Published online: 2024 July 13.

Research Article



Development and Validation of the Criminal Aptitude Inventory (Urdu): A Comprehensive Assessment Across Convicted Prisoners and the General Population

Waqar Husain 🔟 ^{1,*}, Aqsa Murtaza ¹, Muhammad Ahmad Husain ¹, Farrukh Ijaz ¹

¹COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan

^{*}Corresponding author: COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: drsukoon@gmail.com

Received 2024 January 17; Revised 2024 May 25; Accepted 2024 June 11.

Abstract

Background: Criminal aptitude testing benefits police and other fields of law enforcement. Earlier literature lacked an Urdulanguage scale for criminal aptitude.

Objectives: The present study developed and validated a new scale to measure criminal aptitude in Urdu speakers.

Methods: The development and validation of the Criminal Aptitude Inventory (CAI) unfolded across three distinct phases, involving a total of 1675 participants (51.34% women), including convicted prisoners (37.33%). In the initial phase, the CAI underwent development and preliminary validation through exploratory factor analysis (EFA). Subsequently, the second phase utilized Confirmatory Factor Analysis to further confirm the scale's validity. The third phase focused on analyzing the CAI's convergent and discriminant validity by investigating its relationship with antisocial personality disorder, morality, religiosity, and spirituality.

Results: The results demonstrated high reliability and validity of the CAI, affirming its suitability for future applications. The final version of the scale comprises a single factor and consists of 36 items, each utilizing a 5-point Likert-type response format. Indicators such as Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin's values (0.984), Cronbach's alpha (0.984), average item-total correlation (P < 0.001), comparative fit index (0.936), Tucker-Lewis Index (0.932), Goodness of Fit Index (GFI=.939), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA = 0.043), convergent validity with antisocial personality disorder (r = 0.458; P < 0.01), and discriminant validity with morality (r = -0.396; P < 0.01), religiosity (r = -318; P < 0.01), and spirituality (r = -.453; P < 0.01) established the reliability and validity of the CAI.

Conclusions: The dual-source data collection strategy employed in this study, drawing from both convicted prisoners and the general population, enhances and operationalizes the scale. This approach ensures its applicability across diverse Urduspeaking communities in Pakistan and India.

Keywords: Criminality, Criminal Aptitude, Prisoners, Urdu, Scale

1. Background

The construct of crime is difficult to define due to cultural variations (1, 2). It is legally described as intentional acts that violate criminal law, committed without defense, and penalized by the state (3). Traditional views on crime often face criticism for favoring powerful societal groups over moral norms (4). Crime manifests in various forms such as personal crimes (e.g., murder, robbery), property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft), consensual or victimless crimes (e.g., prostitution, illegal gambling), white-collar crimes (e.g.,

embezzlement, fraud), organized crimes (e.g., kidnapping, human trafficking), juvenile delinquency (crimes by those under 18), cybercrime (e.g., cyber terrorism, Internet fraud), and public safety violations (5).

Theorists often examine common traits among criminals when studying criminal behavior. Biological theories suggest that criminals are inherently predisposed to crime due to distinct biological characteristics. Sociological theories attribute criminal behavior to social opportunities and inequalities. Anthropological theories explore the influence of social

Copyright © 2024, Annals of Military and Health Sciences Research. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which allows for the copying and redistribution of the material only for noncommercial purposes, provided that the original work is properly cited. relationships, class stratification, rapid social change, resource access, division of labor, colonized societies, power differentials, and wealth. Economic theories focus on cost-benefit analysis, proposing that individuals commit crimes when benefits surpass potential consequences. Psychological theories consider individualized and subjective factors such as cognition, personality, psychopathology, and positive reinforcement (1, 3-6).

Genetics and psychosocial variables both influence criminality. Men are more likely to commit crimes (1, 7). Psychopathy and sociopathy are also linked to criminal activity, particularly antisocial personality disorder (8, 9). Criminals exhibit chronic lying, lack of empathy, conditional love, blame-shifting, irresponsibility, and poor self-control. Impulsivity, intolerance. sensation-seeking, cognitive-social anxiety, antagonism, and violence are also common (10). Childhood environment, inconsistent love and discipline, physical abuse, maternal smoking during pregnancy, low birth weight, perinatal trauma, child neglect, low parental attachment, family discord, substance abuse in the family, poor parental supervision, large family size, birth order, bed-wetting, school disciplinary issues, low academic performance, dropping out of school, and childhood lead exposure all contribute to criminal behavior (7).

