

Efects of poultry by-product meal and complete replacement of fsh oil with alternative oils on growth performance and gut health of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus* mykiss): a FEEDNETICS[™] validation study

Imam Hasan¹, Simona Rimoldi¹, Biagina Chiofalo², Marianna Oteri², Micaela Antonini¹, Rosangela Armone², Violeta Kalemi¹, Laura Gasco³ and Genciana Terova^{1*}

Abstract

Background Aquaculture, traditionally a form of biotechnology, has evolved to integrate innovative biotechnological applications, such as advanced feed formulations, aimed at improving the growth performance and health of farmed fsh species. In the present study, the efects of feeding rainbow trout with novel feed formulations were investigated. Fish growth, gut and liver morphology, the concentration of fatty acids in the fllet, and volatile fatty acids in the gut were assessed. The study also validated scenarios from in vivo experiments using a nutrient-based model called FEEDNETICS™. This globally used model serves as a tool for data interpretation and decision support in the context of precision fsh farming.

Methods Alternative protein and oil sources, including poultry by-product meal (PBM) and natural algae oil, were explored as sustainable replacements for fshmeal (FM) and fsh oil (FO). A 90-day feeding trial was conducted using rainbow trout, comparing two isoproteic, isolipidic and isoenergetic diets. The control diet contained 15% FM, 5% PBM, and 8% FO, while the test diet replaced FM with 15% PBM and 5% feather meal hydrolysate (FMH), and fully substituted FO with VeraMaris[®] natural algae oil and rapeseed oil.

Results PBM successfully replaced FM protein without negatively afecting feed intake, growth performance or feed utilization in trout. The combination of PBM and natural algae oil was well tolerated by the trout and showed no negative efects on gut health. A detailed analysis of fatty acids in the fllet revealed that PUFAs of the n3 and n6 series were signifcantly higher in the PBM group than in the FM group. Values of fatty acid-related health indexes, including atherogenicity index, and thrombogenicity index, confrmed the high nutritional value of trout flet, thus representing a healthy product for human. In addition, the predictions using the FEEDNETICS™ indicated that the tested novel alternative formulations are economically viable. The validation of the model for fsh growth resulted in a Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) of 8%.

*Correspondence: Genciana Terova genciana.terova@uninsubria.it Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Conclusions The FEEDNETICS™ application enhances our ability to optimize feeding strategies and improve production efficiency in the aquaculture industry. VeraMaris® algae oil and PBM could serve as viable and sustainable raw materials for fsh feed, promoting environmentally friendly aquaculture practices.

Keywords Alternative protein sources, Fishmeal substitution, Poultry by-product meal, Growth performance, Rainbow Trout, Sustainable aquafeed, FEEDNETICS™

Introduction

The aquafeed industry has grown significantly due to rising demand for fsh and seafood. However, this growth has raised sustainability concern mainly due to the dependence on marine-derived ingredients such as fshmeal (FM) and fsh oil (FO) whose global production is reaching its limits. As demand for aquafeed increase, the limited supply of FM and FO poses a challenge for the aquaculture industry. Therefore, finding sustainable alternatives to FM and FO is crucial for the industry's continued growth [\[1](#page-16-0), [2\]](#page-16-1).

Since 1960s and 1970s, signifcant progress has been made in fnding alternatives to FM and FO in aquafeed. These efforts have led to the partial replacement of FM and FO with terrestrial plant ingredients such as soya, rapeseed, lupins, peas, wheat, maize, and linseed which are now commonly used in aquaculture [\[3](#page-17-0), [4](#page-17-1)]. While the use of herbal ingredients in aquafeeds has shown promise as a partial replacement, there are serious limitations when considered as a full replacement for FM and FO [[5\]](#page-17-2). Many plant-based ingredients have relatively limited nutritional value, including unbalanced amino acid and fatty acid profles that can lead to nutritional defciencies in some fish species $[1, 6]$ $[1, 6]$ $[1, 6]$ $[1, 6]$. In addition, certain biological components, such as antinutritional factors, may be present in plant-based ingredients that negatively impact fsh performance, health and overall well-being $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$. These factors highlight the need for further research and optimisation to develop sustainable and nutritionally appropriate aquafeed formulations for various fsh species.

