

# Product Category Rule Module for Roundwood

October 18, 2016

Program Operator

**SCS**global  
SERVICES



## 1 **PCR Working Group Members**

---

- 2 • Chair: Tobias Schultz, SCS Global Services
- 3 • Henry Sauvagnat, Chempap Inc.
- 4 • James Ford, Climate for Ideas
- 5 • Jason Grant, Sierra Club
- 6 • Jeff Mendelsohn, New Leaf Papers
- 7 • Laura Hickey, National Wildlife Federation
- 8 • Richard Condit, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute
- 9 • Robert Vos, University of Southern California
- 10 • Susan Kinsella, Conservatree

## 11 **Peer Review Panel Members**

---

- 12 • Chair: Shen Tian, Independent
- 13 • Darby Hoover, Natural Resources Defense Council
- 14 • Mark Harmon, Oregon State University
- 15 • Skip Krasny, Kimberly-Clark Corporation

16

## 17 **Period of Validity**

---

18 The final version of this PCR will be valid for five (5) years from the date of its issue.

19

## 20 **Table of Contents**

---

21			
22	Introduction .....		4
23	1 Scope .....		5
24	2 Normative References .....		5
25	3 Terms and Definitions .....		6
26	4 Abbreviations .....		7
27	5 General aspects.....		7
28	5.1	Objective of this PCR .....	7
29	5.2	Comparability of EPDs based in this PCR .....	7
30	5.3	LCA Report.....	7
31	6 Product Category Rules for LCA .....		8
32	6.1	Goal and Scope Definition for the LCA .....	8
33	6.2	Product Category Definition.....	8
34	6.3	System Boundary.....	8
35	6.4	Data quality requirements .....	9
36	6.5	Rules and Guidance for Life Cycle Assessment .....	10
37	6.5.1	Functional Unit and Declared Unit .....	10
38	6.5.2	Criteria for the exclusion of inputs and outputs .....	11
39	6.5.3	Data Requirements .....	11
40	6.5.4	Streamlining Data Collection.....	16
41	6.5.5	Allowed allocation procedures.....	16
42	6.5.6	Impact Assessment.....	16
43	7 Content of EPD.....		16
44	7.1	General information.....	16
45	7.2	Reporting Requirements for Indicators included .....	18
46	7.2.1	Requirements for Industry-Wide EPDs .....	22
47	7.3	Disclaimers .....	22
48	7.4	Additional Environmental Information .....	23
49	8 Special Critical Review Requirements for the LCA.....		23
50	9 Verification and Validity of an EPD .....		24
51	10	Informative References.....	24
52			
53			

## 54 Introduction

---

55 This Product Category Rule (PCR) module specifies the requirements for completing Life Cycle  
56 Assessment (LCAs) and preparing Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) for roundwood products.  
57 This PCR module conforms to the N505 document,<sup>1</sup> draft LEO-S-002,<sup>2</sup> ISO 14025, 14040 and 14044.

58 This PCR module is intended to be used in conjunction with an accompanying Life Cycle Impact  
59 Assessment Methodology (LCIA) Document, which provides details for the LCIA phase, including  
60 algorithms for calculating results. Use of these two documents allows for the establishment of LCAs and  
61 EPDs for roundwood products. In addition, this PCR module can be used in conjunction with the PCR  
62 module for pulp and paper, in order to produce LCAs and EPDs for those products.

63 The LCA methodology contained in these documents is intended to provide standardized protocols for  
64 addressing all relevant environmental and human health impacts from wood and paper production.  
65 These relevant impacts are based on the observable alterations compared to preindustrial conditions for  
66 many impact categories of environmental change, all of which can be linked back to anthropogenic  
67 activities related to logging and/or pulp/papermaking. In order to practically and consistently assess the  
68 degree of change within these impact categories in different instances, these protocols also contain  
69 detailed algorithms and data requirements for each type of evaluation. These algorithms are intended  
70 to provide results which are of a high enough precision and accuracy to be useful in decision making,  
71 product comparisons, improvement in environmental conditions, and in other applications of LCA in this  
72 context. Users of this PCR module are encouraged to become familiarized with the LCIA Methodology  
73 Document. In this PCR module, references are made to this document where necessary.

74 This PCR module can be used as the basis of LCAs for product categories besides pulp/paper which use  
75 roundwood as a material input, like dimensional lumber, viscose fiber, wood pellets, and doors and  
76 windows made from wood. In the future, additional PCR modules for these other product categories  
77 should be developed which are consistent with this PCR module and the LCIA methodology document.  
78 This will allow for the development of complete and accurate EPDs for these other product categories.

79 In developing this PCR module, existing PCRs for wood were reviewed, including FPInnovations' PCR for  
80 North American Structural and Architectural Wood Products. The reason for developing this PCR is  
81 because these PCRs do not account for the ecosystem impacts or effects on biogenic carbon storage  
82 resulting from logging used to produce roundwood products. This PCR module requires that the LCIA  
83 phase include all of the core impact categories associated with roundwood production, in accordance  
84 with the impact categories of the LEO-S-002 standard and N505 ballot.

---

<sup>1</sup> The N505 document was submitted by representatives from the US Technical Advisory Group to the ISO TC 207 as a formal set of revisions for the ISO 14044 LCA standard. The document contains requirements pertaining to LCA which are applicable in this document and in these PCR modules. Available at: <https://www.scsglobalservices.com/resource/technical-review-of-life-cycle-impact-assessment-phase>

<sup>2</sup> LEO-S-002, Second Public Comment Version, Available July 2016 at <http://www.leonardoacademy.org/programs/standards/life-cycle.html>

## 85 **1 Scope**

---

86 This PCR module provides rules and guidance for completing LCAs and developing EPDs for roundwood  
87 products. The rules and guidance described here can be used in many LCA applications, including:

- 88 • LCA reports for internal or external use.
- 89 • Decision-making in procurement, policy setting, or other settings.
- 90 • Single impact LCA studies (e.g., climate footprint or land use assessment studies), provided  
91 these are not used as the basis of comparisons between products or as the basis of EPDs.
- 92 • Other tools based in LCA.