Criminal aptitude testing is essential in forensic psychology for identifying criminal inclinations. The existing literature lacks measures to test criminal aptitude in Urdu.

2. Objectives

The current study aimed to develop and validate the Criminal Aptitude Inventory (CAI) to fill this gap. Data was collected from both convicted prisoners and the general population. This dual-source data collection strategy, adapted in the series of four phases of the current study, strengthens and applies the CAI to other Urdu-speaking groups in Pakistan and India.

3. Methods

The present study involved three phases. Phase 1 focused on the development and exploratory factor analysis (EFA) of the CAI. Phase 2 involved the confirmatory factor analysis of the CAI. Phase 3 established the convergent and discriminant validity of the CAI.

3.1. Participants

The study involved 1675 participants across its three phases. Participants in phase 1 (n = 608; men = 66%; married = 37%; prisoners = 52%; age range = 18 - 73 years, M = 29; education range = 5 - 18 years formal education, M = 11), phase 2 (n = 956; men = 34%; married = 89%; prisoners = 4%; age range = 18 - 29 years, M = 21; education range = 10 - 20 years formal education, M = 14), and phase 3 (n = 111; men = 46%; married = 19%; age range = 18 - 51 years, M = 25; education range = 10 - 18 years formal education, M = 14) were conveniently selected while visiting different prisons and educational institutions in Rawalpindi, Islamabad, Haripur, and Attock, Pakistan.

3.2. Instruments

A new scale named the "Criminal Aptitude Inventory (CAI)" was developed. This scale consists of 36 items presented in Urdu, with responses recorded on a 5-point Likert Scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. To assess the convergent and discriminant validity of the CAI, two established measures were employed: The Sukoon Moral, Religious, and Spiritual Intelligence Scale (this study) and the Anti-Social Personality Disorder Sub-Scale of the Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire (Hyler et al., 1992).

3.3. Procedure

The study obtained ethical approval from the Ethics Review Committee at (the Department of Humanities at COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad Campus, Pakistan). Data was collected during October-November 2023. Researchers individually approached potential participants in various settings, including prisons, educational institutions, and public offices. Each participant received a detailed explanation of the study's purpose, and their informed consent to participate was obtained. The entire study adhered to ethical guidelines in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its subsequent amendments, ensuring the ethical conduct of research procedures.

3.4. Analysis

The analysis utilized SPSS and JASP software. Both exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses were conducted to assess the reliability and validity of the instrument. Additionally, the Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to determine the convergent and discriminant validity of the CAI.

4. Results

Table 1. Reliability and Data Accuracy ($n = 1675$)								
Variables	Items	α	Mean ± SD	%	Rang	e	Skewness	Kurtosis
					Potential	Actual		
Phase 1 (n = 608)								
CAI	36	0.984	66.923 ± 38.052	37.179	36 - 180	36 - 179	1.519	1.153
Phase 2 (n = 956)								
CAI	36	0.950	50.780 ± 17.463	28.211	36 - 180	38 - 176	3.762	19.178
Phase 3 (n = 111)								
CAI	36	0.882	58.216 ± 16.415	32.342	36 - 180	39 - 137	1.480	3.965
Religious intelligence	10	0.870	58.991 ± 9.254	84.273	10 - 70	15 - 70	-1.707	4.478
Spiritual intelligence	6	0.799	31.622 ± 5.842	75.290	6 - 42	15 - 42	-0.390	-0.247
Moral intelligence	4	0.604	22.892 ± 3.262	81.757	4 - 28	13 - 28	-0.393	-0.072
Anti-social personality disorder	7	0.556	2.711 ± 1.775	38.728	0 - 7	0 - 7	.90	-0.783

Abbreviations: CAI, Criminal Aptitude Inventory; n, number of participants; α , Cronbach's Alpha; M, mean; SD, standard deviation.

4.1. The Psychometric Properties of Criminal Aptitude Inventory (CAI)

In the initial development of the CAI, a total of 50 items were generated through three focus group discussions with 60 convicted prisoners, who shared their perspectives on criminality and criminals. Based on these discussions, 50 statements were formulated and submitted to a panel of 5 clinical psychologists for face validity assessment. The panel approved the statements, affirming their validity for measuring the construct of criminal aptitude. Data gathered in all four phases of the study was found reliable (Table 1).