In recent aquafeed research, has shown growing interest in novel protein sources as alternatives to FM and terrestrial plant proteins. Protein sources such as processed animal proteins (PAPs) from terrestrial animals (e.g., poultry by-product meal, blood meal and feather meal), insect meal (e.g., black soldier fy and yellow mealworm), unicellular proteins (SCP) (e.g., microalgae, yeasts, bacteria and protists) and macroalgae (e.g., *Ulva*, *Gracilaria* and *Laminaria*) have emerged as potential sustainable alternatives $[8, 9]$ $[8, 9]$ $[8, 9]$ $[8, 9]$. The reason for this change is their lower environmental impact compared to conventional sources. As an alternative to FM, researchers have explored various animal protein sources, including rendered animal protein products such as meat and bone meal and poultry by-product meal (PBM) [[10\]](#page-17-7).

Terrestrial animal proteins, including poultry by-product meal (PBM) and feather meal hydrolysate (FMH), have gained signifcant attention as valuable feed ingredients in aquaculture. These protein sources have been re-authorized for use in European aquafeeds and have demonstrated efectiveness as substitutes for FM in the diets of various fish species $[11-13]$ $[11-13]$. However, some rendered animal protein meals, such as blood meal, FMH, and meat and bone meal, may present a defciency or excess of certain essential amino acids. This variation in amino acid profles can lead to inconsistent performance in fish, especially when these protein sources are used alone as the primary protein in the diet $[14-16]$ $[14-16]$. Therefore, while PBM and FMH offer promising alternatives to FM, their amino acid composition must be carefully balanced to ensure optimal growth and health in aquaculture species.

PBM is considered one of the most promising PAPs for aquafeed formulations. It is obtained from the utilization of the non-meat parts of slaughtered poultry, such as feathers, heads, feet and internal organs [\[17\]](#page-17-12). PBM typically contains a protein content of 450 to 650 g/kg and is rich in most essential amino acids, with the exception of lysine and methionine [\[15,](#page-17-13) [18\]](#page-17-14). FMH is another alternative protein source that has gained attention in recent years. In addition, feather meal is known to be rich in certain amino acids, including cystine, threonine and arginine, which are important for fsh growth and health [[19\]](#page-17-15). PBM is a promising alternative and viable supplement to the diet of marine animals, which has proven its suitability for various fsh such as gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) [\[20](#page-17-16)–[22\]](#page-17-17), black seabass (*Centropristis striata*) [[23\]](#page-17-18) and red seabream (*Pagrus major*) [\[24](#page-17-19)]. Studies have shown that salmonids such as rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) have shown optimal growth performance when fed diets high in PBM [[25–](#page-17-20)[29\]](#page-17-21).

When substituting FM in fsh feed, it is often advisable to use a combination of diferent protein sources rather than relying on just one. Using terrestrial animal proteins like PBM and FMH in aquafeed not only utilizes animal by-products but also enhances the ecological efficiency of poultry production [[30\]](#page-17-22). By utilizing land animal proteins in aquafeed, can improve its overall sustainability

and generally have a more favorable carbon footprint and higher environmental efficiency $[31]$ $[31]$.

The maximum proportion of PBM in the diet varies by fsh species, PBM quality, and overall feed composition. To maintain nutritional balance, digestibility, and palatability, most studies suggest replacing only a portion of FM with PBM. However, some propose that PBM can fully replace conventional protein sources [\[20,](#page-17-16) [21](#page-17-24), [32,](#page-17-25) [33](#page-17-26)]. Given these nuances, it is evident that while PBM can be a viable alternative to FM without signifcantly compromising growth, certain performance metrics may exhibit slight, non-signifcant trends that could vary depending on species, diet formulation, and other factors. We designed our experimental diets to meet the nutritional requirements of the trout, particularly focusing on a balanced amino acid profle, which likely contributed to the observed outcomes.