## 93 **2 Normative References**

---

94 The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this PCR and are  
95 indispensable in its application:

- 96 • Life Cycle Impact Assessment Methodology for PCR Modules for Roundwood and Pulp/Paper.
- 97 • ISO 14025: 2006, *Environmental management – Type III environmental declarations – Principles and*  
98 *Procedure*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 99 • ISO 14044: 2006, *Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and Framework*,  
100 International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 101 • ISO 14044: 2006, *Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and*  
102 *guidelines*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 103 • LEO-S-002 LCA Standard. Being developed under American National Standards Institute Process,  
104 administrated by Leonardo Academy.<sup>3</sup>
- 105 • N505 Ballot Submission, US Technical Advisory Group Comments on ISO 14040/14044, submitted to  
106 ISO TC Secretariat in April 2015.

107

108

109

---

<sup>3</sup> This PCR refers to the latest public version of this standard.

110 **3 Terms and Definitions**

111 The following terms and definitions apply under this Product Category Rule. See LCIA Methodology  
112 Document for other relevant terms and definitions.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
Declared unit	Quantity of a product for use as a reference unit.
Fiber basket	The combination of the virgin and recycled fiber basket of a virgin or recycled fiber pulp mill.
Forest Analysis Unit	An area of timberland used to represent forest ecosystem impacts resulting from forestry operations within each fiber basket.
Forest Trend Monitoring plan	Forest Trend Monitoring plan includes designing sampling plans to select sample sizes and sampling frequency, identify the forest inventory parameters to be measured and determine sampling strategies for measurements.
Forest Trend Monitoring plot	A site at which various forest ecological conditions are measured. These plots are within a Forest Analysis Unit.
Key unit process	A unit process which contributes 15% or more to a category indicator result.
Land use	The number of acres occupied for one year to produce a certain amount of timber (measured in cubic feet). Calculated considering average production over at least a 10 year period. See Section 6.5.3 for further information. The land use per cubic feet of timber is the inverse of the site harvest productivity.
Net Freshwater Consumption	Water that is taken from surface water or groundwater source and not directly returned. <sup>4</sup>
Primary data	Quantified value of a unit process or an activity obtained from a direct measurement or a calculation based on direct measurements at its original source. [ISO / TS 14067]
Roundwood	Wood in its natural state as felled, with or without bark. This includes logs, bolts, whole-tree wood chips or other round timber generated from harvesting trees for industrial or consumer uses (adapted from US Forest Service <sup>5</sup> and UN Food and Agricultural definitions <sup>6</sup> )
Roundwood basket	The region(s) supplying roundwood products considered in the scope. The roundwood basket includes a broad area which is not only restricted to areas subject to harvest in a given year, but includes the entire "patchwork" of areas harvested in different areas at different points in time. The region(s) included in the roundwood basket shall: include the entire area treated by any existing forest management or silvicultural plans; be defined to appropriately represent effects from overall forest management practices, including not only harvests, but also logging roads, staging areas, infrastructure, and other areas which are occupied for logging operations; be defined at a landscape scale sufficient to capture impacts in regions adjacent to where harvests and other logging-related activities are occurring; consider any set aside areas which may be part of the overall forest management plan.
Secondary data	Data obtained from sources other than a direct measurement or a calculation based on direct measurements at the original source. NOTE: Such sources can include databases and published literature validated by competent authorities. [ISO / TS 14067]
Site harvest productivity	The cubic feet of timber produced per acre, per year, in a forested area. Calculated considering average production over at least a 10 year period. See Section 6.5.3 for further information.
Undisturbed Reference Area	Follows definition of Undisturbed Reference Area from the LCIA Methodology Document.

113

<sup>4</sup> King, C. W., & Webber, M. E. (2008). Water intensity of transportation. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(21), 7866-7872.

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.nrs.fs.fed.us/fia/data-tools/state-reports/glossary/default.asp>

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.fao.org/docrep/v6530e/v6530e12.htm>

## 114 **4 Abbreviations**

---

115 The following abbreviations apply under this Product Category Rule Module.

116		
117	CPC	Central Production Classification
118	EPD	Environmental Product Declaration
119	FAU	Forest Analysis Unit
120	LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
121	PCR	Product Category Rule
122	FTM	Forest Trend Monitoring
123	FWDF	Freshwater Disturbance Factor
124	M-CF	Midpoint Characterization Factor
125	TDF	Terrestrial Disturbance Factor

## 126 **5 General aspects**

---

### 127 **5.1 Objective of this PCR**

128 This PCR module establishes a set of consistent rules, requirements and additional guidance for the  
129 preparation of EPDs for roundwood products and for other LCA applications. This PCR module also  
130 specifies the underlying requirements of the LCA upon which the EPD is based.

### 131 **5.2 Comparability of EPDs based in this PCR**

132 This PCR Module is cradle-to-gate and covers life cycle stages associated with roundwood production. It  
133 does not include the use or end-of-life of wood products. Accordingly, EPDs produced under this PCR  
134 module shall only be compared if the roundwood products covered have identical functional and end-  
135 of-life characteristics, and will be used and disposed of in the same fashion.

### 136 **5.3 LCA Report**

137 The LCA report shall provide a comprehensive summary of the LCA used to create the results in EPDs  
138 and other LCA applications, including methodology, scope, data sources, and other supporting  
139 information. The LCA report shall be provided to the EPD verifier (whom may be subject to protect the  
140 confidentiality of any information described as “confidential”). The LCA report does not need to be  
141 included as part of the public communication.

## 142 **6 Product Category Rules for LCA**

---

### 143 **6.1 Goal and Scope Definition for the LCA**

144 In the LCA report, the goal definition shall be described, including the commissioner of the study,  
145 reasons for carrying out the study, target audience, intended applications and the review procedure.

### 146 **6.2 Product Category Definition**

147 This PCR Module addresses roundwood products, including all products in the Central Product  
148 Classification (CPC)<sup>7</sup> code 031 (wood in the rough, incl. logs of coniferous and non-coniferous wood, and  
149 fuel wood).

### 150 **6.3 System Boundary**

151 The system boundary for the LCA is cradle-to-gate. The gate ends at the logging site with produced  
152 roundwood. The life cycle stages covered by this PCR Module include:

- 153 • Logging and forest management activities (e.g., forest fertilization, pest management, forest  
154 regeneration, etc.).
- 155 • Production of fuel and other inputs used in logging equipment.
- 156 • Production of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers which may be used in forest management.

157 The scope does not include employee transportation and production of logging equipment.

158 NOTE: The resulting impact level of these unit processes compared to other life cycle stages in roundwood  
159 production are very small. Exclusion of these stages from the scope does not affect results materially.

