



clerk CIS &lt;clerk.cis@lacity.org&gt;

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 23-0623 - City Planning Number: ADM-2023-4682-DB-VHCA-ED1

3 messages

LA City SNow &lt;cityoflaprod@service-now.com&gt;

Wed, Sep 4, 2024 at 12:17 AM

Reply-To: LA City SNow &lt;cityoflaprod@service-now.com&gt;

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org, APCWestLA@lacity.org

Cc: vic@westlasawtelle.org

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: West LA - Sawtelle

Name: Victor Pacheco

Email: [vic@westlasawtelle.org](mailto:vic@westlasawtelle.org)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(1) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 06/26/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

### Impact Information

Date: 09/04/2024

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees, City Planning Commission, Area Planning Commission - West Los Angeles

Council File Number: 23-0623

City Planning Number: ADM-2023-4682-DB-VHCA-ED1

Agenda Date:


Item Number:


Summary: Motion refers to City Council File Number 23-0623. In regard to CFN 23-0623, the West Los Angeles Sawtelle Neighborhood Council would like to reconsider the CIS 23-0623 filing submitted on March 22, 2024, and the proposed Affordable Housing Alternative Community Plan proposed therein. PLEASE REFER TO ATTACHED DOCUMENTS FOR


COMPLETE STATEMENT. ATTACHMENTS: CFN 23-0623 - CIS Original: CIS CFN 23-0623.docx (1).pdf CFN 23-0623 - CIS Revision: CIS CFN 23-0623 Revision.docx.pdf CFN 23-0623 - CFMS Document: 23-0623\_cis\_03-22-2024 (1).pdf

Ref:MSG11036139

**3 attachments**

 **CIS CFN 23-0623.docx (1).pdf**  
80K

 **CIS CFN 23-0623 Revision.docx.pdf**  
62K

 **23-0623\_cis\_03-22-2024 (1).pdf**  
193K

**clerk CIS** <clerk.cis@lacity.org>  
To: Melinda Novoa <melinda.novoa@lacity.org>  
Bcc: Karen Vargas <karen.vargas@lacity.org>


Wed, Sep 4, 2024 at 11:33 AM


Good morning Melinda,


We received this CIS from West LA - Sawtelle. Would you be able to create a new neighborhood council tab for them on CFMS?

Thank you,  
[Quoted text hidden]

**3 attachments**

 **CIS CFN 23-0623.docx (1).pdf**  
80K

 **CIS CFN 23-0623 Revision.docx.pdf**  
62K

 **23-0623\_cis\_03-22-2024 (1).pdf**  
193K

**Melinda Novoa** <melinda.novoa@lacity.org>  
To: clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Wed, Sep 4, 2024 at 4:03 PM

It should be there now. Thank you!



**Melinda Novoa**

City of Los Angeles | Office of the City Clerk

Tel: 213-978-0420

Connect with the Clerk:



SUBSCRIBE AND RECEIVE EMAIL NOTIFICATIONS FROM THE COUNCIL AND PUBLIC SERVICES. [CLICK HERE.](#)

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities.

[Quoted text hidden]



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 23-0623 - City Planning Number: ADM-2023-4682-DB-VHCA-ED1

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 22, 2024 at 2:39 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: West LA - Sawtelle

Name: Victor Pacheco

Email: [vic@westlasawtelle.org](mailto:vic@westlasawtelle.org)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(1) Abstain(1) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 02/28/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

### Impact Information

Date: 03/22/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, City Planning Commission

Council File Number: 23-0623

City Planning Number: ADM-2023-4682-DB-VHCA-ED1

Agenda Date:


Item Number:

Summary: As per the no-public notice policy of Executive Directive 1 (ED 1), the negative impacts of the project at [11418 Missouri Ave, LA CA 90025](#), have not been thoroughly addressed, which raises violations of public trust, transparency, and accountability. ED1's amendment to exclude R1 parcels placed the weight of the current housing crisis squarely on lower density, multi-family lots. On Los Angeles' Westside, very few such areas are available to shoulder the brunt of this executive decision. In the West L.A. Community Plan Area, the available residential area for ED 1 projects is

approximately 5.7%. Among these areas is the cultural core of Sawtelle Japantown. It is clear that our core is being placed under the heel of this unprecedented weight of the housing crisis. Our Japantown historic core is a community formed by the redlining of the same R1 neighborhoods that currently enjoy ED 1 exemptions. These neighborhoods have effectively transferred the pressure of the housing crisis to Japantown's most culturally sensitive area. We strongly believe that this disparate impact was never the intention of ED 1. PLEASE REFER TO ATTACHED DOCUMENT FOR COMPLETE STATEMENT