4.2. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

Phase 1 employed principal component analysis with Varimax Rotation, concluding with a single-factor solution for 36 items (Table 2), discarding 14 items for having insufficient validity (item extraction values less than 0.4). The sample size (n = 608) for EFA was found to be excellent (KMO = 0.984), and the variance explained was 64.73%. Cronbach's alpha reliability was 0.984. Communalities for all items were acceptable, ranging from 0.42 to 0.77 (Table 2). Item-total correlations were highly significant for all items, affirming their relationship with the overall scale (Table 2).

4.3. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)

Phase 2 involved 956 participants to test the 36-item single-factor solution. The factor loadings were statistically significant (Table 3 P < 0.01), indicating strong item relationships with the original factor. A heterotrait-monotrait ratio of 1 suggested good discriminant validity. Reliability was also high, with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.950. The CFA model

demonstrated good fit according to several fit indices, including Comparative Fit Index (CFI): 0.936; Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI): 0.932; Bentler-Bonett Non-normed Fit Index (NNFI): 0.932; Bentler-Bonett Normed Fit Index (NFI): 0.904; Parsimony Normed Fit Index (PNFI): 0.852; Bollen's Relative Fit Index (RFI): 0.898; Bollen's Incremental Fit Index (IFI): 0.936; Relative Noncentrality Index (RNI): 0.936; Goodness of Fit Index (GFI): 0.939; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA): 0.043; and Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test: 0.980.

4.4. Convergent and Discriminant Validity

In phase 3, the CAI was tested for convergent and discriminant validity. The CAI revealed a significant positive correlation with antisocial personality disorder (r = 0.458; P < 0.01) and significantly inverse correlations with morality (r = -0.396; P < 0.01), religiosity (r = -0.318; P < 0.01), and spirituality (r = -0.453; P < 0.01).

Overall, the findings from the validation indicate that the CAI is sufficiently reliable and valid for further use in assessing criminal aptitude.

4.5. Additional Findings

The contrast in criminal aptitude between prisoners and the general population was highly significant, showing a large effect size (Table 4 M = 85.50 vs 46.68; P = 0.000; Cohen's d = 1.185). Additionally, a notable difference in criminal aptitude was observed between men and women, with men exhibiting significantly higher levels and a large effect size (Table 3 M = 77.90 vs45.64; P = 0.000; Cohen's d = 0.925). The distinction in criminal aptitude between married and unmarried individuals was also statistically significant, though with a small effect size (Table 3 M = 72 vs 63.89; P = 0.011; Cohen's d = 0.214). Furthermore, individuals with