160 There are two types of LCAs and EPDs types allowed:

- 161 • Product-specific LCAs and EPDs. These LCAs and EPDs consider roundwood produced from a  
162 single ownership area, from a single region. The roundwood basket for product-specific LCAs and  
163 EPDs is this single region.
- 164 • Industry-wide LCAs and EPDs. These LCAs and EPDs can be developed to represent roundwood  
165 from at least three distinct regions, possibly in multiple ownerships. The roundwood basket for  
166 industry-wide roundwood LCAs and EPDs is the complete set of regions included in the scope of  
167 the study.

---

<sup>7</sup> Central Product Classification System; CPC Ver2.  
[http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/docs/CPCv2\\_structure.pdf](http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/docs/CPCv2_structure.pdf)



168 The LCA shall assess the life cycle stages based on present conditions, using the most recent data  
169 available. Product-specific LCAs and EPDs can also be presented which show changes against a historical  
170 baseline condition, which can be no more than 10 years in the past. The LCA or EPD also projects  
171 changes into the future based on the requirements of Section 6.5.1.

172 **FOR EXAMPLE.** A product-specific EPD for a roundwood basket in the state of California is based on  
173 current impact levels in 2015. However, impact levels from past years can be included in the EPD,  
174 showing changes over time.

## 175 **6.4 Data quality requirements**

176 Data shall be representative of the production conditions according to temporal, geographical and  
177 technological requirements. The following specific requirements apply:

- 178 • Temporal: Data shall be as recent as possible.
- 179 • Geographical: The geographic region of the roundwood basket included in the calculation of  
180 representative data shall be documented in the LCA Report.
- 181 • Technological: Data will represent technology in use and reflect the physical reality of the  
182 product.

183 In order to understand the level of data quality in final results, uncertainty analysis shall be completed  
184 for all LCA results. This uncertainty analysis shall provide a reasonable estimate of the 95% confidence  
185 interval associated with each LCA result. This confidence interval shall be calculated by first estimating  
186 the three main independent contributions to uncertainty in LCA:

- 187 • Uncertainty associated with data points related to the scope definition (e.g., product half-life in  
188 use, fraction of disposed going to landfill, percentage of roundwood sourced from a given  
189 region). This can be estimated through reasonable consideration of alternative scenarios or  
190 uncertainty which is provided by data providers. If not included in the uncertainty calculation, it  
191 should be made clear in the LCA report and EPD what key assumptions were made regarding the  
192 scope definition.
- 193 • Uncertainty associated with Life Cycle Inventory data sources. Generally robust data on  
194 uncertainty associated with inventory data is unavailable in the context of specific LCAs.  
195 Reasonable estimates shall be made, based on the representativeness of data sources used and  
196 examination of other similar datasets.
- 197 • Uncertainty associated with PP-CF and M-CFs. This uncertainty can generally be calculated  
198 directly or derived from published literature sources. If this is not possible, reasonable estimates  
199 should be made.

200 Once the confidence intervals in these data sources have been estimated, they shall be combined into a  
201 single confidence interval for each indicator result using:

- 202 • Monte Carlo analysis techniques. These tools are available in available LCA software packages.
- 203 • Simple error propagation, evaluated by combining uncertainty in quadrature. In this simplified  
204 approach, the sum of confidence intervals associated with scoping, inventory, and  
205 characterization factors, are combined. The square root of this sum provides an estimated  
206 confidence interval for the final LCA result.

207 The estimated 95% confidence interval associated with LCA results shall be in EPDs. The process for  
208 estimating this uncertainty shall be described in the LCA report.

209 NOTE. Uncertainty analysis is required in order to interpret results, especially in comparisons. LCA results  
210 without quantitative uncertainty analysis will overstate accuracy and can be misleading.

## 211 **6.5 Rules and Guidance for Life Cycle Assessment**

212 The requirements of ISO 14044, LEO-S-002, and N505 ballot all apply. The requirements and guidance in  
213 Section 6.5 below are specific to Roundwood Production, and serve to ensure comparability of LCAs and  
214 EPD results (where applicable) and minimize data collection efforts.

### 215 **6.5.1 Annual Unit of Analysis and Timeframe of Analysis**

216 Roundwood is an intermediate product for which the function for the whole life cycle is not specified in  
217 this PCR, due to its potential use in multiple applications. A unit of analysis (which can also be referred  
218 to as a declared unit) is instead used.

219 This PCR also specifies a timeframe of analysis in order to capture all temporal aspects of the impacts  
220 relevant to roundwood production (see Table 2). This timeframe of analysis sets temporal boundaries  
221 for the LCA. The unit of analysis is defined on a year-by-year basis within the timeframe of analysis, and  
222 so is referred to as the “annual unit of analysis.”

223 For all applications of LCA according to this PCR, the annual unit of analysis shall be the production of a  
224 given volume of roundwood, which should be at least 1,000 cubic meters of wood, and the timeframe of  
225 analysis shall consider a timeframe of analysis of at least 20 years, and at most 100 years.

226 **NOTE.** In general LCA applications, the annual unit of analysis can vary each year over the timeframe of  
227 analysis. This can be useful, for example in exploration of the result of increasing procurement of roundwood  
228 from a given source. For example, the unit of analysis could be 1,000 cubic meters of roundwood in year 1,  
229 1,200 in year 2, 1,400 in year 3, etc.

230 **NOTE.** The 20 year and 100 year timeframes were selected to detect changes occurring in forest systems as a  
231 result of different forest management practices, changes which are not perceptible for at least 20 years in

232 most forest ecosystems. Although the uncertainty associated with impacts over a 100 year timeframe is high,  
233 this timeframe captures the long rotation cycles of different forest types and accommodates forest systems  
234 that take a longer time to regenerate after logging.

235 For EPDs, the annual unit of analysis shall be the production of 1,000 cubic meters of roundwood, with  
236 results reported at intervals of every 20 years from 20 to 100 years (i.e., reporting 5 results over time).  
237 The EPD shall also include in supporting materials results across the entire time period of 20 to 100  
238 years.

239 The scope of all LCAs under this PCR shall consider the annual unit of analysis (which can vary over time)  
240 produced over the timeframe of analysis.

241 The average density of the roundwood, as well as the volumetric conversion factor used if density is  
242 calculated using a conversion from any board-foot measure, will be included in the definition of the  
243 annual unit of analysis.