Ensuring the welfare of farmed fsh exposed to novel feeds is currently a major concern in aquaculture and has implications for sustainability and ethical production standards [\[34\]](#page-17-27). Under rearing conditions, feeding poses a signifcant risk to fsh welfare [[35](#page-17-28)], as sub-optimal nutrition can disrupt physiological balance and the ability to cope with stressful situations $[36]$ $[36]$. This can have various efects, including reduced growth performance, lower feed efficiency, reduced disease resistance and potentially compromised product quality [[37\]](#page-18-1). However, altered protein feeding also afects the functionality of the digestive system [\[38](#page-18-2)]. Fish need a healthy digestive system to realise their full potential. The intestine is the main site of nutrient digestion and absorption, while the liver is the main organ for the deposition and metabolism of nutrients.

Therefore, studying the possible effects and changes in the histomorphology of these tissues is crucial for evaluating the potential benefts of using animal proteins from land instead of FM. The histopathological effects of land-based animal proteins as a replacement for FM on liver and intestine are not well known. Studies on hybrid groupers [[39](#page-18-3), [40](#page-18-4)], *Lates calcarifer* [\[41](#page-18-5)] and *Lateolabrax japonicus* [\[42](#page-18-6)] have shown that high intakes of land-based animal proteins can induce hepatic steatosis and promote hepatic lipid vacuolization. Replacing landbased animal proteins with FM has also been associated with negative effects on gut histology [[39\]](#page-18-3). Many articles have been published on the use of PBM as a sustainable protein source in FM, but most of this research has been conducted in controlled environments, such as experimental facilities, to limit variables.

In fsh farming, mathematical modelling can be used to describe the complex dynamics of farming systems, taking into account factors such as fsh growth rates and environmental factors. These models help fish farmers better understand their operations and predict future outcomes, such as fsh growth, serving as a decision-making tool [\[43](#page-18-7), [44\]](#page-18-8). Signifcant progress has been made in developing mathematical models to sup-port fish farming practices [[45,](#page-18-9) [46\]](#page-18-10). Recently, FEEDNET-ICS™ (Software; FEEDNETICS, 2022, Olhão, SPAROS), a mechanistic, nutrient-based tool designed to assist with data interpretation and decision-making in precision fsh farming, was developed. This model provides a range of applications for fsh farming, with the objective of improving efficiency and productivity in various aspects of the industry [\[47](#page-18-11)]. FEEDNETICS serves as a computational tool specifcally developed for the analysis and optimization of feed formulations and feeding strategies in aquaculture research.

Unlike previous studies that focused solely on PBM as a replacement for FM, our study investigates the combined use of PBM and FMH along with VeraMaris® algae oil. The inclusion of FMH is intended to complement the amino acid profle provided by PBM, addressing the deficiency in lysine and methionine. VeraMaris[®] algae oil serves as an alternative source of omega-3 fatty acids, which are crucial for fish growth and health. In the present study, we therefore investigated the effects of completely replacing FM with PBM and FMH, alongside the full substitution of FO with VeraMaris® natural algae oil and rapeseed oil in the diet of rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*). The impact on fish growth performance, gut and liver morphology, production of volatile fatty acids in the gut, lipid metabolism indices, and fatty acid profle in fllets was thoroughly examined. We also validated the data from the in vivo experiments using a nutrient-based FEEDNETICS[™] model, which can be used as a tool for data interpretation and decision support in the context of efficient fish farming.

Materials and methods Experimental diets

The selection of alternatives to FM and FO ingredients was made within the framework of the circular economy, which aims to reduce waste throughout the agri-food value chain and promote sustainability both within the current constraints and the expected regulatory framework. The feeds were developed in accordance with the nutritional requirements of rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) [[48\]](#page-18-12) and produced by extrusion at the SPAROS facilities in Olhão, Portugal.

