Transactions 1: Phy. Chem. Condensed Phases 75, 872854
  882.
- Rochester, C.H., Topham, S.A., 1979b. Infrared study of surface hydroxyl groups on goethite. Journal of
   the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 1: Phy. Chem. in Condensed Phases 75, 591-602.
- Rodda, D.P., Wells, J.D., Johnson, B.B., 1996. Anomalous adsorption of copper(II) on goethite. J. Colloid
   Interface Sci. 184, 564-569.
- Rovira, M., Gimenez, J., Martinez, M., Martinez-Llado, X., de Pablo, J., Marti, V., Duro, L., 2008.
  Sorption of selenium(IV) and selenium(VI) onto natural iron oxides: goethite and hematite. J Hazard
  Mater 150, 279-284.
- Ruan, H.D., Frost, R.L., Kloprogge, J.T., Duong, L., 2002. Infrared spectroscopy of goethite
  dehydroxylation. II. Effect of aluminium substitution on the behaviour of hydroxyl units. Spectrochim.
  Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy 58, 479-491.

- Ruan, H.D., Gilkes, R.J., 1995. Dehydroxylation of aluminous goethite; unit cell dimensions, crystal size
   and surface area. Clays Clay Miner. 43, 196-211.
- Russell, J.D., Parfitt, R.L., Fraser, A.R., Farmer, V.C., 1974. Surface structures of gibbsite goethite and
   phosphated goethite. Nature 248, 220-221.
- Russell, J.D., Paterson, E., Fraser, A.R., Farmer, V.C., 1975. Adsorption of carbon dioxide on goethite
   ([small alpha]-FeOOH) surfaces, and its implications for anion adsorption. J. Chemical Soc., Faraday
   Transactions 1: Physical Chemistry in Condensed Phases 71, 1623-1630.
- Rustad, J.R., Boily, J.-F., 2010. Density functional calculation of the infrared spectrum of surface hydroxyl
   groups on goethite (α-FeOOH). Am. Mineral. 95, 414-417.
- Saeki, K., Matsumoto, S., 1998. Mechanisms of ligand exchange reactions involving selenite sorption on
  goethite labeled with oxygen-stable isotope. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 29, 3061-3072.
- Sahai, N., Carroll, S.A., Roberts, S., O'Day, P.A., 2000. X-Ray absorption spectroscopy of strontium(II)
   coordination. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 222, 198-212.
- Sahai, N., Lee, Y.J., Xu, H., Ciardelli, M., Gaillard, J.-F., 2007. Role of Fe(II) and phosphate in arsenic
  uptake by coprecipitation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 3193-3210.
- Saito, T., Koopal, L.K., van Riemsdijk, W.H., Nagasaki, S., Tanaka, S., 2003. Adsorption of humic acid on
   goethite: isotherms, charge adjustments, and potential profiles. Langmuir 20, 689-700.
- 882 Sampson, C.F., 1969. Lattice parameters of natural single crystal and synthetically produced goethite (α 883 FeOOH). International Union of Crystallography.
- Scheinost, A.C., Schulze, D.G., Schwertmann, U., 1999. Diffuse reflectance spectra of Al substituted
   goethite; a ligand field approach. Clays Clay Miner. 47, 156-164.
- Schulze, D., G., 1984. The influence of aluminum on iron oxides. VIII. unit-cell dimensions of Al substituted goethites and estimation of Al from them. Clays Clay Miner. 32, 36-44.
- Schulze, D.G., Schwertmann, U., 1984. The influence of aluminium on iron oxides; X, Properties of Al substituted goethites. Clay Miner. 19, 521-539.
- Schulze, D.G., Schwertmann, U., 1987. The influence of aluminium on iron oxides: XIII. properties of
   goethites synthesised in 0-3 m KOH at 25°C. Clay Miner. 22, 83-92.
- 892 Schwertmann, U., 1984. The double dehydroxylation peak of goethite. Thermochim. Acta 78, 39-46.
- Schwertmann, U., Cornell, R.M., 2000. Iron oxides in the laboratory 2th edition, WILEY-VCH GmbH, D 69469 Weinheim.
- Schwertmann, U., Murad, E., 1990. The influence of aluminum on iron oxides; XIV, Al-substituted
   magnetite synthesized at ambient temperatures. Clays Clay Miner. 38, 196-202.
- Shareef, A., Angove, M.J., Wells, J.D., Johnson, B.B., 2006. Sorption of bisphenol A, 17alphaethynylestradiol and estrone to mineral surfaces. J Colloid Interface Sci 297, 62-69.
- Sheals, J., Granström, M., Sjöberg, S., Persson, P., 2003. Coadsorption of Cu(II) and glyphosate at the
   water-goethite (α-FeOOH) interface: molecular structures from FTIR and EXAFS measurements. J.
   Colloid Interface Sci. 262, 38-47.
- 902 Sherman, D.M., Peacock, C.L., Hubbard, C.G., 2008. Surface complexation of U(VI) on goethite (α 903 FeOOH). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 298-310.