10.7070.4990.70020.8330.6000.84230.6000.8420.84240.7070.5480.70750.7110.5670.80960.7110.5670.73770.7270.5440.73980.7920.5370.73190.7020.5340.739100.7320.5330.739110.5930.6200.739120.7320.5310.739130.5320.7390.739140.5320.7390.837150.4340.5320.749160.4300.7510.434170.5410.5320.749180.6300.770.749190.4310.5320.737190.5410.5310.631190.5210.5410.731190.5210.5410.731190.5210.5410.731100.5210.5410.531120.5410.5310.541130.5410.7510.751140.5520.6410.751150.7510.5410.751160.7510.6410.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751 <t< th=""><th></th></t<>	
20.6310.6600.62130.6320.6320.63240.6360.6320.63250.6110.6710.6610.67260.7110.660.730.7370.440.7310.7310.73160.7210.530.7310.73170.7210.530.7310.73170.7320.530.7310.73170.7310.530.7310.73170.7320.5310.7310.73170.7320.7320.7310.73170.7310.7310.7310.73180.7320.7310.7310.731190.7340.7610.7310.74170.7510.7510.7510.74170.7510.7510.7510.741190.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510.75170.7510.7510.7510	
10.4260.6320.63340.7770.580.67750.610.7770.580.67750.610.7710.5440.79970.5410.7910.5440.79980.7720.5400.77190.7720.5300.791100.7240.5900.791110.7810.5010.791120.7810.5010.671130.7810.7900.677140.5420.7910.791150.7410.5110.745160.7510.7510.751170.5910.7510.751180.7510.6710.751190.7510.6710.751190.7510.6710.751190.7510.6710.751190.7510.6710.751190.7510.6710.751190.7510.6710.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.761190.7510.7610.761190.7510.7610.761190.7510.7610.761190.7510.7610.761190.7510.7610.761190.75	
40.6770.580.67750.8100.6770.64070.7770.5400.7980.6700.6700.67090.7720.5100.73790.7210.5300.737100.7210.5300.737110.7240.5900.791120.7310.7000.637130.7320.7000.637140.7420.7000.637150.7420.7600.761160.7430.7010.761170.7410.7610.761160.7410.7510.761170.7510.7710.761160.7510.7510.761170.7510.7510.761180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751100.7510.7510.751120.7510.7510.751140.7510.7510.751150.7510.7510.751160.7510.7510.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751	
S0.0110.6770.001G0.710.5600.7570.570.540.7370.730.540.7390.720.530.73100.720.530.73110.720.500.74120.720.500.74130.720.730.74140.740.500.74150.740.740.74160.740.740.74170.740.740.74180.740.740.74190.740.750.74190.750.740.74190.750.750.74190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750.750.75190.750	
60.7110.5660.7370.740.790.7980.6900.6700.67090.7220.5510.720100.7840.6300.700110.7810.6800.700120.7810.6800.701140.7810.7000.871150.7410.7510.761160.7410.7510.761170.7510.7510.761160.7510.7510.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751140.7510.7510.751150.7510.7510.751160.7610.7610.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751140.7510.7510.751150.7510.7510.751160.7510.7510.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751	
70.7370.9440.73980.7370.7370.73790.7320.5350.733100.7420.5350.737110.7420.5300.749120.7830.6200.789130.8460.7600.887140.8460.7600.887150.7410.5230.753160.7510.7670.767170.7510.7670.767180.7510.7610.761190.7510.8710.761140.7510.7610.761150.7510.7610.761160.7510.7610.761170.7510.7510.761160.7510.7510.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751140.7510.7510.751150.7510.7510.751160.7510.7510.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751	
80.0390.6790.03790.7320.5350.733100.7420.5500.740110.7880.6200.799120.8370.6800.789140.8450.6860.761150.7430.5230.755160.7510.7510.751170.7510.6710.751180.7510.6510.751190.7610.6510.751140.8410.5610.751150.7510.6510.751160.7510.6510.751170.8210.6710.751180.7510.6510.751190.7510.6510.751200.7510.6510.751210.7510.6510.751220.7510.6510.751230.6510.6510.641240.7510.6510.751250.7510.6510.751260.7510.6510.751270.7510.6510.751290.6710.7510.751200.7510.7510.751210.7510.7510.751220.7510.7510.751230.7510.7510.751240.7510.7510.751250.7510.7510.751260.7510.7510.751 <td></td>	