Two experimental feeds were produced, designated as the control feed (FM) and test feed (PBM). The feeds were carefully formulated to ensure that they contained the same levels of nitrogen, lipids and energy to ensure a fair comparison of their efects on the growth performance of rainbow trout. The FM diet contained a higher

proportion of FM, specifcally 15%, along with 5% poultry meal (PM) and other protein sources. In contrast, the PBM test diet was formulated to replace FM with 15% PM and included an additional 5% FMH to balance the amino acid profle. Notably, the PBM diet, did not include any FO. Instead, FO was replaced by 5.35% algae oil (VeraMaris® algae oil) and an increased proportion of rapeseed oil. The algae oil VeraMaris[®] was supplied by VeraMaris® V.O.F., a joint venture between DSM and Evonik, specializing in producing omega-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA from natural marine algae for animal nutrition. This innovative inclusion aimed to provide a sustainable source of omega-3 fatty acids without relying on traditional FO sources.

Detailed information on the formulation and approximate composition of the two diets can be found in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The feed preparations were carried out using an extrusion process at the SPAROS LDA trial facility. In this process, all ground ingredients were carefully mixed with oil, and water was added to achieve the required moisture content for extrusion. The mixture was then passed through an extruder at high temperature and pressure, which resulted in the expansion of the feed material and the formation of pellets. The extruded pellets were dried at 50 °C for 48 h to remove excess moisture.

Chemical analysis of feeds

The chemical analysis of the feeds was carefully performed, with measurements taken in triplicate to ensure accuracy and reliability. Various nutritional components were evaluated, including dry matter (DM, AOAC #930.15), crude protein (CP, AOAC #2001.11), crude fber (AOAC #978.10), ash (AOAC #942.05), and ether extract (EE, AOAC #920.39) content. These determinations were performed in accordance with the established standard procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [\[49](#page-18-13)], with emphasis on the rigor and precision of the analytical methods.

Gross energy assessment was performed using an adiabatic calorimetric bomb (C7000; IKA, Staufen, Germany), which provides information on the total energy content of the feeds. The comprehensive composition of the feeds, which includes these important nutritional components, is shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0)

In addition to the basic nutritional components, the detailed composition of amino acids, fatty acids and minerals in the two meals was carefully analyzed (Tables [2,](#page-4-0) [3](#page-4-1) and 4). The protocols used for those specific analysis have been described in detail in [[50\]](#page-18-14).

Fish rearing conditions

The feeding trial with rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) was carried out at the agricultural company "Fattoria del **Table 1** Ingredients and proximate composition of the experimental feeds

Pesce" in Cerano, Novara, Italy (authorization of the Italian Ministry of Health no. 143810 of 19/03/2019). At the beginning of the experiment, about 2,908 juvenile rainbow trout (initial body weight, $IBW = 27.5 \pm 0.3$ g; mean ± standard deviation, SD) were randomly distributed in 4 rectangular outdoor tanks of 10 $m³$ each with 727 fish/tank (initial density = 20 kg/m^3) and acclimatized for one week. During the acclimatization week, the fsh were kept under a natural photoperiod and fed a commercial feed containing approximately 45% crude protein and 20% crude lipid. The primary protein source in the commercial feed was fshmeal, while fsh oil was the primary lipid source.

	FM	PBM
Essential amino acids (EAA)		
Arginine	2.3	2.4
Histidine	1.0	0.9
Isoleucine	1.6	1.6
Leucine	3.4	3.3
Lysine	2.6	2.6
Threonine	1.5	1.6
Tryptophan	0.5	0.4
Valine	1.9	1.9
Methionine	0.9	0.9
Non-essential amino acids (NEAA)		
Cysteine	0.6	0.6
Methionine + Cysteine	1.4	1.5
Phenylalanine	2.0	1.9
Tyrosine	1.4	1.3
Phenylalanine + Tyrosine	3.4	3.3
Asparagine	3.4	3.3
Glutamine	8.2	7.6
Alanine	2.2	2.1
Glycine	2.1	2.5
Proline	2.7	3.0
Serine	1.9	2.2
Tubulin associated unit	0.3	0.3