- Shindo, H., Huang, P.M., 1984. Catalytic effects of manganese (IV), iron(III), aluminum, and silicon oxides on the formation of phenolic polymers1. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 48, 927-934.
- Sigg, L., Stumm, W., 1981. The interaction of anions and weak acids with the hydrous goethite (α-FeOOH)
   surface. Colloids Surf. 2, 101-117.
- Simonetti, S., Damiani, D., Brizuela, G., Juan, A., 2006. Sulfur adsorption on the goethite (110) surface.
  Surf. Rev. Lett. 13, 387-395.
- Simonetti, S., Damiani, D., Juan, A., Brizuela, G., 2007. The adsorption and bonding of H<sub>2</sub>S on the α FeOOH(110) surface. Surf. Rev. Lett. 14, 209-217.
- Singh, A., Catalano, J.G., Ulrich, K.U., Giammar, D.E., 2012. Molecular-scale structure of uranium(VI)
   immobilized with goethite and phosphate. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 6594-6603.
- 914 Spathariotis, E., Kallianou, C., 2007. Adsorption of copper, zinc, and cadmium on goethite,
  915 Aluminum-substituted goethite, and a system of kaolinite-goethite: surface complexation modeling.
  916 Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 38, 611-635.
- Stachowicz, M., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2007. Arsenic-bicarbonate interaction on goethite
   particles. Environ Sci. Technol. 41, 5620-5625.
- 919 Stachowicz, M., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2008. Multi-competitive interaction of As(III) and 920 As(V) oxyanions with  $Ca^{(2+)}$ ,  $Mg^{(2+)}$ ,  $PO(4)^{(3-)}$ , and  $CO(3)^{(2-)}$  ions on goethite. J Colloid Interface Sci 921 320, 400-414.

- Strauss, R., BrÜMmer, G.W., Barrow, N.J., 1997. Effects of crystallinity of goethite: II. Rates of sorption
   and desorption of phosphate. European J. Soil Sci. 48, 101-114.
- Swedlund, P.J., Webster, J.G., Miskelly, G.M., 2009. Goethite adsorption of Cu(II), Pb(II), Cd(II), and
  Zn(II) in the presence of sulfate: Properties of the ternary complex. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 1548-1562.
- 727 Tejedor-Tejedor, M.I., Anderson, M.A., 1990. The protonation of phosphate on the surface of goethite as
   728 studied by CIR-FTIR and electrophoretic mobility. Langmuir 6, 602-611.
- Tejedor-Tejedor, M.I., Yost, E.C., Anderson, M.A., 1992. Characterization of benzoic and phenolic
   complexes at the goethite/aqueous solution interface using cylindrical internal reflection Fourier
   transform infrared spectroscopy. 2. Bonding structures. Langmuir 8, 525-533.
- Tinnacher, R.M., Zavarin, M., Powell, B.A., Kersting, A.B., 2011. Kinetics of neptunium(V) sorption and
  desorption on goethite: An experimental and modeling study. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 65846599.
- Torrent, J., Barron, V., Schwertmann, U., 1990. Phosphate adsorption and desorption by goethites differing
   in crystal morphology. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 54, 1007-1012.
- Torrent, J., Schwertmann, U., Barron, V., 1992. Fast and slow phosphate sorption by goethite-rich natural
   materials. Clays Clay Miner. 40, 14-21.
- 939 Trivedi, P., Vasudevan, D., 2007. Spectroscopic investigation of ciprofloxacin speciation at the
   940 goethite-water interface. Environ Sci. Technol. 41, 3153-3158.
- Tunega, D., Gerzabek, M.H., Haberhauer, G., Totsche, K.U., Lischka, H., 2009. Model study on sorption
   of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to goethite. J Colloid Interface Sci 330, 244-249.
- Venema, P., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1996. Multisite adsorption of cadmium on goethite. J.
  Colloid Interface Sci. 183, 515-527.
- 945 Venema, P., Hiemstra, T., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1997. Interaction of cadmium with phosphate on goethite.
  946 J. Colloid Interface Sci. 192, 94-103.
- 947 Villalobos, M., Trotz, M.A., Leckie, J.O., 2001. Surface complexation modeling of carbonate effects on
  948 the adsorption of Cr(VI), Pb(II), and U(VI) on goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 35, 3849-3856.
- Villalobos, M., Trotz, M.A., Leckie, J.O., 2003. Variability in goethite surface site density: evidence from
   proton and carbonate sorption. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 268, 273-287.
- Waltham, C.A., Eick, M.J., 2002. Kinetics of arsenic adsorption on goethite in the presence of sorbed
   silicic acid. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 66, 818-825.
- Wang, K., Xing, B., 2002. Adsorption and desorption of cadmium by goethite pretreated with phosphate.
   Chemosphere 48, 665-670.
- Wang, K., Xing, B., 2004. Mutual effects of cadmium and phosphate on their adsorption and desorption by
   goethite. Environ. Pollut. 127, 13-20.