90.7210.530.73100.7420.5900.740110.7880.6200.789120.7810.6200.789130.6810.6810.681140.6840.7610.681150.7430.5520.751160.7510.7510.751160.7510.7510.751160.7510.7510.751170.7510.7510.751180.7510.7510.751190.7510.7510.751200.7510.7510.751210.7510.7510.751240.7510.7510.751250.7510.7510.751260.7510.7510.751270.7510.7510.751280.7510.7510.751290.7510.7510.751200.7510.7510.751210.7510.7510.751240.7510.7510.751250.7510.7510.751260.7510.7510.751270.7510.7510.751280.7510.7510.751290.7510.7510.751200.7510.7510.751210.7510.7510.751230.7510.7510.751240.7510.7510.751 <td></td>	
IO0.7420.5590.740I10.7380.6300.789I20.6370.7000.877I30.6280.6660.82I40.6280.6660.82I50.7430.5200.761I60.8000.7750.874I70.8760.7710.874I80.7510.6870.829I90.8290.6710.826I40.8100.7510.874I50.8290.6710.826I60.7610.8290.671I80.7510.8670.826I40.8100.6870.826I50.8420.7010.842I60.8170.6670.826I40.8170.6670.836I50.8760.7770.876I50.8760.6770.876I50.8770.6380.812I60.8700.6810.827I60.8710.6950.876I60.8710.7910.875I60.8710.7510.868I60.8720.7560.868I60.8720.7560.849I60.8720.7560.849I60.8720.7560.849I60.8520.7560.849I60.840.7060.849I60.840.7560.849	
I0.7810.6200.791I20.7370.7000.837I30.8280.6800.791I40.8460.7610.828I50.7430.5520.743I60.7510.7750.771I70.7510.5630.713I80.7910.5630.713I90.2920.670.821I40.7510.8210.751I30.7510.8210.751I40.7010.6670.821I50.6710.6670.821I40.7510.6670.821I50.6710.6670.821I40.7910.6670.821I50.6710.6670.821I40.7510.6110.821I50.6110.6670.821I50.6110.6670.821I50.6110.6670.821I50.6110.6670.821I50.6110.6510.821I50.6110.6510.821I50.6110.6510.821I50.6110.6510.615I50.6110.7510.651I50.6120.7510.651I50.6130.7510.641I50.6150.7510.641I50.6150.7510.641I50.6150.7510.641I50.6150.7510.641 <td></td>	
120.6370.7000.837130.8230.6650.828140.8460.7060.845150.7430.5230.745160.8800.7750.874170.3760.7310.867180.7310.5630.731190.8210.6750.821210.8210.6750.821230.6710.8210.671240.9350.6480.935250.6710.8100.821260.6750.8110.81270.8250.6480.801280.8750.6670.81290.8710.6670.81290.8710.6510.821290.8710.6510.821290.8710.6510.821290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871200.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871290.8710.5910.871	
A28 A68 A68 A28 14 0.846 0.76 0.845 15 0.743 0.52 0.745 16 0.880 0.75 0.876 17 0.876 0.77 0.874 18 0.75 0.876 0.77 19 0.829 0.67 0.826 20 0.751 0.826 0.75 21 0.829 0.67 0.826 22 0.829 0.67 0.826 23 0.821 0.57 0.826 24 0.821 0.67 0.826 23 0.821 0.67 0.826 24 0.821 0.67 0.826 25 0.826 0.70 0.826 24 0.876 0.70 0.826 25 0.876 0.767 0.826 26 0.799 0.836 0.826 27 0.871 0.695 0.875	
14 0.846 0.76 0.845 15 0.743 0.552 0.745 16 0.880 0.775 0.878 17 0.876 0.767 0.874 18 0.751 0.553 0.571 19 0.829 0.687 0.826 20 0.761 0.580 0.759 21 0.821 0.675 0.826 22 0.821 0.697 0.826 24 0.761 0.580 0.826 25 0.821 0.697 0.826 24 0.821 0.697 0.826 25 0.821 0.697 0.826 26 0.827 0.697 0.826 26 0.827 0.697 0.826 26 0.837 0.667 0.826 27 0.825 0.681 0.827 28 0.897 0.693 0.826 29 0.837 0.693 0.826 29 0.870 0.756 0.868 29 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
15 0,743 0,523 0,745 16 0,880 0,775 0,878 17 0,876 0,775 0,878 18 0,751 0,563 0,751 19 0,829 0,657 0,826 20 0,751 0,530 0,875 21 0,821 0,675 0,821 22 0,821 0,675 0,821 23 0,824 0,70 0,842 24 0,825 0,648 0,805 24 0,876 0,675 0,826 24 0,876 0,676 0,826 25 0,876 0,676 0,826 26 0,799 0,638 0,801 27 0,825 0,618 0,822 26 0,877 0,759 0,825 27 0,871 0,756 0,857 28 0,870 0,756 0,858 29 0,871 0,822 0,849	
16 0.680 0.75 0.87 17 0.676 0.670 0.874 18 0.751 0.530 0.751 19 0.829 0.687 0.826 20 0.761 0.580 0.759 21 0.821 0.670 0.821 22 0.842 0.70 0.821 23 0.842 0.70 0.842 24 0.876 0.671 0.805 25 0.876 0.671 0.805 26 0.876 0.771 0.816 27 0.876 0.767 0.826 26 0.877 0.681 0.827 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.558 0.827 29 0.877 0.759 0.857 20 0.877 0.759 0.858 29 0.870 0.756 0.849 20 0.870 0.756 0.849 21 0.851 0.760 0.849	