Table 2 Amino acid composition (g/100 g dry matter) of the experimental feeds

After the acclimatization period, each feed was distributed for 90 days to two tanks with an initial biomass of approximately 20 kg of rainbow trout. Trout were hand-fed either FM or PBM feed once a day, 7 days a week with a daily meal (between 08:00 and 10:00). The feeding rate was set at a ratio of 1.5-2.0% of the total biomass in each tank and was adjusted throughout

the trial based on water temperature, fsh growth and feed intake to ensure that all the feed was consumed. The feeding trial began on 1 December 2022 and was conducted over 90 days. Throughout the experiment, parameters such as temperature (between 9.4 and 12.4 $^{\circ}$ C), pH, dissolved oxygen concentration, total ammonia-nitrogen, and salinity were continuously monitored to maintain optimal environmental conditions for the trout. The biomass in each tank was determined by weighing all fsh individually on a monthly basis. Fish mortality in the tanks was monitored daily.

Due to logistical constraints and the commercial nature of the farm setup, it was feasible to allocate only two tanks for each dietary treatment. While the number of tanks was limited, the large number of fsh per tank provided a substantial sample size at the individual fsh level, allowing for a detailed analysis of the dietary efects.

Samples collection

At the end of the 90-day feeding trial, 32 fish per feeding group (16 fsh/tank) were sacrifced by an overdose of anesthetic (100 mg/L tricaine methanesulfonate, MS222) (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Louis, MO, USA). After measuring the fnal body weight BW (g) and fnal standard-length SL (cm) for the calculation of key performance indicators, six fsh per tank (12 per diet) were used for sampling of liver and entire intestine. A small piece of the liver, anterior intestine (AI) and posterior intestine (PI) (0.5 cm) was fixed in a solution of neutral bufered formalin (NBF, 10%) to perform histological analysis, while the fecal samples were collected and stored at -80 °C for short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) analysis. Fillets were also collected and pooled (6 fsh/tank, 12 /diet) to analyze proximate composition and fatty acid profle and stored at -80 °C.

Growth performance

To calculate the growth indices, all fish were weighed individually each month. The following indices were calculated for each feed group:

Survival rate $(SR, %) = 100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Final fish number}}{\text{Initial fish number}} \right]$

Weight gain (WG, g) = Average Final body weight (FBW, g)− Average Initial body weight (IBW, g)

Specific growth rate (SGR, % day−1) = 100 $\times \frac{\text{ln Wt}-\text{ln Wi}}{\text{t}}$ Condition factor (CF, %) = $100 \times \left[\frac{\text{body weight, (g)}}{\text{body length (cm)}^3}\right]$

Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)=cumulative feed per fish / Av. Weight gain.

Where, Cumulative feed per fish=sum of the feed per fish during the trial.

Feed per fish = feed given / n^o of fish (per day).

And Av. Weight gain= Av. Final weight – Av. Initial weight.

Where, Wt is final body weight (g), Wi is initial body weight (g), ln is natural log, and t is experimental duration in days.

Histology of the intestine and liver

For the histological evaluation, the anterior and posterior intestinal segments as well as the liver of 12 fish per diet were removed, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin using standard techniques. Subsequently, the intestinal samples were cut into $5 \mu m$ cross-sections using a microtome (Leica RM2245, Leica Biosystems, Milan, Italy) and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) for examination under a light microscope (Zeiss Axiophot microscope, Milan, Italy). Tissue morphology was analyzed using a CMOS Discovery C30 digital camera mounted on the microscope. Images were captured using an Olympus IX51 light microscope and processed using Fiji software, an open-source Java-based imaging program (<https://fiji.sc/>, accessed 15 January 2024). A semi-quantitative assessment of inflammation and accumulation of fatty deposits in the liver was performed to verify liver health, using a scale from 1 to 5, which corresponds to the categorization for histological features proposed by [[51–](#page-18-15)[53](#page-18-16)]. Semiquantitative analysis was performed on a randomized sequence of samples. For each dietary group (12 samples), these features were assessed in 9 randomly selected areas and in 3 sections. The histological assessment of the intestine included the measurement of 4 main morphological criteria as described by [[54\]](#page-18-17). These criteria were villus height (ViH), villus width (ViH), lamina propria width (LPW) and submucosa width (SW).

