

# **Newcastle University ePrints**

Mills SD, Tanner LM, Adams J. <u>Systematic literature review of the effects of</u> <u>food and drink advertising on food and drink-related behaviour, attitudes</u> <u>and beliefs in adult populations</u>. *Obesity Reviews* 2013, 14(4), 303-314.

# Copyright:

This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article:

Mills SD, Tanner LM, Adams J. <u>Systematic literature review of the effects of food and drink advertising on</u> <u>food and drink-related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs in adult populations</u>. *Obesity Reviews* 2013, **14**(4), 303-314.

which has been published in final form at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/obr.12012

Always use the definitive version when citing.

Further information on publisher website: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com</u>

**Date deposited:** 20<sup>th</sup> June 2013

Version of file: Submitted



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License

ePrints – Newcastle University ePrints http://eprint.ncl.ac.uk

1	Systematic literature review of the effects of food and drink advertising on food and
2	drink-related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs in adult populations
3	
4	Susanna DH Mills <sup>1*</sup> ; Louise M Tanner <sup>1</sup> ; Jean Adams <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Health and Society, Baddiley-Clark Building, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon
7	Tyne, NE2 4AX (where the research was also conducted)
8	
9	<b>Corresponding author:</b> Jean Adams, telephone +44 191 222 8124; fax number +44 191 222
10	6043; email address j.m.adams@ncl.ac.uk
11	Running head: Systematic review of the effects of food advertising
12	Key words/phrases: marketing; diet; mass media
13	Acknowledgements: No particular funding was received for this work. JA receives salary
14	support from Fuse – the Centre for Translational Research in Public Health which is funded
15	by the British Heart Foundation, Cancer Research UK, Economic and Social Research
16	Council, Medical Research Council, and the National Institute for Health Research, under the
17	auspices of the UK Clinical Research Collaboration ( <u>www.ukcrc.org</u> ).

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest.

## 1 Abstract

A large body of research confirms that food advertising affects the food preferences and
behaviour of children. The impact of food advertising on adults is less clear. We conducted a
systematic review exploring the effects of advertising of food and non-alcoholic drinks
(referred to as 'food' throughout) on food-related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs in adult
populations.

We searched seven electronic databases, grey literature sources, and references and citations
of included material for experimental studies written in English investigating the effects of
commercial food advertising on the food-related behaviours, attitudes and beliefs of adults
aged 16 years and over.

Nine studies, rated moderate to poor quality, were included in the review; all were from developed countries and explored the impact of televised food advertising. Overall, the results did not show conclusively whether or not food advertising affects food-related behaviour, attitudes or beliefs in adults, but suggest that the impact varies inconsistently within subgroups, including gender, weight, and existing food psychology.

16 The identification of a small number of relevant studies, none of which were high quality,

and with substantial heterogeneity, highlights the need for further research. Future studies

18 investigating longer-term outcomes, diverse advertising formats, and in countries with

19 different levels of economic development, will be of particular value.

# 1 Abbreviations

- 2 UK: United Kingdom
- 3 PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
- 4 ASSIA: Applied Social Science Index and Abstracts
- 5 IBSS: International Bibliography for the Social Sciences
- 6 RCT: randomised controlled trial
- 7 WTP: willingness to pay
- 8 BMI: body mass index

#### 1 Introduction

2 Countries worldwide are facing an obesity epidemic(1), and in the United Kingdom (UK),

3 research has highlighted significant, steady increases in population levels of overweight and

4 obesity, creating an environment in which adult overweight is no longer an exception, but has

5 become the norm(2). The substantial health and economic impacts of obesity have generated

6 widespread commitment to tackling this epidemic(3, 4).

7 Food and non-alcoholic drink (collectively referred to as 'food' throughout) advertising is 8 hypothesised to play an important role in the growing levels of obesity(4), and provides a significant contribution to UK media, with an estimated 10% of all advertising spend directed 9 towards the food market(5), and approximately 15% of total television advertising time 10 devoted to food(6). With the mean time spent viewing television of four hours per day in the 11 12 UK in 2011(7), this represents substantial overall exposure to these television food advertisements. UK advertising expenditure in the food and confectionery sector was 13 14 calculated at £821.9 million in 2010(8), and recent evidence supports a disproportionate overrepresentation of 'less healthy' foods high in fat and/or sugar e.g.(9, 10). The potential for 15 food advertising to drive an increase in total overall energy consumption, especially of 'less 16

17 healthy' foods, and its role in establishing new cultural values and norms, is of particular

18 controversy and concern(11).

A large body of evidence regarding the extent, nature and effects of food promotion to 19 20 children has been reviewed, with regular updates(10, 12), and associated international recommendations for practice have been developed(13). However, we are not aware of any 21 analogous reviews of the effects of food promotion, including food advertising, specifically 22 on adults. Food promotion involves a range of activities beyond traditional advertising, 23 including event sponsorship, product placement, viral marketing and use of social media. 24 Evidence is growing in support of the relationship between food advertising and increased 25 26 intake of calorie-dense products in adult populations e.g.(14), and policy makers are becoming generally more accepting of an association linking advertising and dietary 27 choices(15). This study therefore aims to systematically review current experimental 28 evidence regarding the effects of food advertising on food-related behaviour, attitudes and 29 beliefs in adult populations. 30

# 1 Methods

# 2 Inclusion criteria

3 We searched for published and unpublished experimental studies of the effects of food

4 advertising on adult food-related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs. Inclusion and exclusion

5 criteria are detailed in full in Table 1.

# 6 Search strategy

- 7 Our methods were developed using existing guidance on systematic reviewing
- 8 methodology(16-18) and reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
- 9 Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines(19). The protocol was registered with the

10 PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews(20) in advance of

11 commencing searches (see <u>http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/</u> protocol registration number:

12 CRD42012002264).

13 Electronic databases of peer-reviewed literature were chosen according to the extent of their

14 coverage of relevant studies. The databases searched were: Applied Social Science Index and

15 Abstracts (ASSIA), the Cochrane Library, International Bibliography for the Social Sciences

16 (IBSS), Medline, Psychinfo, Scopus and Web of Science.