#### 244 **6.5.2 Criteria for the exclusion of inputs and outputs**

245 Resource inputs, emissions, land use, and other flows can be excluded only if estimates indicate that  
246 these data will not change any indicator result within the estimated margin of error (see Section 6.4).  
247 The estimated change in the indicator result will have to be completed using the best available  
248 information and expert judgement.

#### 249 **6.5.3 Data Requirements**

250 For data used to assess the final LCA results, the following requirements and guidance apply:

- 251 • Primary data shall be used: to assess terrestrial and freshwater ecosystem impacts; and to  
252 calculate M-CFs<sup>8</sup> for key unit processes for GLO, PM2.5, Regional Acidification.
- 253 • For key unit processes affecting other impact categories, primary data should be used, when  
254 readily available.
- 255 • If primary data are unavailable for a key unit process, this should be clearly stated in the  
256 “Disclaimer” section of the EPD.
- 257 • For unit processes which are not “key” unit processes, secondary data may be used from life  
258 cycle databases or other peer-reviewed sources. This data may be adjusted to reflect a regional  
259 condition if it is believed that such an adjustment will have a significant impact on the reported  
260 results.

---

<sup>8</sup> Refer to Sections 5.6.1, 5.6.2 and 5.4.1 in the LCIA Methodology Document for description on M-CFs.

- 261       • Any data gaps may be filled through use of conservative assumptions using representative data  
262       based on a similar process (for example, hardwood production could be estimated from  
263       softwood production). Assumptions used for filling data gaps shall be documented in the LCA  
264       Report.

265       There are several data points which affect multiple indicator results. The following special requirements  
266       apply:

- 267       • For all data points related to flows of combustible materials (e.g., fossil fuels), the lower heating  
268       value shall be used.
- 269       • Site harvest productivity, measured in cubic feet of timber produced per acre, per year, is used  
270       in multiple indicators. Site harvest productivity shall be calculated considering average  
271       production over a Forest Analysis Unit (FAU), using data averaged over at least a 10 year period  
272       (see Section 6.5.3.1 for definition of FAUs). If multiple forests are impacted within a single FAU,  
273       site productivity shall be assessed independently for forests within each terrestrial ecoregion.  
274       This data shall be collected considering the average annual volume of timber removals over the  
275       entire FAU, averaged over at least a 10 year period. Timber removals shall include only timber  
276       which can be processed into the roundwood products defined in Section 6.2; residues or other  
277       materials left on-site are not included. The approach used to collect data on timber removals  
278       shall be described in the Forest Trend Monitoring Plan, and shall, if possible, be collected during  
279       monitoring within FTM plots (see Section 6.5.3.1).

280       NOTE: Within the US, total timber removals recorded by the US Forest Service Forest Inventory and  
281       Analysis National program<sup>9</sup> can be used in most cases. In other countries, data from national forest  
282       inventories can be used in most cases (e.g., average site productivity from the Swedish Forest Inventory).  
283       The usefulness of these specific data sources will depend upon the locations and number of plots within  
284       Forest Analysis Units. In all cases, during use of plot data from these sources or others, all requirements of  
285       Section 6.5.3.1 shall apply.

- 286       • The land use is the number of acres occupied for one year to produce a certain amount of  
287       timber (measured in cubic feet). The land use per cubic feet of timber is the inverse of the site  
288       productivity.
- 289       • For roundwood products, emissions by forest carbon fluxes (i.e., net forest regrowth,  
290       combustion/decay of aboveground logging residues, decay of tree roots left after harvest), shall  
291       be calculated using specific data related to carbon combustion and decay rates, if available. If  
292       specific data is unavailable, the following assumptions shall be used as a default:
- 293       • Net forest regrowth is assumed to sequester atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in the year that it occurs.

---

<sup>9</sup> The US Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis program provides easy access to detailed data using the Forest Inventory Database Online tool. See <http://apps.fs.fed.us/fia/fido/index.html>

294           • Aboveground logging residues (i.e., “slash”) combust or decay immediately, with all of the  
295           carbon assumed to be converted into emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>.

296           • Biomass stored in tree roots left behind after harvest are assumed to decay and be  
297           converted entirely into emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> immediately, with all of the carbon assumed to be  
298           converted into emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>.

299           ***NOTE.*** These default assumptions regarding combustion or decay of aboveground logging residues and  
300           roots are conservatively high. In many regions, carbon will be stored in these biomass pools for much  
301           longer periods of time. Specific data from forest carbon models should be used whenever possible.

302           For the assessment of many impact categories, impacts on forest ecosystems across large areas must be  
303           considered. For this type of assessment to be practical, representative areas must be chosen for analysis  
304           where data can be practically collected on local forest conditions. These representative areas are called  
305           FAUs. See Section 6.5.3.1 for requirements on defining FAUs.

306           The modeling of foregone growth’s effects on standing biomass and carbon, affecting multiple impact  
307           categories, requires special considerations. Modeling of foregone growth must follow the requirements  
308           of Section 6.5.3.2.

309           Additional data requirements, by impact category, are described in the LCIA Methodology Document.

### 310           **6.5.3.1 Defining Forest Analysis Units, Forest Trend Monitoring Plans, and Relating Them to** 311           **Roundwood Basket**

312           For roundwood production, results in many impact categories are affected by disturbances to forest  
313           ecosystems. This includes: Wood Resource Depletion; Global Climate Change; Ocean Acidification; all  
314           impacts in Terrestrial & Freshwater Ecosystems Impacts (from land use). These impacts result from  
315           alterations in the forest ecology across the roundwood basket, with ensuing effects on forests,  
316           watersheds, wetlands, species populations and habitats, and levels of stored biogenic carbon.

317           In order to make assessments of impacts across the roundwood basket practical, representative areas  
318           must be chosen for analysis where data can be collected on local forest conditions. These representative  
319           areas are Forest Analysis Units (FAUs). An FAU is an area of timberland used to represent forest  
320           ecosystem impacts resulting from all activities related to forestry within the roundwood basket (i.e.,  
321           considering all activities which result in physical alterations to the forest, including site harvests,  
322           transportation infrastructure, and others). Within each FAU, measurements of ecological conditions are  
323           assessed within Forest Trend Monitoring Plots (FTM plots).

324 NOTE. Thus there are three spatial levels considered in the assessment<sup>10</sup>: (1) the roundwood basket, which  
325 considers the entire area subject to harvest; (2) FAUs, nested within the roundwood basket; and (3), FTM  
326 plots, nested in FAUs in which specific measurements are completed.