Quantitative and qualitative analysis of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) in feces

The analysis of fecal short-chain fatty acids (acetate, propionate, iso-butyrate and butyrate) included both qualitative and quantitative assessments using a modifed method of [\[55\]](#page-18-18). First, 0.5 g of fecal material was mixed with 5 mL of HPLC PLUS water in a falcon tube and vortexed for three minutes. This mixture was then centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 30 min. The clear liquid above the sediment (the supernatant), was passed through a 0.22μ PTFE syringe filter for further purification. A highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system with UV-VIS detection, a Shimadzu model from Milan, Italy, was used for the analysis.

The HPLC system comprised two LC-20AD pumps, a CBM-Alite controller, a DGU-20A5 degasser and an automatic sample injector. For the chromatographic process, an Ascentis Express 90 A C18 column with an inner diameter of 4.6 mm, a length of 150 mm and a particle size of 2.7 μm was used, which was manufactured by Merck KGaA in Darmstadt, Germany. The separation was performed in isocratic mode with a mobile phase of 0.005 M sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) flowing at 0.6 mL/min and a column temperature of 60 °C. Each sample injection was 10 µl and UV-VIS detection was performed at a wavelength of 210 nm using LC Solution Software (S/N L52405100502LG) from Shimadzu, Milan, Italy. To identify the SCFAs, their retention times were compared with those of known standards. For quantifcation, a calibration curve method was used for diferent concentrations and the results were expressed in millimoles/L.

Analyses of the fatty acid composition of fsh fllet

To assess the fatty acid profle, total lipids were frst extracted from 2 g of minced, moist muscle fillet (24 samples in total, 12 fish per diet and 6 fish per replicate). The total lipid content was extracted with a chloroform/methanol solution $(2:1, v/v)$ according to the Folch method [\[56](#page-18-19)]. Subsequently, the total lipids obtained were used to produce fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) for the evaluation of the FA profle according to the method described in [\[57\]](#page-18-20). Specifcally, 2 ml of methanol-sulfuric acid solution (9:1, v/v) was added to each oil sample and heated at 100 °C for 1 h.

The FAMEs were then analyzed using a Trace 1310 gas chromatograph (GC) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Milan, Italy) equipped with a fame ionization detector (FID). FAMEs were separated using a fused silica capillary column (Omegawax 250; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) measuring 30 m \times 0.25 mm (length \times inner diameter)

coated with a $0.25 \mu m$ thick layer. The temperature of the column was initially set to 100 °C for 5 min, followed by a ramp from 100 to 240 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min and a fnal isothermal hold at 240 $°C$ for 20 min. The temperatures of the injector and detector were maintained at 250 °C. The injection volume and split ratio were 0.5 μ l and 1:50, respectively, and helium (He) was used as the carrier gas at a fow rate of 1 ml/min. Data acquisition and processing were performed using Chromeleon™ software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Milan, Italy).

The FAs in the fish samples were identified by comparing the relative retention times of the FAMEs with those of a mixed standard solution (37 mixed FAMEs; Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte, PA, United States) analyzed under the same analytical conditions. The quantification was performed by integrating the individual peaks, with the percentage area fractions calculated by considering the sum of all identifed FAMEs as 100 g. FA concentrations were then expressed in g/100 g of the sample.

Indices for the nutritional quality of fllets and for the estimation of elongase and desaturase activity

Nutritional indices considering the diferent fatty acids in terms of their diferent contribution to the promotion or prevention of cardiovascular disease were assessed. The dietary indices for atherogenic (AtheroI) and thrombogenic (TI) fatty acids were calculated using the equations of Ulbricht and Southgate [[58](#page-18-21)], while the ratio between hypocholesterolemic and hypercholesterolemic fatty acids (h/H) was calculated using the equation of $[59]$ $[59]$ as follows:

- 1. Atherogenicity index [AtheroI = $(C 12:0 + (4 \times C))$ $14:0$) + C $16:0$) (Σ Monounsaturated Fatty Acids $(MUFAs) + n-6$ PUFAs $+n-3$ PUFAs $);$
- 2. Thrombogenicity index $[T] = (C \ 14:0+C \ 16:0+C)$ 18:0)/ (0,5 x Σ MUFAs + 0,5 x n-6 PUFAs + 3 x n-3 PUFAs) + (n-3 PUFAs/ n-6 PUFAs)];
- 3. Hypocholesterolemic/hypercholesterolemic ratio $(h/H = (C18:1n9 + C18:2n6 + C20:4n6 + C18:3n3 + C$ $20:5n3 + C22:5n3 + C22:6n3) / (C14:0 + C16:0)$.