17 The search terms used were 'food', 'beverage' and 'advertising', with synonyms chosen

18 according to each database thesaurus and medical subject heading term availability. A large,

19 previous review of food promotion to children was used to guide this approach(10). The

20 search strings created were iteratively expanded according to the results generated from

21 initial pilot searches. A full record of the search string utilised for the Medline database is

shown in Table 2.

23 Additional resources searched for suitable articles are shown in Box 1. Relevant publications,

24 websites, grey literature sources and experts in the research field were identified, and

25 contacted or searched directly. All the references cited in studies meeting the inclusion

criteria were reviewed, and full citation searches of these studies were also undertaken using

27 the Science and Social Sciences Citation Indices.

#### **1** Screening and data extraction

2 Titles and abstracts of studies located through literature searches were initially screened by

- 3 the lead reviewer (SM), to exclude those definitely not relevant to the review. Search results
- 4 from the ASSIA, Medline and Psychinfo databases and grey literature (27% of total
- 5 references found) were also independently screened by a second researcher (LT). Full text
- 6 versions of all references deemed potentially suitable for inclusion, or where an abstract was
- 7 unavailable but further information was required to guide decision-making, were obtained.
- 8 Study authors were contacted for additional details where necessary. A standardised checklist
- 9 of inclusion criteria was used independently by the two reviewers (SM and LT) to guide
- 10 decision-making, and reasons for the rejection of studies were recorded.
- 11 A bespoke data extraction tool, piloted on a small sample of literature included in the review
- 12 and modified as necessary, was used as a template for recording study characteristics and
- 13 results. These included details on study design, number of participants, participant
- 14 demographics, intervention and comparator, setting, time period, outcomes and outcome
- 15 measures, results, analysis, and conclusions. Data were extracted by the lead (SM) and
- 16 second reviewer (LT), and a tabulated summary produced.
- Throughout the research, whenever the two reviewers initially disagreed, a discussion was
  held to explore their rationale and reach an acceptable consensus, and a third researcher (JA)
  was consulted for advice as required.

#### 20 Data synthesis and quality appraisal

As previously(21), studies similar in terms of overall results and conclusions were grouped together in a tabulated summary for narrative synthesis. It was anticipated that heterogeneity in the design and outcomes of the studies would be too great to permit meta-analysis.

- 24 The Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies(22), which has previously
- 25 demonstrated inter-rater and test-retest reliability, and acceptable content validity(23), was
- used to undertake quality appraisal at both the study and outcome level (see Table 3). Studies
- included in the review were appraised independently by the two researchers (SM and LT),
- and a consensus then reached through pooling and discussion of evaluations. The tool
- 29 dictionary was used to improve familiarity with the task, and enhance the robustness of this
- 30 process(24), and the quality of studies was recorded in a tabulated summary.

#### 1 **Results**

#### 2 Studies identified

Database searches initially retrieved 7,869 studies; after automated removal of duplicates,
6,830 studies remained, with five additional records identified through searching other
sources. Preliminary screening led to the exclusion of 6,730 studies. Of the remaining 105
studies, for which the full text was obtained, nine studies met the inclusion criteria and were

7 included in the narrative synthesis (see Figure 1).

8 Inter-rater percentage agreement between the reviewers, and Cohen's kappa coefficients,

9 were calculated: ASSIA 94% and 0.313, Medline 97% 0.492, Psychinfo 95% and 0.560,

10 other sources at initial screening 100% and 1.000, and all studies at full text stage 80% and

11 0.379. Due to heterogeneity between studies in terms of design, outcome measurement and

12 reporting, meta-analysis was deemed inappropriate.

## 13 Characteristics of included studies

14 The nine studies included in the review (summarised in Table 4) were published between

15 1980 and 2012, and all badged as randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Five studies (56%)

used university students as participants(25-29), one study university staff members(30), and

another American households(31). Two studies (22%) did not describe the participants(32,

18 33). Five studies (56%) sub-classified participants according to specific descriptive criteria.

19 In three studies (33%) this was eating restraint(26, 27, 32): "a tendency to constantly and

20 consciously restrict one's food intake instead of using physiological cues, hunger and satiety,

as regulators of food intake"(34, p71). In another study, participants were sub-classified

according to external eating status(28): an individual's responsiveness to food-related sensory

cues in the immediate environment(35). In a further study, participants were sub-classified by

obesity(33). With the exception of the experiment conducted in American households(31),

the studies were carrgeed out on a small scale, with between 40 and 227 participants, and

only two studies provided a power calculation as rationale for the study size(29, 32). The

27 mean age of subjects was stated in six studies and was young, ranging from 19.6 to 27.0

28 years.

29 All the studies included in this review were conducted in economically developed countries

30 (France, the Netherlands and the United States of America). The majority of studies (seven,

31 78%) investigated the effects of food advertising by showing television programmes or films,

interspersed with food or beverage advertisements. Other parallel intervention groups in these 1 2 studies varied according to the project aims. Amongst these seven studies, the control conditions described were non-food television advertisements (25, 26, 28, 33), being alone in 3 a quiet room(32), water advertisements(27), or no advertisements (29). A differing study 4 5 design investigated the effects of exposure to negative media information regarding beefrelated diseases, positive food advertising for beef, both media exposures, or a control of no 6 7 information(30). A further study used split-panel experiments in American households, with participants receiving either television advertisements for Frito-Lay brands, or public service 8 9 announcements(31).

10 Six studies addressed individual food consumption during exposure to television including

11 food advertising(25, 27-29, 32, 33), whilst another measured food intake shortly after

12 exposure to advertising, in the form of a 'taste-test' (26). In all of these seven studies, the

13 foods offered for consumption differed from those featured in the advertisements shown.