327 Within each FAU, measurements of ecological conditions, carbon storage levels, and forest standing  
328 stock are completed. Measurements shall be completed based upon a Forest Trend Monitoring Plan  
329 (FTM plan) based on measurements in Forest Trend Monitoring Plots (FTM plot) with transects between  
330 each, meeting the following requirements:

- 331 • FTM plots shall be placed randomly, at least one kilometer apart, and no more than 20  
332 kilometers apart.
- 333 • At least 10 FTM plots must be included in an FAU.
- 334 • No more than 50 FTM plots shall be included in an FAU.
- 335 • Each FTM plot can include multiple types of sub-plots contained within a given area. The  
336 smallest sub-plot plot within the FTM plot shall be no smaller than 400 m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>11</sup> The FTM plot  
337 design shall be described in the FTM plan.
- 338 • FTM Plots and transects must be fixed in space and re-sampled every 10 years at most.
- 339 • Measurements of ecological conditions within FTM plots across an FAU shall be used only if the  
340 95% confidence interval of the result is  $\pm 50\%$ .

341 NOTE. If the 95% confidence interval of measurements of conditions across all plots is over +/-50%  
342 relative to the mean of the measurement values across all plots, then additional FTM plots must be sampled,  
343 or a stratified sampling should be performed by creating multiple FAUs in which there is less sample  
344 heterogeneity.

345 The following shall be described in the LCA report: summary of the FTM plan; the total sampled area;  
346 frequency of sampling within the area; and size of each FTM plot.

347 **NOTE.** Multiple small landowners can be included in the same FAU.

### 348 **6.5.3.2 Assessing Impacts from Foregone Growth**

349 Assessing impacts to Global Climate Change, Ocean Acidification, Wood Resource Depletion, Terrestrial  
350 Disturbances, and any Habitat Disturbances requires estimating the level of impact incurred from

---

<sup>10</sup> For different studies of different wood products, different roundwood baskets, FAUs, and FTM plots are used. This characterizes differences in terrestrial disturbance levels in different forests.

<sup>11</sup> This is the area of the sub-plot with a radius of 24.0 ft (7.32m) defined in the US FS FIA Phase 2 / Phase 3 plot design.

<http://www.fia.fs.fed.us/library/fact-sheets/data-collections/Sampling%20and%20Plot%20Design.pdf>

The Canadian Forest Inventory also recommends a ground plot design of 400m<sup>2</sup> to measure attributes of trees.; Canada's National Forest Inventory Ground Sampling Guidelines (October 2008).

351 foregone growth. The foregone growth reflects the improvement in forest ecosystem condition which  
352 could be achieved if the forest were no longer subjected to harvests. This PCR quantifies the foregone  
353 improvement in: forest carbon storage levels; available wood resources; and forest integrity.

354 **NOTE.** This section describes principles and requirements applicable to considering these impacts for all  
355 relevant impact categories. See the relevant sections of the LCIA Methodology Document for guidance and  
356 requirements for each impact category, necessary to calculate results.

357 Assessing impacts from foregone growth is based on consideration of the forest growth trajectory under  
358 two scenarios:

- 359 1. Harvest scenario, where the forests in the FAU are maintained according to the forecasted  
360 growth in the FAU under planned logging activities.
- 361 2. No-harvest scenario. The lands in the FAU are not subjected to timber harvests, but recover to  
362 the same conditions present in the URA. The initial conditions in this scenario are the current  
363 conditions in the FAU, except in the case that the forest was old growth forest (i.e. forests that  
364 were never logged and were undisturbed by natural disturbance events such as wildfire, insect  
365 infestations, etc.) in 2011. If the forests in the FAU were old growth in 2011, the starting  
366 conditions of the no-harvest scenario models are the URA, which does not change over time.

367 For calculations of foregone growth, vegetative growth models should be used where possible.  
368 Vegetative growth models which are used shall follow the requirements of Section 5.2.1.2 of the LCIA  
369 Methodology Document.

370 In many cases, use of vegetative growth models will not be possible. In these cases, default assumptions  
371 for the growth trajectory of each scenario, and site productivity, in each scenario shall be used:

- 372 • Harvest scenario. Conditions in the FAU shall be assumed to begin with the current disturbance  
373 level in the FAU, changing in the future at a linear rate based on the average change in the  
374 conditions measured in the FAU over the past 10 years. If data are not available on the trend  
375 over the past 10 years, conservative estimates may be made regarding the trend.
- 376 • No-harvest scenario. It shall be assumed that the forests in the FAU recover to a state equivalent  
377 to the undisturbed reference area (URA) using approaches described further in the LCIA  
378 Methodology Document.
- 379 • The average site productivity is assumed to be the same in the future as for the past 10 years.

380 **NOTE.** These default assumptions allow for calculation of impacts from foregone growth using nothing more  
381 than data on current conditions in the FAU and URA, which can be forecasted into the future under both  
382 scenarios using a spreadsheet calculation.

383 **6.5.4 Streamlining Data Collection**

384 The main data collection requirements for roundwood involve site-specific data on ecological conditions  
385 in the regions included in the roundwood basket. Previously collected data should be relied upon  
386 wherever it exists; if data do not exist, it may be necessary to use trained field ecologists to measure  
387 ecological conditions in various regions. This is usually outside the available budget for LCA studies, and  
388 should be used only when necessary.

389 **6.5.5 Allowed allocation procedures**

390 Allocation of multi-output and multi-input processes shall be based on physical properties such as mass  
391 or volume, except for special requirements for allocation for the process steps in Table 1. Other  
392 allocation approaches (e.g. economic-based allocation) can be explored using sensitivity analysis.

393 **Table 1. Life cycle stage, potential useful co-products, and allowable allocation method. These requirements only apply if the**  
394 **process step cannot be subdivided into individual processes for which results can be assessed without allocation.**

Life Cycle Stage	Potential Useful co-products	Allocation method
Roundwood production	Pulpwood of different types (e.g., hardwood, softwood) Trim, slab, scrap, hog fuel Roundwood suitable for milling to produce dimensional lumber and other products	All impacts shall be allocated to roundwood production. When roundwood of different types is produced, impacts shall be allocated based on volume of produced roundwood. <sup>12</sup>

395 **6.5.6 Impact Assessment**

396 The LCIA shall be based upon the LCIA Methodology Document. The core impact categories which must  
397 be considered for logging and forest management are also summarized in Table 2.