In addition, the peroxidation index (PI), which expresses the peroxidation susceptibility and peroxidative lipid damage for a given phospholipid membrane, was calculated using the equation of [[60](#page-18-23)] as follows:

 $PI = (\%$ dienoic $\times 1) + (\%$ trienoic $\times 2) + (\%$ tetraenoic \times 3) + (% pentaenoic \times 4) + (% hexaenoic \times 5).

To estimate the activities of the enzymes involved in the elongation and desaturation of fatty acids, the ratio between product and precursor was calculated using the following equations from [[61\]](#page-18-24):

- 4. Tioesterase=C16:0/ C14:0;
- 5. Elongase=C18:0/ C16:0;
- 6. ∆9 desaturase (C16) = [(C16:1)/ (C16:1+C16:0)] x100;
- 7. ∆9 desaturase (C18) = [(C18:1)/ (C18:1+C18:0)] x100;
- 8. ∆9 desaturase (C16+18) = [(C16:1+C18:1)/ $(C16:1+C16:0+C18:1+C18:0)|x100;$
- 9. ∆5+∆6 desaturase (n6) = [(C20:2n6+C20:4n6)/ (C18:2n6+C20:2n6+C20:4n6)]x100;
- 10. ∆5+∆6 desaturase (n3) = [(C20:5n3+C22:5n3+ C22:6n3)/ (C18:3n3+C20:5n3+C22:5n3+C22:6n3)] x100.

Validation of the test results with FEEDNETICS™

The results from the in vivo experiment were validated using the nutrient-based model FEEDNETICS™ (FEED-NETICS Software, SPAROS, Olhão, 2022), as described in [[47](#page-18-11)]. In the present study, the most effective feed for rainbow trout was determined. Data collected from the feeding trial, including fsh growth performance, feed composition and feeding regimes, were organized and prepared for analysis within the FEEDNETICS[™] application.

Validation of the FEEDNETICS™ **model under farm conditions**

To confrm the accuracy of the predicted fsh growth performance, the FEEDNETICS[™] model was validated using data from our experiment. This validation approach was developed to confrm that the predictions of the model closely matched the observed data. In this way, confdence in the model's ability to accurately estimate the impact of nutrition is strengthened and any unaccounted-for elements afecting fsh performance can be uncovered.

The validation was conducted at the tank level, with input data coming from experimental measurements. The measured values included the daily number of fish, initial weight, water temperature, feed quantity and proximate composition. The qualitative assessment compared the model's predictions, particularly for fsh growth and feed intake, with the observed experimental data. For the quantitative analysis, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) was calculated to evaluate the accuracy of the model's predictions against the observed data on specifc sample days. This comprehensive validation process confrms the reliability of the model in predicting fsh performance under a wide range of husbandry conditions through the following:

$$
MAPE (\%) = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{Pi - Oi}{Oi} \right)
$$

Category	Inputs	
Stock (farming conditions)	Species: Rainbow trout (Oncorynchus mykiss) Initial weight (g): 28 g Initial number of fish: 2,908 Tank/cage volume (m ³): 2.5 Start date: 01/12/2022 Production period (months): 3 Number of dead fish: Recorded from the experiment	
Feed proximate composition	FM PBM	Proximate composition: analyzed values Amino acid profile: estimated values Fatty acid profile: default values Digestibility: default values
Feeding records	Daily ration (kg) Number of meals: 1 meal/day Time of the 1st meal: 9 AM Feed waste: 0%	
Temperature	Monthly water temperature: 10-12 °C	
Cost & revenues	Price: default price 1.2 €/kg of feed	

Table 5 Feeding trial data for rainbow trout (*O*. *mykiss*) used in FEEDNETICS™ validation scenarios

Where, n is the number of predicted-observed value pairs; Pi is the predicted value; Oi is the observed value.