14 Participants' ratings of taste or palatability of the foods offered for consumption were only

15 measured in two studies(26, 32), and in only one case was this associated with actual

16 consumption(26). One study investigated participants' willingness to pay(30) (WTP: the

amount of money someone is prepared to exchange in return for goods or services). A further

18 study measured sales volume changes for specific food brands(31).

19 Quality appraisal of included studies resulted in none receiving a strong quality rating; six

studies (67%) designated as moderate(25, 27-29, 31, 32); and three studies (33%) classed as

21 weak(26, 30, 33) quality (see Table 3). The most common weakness was selection bias,

22 indicating that conclusions drawn from the review may not necessarily be generalizable to the

23 wider population. Furthermore, performance in terms of blinding of the participants and

24 experimental assessors was not classified as strong in any of the included studies.

#### 25 Study results

The main experimental characteristics, and results from included studies, are shown in Table
4 and described here, classified into three groups on the basis of results.

28 Group 1: Studies demonstrating a significant positive effect of food advertising on food-

29 *related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs.* 

30 Falciglia & Gussow (1980) found that food advertising increased the consumption of cookies

amongst females whilst watching television adverts, and the effect was greatest for obese

1 subjects(33). Koordeman et al. (2010) found that television advertisements for sugar-

- 2 sweetened beverages positively affected concomitant soda consumption amongst women,
- 3 whereas commercials for water did not increase water intake(27). Riskey's (1997) study of
- 4 television advertising for Frito-Lay brands indicated that sizable sales volume increases
- 5 occurred for slightly over half of brands advertised (57%). They also reported that
- 6 advertisements for smaller brands were more likely than larger brands to result in significant
- 7 volume increases, and adverts for new innovations were more successful in comparison with
- 8 existing product lines or attributes(31). Information on other potentially important factors,
- 9 such as price, were not reported in this study.
- Group 2: Studies showing food advertising is not effective in influencing food-related
  behaviour, attitudes and beliefs.
- Bellisle *et al.* (2009) measured food consumption in grams and kilojoules during meals in a
  number of varied environments. Food intake during exposure to television with food
  advertising did not significantly differ from consumption whilst viewing in the absence of
  food-related cues(32). Wonderlich-Tierney (2010) investigated the number of cookies eaten
  during food adverts, in comparison with non-food, and no advertising, and found no
  significant impact of commercial condition on food intake(29).
- 18 Group 3: Studies yielding inconclusive findings on the effects of food advertising on food19 related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs.
- Harris et al. (2009) measured food consumption using a standardised score computed for 20 21 each participant by calculating z-scores for the quantity of each of a number of foods presented in grams, and averaging the standardised scores across foods. They found that food 22 consumption immediately subsequent to television advertisements for snack foods was 23 24 significantly greater than that immediately subsequent to television advertising for nutritious 'healthy' foods. However, the food score for those exposed to non-food adverts only was not 25 significantly different from that in those who viewed snack food advertisements, or those 26 who saw 'healthy' foods advertisements. Snack food advertising had the greatest influence on 27 food consumption in males, and groups of restrained eaters(26). 28
- 29 Messer *et al.* (2011) investigated WTP for hamburgers and found that positive generic beef
- 30 advertising did not significantly increase the sum offered compared to no media exposure.
- 31 However, the effects of advertising were shown to counteract negative media information

1 regarding beef-related diseases, such that combined conditions reported WTP significantly

2 higher than the negative media information condition alone, and similar to WTP amongst

3 those who had no media exposure of either kind(30).

4 Van Strien et al. (2012) noted that food advertising influenced subjects' intake in grams of crisps, but not chocolate. Furthermore, results were significant only for the cohort with a full 5 range of external eating scores, not for the extreme-scores group(28). Anschutz et al. (2011) 6 7 found that food consumption measured in kilocalories increased amongst females whilst viewing televised food advertisements in comparison with non-food adverts, whereas food 8 9 intake was lower amongst males when viewing the food advertisements, compared to non-10 food adverts. Overall, advertising condition did not influence food consumption, after 11 controlling for gender(25).

#### 12 Discussion

This is the first study to systematically review experimental evidence regarding the effects of 13 food advertising on food-related behaviour, attitudes and beliefs specifically in adult 14 15 populations. Overall, the results did not show conclusively whether or not food advertising affects food-related behaviour, attitudes or beliefs in adults, but suggest that the impact varies 16 inconsistently within subgroups, including gender, weight, and existing food psychology. A 17 18 remarkable lack of high quality experimental evidence regarding food advertising in adults was also highlighted. The review identified several factors that may moderate the effects of 19 20 food advertising, which are discussed here.

### 21 Gender

Four studies included in this review addressed the role of gender in modifying the effects of 22 23 food advertising(25, 26, 29, 30). Wonderlich-Tierney (2010) did not find a statistically significant interaction between gender and advertising condition on food intake(29). 24 25 Similarly, Messer et al. (2011) noted that gender did not interact significantly with media exposure condition on WTP for a hamburger (30). Harris et al. (2009) found that snack food 26 27 adverts were most effective in increasing the amount of food eaten amongst males(26). In 28 contrast, Anschutz et al. (2011) observed that amongst females, food advertisements resulted 29 in greater food consumption than non-food adverts, whereas for males, food consumption during programming with non-food advertisements was higher than during programming 30 31 with food adverts(25).

1 Overall, the influence of gender on the impact of food advertising appears inconsistent.

- 2 Research in children has shown that boys respond to televised food advertisements by
- 3 increasing their consumption of snack foods more than girls(36). This may be because, even
- 4 amongst children, social drive to thinness is perceived more strongly by girls than boys(37),
- 5 and evidence suggests that self-control mechanisms are lower in boys than girls(38).
- 6 Furthermore, boys generally demonstrate greater responses than girls to external cues(39, 40).
- 7 However, in adulthood, women may be more strongly influenced by food advertising than
- 8 men due to a greater likelihood of influence from normative cues(41) and demonstration of
- 9 restrictive eating patterns(42) with associated increased attentional focus on food cues(43).