398 **7 Content of EPD**

---

399 **7.1 General information**

400 The following information is required and shall be included in the EPD:

- 401 a. The following information shall be reported on the front page of the EPD.
  - 402 — The commissioner and owner of the EPD,
  - 403 — The geographic location and description of the roundwood basket(s) considered in the
  - 404 scope of the EPD.

---

<sup>12</sup> Allocation of impacts to roundwood of different types can be allocated based on mass if the density of the wood types are known, and the mass values are converted to volume.



- 405 b. Identification of the product and a visual representation of the product. This identification shall  
 406 enable the user to identify the product unambiguously.
- 407 c. Intended use of the roundwood, if known.
- 408 d. A detailed list of the type(s) of wood considered in the EPD, as well as whether it is hardwood or  
 409 softwood.
- 410 e. Name of the Type III Program Operator, the address and website of the Type III Program  
 411 Operator, and any verification logo (if relevant).
- 412 f. The date the declaration was issued and the period of validity.
- 413 g. A description of which life cycle stages are included in the EPD.
- 414 h. A description of the allocation approach used for products.
- 415 i. The results from the LCA and the required Disclaimers.
- 416 j. For a product-specific EPD, the name of the product producer shall be listed on the front cover  
 417 of the EPD.
- 418 k. For an Industry-wide EPD, the names of the producers recognized as participants in the  
 419 development of the EPD should be included either on the front cover of the EPD or through a  
 420 web link on the EPD front cover to direct users to a complete list of manufacturers represented  
 421 by the EPD.
- 422 l. Information on where explanatory material may be found (e.g., website links).
- 423 m. A data quality assessment summary. This assessment can be done either qualitatively or  
 424 quantitatively (e.g., pedigree matrix approach).
- 425 n. In addition, the following table shall be completed and included in the EPD:

PCR review was conducted by	
Approved XX/XX/XXXX Valid until XX/XX/XXXX	
Independent verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006.	<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> External
Third party verifier:	

426

427 **7.2 Reporting Requirements for Indicators included**

428 The LCA results shall be included in a prominent place in the EPD. Results shall include the applicable  
 429 indicator(s), using the allowed units of measure, described in Table 2. Those category indicator results  
 430 which require spatially explicit LCIA are noted, calculated according to the approach in the LCIA  
 431 Methodology document. Additionally, for some impact categories, conditions will worsen over time as  
 432 the effect of stressors accumulate.<sup>13</sup> Metrics specified in the LCIA Methodology Document reflect the  
 433 accumulation of impacts over the time horizon considered.

434

435 **Table 2. Impact categories and category indicators relevant to roundwood production, as well as whether assessment of**  
 436 **each requires spatially explicit LCIA and if the impact is accumulated.**

<u>Impact Categories by Group</u>	<u>Applicable Indicator(s)</u>	<u>Requires Spatial LCIA</u>	<u>Accumulated Impact</u>
<b><u>Biotic/Abiotic Resource Depletion Impacts</u></b>			
Energy Resource Depletion	Nonrenewable Energy Resource Depletion	Yes	Yes
	Total Energy Resource Depletion	No	Yes
Water Resource Depletion	Net Freshwater Consumption	No	No
Biotic Resource Depletion	Timber Resource Depletion	Yes	Yes
<b><u>Global and Regional Climate System Impacts</u></b>			
Global Climate Impacts	Global Climate Change	Yes	Yes
Regional Climate Hot Spot Impacts	Regional Climate Impacts	Yes	No
<b><u>Ocean Ecosystem Impacts</u></b>			
Ocean Acidification	Ocean Acidification	Yes	Yes
Ocean Warming	Ocean Warming	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Terrestrial &amp; Freshwater Ecosystem Impacts (from Emissions)</u></b>			
Regional Acidification	Regional Acidification	Yes	No
Freshwater Eutrophication	Multiple indicators possible	Yes	No
<b><u>Terrestrial &amp; Freshwater Ecosystem Impacts (from Land Use and Conversion)</u></b>			
Terrestrial Disturbance	Multiple indicators possible	Yes	Yes
Freshwater Disturbance	Multiple indicators possible	Yes	Yes
Wetland Disturbance	Multiple indicators possible	Yes	Yes
Threatened species Habitat Disturbance	Multiple indicators possible	Yes	Yes
<b><u>Human Health Impacts (from Chronic Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals)</u></b>			
Ground Level Ozone Inhalation Impact	Ground Level Ozone Inhalation Impact	Yes	No
PM 2.5 Inhalation Impact	PM 2.5 Inhalation Impact	Yes	No
Dermal Inhalation Impact	Multiple indicators possible	Yes	No

437

438 Results shall be reported for at least the year 20 and year 100 in the study time horizon, and should be  
 439 reported for additional years. In EPDs, results shall be provided in a table using the template of Table 3.

<sup>13</sup> For example, emissions of long-lived GHGs accumulate in the atmosphere, leading to increasing radiative forcing. Radiative forcing is an accumulating midpoint with respect to emissions of long-lived GHGs.

440 **Table 3. Required reporting format for EPDs. Charts can also be substituted which cover results over the 20-100 year time**  
441 **period.**

<b>Impact Categories by Group</b>	<b>Units of measure</b>	<b>Result in Year</b>				
		<b>20</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Biotic/Abiotic Resource Depletion Impacts</b>						
Nonrenewable Energy Resource Depletion	GJ eq.	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Total Energy Resource Depletion	GJ	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Net Freshwater Consumption	Thous. m <sup>3</sup>	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Timber Resource Depletion	MMCF of foregone recovery	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>
<b>Global and Regional Climate System Impacts</b>						
Global Climate Change	thous. tons CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>
Regional Climate Impacts (if relevant) <sup>(B)</sup>	thous. tons CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
<b>Ocean Ecosystem Impacts</b>						
Ocean Acidification	Thous. tons H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> absorbed	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>	XX <sup>(A)</sup>
Ocean Warming	N/A	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
<b>Terrestrial &amp; Freshwater Ecosystem Impacts (from Emissions)</b>						
Regional Acidification	tons SO <sub>2</sub> eq.	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Freshwater Eutrophication <sup>(B)</sup>	tons N/P eq. <sup>(C)</sup>	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
<b>Terrestrial &amp; Freshwater Ecosystem Impacts (from Land Use and Conversion)</b>						
Terrestrial Disturbance <sup>(B)</sup>	Foregone Recovery, eq. acres fully disturbed	XX <sup>(D)</sup>	XX <sup>(D)</sup>	XX <sup>(D)</sup>	XX <sup>(D)</sup>	XX <sup>(D)</sup>
Freshwater Disturbance	See table reporting information on freshwater bodies(refers to information in Table 5)					
Wetland Disturbance	See table reporting information on wetlands(refers to information in Table 6)					
Threatened species Habitat Disturbance	# of impacted species <sup>(E)</sup>	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
<b>Human Health Impacts (from Chronic Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals)</b>						
Ground Level Ozone Inhalation Impact	prns * hrs * ppb O <sub>3</sub> eq	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
PM 2.5 Inhalation Impact	prns * hrs * µg PM2.5e / m <sup>3</sup>	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Dermal Inhalation Impact <sup>(B)</sup>	Depends on indicator <sup>(F)</sup>	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX

- 442 A. *If multiple FAUs included, assessed as production-weighted average across the roundwood basket.*  
443 B. *The results for these impact categories are listed separately by indicator result.*  
444 C. *Whether N or P equivalency is used is based on the limiting nutrient. See Section 5.4.4 of the LCIA Methodology Document.*  
445 D. *If multiple FAUs included, assessed as production-weighted average across each affected terrestrial ecoregion.*  
446 E. *The # of impacted species shall be reported only if the data used to determine the number of threatened species is*  
447 *sufficiently comprehensive. If not, this result shall be reported as “Inconclusive”, and a reference to the table listing the*  
448 *threatened species (see Table 7) shall be provided.*  
449 F. *See LCIA Methodology document for information on the indicators reported for this impact category.*

450 Special reporting requirements apply to impacts to forests. LCA reports and EPDs shall include the  
451 information in Table 4 listed separately for each terrestrial ecoregion which is disturbed.

452 **Table 4. Required reporting parameters for Terrestrial Disturbance. Results shall be reported for each terrestrial ecoregion in**  
453 **the LCA report and in EPDs. All values shall be calculated according to the LCIA Methodology Document.**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Value Reported</b>
Terrestrial Ecoregion Disturbed	<i>Name</i>
Current Terrestrial Ecoregion Status	<i>To be reported as Critical, Endangered, Vulnerable, Relatively Stable, or Intact.<sup>(A)</sup></i>
Current Disturbance Level	<i>Percent Disturbance (Terrestrial Disturbance Factor)</i>
Land Use	<i>Thousand acres to produce one thousand cubic feet</i>
Current Disturbance Trend <sup>(B)</sup>	<i>To be reported as Increasing Disturbance, Stable, Recovering, or Unknown. If data are available, the rate of change in disturbance level over the past 10 years shall be reported as a decadal average (i.e., +/-Percent Disturbance change per decade)</i>
Potential Maximum Terrestrial Recovery Rate <sup>(C)</sup>	<i>Percent Disturbance per decade reduction</i>
Foregone Terrestrial Recovery Each Decade	<i>Difference between Potential Maximum Recovery Rate and Measured Disturbance Trend</i>
Current Level of Terrestrial Carbon Storage Reduction	<i>Percent Reduction compared to URA, assessed per hectare (Reported as percent and tons C per hectare lost)</i>
Current Trend in Terrestrial Carbon Storage <sup>(D)</sup>	<i>To be reported as Decreasing Carbon Storage, Stable, Recovering Carbon Storage, or Unknown. If data are available, the rate of change in carbon storage level over the past 10 years shall be reported as a decadal average (i.e., +/-tons C per hectare change per decade)</i>
Potential Maximum Terrestrial Carbon Recovery Rate <sup>(C)</sup>	<i>tons carbon per decade increase</i>
Foregone Terrestrial Carbon Storage Recovery Each Decade	<i>Difference between Potential Maximum Terrestrial Carbon Recovery Rate and Measured Trend in Terrestrial Carbon Storage</i>

454 A. *Based on condition of the terrestrial ecoregion in which the forest is present, as reported in WWF Wildfinder*  
455 *database.*

456 B. *This shall be reported as:*

- 457 i. *Recovering: The TDF has decreased over the past 10 years.*
- 458 ii. *Increasing Disturbance: The TDF has increased over the past 10 years.*
- 459 iii. *Stable Condition: The TDF has not changed over the past 10 years.*
- 460 iv. *Unknown: The condition of the TDF is not known over the past 10 years.*

461 C. *This is the recovery rate in the No Harvest Scenario, modeled according to requirements of this PCR Module*  
462 *and the LCIA Methodology Document.*

463 D. *This shall be reported as:*

- 464 i. *Recovering Carbon Storage: The average carbon storage level per hectare has increased over the past 10 years.*
- 465 ii. *Decreasing Carbon Storage: The average carbon storage level per hectare has decreased over the past 10 years.*

466

467 Special reporting requirements apply to impacts to freshwater bodies. LCA reports and EPDs shall  
468 include a table which includes all information from Table 5 for every affected or possibly affected  
469 freshwater body.

470 **Table 5. Required reporting parameters for the impact category of Freshwater Disturbance, by freshwater body. Results shall**  
471 **be reported for each freshwater body in the LCA report and in EPDs. All values shall be calculated according to Section 5.5.2**  
472 **of the LCIA Methodology Document.**

Description	Value Reported
Freshwater Disturbed	<i>Name of Freshwater Body or Watershed</i>
Area of Watershed	<i>Area in acres or hectares of watershed</i>
Current Freshwater Disturbance Level	<i>Percent Disturbance (Freshwater Disturbance Factor, FWDF) or "Unknown"</i>
Disturbance Trend <sup>(A)</sup>	<i>To be reported as "Increasing Disturbance", "Stable", "Recovering", or "Unknown" along with the documented change in FWDF each decade</i>

- 473 (A) This shall be reported as:  
474 i. Recovering: The FWDF has decreased over the past 10 years.  
475 ii. Increasing Disturbance: The FWDF has increased over the past 10 years.  
476 iii. Stable Condition: The FWDF has not changed over the past 10 years.  
477 iv. Unknown: The condition of the FWDF is not known over the past 10 years.

478

479 Special reporting requirements apply to impacts to wetlands. LCA reports and EPDs shall include a table  
480 which includes all information from Table 6 for every affected or possibly affected freshwater body.