17 0.876 0.767 0.874 18 0.751 0.563 0.751 19 0.829 0.687 0.826 20 0.761 0.580 0.759 21 0.761 0.580 0.821 22 0.821 0.70 0.842 23 0.842 0.70 0.842 24 0.817 0.667 0.842 25 0.876 0.67 0.816 26 0.871 0.667 0.816 26 0.876 0.667 0.816 26 0.876 0.667 0.816 27 0.876 0.667 0.816 26 0.877 0.667 0.816 27 0.876 0.667 0.827 28 0.871 0.668 0.821 29 0.871 0.658 0.812 29 0.871 0.756 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.849 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32	
18 0.751 0.563 0.751 19 0.829 0.687 0.826 20 0.761 0.580 0.751 21 0.821 0.675 0.821 22 0.842 0.70 0.842 23 0.805 0.687 0.805 24 0.807 0.667 0.842 23 0.805 0.688 0.805 24 0.807 0.667 0.805 25 0.817 0.667 0.818 26 0.817 0.667 0.818 25 0.816 0.757 0.816 26 0.799 0.638 0.811 27 0.815 0.61 0.822 28 0.811 0.558 0.812 29 0.871 0.759 0.855 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.851 0.706 0.849 32 0.851 0.706 0.875	
9 0.829 0.687 0.826 10 0.761 0.580 0.759 11 0.821 0.675 0.821 12 0.842 0.70 0.842 13 0.805 0.648 0.805 14 0.805 0.648 0.805 14 0.807 0.667 0.818 15 0.876 0.767 0.876 16 0.799 0.638 0.821 17 0.825 0.681 0.822 18 0.811 0.558 0.812 19 0.837 0.769 0.875 10 0.870 0.756 0.868 10 0.851 0.726 0.849 12 0.851 0.726 0.879	
10 0.751 0.580 0.759 11 0.821 0.675 0.821 12 0.842 0.70 0.842 13 0.805 0.648 0.805 14 0.805 0.648 0.805 14 0.817 0.667 0.818 15 0.876 0.779 0.816 16 0.799 0.638 0.821 17 0.825 0.648 0.822 18 0.811 0.558 0.812 19 0.811 0.558 0.812 19 0.827 0.759 0.875 10 0.870 0.756 0.868 11 0.852 0.726 0.849 12 0.854 0.700 0.875	
A1 0.521 0.675 0.821 22 0.842 0.70 0.842 23 0.805 0.648 0.805 24 0.805 0.648 0.805 24 0.807 0.670 0.818 25 0.876 0.767 0.876 26 0.876 0.767 0.876 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.558 0.821 29 0.817 0.769 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.881 0.760 0.879	
22 0.842 0.70 0.842 33 0.805 0.648 0.805 24 0.817 0.667 0.818 25 0.876 0.767 0.876 26 0.799 0.638 0.801 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.558 0.812 29 0.877 0.769 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.854 0.700 0.879	
A3 0.805 0.648 0.805 14 0.817 0.667 0.818 25 0.876 0.767 0.876 26 0.799 0.638 0.801 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.558 0.812 29 0.877 0.769 0.875 30 0.857 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.884 0.776 0.879	
24 0.617 0.667 0.818 25 0.876 0.767 0.876 26 0.799 0.638 0.801 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.558 0.812 29 0.877 0.769 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.879 32 0.881 0.776 0.879	
A5 0.876 0.767 0.876 26 0.799 0.638 0.801 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.658 0.812 29 0.877 0.759 0.853 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.879 32 0.854 0.700 0.875	
26 0.799 0.638 0.801 27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.611 0.658 0.812 29 0.877 0.759 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.854 0.770 0.879	
27 0.825 0.681 0.822 28 0.811 0.658 0.812 29 0.877 0.769 0.875 30 0.850 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.854 0.770 0.879	
28 0.611 0.658 0.812 29 0.877 0.769 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.854 0.770 0.875	
29 0.877 0.769 0.875 30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.854 0.776 0.879	
30 0.870 0.756 0.868 31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.881 0.776 0.879	
31 0.852 0.726 0.849 32 0.881 0.776 0.879 33 0.854 0.700 0.955	
32 0.881 0.776 0.879	
33 0.854 0.730 0.955	
JJ 0.034 0.030 0.633	
34 0.816 0.666 0.818	
35 0.684 0.468 0.689	
36 0.655 0.429 0.662	