#### 10 *Obesity*

Falciglia & Gussow (1980) investigated a moderating role for obesity in the relationship 11 between food advertising and food intake. They found that food adverts increased cookie 12 consumption more amongst obese participants than those of normal weight(33). This is 13 14 consistent with previous research demonstrating an association between raised body mass index (BMI) and greater attention towards food cues(44). Halford et al. (2004) also identified 15 a significant effect of body weight on response to food advertising amongst children. They 16 reported that selective recognition of food advertisements, as assessed through the 17 identification of an item from a list of products, which may or may not have been shown in 18 the advertisements, was greater amongst overweight and obese participants than those of 19 20 normal weight(45).

#### 21 Food psychology

Three studies included in this review addressed the impact of eating restraint on the relationship between exposure to food advertising and food intake(26, 27, 32). Two found no evidence of a significant influence of eating restraint on the effects of advertising condition on food-related behaviour, attitudes or beliefs(27, 32). The third identified a borderline statistically significant interaction between advertising condition and eating restraint, such that the effect on food consumption of viewing snack food advertisements, versus nutritious or non-food advertisements, was greater in those high in eating restraint(26).

Van Strien *et al.* (2012) focussed on external eating, and found a moderating effect on the
relationship between advertising exposure and food consumption. High external eaters
exposed to food advertising ate more crisps, but not chocolate, than high external eaters

1 viewing non-food advertising(28). Dietary restraint(46) and external eating(47) have 2 previously been associated with enhanced responsiveness to food cues; however the validity of tools used to measure these factors has been controversial (32, 48). The inconclusive results 3 in this review may therefore be attributable to variations in data collection and analysis 4 5 methods. Wonderlich-Tierney (2010) investigated the impact of transportation: "a distinct mental process, an integrative melding of attention, imagery and feelings" (49, p 701), on the 6 7 relationship between food advertising and food intake. The results indicated no significant 8 interaction between transportability and the association between advertising condition and 9 food consumption(29).

#### 10 Adults versus children

A systematic review conducted in 2011 addressed the effects of food advertising delivered by television only, on children and adults(50). The authors concluded that, amongst adults, a trend exists for a strong association between advertising exposure and effects on food-related behaviour, but the evidence is less consistent than that for children(50). Indeed studies investigating the impact of food advertising on children have noted significant effects across several age groups e.g.(26, 51).

The discrepancy between the inconclusive picture of results presented by studies included in 17 this review of adult food advertising, and the more consistent findings noted in previous 18 reviews concerning children(10, 12), could be attributable to several factors. The surprisingly 19 20 small number of studies conducted amongst adults creates difficulties in drawing clear inferences from the sparse results, and it is important not to confuse no evidence of an effect 21 22 with evidence of no effect(16). The network of multiple interacting factors influencing food 23 intake is notoriously complex(4), and as external societal influences gain importance with 24 age, these routes may become increasingly complicated. Disparities between adults and youth 25 in the mechanisms through which advertising exerts any effects, for example intellectual engagement compared with appealing imagery(21), may also account for diversity in 26 conclusions. Furthermore, adults may have greater understanding of the persuasive intent of 27 advertising, and therefore be less vulnerable to its impacts. 28

#### 29 Strengths and weaknesses of studies in this review

All the studies included in this review were reported as RCTs, considered the gold standard in study design(52). However, the process of randomisation was not described in any study, and quality appraisal revealed mixed results, with none allocated a strong rating (see Table 3).
 The stringent assessment criteria used in the quality appraisal tool could partially account for
 this outcome. Some studies did not report a complete data set e.g.(33), and others provided
 few details on their statistical methods.

5 With the exception of one study(31), all were conducted on a small scale, and only two provided a power calculation to justify the study size(29, 32). Unfortunately, due to the 6 7 limited information provided in most papers, we were unable to conduct retrospective power calculations to determine the detectable effect size. Research was generally carried out in 8 9 populations of young adults, and important details such as socioeconomic position and ethnicity were rarely provided. A large proportion of studies apparently relied on self-referral 10 11 of participants, resulting in biased recruitment, and the majority explored the effects of food advertising on consumption of a very small range of food and beverages. It is arguable this 12 13 provides poor prediction of behaviour in the wider environment with extensive choice.

All the studies included in this review were conducted in economically developed countries, 14 and investigated the impact of televised food advertising only, rather than advertising 15 delivered through other media. With the exception of one(31), every study was conducted in 16 an experimental environment. Although several cited strategies used to create a naturalistic 17 setting, participants would nonetheless be aware of involvement in a research project. This 18 may limit generalizability to snack food consumption in the home environment, which may 19 20 be maximised when people feel relaxed and uninhibited in a familiar location. The main experimental outcomes were inconsistent between studies, thereby introducing complexity in 21 drawing comparisons and conclusions, particularly for WTP(30) and sales volume 22 effects(31). Six studies measured individual food consumption during advertising 23 exposure(25, 27-29, 32, 33). This may be appropriate for short-term, impulsive eating 24 behaviour; however it is likely that such patterns are influenced in an experimental setting, 25 26 and do not necessarily reflect longer-term effects.

27 Considering the overall weighting apportioned to each of the nine studies included in this

review, both study size and risk of bias are crucial. Anschutz et al. (2011)(25), Riskey

29 (1997)(31), van Strien *et al.* (2012)(28) and Wonderlich-Tierney (2010)(29) were ranked

30 highest in these respects, each with more than 80 participants and a moderate quality rating.

31 However, these studies encompass the full range of overall conclusions drawn by the lead

32 reviewer (SM) (see Table 4), thereby illustrating the disparity between results.