Ref:MSG10047825

---

 **CIS CFN 23-0623.docx.pdf**  
80K



## **WEST LA SAWTELLE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL**

[WWW.WESTLASAWTELLE.ORG](http://WWW.WESTLASAWTELLE.ORG) // ADRIANE RANSOM // ALEX CARYOTAKIS // ALEXANDRA POLIN // DANILO TORRO // DAVID SWARTZ // JAMES ALTUNER // JAY HANDAL // KENT KAISAKI // MONICA MEJIA-LAMBERT // PIERRE TECON // RON BEN-YEHUDA // RON MIGDAL // VIC PACHECO // WALTON CHIU //

## **LOS ANGELES CITY, COUNCIL DISTRICT** **COUNCIL FILE 23-0623**

**02.28.2024**

Community Impact Statement: West Los Angeles Sawtelle Neighborhood Council

Dear City Council, Planning Commission and Developers:

As per the no-public notice policy of Executive Directive 1 (ED 1), the negative impacts of the project at 11418 Missouri Ave, LA CA 90025, have not been thoroughly addressed, which raises violations of public trust, transparency, and accountability.

ED1's amendment to exclude R1 parcels placed the weight of the current housing crisis squarely on lower density, multi-family lots. On Los Angeles' Westside, very few such areas are available to shoulder the brunt of this executive decision. In the West L.A. Community Plan Area, the available residential area for ED 1 projects is approximately 5.7%. Among these areas is the cultural core of Sawtelle Japantown. It is clear that our core is being placed under the heel of this unprecedented weight of the housing crisis. Our Japantown historic core is a community formed by the redlining of the same R1 neighborhoods that currently enjoy ED 1 exemptions. These neighborhoods have effectively transferred the pressure of the housing crisis to Japantown's most culturally sensitive area. We strongly believe that this disparate impact was never the intention of ED 1.

We are currently undergoing the updating of our community plan. Our neighborhood council approved an Affordable Housing Alternative Community Plan,

based on over 1000 petition signatures, that would result in the same number of units proposed by City Planning in its initial draft, but that would focus the impact in areas that would result in minimal, if any, displacement. Moreover, the Affordable Housing Alternative Community Plan provides for the redressing of prior environmental justice inequities as well as mixed-use districts that would be focused on supportive services for vulnerable populations. These services would serve to reverse past multi-generational displacement among the aging Japanese American and Native indigenous communities. In contrast, ED 1 directs even greater density to our historic core than under the original proposed community plan update draft, while denying us the community benefit system of the update that was to offset the impact of the added densification. The foregoing of the Community Plan's benefits system forecloses for us the essential-service facilities and institutions that would support our new incoming neighbors. Instead, the cumulative effect of the high concentration of projects with extraordinarily lax development standards under ED 1, will severely reduce the current liveability of our core, and, as climate change advances, will threaten the health and safety of our most vulnerable existing residents to the point of displacement.

In Sawtelle, ED 1 denies the zoning necessary to provide vulnerable communities with the essential service facilities they need to thrive, and exploits the low density multifamily zoning to the detriment of existing residents. It would also deny the priorities of the West LA Community Plan Update decided upon by our Neighborhood Council. We implore you to uphold the appeal of this project, as its denial would set a negative precedent both for historically harmed communities in need of supportive services coupled with housing, as well as those communities absorbing the residential density. Please allow us the opportunity to have a meaningful community plan update.