^a Extraction method: Principal component analysis; rotation method, varimax with kaiser normalization. ^b Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

insufficient monthly income demonstrated a significantly higher level of criminal aptitude, indicating a large effect size (Table 3 M = 79.18 vs 55.45; P = 0.000; Cohen's d = 0.655).

5. Discussion

In forensic psychology, evaluating criminal aptitude is essential for determining whether an individual has a tendency toward criminal behavior. Existing literature lacked methods to evaluate criminal aptitude in Urdu, despite its great relevance. This study aimed to fill this gap through the development and validation of the CAI. The development and validation process was carried out in three phases. The CAI was developed and then subjected to EFA during the first phase. The second phase involved confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), and the third phase established the convergent and discriminant validity of the CAI. A total of 1279 individuals participated in the study across all three phases, including both incarcerated inmates and members of the general population.

The CAI measures criminal aptitude based on common factors that lead individuals toward criminal behavior. The items of the CAI address poverty,

Itom		Factor Loadings				Residual Va	ariances	
em	Estimate	SE	z	Р	Estimate	SE	z	Р
	0.343	0.026	13.236	< 0.001	0.564	0.026	21.698	< 0.00
	0.393	0.018	21.520	< 0.001	0.233	0.011	21.337	< 0.00
	0.436	0.018	24.054	< 0.001	0.211	0.010	21.149	< 0.00
	0.430	0.021	20.222	< 0.001	0.327	0.015	21.415	< 0.00
	0.471	0.021	22.904	< 0.001	0.282	0.013	21.241	< 0.00
	0.452	0.028	16.408	< 0.001	0.601	0.028	21.596	< 0.00
	0.384	0.030	12.701	< 0.001	0.773	0.036	21.713	< 0.00
	0.467	0.023	20.627	< 0.001	0.368	0.017	21.394	< 0.00
	0.489	0.025	19.929	< 0.001	0.439	0.020	21.435	< 0.00
	0.482	0.022	21.927	< 0.001	0.334	0.016	21.315	< 0.00
	0.413	0.025	16.340	< 0.001	0.508	0.024	21.599	< 0.00
	0.459	0.022	20.599	< 0.001	0.356	0.017	21.395	< 0.00
	0.523	0.021	24.805	< 0.001	0.278	0.013	21.088	< 0.00
	0.527	0.025	21.485	< 0.001	0.421	0.020	21.333	< 0.00
	0.361	0.036	9.938	< 0.001	1.155	0.053	21.774	< 0.00
	0.560	0.021	26.465	< 0.001	0.261	0.012	20.913	< 0.00
	0.546	0.018	30.388	< 0.001	0.153	0.008	20.325	< 0.00
	0.532	0.023	22.677	< 0.001	0.371	0.017	21.264	< 0.00
	0.499	0.017	29.070	< 0.001	0.151	0.007	20.571	< 0.00
	0.535	0.018	30.308	< 0.001	0.149	0.007	20.348	< 0.00
	0.481	0.024	19.898	< 0.001	0.428	0.020	21.437	< 0.00
	0.527	0.020	26.521	< 0.001	0.229	0.011	20.912	< 0.00
	0.481	0.025	19.037	< 0.001	0.476	0.022	21.482	< 0.00
	0.481	0.028	17.456	< 0.001	0.588	0.027	21.540	< 0.00
	0.455	0.023	19.429	< 0.001	0.406	0.019	21.461	< 0.00
	0.484	0.024	19.820	< 0.001	0.436	0.020	21.438	< 0.00
	0.522	0.019	26.915	< 0.001	0.215	0.010	20.864	< 0.00
	0.517	0.022	23.693	< 0.001	0.310	0.015	21.181	< 0.00
	0.489	0.018	26.420	< 0.001	0.200	0.010	20.921	< 0.00
	0.538	0.019	28.760	< 0.001	0.183	0.009	20.614	< 0.00
	0.504	0.019	26.798	< 0.001	0.203	0.010	20.877	< 0.00
	0.535	0.019	27.489	< 0.001	0.211	0.010	20.770	< 0.00
	0.494	0.026	18.898	< 0.001	0.512	0.024	21.488	< 0.00
	0.511	0.027	18.892	< 0.001	0.547	0.025	21.486	< 0.00
	0.394	0.031	12.923	< 0.001	0.784	0.036	21.706	< 0.00
	0.270	0.040	6.664	< 0.001	1 477	0.068	21.824	< 0.00

^a Extraction was performed using the Maximum-likelihood extraction technique with no rotation. Average variance extracted was 0.350.

joblessness, immorality, violence, purposelessness, bad company, childhood experiences, rejection, loneliness, narcissism, envy, abuse, erroneous beliefs, drug addiction, injustice, and cognitive dissonance. All these factors have been regarded as significant contributors to criminal behavior (1, 3-6). The CAI plays a vital role for prison psychologists by enabling them to monitor the progress of criminal aptitude during psychosocial rehabilitation programs. It can also serve as a comparative measure to assess the efficacy of correctional programs. Additionally, the CAI provides a foundation for future investigations in Pakistan and other regions where Urdu is spoken, such as India.