#### 1 Strengths and weaknesses in relation to other reviews

It is possible that studies meeting the inclusion criteria were not retrieved through the search 2 strategy, or were excluded during screening of results and that the review does not represent 3 all relevant literature. However, comparison with other literature in the field, such as a 4 systematic review of televised food advertising only, concerning both children and 5 adults(50), indicates that this review has not failed to retrieve relevant studies. Furthermore, 6 7 analysis of the references and citations from studies initially included in this review identified just one additional study suitable for inclusion(33). Previous research has shown that the vast 8 9 majority of food advertising studies are reported in English(10). Therefore, the impact of including English language studies only is likely to be minor. This review addressed the 10 11 effects of food advertising, but not the impact of wider food promotion activities. Given that large-scale research projects have identified important findings regarding the impact of such 12 13 marketing on children(10, 12), it will be critical to similarly extend future work in adults. This review was also limited to studies of experimental design. Assessment of observational 14 15 studies is likely to provide additional valuable insights.

#### 16 Unanswered questions and future research

All the studies included in this review were conducted in developed countries. Given the 17 18 rapid growth in consumption within the food and beverage industry in developing nations(53), it will be crucial to undertake research in less economically developed areas. 19 20 Studies generally focussed on young adults. However, in view of the ageing population demographic(54), it will be important to conduct studies involving older people. A review of 21 22 observational studies, with longer-term follow up addressing weight change over time, may 23 be required to address the practical significance of the size of any impact of food advertising. All of the studies included in this review focused on television food advertising. In future it 24 25 will also be important to investigate the potential effects of food advertising delivered through other means, such as the rapidly developing new media sources. For example, 76% 26 of UK households had access to broadband internet in 2011 and 39% of UK adults owned 27 smartphones(7). Similarly, further research is also required to explore the impacts of broader 28 food promotion activities beyond just traditional food advertising. Both of these issues pose 29 methodological challenges. Consistent outcome reporting between studies, and the use of 30 31 standardised measurement tools should be encouraged to facilitate accurate comparisons and 32 reliable conclusions.

# 1 Conclusions

- 2 Concern regarding the effects of televised 'unhealthy' food advertising on children's food
- 3 preferences and behaviour has resulted in recent advertising restrictions(55), but no
- 4 comparable regulations currently exist for adults. The results of this review suggest that the
- 5 potential effects of food advertising on adults cannot be ignored and merit further research.

# 1 **References**

- Popkin BM, Gordon-Larsen P. The nutrition transition: worldwide obesity dynamics
   and their determinants. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004;28(Supplement 3):S2-S9.
- 4 2. NHS The Information Centre. Health Survey for England 2010: Trend Tables 2010 [7
- 5 August 2012]. Available from: <u>http://www.ic.nhs.uk/statistics-and-data-collections/health-</u>

6 and-lifestyles-related-surveys/health-survey-for-england/health-survey-for-england--2010-

7 <u>trend-tables</u>.

8 3. NHS The Information Centre. Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet:

9 England 2010 2010 [6 August 2012]. Available from: <u>http://www.ic.nhs.uk/statistics-and-</u>

10 <u>data-collections/health-and-lifestyles/obesity/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-</u>

11 <u>england-2010</u>.

Butland B, Jebb S, McPherson K, Thomas S, Mardell J. Tackling Obesities: Future
 Choices - Project Report. Foresight: Government Office for Science, 2007.

Advertising Association. Food advertising 2011 [9 October 2012]. Available from:
 <a href="http://www.adassoc.org.uk/Food">http://www.adassoc.org.uk/Food</a>.

6. Adams J, Tyrrell R, Adamson AJ, White M. Effect of restrictions on television food
advertising to children on exposure to advertisements for 'less healthy' foods: repeat crosssectional study. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(2):e31578.

Ofcom: Independent regulator and competition authority for the UK communications
 industries. Communications Market Report. London: Ofcom, 2012.

8. Brad Insight Marketing and Media Intelligence. The UK top 100 advertisers 2011:

22 Food and confectionary sector overview 2011 [07/08/12]. Available from:

23 <u>http://www.bradtop100.co.uk/03-Food-Confectionery/</u>.

Adams J, White M. Socio-economic and gender differences in nutritional content of
foods advertised in popular UK weekly magazines. *Eur J Public Health*. 2009;**19**(2):144-9.

26 10. Cairns G, Angus K, Hastings G. The extent, nature and effects of food promotion to

children: A review of the evidence to December 2008. Institute for Social Marketing,

28 University of Stirling and The Open University, 2009.

Alexander J, Crompton T, Shrubsole G. Think of me as evil? Opening the ethical
 debates in advertising. UK: WWF-UK and the Public Interest Research Centre; 2011.

3 12. Institute of Medicine. Food marketing to children and youth: Threat or opportunity?

4 2005 [07/09/12]. Available from: <u>http://www.iom.edu/Reports/2005/Food-Marketing-to-</u>

5 <u>Children-and-Youth-Threat-or-Opportunity.aspx</u>.

World Health Organisation. Set of recommendations on the marketing of foods and
non-alcoholic beverages to children. Switzerland: World Health Organisation, 2010.

8 14. Scully M, Dixon H, Wakefield M. Association between commercial television
9 exposure and fast-food consumption among adults. *Public Health Nutr.* 2008;12(1):105-10.

10 15. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Geneva: World Health
11 Organisation, 2002.

12 16. The Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of

13 interventions, version 5.1.0 2011 [updated 6 August 2012]. Available from:

14 <u>http://www.cochrane-handbook.org/</u>.

15 17. York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic reviews. CRD's guidance

16 for undertaking reviews in health care 2009 [updated 6 August 2012]. Available from:

17 <u>http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/index\_guidance.htm</u>.

18 18. University of London. Evidence for Policy and Practice Information and Co-

19 ordinating Centre 2009 [6 August 2012]. Available from: <u>http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/</u>.

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred
 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *BMJ*.
 2009;**339**:b2535.