# WEST LA SAWTELLE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

[WWW.WESTLASAWTELLE.ORG](http://WWW.WESTLASAWTELLE.ORG) // ADRIANE RANSOM // ALEX CARYOTAKIS // ALEXANDRA POLIN // DANILO TORRO // DAVID SWARTZ // JAMES ALTUNER // JAY HANDAL // KENT KAISAKI // MONICA MEJIA-LAMBERT // PIERRE TECON // RON BEN-YEHUDA // RON MIGDAL // VIC PACHECO // WALTON CHIU //

**Council File Number: 23-0623**

**City Planning Number:**

**ADM-2023-4682-DB-VHCA-ED1**

**06.26.2024**

## Motion

Refers to City Council File Number [23-0623](#)

In regard to CFN 23-0623, the West Los Angeles Sawtelle Neighborhood Council would like to reconsider the CIS 23-0623 filing submitted on March 22, 2024, and the proposed Affordable Housing Alternative Community Plan proposed therein.

## Background

[Los Angeles General Plan - 2023](#)

See the Los Angeles General Plan, linked above, for further information about Executive Directive 1 and processes related to the Affordable Housing Streamlining draft ordinance (preparation of ordinance approved by City Council in June 2023).

See more information about the status of the proposed draft ordinance at [Planning the Westside](#).

Contact Walton Chiu for more information.



# WEST LA SAWTELLE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

[WWW.WESTLASAWTELLE.ORG](http://WWW.WESTLASAWTELLE.ORG) // ADRIANE RANSOM // ALEX CARYOTAKIS // ALEXANDRA POLIN // DANILO TORRO // DAVID SWARTZ // JAMES ALTUNER // JAY HANDAL // KENT KAISAKI // MONICA MEJIA-LAMBERT // PIERRE TECON // RON BEN-YEHUDA // RON MIGDAL // VIC PACHECO // WALTON CHIU //

## LOS ANGELES CITY, COUNCIL DISTRICT COUNCIL FILE 23-0623

**02.28.2024**

Community Impact Statement: West Los Angeles Sawtelle Neighborhood Council

Dear City Council, Planning Commission and Developers:

As per the no-public notice policy of Executive Directive 1 (ED 1), the negative impacts of the project at 11418 Missouri Ave, LA CA 90025, have not been thoroughly addressed, which raises violations of public trust, transparency, and accountability.

ED1's amendment to exclude R1 parcels placed the weight of the current housing crisis squarely on lower density, multi-family lots. On Los Angeles' Westside, very few such areas are available to shoulder the brunt of this executive decision. In the West L.A. Community Plan Area, the available residential area for ED 1 projects is approximately 5.7%. Among these areas is the cultural core of Sawtelle Japantown. It is clear that our core is being placed under the heel of this unprecedented weight of the housing crisis. Our Japantown historic core is a community formed by the redlining of the same R1 neighborhoods that currently enjoy ED 1 exemptions. These neighborhoods have effectively transferred the pressure of the housing crisis to Japantown's most culturally sensitive area. We strongly believe that this disparate impact was never the intention of ED 1.

We are currently undergoing the updating of our community plan. Our neighborhood council approved an Affordable Housing Alternative Community Plan,



based on over 1000 petition signatures, that would result in the same number of units proposed by City Planning in its initial draft, but that would focus the impact in areas that would result in minimal, if any, displacement. Moreover, the Affordable Housing Alternative Community Plan provides for the redressing of prior environmental justice inequities as well as mixed-use districts that would be focused on supportive services for vulnerable populations. These services would serve to reverse past multi-generational displacement among the aging Japanese American and Native indigenous communities. In contrast, ED 1 directs even greater density to our historic core than under the original proposed community plan update draft, while denying us the community benefit system of the update that was to offset the impact of the added densification. The foregoing of the Community Plan's benefits system forecloses for us the essential-service facilities and institutions that would support our new incoming neighbors. Instead, the cumulative effect of the high concentration of projects with extraordinarily lax development standards under ED 1, will severely reduce the current liveability of our core, and, as climate change advances, will threaten the health and safety of our most vulnerable existing residents to the point of displacement.

In Sawtelle, ED 1 denies the zoning necessary to provide vulnerable communities with the essential service facilities they need to thrive, and exploits the low density multifamily zoning to the detriment of existing residents. It would also deny the priorities of the West LA Community Plan Update decided upon by our Neighborhood Council. We implore you to uphold the appeal of this project, as its denial would set a negative precedent both for historically harmed communities in need of supportive services coupled with housing, as well as those communities absorbing the residential density. Please allow us the opportunity to have a meaningful community plan update.