- Wang, Y., Xu, J., Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Xiao, M., Wu, F., 2013. Photooxidation of arsenite by natural goethite in suspended solution. Environmental science and pollution research international 20, 31-38.
- Wang, Y.J., Zhou, D.M., Sun, R.J., Jia, D.A., Zhu, H.W., Wang, S.Q., 2008. Zinc adsorption on goethite as
   affected by glyphosate. J Hazard. Mater. 151, 179-184.
- Watkins, R., Weiss, D., Dubbin, W., Peel, K., Coles, B., Arnold, T., 2006. Investigations into the kinetics
  and thermodynamics of Sb(III) adsorption on goethite (alpha-FeOOH). J Colloid Interface Sci 303,
  639-646.
- Weng, Van Riemsdijk, W.H., Koopal, L.K., Hiemstra, T., 2006a. Adsorption of humic substances on goethite: comparison between humic acids and fulvic acids<sup>†</sup>. Environ Sci. Technol. 40, 7494-7500.
- Weng, L., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., Hiemstra, T., 2008. Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>adsorption to goethite in the presence of fulvic acids. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 5857-5870.
- Weng, L., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., Koopal, L.K., Hiemstra, T., 2006b. Ligand and charge distribution (LCD)
   model for the description of fulvic acid adsorption to goethite. J Colloid Interface Sci 302, 442-457.
- Weng, L.P., Koopal, L.K., Hiemstra, T., Meeussen, J.C.L., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2005. Interactions of
   calcium and fulvic acid at the goethite-water interface. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69, 325-339.
- Wu, H., Dou, X., Deng, D., Guan, Y., Zhang, L., He, G., 2012. Decolourization of the azo dye Orange G in aqueous solution via a heterogeneous Fenton-like reaction catalysed by goethite. Environ. Technol. 33, 1545-1552.
- Wu, Z., Gu, Z., Wang, X., Evans, L., Guo, H., 2003. Effects of organic acids on adsorption of lead onto montmorillonite, goethite and humic acid. Environ. Pollut. 121, 469-475.
- Xu, N., Christodoulatos, C., Braida, W., 2006a. Adsorption of molybdate and tetrathiomolybdate onto pyrite and goethite: effect of pH and competitive anions. Chemosphere 62, 1726-1735.

- Xu, N., Christodoulatos, C., Braida, W., 2006b. Modeling the competitive effect of phosphate, sulfate, silicate, and tungstate anions on the adsorption of molybdate onto goethite. Chemosphere 64, 1325-1333.
- Xu, Y., Axe, L., Yee, N., Dyer, J.A., 2006c. Bidentate complexation modeling of heavy metal adsorption
   and competition on goethite. Environ Sci. Technol. 40, 2213-2218.
- Yan, L., Qiaohui, F., Wangsuo, W., 2011. Sorption of Th(IV) on goethite: effects of pH, ionic strength, FA
  and phosphate. J. Radioanal Nucl. Chem. 289, 865-871.
- Yang, Y., Yan, W., Jing, C., 2012. Dynamic adsorption of catechol at the goethite/aqueous solution
   interface: a molecular-scale study. Langmuir 28, 14588-14597.
- Yao, D., Chen, T., Wang, J., Zhou, Y., Yue, Z., 2013. Effect of natural and hydrothermal synthetic goethite
  on the release of mathane in the anaerobic decomposition process of organic matter. Environ. Sci. 34, 635-641.
- Yusan, S., Erenturk, S., 2011. Sorption behaviors of uranium (VI) ions on α-FeOOH. Desalination 269, 58 66.
- Zhang, H., Fu, H., Zhang, D., 2009. Degradation of C.I. acid orange 7 by ultrasound enhanced
   heterogeneous Fenton-like process. J. Hazard. Mater. 172, 654-660.
- P95 Zhang, J.S., Stanforth, R., Pehkonen, S.O., 2007. Proton-arsenic adsorption ratios and zeta potential
  measurements: implications for protonation of hydroxyls on the goethite surface. J. Colloid Interface
  Sci. 315, 13-20.
- P98 Zhang, T., Li, C., Ma, J., Tian, H., Qiang, Z., 2008. Surface hydroxyl groups of synthetic α-FeOOH in promoting OH generation from aqueous ozone: Property and activity relationship. Appl. Cataly. B:
  Environ. 82, 131-137.
- Zhang, T., Ma, J., 2008. Catalytic ozonation of trace nitrobenzene in water with synthetic goethite. J. Mol.
   Catal. A: Chem. 279, 82-89.

1004	
1005	
1006	
1007	Table captions
1008	
1009	Table 1. List for inorganic anion adsorption on goethite
1010	
1011	Table 2. List for organic compound and organic acid adsorption on goethite
1012	
1013	Table 3. List for cation adsorption on goethite
1014	
1015	Table 4. List for the catalytic reaction
1016	
1017	
1018	Figure captions
1019	
1020	Fig. 1. (010) plane of goethite (top) polyhedral framework and (bottom) ball and stick
1021	model
1022	
1023	
1024	