23 20. National Institute for Health Research. PROSPERO: International prospective register
24 of systematic reviews 2012 [6 August 2012]. Available from:

25 <u>http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/</u>.

26 21. Livingstone S, Helsper EJ. Does advertising literacy mediate the effects of advertising
27 to children? *J Commun.* 2006;**56**:560-84.

1 22. Effective Public Health Practice Project. Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative

2 Studies: McMaster University; 1998 [6 August 2012]. Available from:

3 <u>http://www.nccmt.ca/registry/view/eng/14.html</u>.

Thomas BH, Ciliska D, Dobbins M, Micucci S. A process for systematically
reviewing the literature: Providing the research evidence for public health nursing

6 interventions. *Worldviews Evid Based Nurs*. 2004;**1**(3):176-84.

7 24. Effective Public Health Practice Project. Dictionary for the Effective Public Health

8 Practice Project Quality Assessment Tool For Quantitative Studies 1998 [6 August 2012].

9 Available from: <u>http://www.nccmt.ca/registry/view/eng/14.html</u>.

Anschutz DJ, Engels RC, van der Zwaluw CS, Van Strien T. Sex differences in young
adults' snack food intake after food commercial exposure. *Appetite*. 2011 Apr;**56**(2):255-60.

12 PubMed PMID: 21172394.

Harris JL, Bargh JA, Brownell KD. Priming effects of television food advertising on
eating behavior. *Health Psychol.* 2009 Jul;28(4):404-13. PubMed PMID: 19594263.

15 27. Koordeman R, Anschutz DJ, van Baaren RB, Engels RC. Exposure to soda
16 commercials affects sugar-sweetened soda consumption in young women. An observational
17 experimental study. *Appetite*. 2010 Jun;54(3):619-22. PubMed PMID: 20236611.

18 28. van Strien T, Herman CP, Anschutz DJ. The Predictive Validity of the DEBQ-

19 External Eating Scale For Eating in Response to Food Commercials While Watching

20 Television. Int J Eat Disord. 2012 Mar;45(2):257-62. PubMed PMID:

21 WOS:000301228500013.

22 29. Wonderlich-Tierney A. The influence of food related advertisements on food intake
23 among adult men and women. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences*24 *and Engineering*. 2010;**72**(2):55. PubMed PMID: Dissertation Abstract: 2011-99160-363.

30. Messer KD, Kaiser HM, Payne C, Wansink B. Can generic advertising alleviate
consumer concerns over food scares? *Applied Economics*. 2011;43(12):1535-49. PubMed
PMID: WOS:000290447900009.

Riskey DR. How TV advertising works: An industry response. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 1997;**34**(2):292-3.

3 32. Bellisle F, Dalix AM, Airinei G, Hercberg S, Peneau S. Influence of dietary restraint
and environmental factors on meal size in normal-weight women. A laboratory study. *Appetite*. 2009 Dec;**53**(3):309-13. PubMed PMID: 19619596.

Falciglia GA, Gussow JD. Television commercials and eating behavior of obese and
normal-weight women. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 1980;12(4):196-9.

8 34. Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary
9 restraint, disinhibition, and hunger. *J Psychosom Res.* 1985;29:71-83.

10 35. Herman CP, Polivy J. External cues in the control of food intake in humans: the
sensory-normative distinction. *Physiol Behav.* 2008;**94**:722-8.

12 36. Anschutz DJ, Engels RCME, van Strien T. Side effects of television food

commercials on concurrent nonadvertised sweet snack food intakes in young children. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009;**89**(1328-33).

15 37. Murnen SK, Smolak L, Mills JA. Thin, sexy women and strong, muscular men. *Sex*16 *Roles*. 2003;49:427-37.

17 38. Turner MG, Piquero AR. The stability of self-control. *Journal of Criminal Justice*.
18 2002;**30**:457-71.

Snoek HM, van Strien T, Janssens JM, Engels RC. Emotional, external, restrained
eating and overweight in Dutch adolescents. *Scand J Psychol*. 2007;48:23-32.

40. van Strien T, Bazelier FG. Perceived parental control of food intake is related to
external, restrained and emotional eating in 7-12-year-old boys and girls. *Appetite*.
2007;49:618-25.

41. Herman CP, Polivy J. Normative influences on food intake. *Physiol Behav*.
2005;86:762-72.

42. Barnes RD, Tantleff-Dunn S. Food for thought. Examining the relationship between
food thought suppression and weight-related outcomes. *Eat Behav.* 2010;11:175-9.

Hollit S, Kemps E, Tiggermann M, Smeets E, Mills JS. Components of attentional
 bias for food cues among restrained eaters. *Appetite*. 2010;54:309-13.

44. Nijs IMT, Muris P, Euser AS, Franken IHA. Differences in attention to food and food
intake between overweight/obese and normal-weight females under conditions of hunger and
satiety. *Appetite*. 2010;**54**:243-54.

45. Halford CG, Gillespie J, Brown V, Pontin EE, Dovey TM. Effect of television
advertisements for food on food consumption in children. *Appetite*. 2004;24:221-5.

8 46. Bellisle F, Dalix AM. Cognitive restraint can be offset by distraction, leading to
9 increased meal intake in women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2001;**74**:197-200.

47. Nijs IM, Franken IH, Muris P. Enhanced processing of food-related pictures in female
external eaters. *Appetite*. 2009;**53**:376-81.

48. Jansen A, Nederkoorn C, Roefs A, Bongers P, Teugels T, Havermans R. The proof of
the pudding is in the eating: Is the DEBQ-external eating scale a valid measure for external
eating? *Int J Eat Disord*. 2011;44:164-8.

49. Green MC, Brock TC. The role of transportation in the pervasiveness of public
narratives. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 2000;**79**:701-21.

17 50. Díaz Ramírez G, Souto-Gallardo MC, Bacardí Gascón M, Jiménez-Cruz A. Effect of
18 food television advertising on the preference and food consumption: Systematic review. *Nutr*19 *Hosp.* 2011;26:1250-5.