5.1. Implications

Category	Ν	М	t(606)	P-Value	Cohen's d
Criminal tendencies					
Prisoners	317	85.505 ± 43.761	14.599	0.000	1.185
General	291	46.680 ± 12.467			
Gender					
Men	401	77.905 ± 42.468	10.809	0.000	0.925
Women	207	45.647 ± 8.653			
Marital status					
Married	227	72.009 ± 38.813	2.556	0.011	0.214
Single	381	63.892 ± 37.314			
The level of income					
Low income	294	79.187 ± 43.929	8.070	0.000	0.655
Moderate income	313	55.454 ± 27.023			

5.2. Conclusions

The CAI, developed and validated in this study, is an effective tool for measuring criminal tendencies in Urdu-speaking communities. The rigorous psychometric evaluations performed ensure the reliability and validity of the CAI. The CAI has tremendous potential for use in various forensic and psychological contexts, assisting law enforcement and psychological examinations in detecting and addressing criminal behavior tendencies.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: W.H., conceived and designed the evaluation, performed statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript; A.M., M.A.H., and F.I. collected the data and reviewed the literature.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors affirm that there are no conflicts of interest concerning the publication of this manuscript. There is no affiliation with any organization or entity that could potentially bias the research findings or influence the publication process.

Data Availability: The data relevant to this paper is available upon request. Interested parties may obtain access to the dataset by contacting the corresponding author directly. This approach ensures the privacy and confidentiality of the participants' information while facilitating academic transparency and integrity.

Ethical Approval: The ethical approval was granted by the departmental review committee at the Department

of Humanities, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan. All the procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Funding/Support: This study received no external funding from any source. The research was entirely self-funded, underscoring the author's dedication to independent and unbiased inquiry.

Informed Consent: Informed verbal consent was obtained from all the participants. This process ensured that participants were fully aware of the nature of the study, including its purpose, procedures, and potential risks.

References

- Carrabine E, Cox P, South N, Lee M, Plummer K, Turton J. Criminology: *A* Sociological Introduction. London: Routledge; 2009. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203884942</u>.
- Sellin T. Culture Conflict and Crime. In: Dressler D, editor. *Readings in Criminology and Penology*. New York, USA: Columbia University Press,; 1972. p. 361-4. https://doi.org/10.7312/dres92534-038.
- 3. Wakefield A, Fleming J. *The SAGE Dictionary of Policing*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE; 2009. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446269053.
- Fitzgerald CS. Historical Theories of Crime and Delinquency. J Hum Behav Soc Environ. 2011;21(3):297-311. https://doi.org/10.1080/10911359.2011.564954.
- Sowmyya T. Crime: A Conceptual Understanding. Ind J Appl Res. 2011;4(3):196-8. https://doi.org/10.15373/2249555x/mar2014/58.
- Jeroslow P. Anthropological Theories of Crime and Delinquency. J Hum Behav Soc Environ. 2011;21(3):255-69. https://doi.org/10.1080/10911359.2011.564554.
- 7. Ellis L DP, Farrington AW. *Handbook of crime correlates*. Waltham, MA: Academic Press; 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/c2015-0-01432-0.
- 8. Krueger RF, Markon KE, Patrick CJ, Iacono WG. Externalizing psychopathology in adulthood: a dimensional-spectrum

conceptualization and its implications for DSM-V. J Abnorm Psychol. 2005;114(4):537-50. [PubMed ID: 16351376]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2242352]. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.537.

9. Sinha S. Personality correlates of criminals: A comparative study between normal controls and criminals. *Ind Psychiatry J.* 2016;**25**(1):41-

6. [PubMed ID: 28163407]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5248419]. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-6748.196058.

10. Kamaluddin MR, Shariff NSM, Othman A, Ismail KH, Saat GAM. Linking psychological traits with criminal behaviour: A review. *ASEANJ Psychiatr.* 2015;**16**(2):13-25.