481 **Table 6. Required reporting parameters for the impact category of Wetland Disturbance, by wetland. Results shall be**  
482 **reported for each wetland in the LCA report and in EPDs. All values shall be calculated according to Section 5.5.3 of the LCIA**  
483 **Methodology Document.**

Description	Value Reported
Wetland Disturbed	<i>Name of Wetland or Watershed</i>
Area of Wetland	<i>Area in acres or hectares of wetland</i>
Watershed of which Wetland is a Part	<i>Name</i>
Current Wetland Disturbance Level	<i>Percent Disturbance (Wetland Disturbance Factor, WDF) or "Unknown"</i>
Disturbance Trend <sup>(A)</sup>	<i>To be reported as "Increasing Disturbance", "Stable", "Recovering", or "Unknown" along with the documented change in WBDF each decade</i>

- 484 (A) This shall be reported as:  
485 i. Recovering: The WDF has decreased over the past 10 years.  
486 ii. Increasing Disturbance: The WDF has increased over the past 10 years.  
487 iii. Stable Condition: The WDF has not changed over the past 10 years.  
488 iv. Unknown: The condition of the WDF is not known over the past 10 years.

489 Special reporting requirements apply to impacts to threatened species. LCA reports and EPDs shall  
490 include a table which includes all information from Table 7 for every affected threatened species.

491 **Table 7. Required reporting parameters for the impact category of Threatened Species Habitat Disturbance. A table shall be**  
 492 **provided in the LCA report and in EPDs which includes this information for all threatened species. All values shall be**  
 493 **determined according to Section 5.5.4 of the LCIA Methodology Document.**

Description	Value Reported
Species Name	<i>Common Name</i> <i>Latin Name</i>
Threatened Category	<i>Threatened, Endangered, etc.*</i>
Total Population Reduction from Historic Total Population Trend	<i>Percent Reduction, or Unknown**</i> <i>Increasing, Stable, Decreasing, Unknown**</i>

494 *\*Shall include a reference of the list used to determine this threatened category (e.g., IUCN Red List).*  
 495 *\*\*Shall include a reference to the source of this information. References including this information are the IUCN*  
 496 *Red List of Threatened Species<sup>14</sup>, the NatureServe Explorer Database<sup>15</sup>, and other resources.*  
 497

498 **7.2.1 Requirements for Industry-Wide EPDs**

499 For industry-wide EPDs, all indicator results must be reported as the median value. A range of results  
 500 must also be presented, based on the 90% confidence interval, or the maximum and minimum of the  
 501 sample included.

502 **7.3 Disclaimers**

503 The following statements shall be provided in the EPD near where the results are provided:

- 504 — Statements regarding any limitations in the use of primary data for “key” unit processes.
- 505 — Statements regarding limitations in the ability to assess terrestrial disturbances,  
 506 threatened species habitats disturbances, or impacts from terrestrial carbon storage  
 507 losses, due to a lack of data.
- 508 — Statements regarding data gaps which could have a significant effect on results reported  
 509 in the EPD.
- 510 — The following statement: *“EPDs developed under this PCR Module can only be compared*  
 511 *if the products covered can be used in an identical fashion, and are intended for the same*  
 512 *use and disposal.”*
- 513 — The following statement: *“EPDs address only impacts associated with environmental and*  
 514 *human health impacts, and do not consider all sustainability issues.”*

---

<sup>14</sup> <http://www.iucnredlist.org/>  
<sup>15</sup> <http://explorer.natureserve.org/>

## 515 **7.4 Additional Environmental Information**

516 Other, optional, additional environmental information which can be reported includes:

- 517 • Improvement of indicator results over time, compared to baseline which is disclosed.
- 518 • Optional impact assessment results may be reported using CML-IA baseline LCIA methodology<sup>16</sup>  
519 or other LCIA methodologies.
- 520 • Wood use, in tons.
- 521 • Net total energy use (including renewable sources).
- 522 • Solid waste production (which could be expressed as tons per year of material either landfilled,  
523 composted, recycled, or combusted).
- 524 • Categorization of sources of wood fiber according to their forest management or certification  
525 systems, in accordance with ASTM D7612-10.

## 526 **8 Special Critical Review Requirements for the LCA**

---

527 The following LCA methodological elements should be reviewed by independent reviewers:

- 528 • The definition of undisturbed reference areas used in assessments.
- 529 • The Forest Trend Monitoring (FTM) plan used to assess ecological conditions in forests.
- 530 • List of threatened species included.
- 531 • For the assessment of terrestrial disturbance levels, alternative approaches for evaluating the  
532 deviation and terrestrial disturbance factors for a given measurement of an ecological condition,  
533 if used.
- 534 • List of ecological conditions included in the measurement of disturbance and forest carbon  
535 effects.
- 536 • Approach for estimating measurements of ecological conditions for assessment of terrestrial  
537 disturbance factors (used if site data are not available).
- 538 • Quality of data used to measure ecological conditions.

---

<sup>16</sup> CML 4.1 baseline, from Institute of Environmental Sciences Faculty of Science University of Leiden, Netherlands (April 2013).

539 Reviewers should be a balanced stakeholder group. The findings of the critical reviewer(s) shall be  
540 provided with the LCA report.

## 541 **9 Verification and Validity of an EPD**

---

542 EPD verification shall follow ISO 14025. EPDs are valid for a three year period. During the three year  
543 period, an EPD shall be updated to account for any changes that affect the content or accuracy of the  
544 EPD. A change in results of +/- 10% should be reported to the verifier and the EPD may need to be  
545 updated.

## 546 **10 Informative References**

---

547 Life Cycle Assessment of Reincarnation 100 Coated Freesheet Paper, Compared to Virgin Paper Baseline.  
548 October 8, 2015. Prepared by SCS Global Services.<sup>17</sup>

549 Product Category Rule for North American Structural and Architectural Wood Products Version 1.1 (May  
550 2013), FPInnovations.<sup>18</sup>

551 Product Category Rules for Market Pulp Version 1 (February 2015), FPInnovations.<sup>19</sup>

---

<sup>17</sup> [https://www.scsglobalservices.com/files/resources/lcs\\_rpt\\_reincarnation100\\_mainbody\\_100815.pdf](https://www.scsglobalservices.com/files/resources/lcs_rpt_reincarnation100_mainbody_100815.pdf)

<sup>18</sup> <https://fpinnovations.ca/ResearchProgram/environment-sustainability/epd-program/Documents/wood-products-pcr-version-v1.1-may-2013-lastest-version.pdf>

<sup>19</sup> <https://fpinnovations.ca/ResearchProgram/environment-sustainability/epd-program/Documents/Market%20Pulp%20PCR%20February%202015.pdf>