51. Halford JC, Boyland EJ, Hughes G, Oliveira LP, Dovey TM. Beyond-brand effect of
television (TV) food advertisements/commercials on caloric intake and food choice of 5-7
year old children. *Appetite*. 2007;49:263-7.

52. Guyatt GH, Sackett DL, Sinclair JC, Hayward R, Cook DJ, Cook RJ. Users' guide to
the medical literature. IX A method for grading health care recommendations. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1995;274:1800-4.

<sup>26 53.</sup> IMAP Consumer Staples. Food and Beverage Industry Global Report 2010 2010 [6
27 August 2012]. Available from: <u>http://www.imap.com/industries/consumer\_staples.cfm</u>.

- 1 54. UK Office for National Statistics. Ageing in the UK 2012 [7 August 2012]. Available
- 2 from: <u>http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/mortality-ageing/ageing-in-the-uk-datasets/-ageing-in-</u>
- 3 <u>the-uk--datasets--january-2012/index.html</u>.
- 4 55. Of com: Independent regulator and competition authority for the UK communications
- 5 industries. New restrictions on the television advertising of food and drink products to
- 6 children 2006 [6 August 2012]. Available from: <u>http://media.ofcom.org.uk/2006/11/17/new-</u>
- 7 restrictions-on-the-television-advertising-of-food-and-drink-products-to-children/.

8

9

10

- **Table 1:** Inclusion criteria for studies included in a systematic review of the effects of food
- 2 advertising in adults

Study component	Inclusion criteria
Study design	Interventional experimental studies presenting data (RCTs and non-randomised studies of acceptable quality)
Study characteristics	Written in English
Population (at least 50%)	Adults aged 16 years and older; capacity for decision-making; no recorded eating disorder
Intervention	Commercial food (excluding non-food supplements) advertising delivered by television, print media, radio, outdoor billboards, internet, or other new media techniques
Control	Condition permitting isolation of effects of food adverting eg non-food adverts; measured absence of food advertising
Outcome	Food-related behaviour eg food consumption; food purchasing; food preferences; food-related psychology eg beliefs and attitudes towards food

- **Table 2:** Example search strategy (Ovid Medline) used in a systematic review of the effects
- 2 of food advertising in adults

Search term	Hits
food*.ti, ab.	224259
beverage*.ti, ab.	11243
soda*.ti, ab.	2287
cola*.ti, ab.	2196
(fizzy adj drink*).ti, ab.	38
(carbonated adj beverage*).ti, ab.	254
(carbonated adj drink*).ti, ab.	124
(soft adj beverage*).ti, ab.	12
(soft adj drink*).ti, ab.	1738
diet fads/ or energy intake/	28311
1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10	254053
marketing.ti, ab.	14251
commercial.ti, ab.	76707
exp Mass Media/	36868
Periodicals as Topic/	32452
marketing/ or advertising as topic/	14774
(adverti?ement or ads or advert or adverts or adverti?ed or adverti?ing).ti, ab.	9832
12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17	173466
11 and 18	8164
limit 19 to (English language and humans)	4294
limit 18 to "all adult (19 plus years)"	1137

1 **Table 3:** Quality appraisal of studies (risk of bias) included in a systematic review of the effects of food advertising in adults

Author (date)	Selection bias	Study design	Confounders	Blinding	Data collection	Withdrawals	Global rating
Anschutz et al. (2011)(25)							
Bellisle et al. (2009)(32)							
Falciglia & Gussow (1980)(33)							
Harris et al. (2009)(26)							
Koordeman et al. (2010)(27)							
Messer et al. (2011)(30)							
Riskey (1997)(31)							
van Strien et al. (2012)(28)							
Wonderlich-Tierney (2010)(29)							
2 Кеу							
Strong quality Moderate quality Weak quality	_	four strong rating less than four stro two or more wea	gs with no weak rations and one of the second se	tings e weak rating			

- 3
- 4 All ratings reflect the lead reviewer's appraisal (SM), excepting the global rating which was deduced by mutual consensus using the ratings
- 5 derived from both reviewers (SM and LT).

Author (date)	Sample size and gender	Procedure	Intervention and control	Primary outcome	Results	Reviewer's interpretation
Falciglia & Gussow (1980)(33)	102, all female	Showing of soap opera with 2 commercial breaks. Cookies available beforehand, and during TV viewing.	1) adverts for jelly (English jam) and breakfast cereal. 2) control: non-food adverts.	Concomitant snack food consumption (number of cookies).	Both condition (food advertising) and weight (obesity) significantly associated with increased food consumption (p<0.001). Significant interaction: food adverts increased consumption more amongst obese subjects	Food advertising increased concomitant food intake amongst ♀, with greatest effect amongst obese subjects. Conclusion: Effective
Koordeman <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2010)(27)	51, all female	Showing of film with 2 commercial breaks. 3 types of soda or water available during TV viewing.	1) 4 soda adverts + 10 non-food commercials. 2) control: 4 water adverts + 10 non-food adverts.	Concomitant soda consumption (ounces).	Soda consumption for soda adverts>water adverts (p=0.027). Effect of advertising condition on water intake NS (p=0.187).	Advertising for sugar- sweetened beverages increased concomitant soda consumption amongst ♀. Conclusion: Effective

**Table 4:** Characteristics and results of studies included in a systematic review of the effects of food advertising in adults

Riskey (1997)(31)	Not stated (American households)	Split-panel experiments in BehaviourScan markets, delivering advertising or no- advertising conditions to households.	1) TV advertising for Frito-Lay brands. 2) control: brand's adverts replaced with public service announcements.	Sales volume (percentage change).	57% adverts showed sizable sales volume increases in advertised households compared to controls. Average gain in sales volume between conditions = 15%. Smaller brands more successful than larger (83% vs. 27%) and innovations more successful than existing attributes (88% vs. 40%) in generating significant volume increases.	Food advertising increased purchases for slightly over half of advertisements. Conclusion: Effective
Bellisle <i>et al.</i> (2009)(32)	40, all female	5 days of experiments, each 7 days apart. Self- service meals of main dish and dessert provided at lunchtime.	1) participants ate in groups of 3. 2) participants ate alone with TV on (no food cues). 3) participants ate alone, with TV showing a series of food adverts. 4) participants ate alone, listening to the radio. 5) control: participants ate alone in a quiet room.	Concomitant food consumption (grams and calorie intake in kJ).	NS interaction between meal condition and eating restraint level on food intake. Overall, energy and main dish intake (p<0.05), and degree of post-prandial fullness (p<0.0001), lower in the group meal condition than the others, which did not significantly differ.	Food advertising did not affect concomitant food intake amongst ♀, compared to TV without food cues. Participants ate less in groups than when eating alone under other conditions. Conclusion: Not Effective
Wonderlich- Tierney (2010)(29)	83, 43 female	Showing of TV comedy program with commercial breaks totalling 20mins or no breaks. Cookies available during viewing.	1) 20mins of food adverts. 2) 20mins of non-food adverts. 3) control: no adverts.	Concomitant snack food consumption (number of cookies).	NS effect of advertising condition on consumption (p=0.64).	Food advertising did not influence concomitant food consumption. Conclusion: Not Effective

Anschutz <i>et al.</i> (2011)(25)	82, 41 female	Showing of nature film with 2 commercial breaks. Crisps, M&Ms and water available during viewing.	1) 6 adverts for energy-dense foods + 10 non-food adverts. 2) control: 16 non-food adverts.	Concomitant snack food consumption (calorie intake in kcal).	In $\mathcal{Q}$ , food consumption for food adverts>neutral adverts; amongst $\mathcal{J}$ consumption for neutral adverts>food adverts (p<0.05). Food intake in $\mathcal{J} > \mathcal{Q}$ (P<0.05). Addition of commercial condition to regression model predicting food intake showed NS increase in explained variance.	Food advertising showed opposite effect on concomitant food consumption for $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ . Conclusion: Inconclusive
Harris <i>et al.</i> (2009)(26)	98, 60 female, 6 unknown gender	Showing of TV comedy program with 2 commercial breaks. Taste tests for 'unhealthy' and 'healthy' foods following viewing.	1) 7 non-food adverts + 4 food and beverage adverts with snacking message of 'fun and excitement' 2) 7 non-food adverts + 4 food and beverage adverts with nutrition message. 3) control: 7 same non-food adverts + 4 further non-food adverts.	Subsequent food consumption (standardized food consumption score).	Food consumption for snack adverts>nutrition adverts (p<0.01); snack adverts vs. control NS (p=0.08); nutrition adverts vs. control NS (p=0.30). Snack adverts had greatest effect on $\Diamond$ (interaction between advertising condition and gender p=0.04), and restrained eaters (interaction between advertising condition and eating restraint p=0.07). Food consumption for $\Diamond > \heartsuit$ (p<0.001).	Food advertising showed varying impact on subsequent food intake according to type of commercial (nutrition/snacking), gender, and eating restraint. Conclusion: Inconclusive

Messer <i>et al.</i> (2011)(30)	227, gender not stated	Participants indicated willingness to pay (WTP) for cash, a pen, and a hamburger, following media exposure.	1) 5min negative information video on BSE and nvCJD. 2) 5min positive video of TV beef adverts and radio adverts with magazine advert images. 3) negative information + positive advertising. 4) control: no media information.	Willingness to pay (WTP, value in \$).	Negative media information generated significantly lower WTP compared to controls (p=0.000). Positive generic advertising alone showed NS higher WTP (p=0.237). Negative information was counteracted by advertising, with combined conditions reporting WTP significantly higher than negative information alone, comparable with controls (p=0.004).	Food advertising did not significantly increase WTP from baseline, but significantly counteracted effects of negative media information. Conclusion: Inconclusive
van Strien <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2012)(28)	125, 65 female	Showing of film with 2 commercial breaks. Crisps, M&Ms and water available during viewing.	1) 6 commercials for energy- dense foods + 10 non-food adverts. 2) control: 16 non-food adverts.	Concomitant snack food consumption (grams).	In the external eating extreme-scores cohort, no main effect of advertising condition on food intake (p=0.71  chocolate; p=0.14 crisps). High external eaters in the food advertising condition ate more crisps than their counterparts in the non- food condition (p=0.025). In the full range cohort, main effect of commercial condition on intake of crisps observed (p=0.026), but not for chocolate.	Food advertising influenced concomitant intake of only one food type, with inconsistent results between data sets. Effect of commercial condition influenced by external eating status. Conclusion: Inconclusive

1 NS, not significant; kJ, kilojoules; kcal, kilocalories; BSE, Bovine spongiform encephalopathy; nvCJD, new variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease



**Figure 1:** Flowchart showing the systematic review process and refinement of results.

40

**Box 1:** Additional sources searched for studies suitable for inclusion in a systematic review of the effects of food advertising in adults

Advertising Age: www.adage.com Centre for Science in the Public Interest: www.cspinet.org Food Politics, curated by Marion Nestle: www.foodpolitics.com www.google.com (first 100 hits) for: 'food' AND 'advertising'; 'beverage' AND 'advertising International Journal of Advertising; 2006, 25(2): Food advertising special edition International Obesity Taskforce: http://www.iaso.org/ Sustain (National Food Alliance): http://www.sustainweb.org UK Advertising Association: http://www.adassoc.org.uk Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations (Scirus Electronic Theses and Dissertations Search and Virginia Tech Libraries Visualizer search) ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database OpenThesis database JISC mail lists (FOOD-FOR-THOUGHT, PUBLIC-HEALTH, PUBLICHEALTHFORNHS)