Data input into FEEDNETICS™

The data recorded during the trout experiment was uploaded to the FEEDNETICS™ application model. An overview of the input data used to run this model can be found in Table [5.](#page-7-0) We used a baseline scenario with an average fsh body weight of 28 g, 2,908 fsh and a monthly mortality rate recorded throughout the experiment. The validation was conducted beyond the 90-day trial period. Specifcally, predictions were run to compare the model's predicted outcomes with the observed data from the experiment. This validation process was designed to access how accurately the FEEDNETICS™ model could predict the growth and development of rainbow trout based on diferent feed formulations over the trial period. The observed monthly average water temperature data was used as an input for the predictions.

The proximate composition of the feed, including crude protein, crude fat, ash, fber, phosphorus and gross energy, was uploaded to FEEDNETICS[™] application model. The proximate composition of the feed was defned based on the analyzed values, while the amino acid profle of the experimental feed was defned based on the values from the feed ingredient composition. In addition, the fatty acid profles, digestibility data and pricing were included in the model as standard variables for rainbow trout.

In addition to the proximal composition of the feed, it was also necessary to enter information on the daily feeding protocol, such as the daily ration (in kg), the number of meals into which the daily ration was divided, the time of the frst meal (in hours), the intervals between meals (in hours) and the uneaten feed (in %).

Once all the required data had been entered into the FEEDNETICS™ application model, predictions were generated and compared with those of our experimental study.

Sstatistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using PAST v3 software $[62]$ $[62]$ $[62]$, with significance set at $p < 0.05$. The normality of the data set was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Inequalities between the mean values were analyzed using the Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney test if the data did not correspond to the assumption of a normal distribution. Given the limitation of using only two tanks per dietary treatment due to logistical constraints, statistical analyses were conducted at the individual fsh level to maximize the robustness of the results. By analysing a large number of fsh, we aimed to ensure the reliability of the statistical evaluation despite the limited tank replicates, focusing on detecting diferences at the individual fsh level to draw meaningful conclusions.

Results

Growth performance and feed utilization

The fish quickly acclimatized to the experimental feeds from the start of the trial. The detailed results of growth performance and feed utilization during the 90-day feeding trial are shown in Table 6 . The mortality rate remained consistently low throughout the trial and was around 5% in both groups of fsh. No signifcant diferences in mortality rates were observed between the trout fed the diferent diets. High survival rates (>97%) were

Table 6 Indicators of growth performance and feed utilization of rainbow trout fed the experimental diets for 90 days. (*n*=2 tanks and 32 fsh/tank)

Growth Parameters	FM	PBM	p-Value
SR (%)	96.88 ± 1.28	97.68 ± 1.30	0.70
IBW(q)	$27.5 + 7.61$	$27.5 + 6.24$	0.61
FBW(q)	$170.80 + 7.61$	$166.91 + 6.62$	0.70
WG(q)	143.30 ± 7.61	139.41 ± 6.62	0.70
SGR (% day ⁻¹)	$2.03 + 0.04$	$2.00 + 0.08$	0.77
FCR	$1.33 + 0.05$	$1.37 + 0.11$	0.74
TL (cm)	$22.91 + 0.34$	$22.70 + 0.29$	0.65
SL (cm)	20.89 ± 0.33	$20.66 + 0.28$	0.58
CF	1.40 ± 0.02	1.41 ± 0.02	0.78

Values are presented as mean \pm SEM of two replicate groups

Abbreviations: *IBW* Initial body weight, *FBW* Final body weight, *WG* Weight gain, *SGR* Specifc growth rate, *FCR* Feed conversion ratio, *TL* Final total length, *SL* Final standard length, *CF* Condition factor

observed for both feed treatments, with no signifcant diferences between fsh groups (*p*>0.05). Final body weight (FBW) was 170.80 ± 7.61 g and 166.91 ± 6.62 g for fsh fed FM and PBM diets, respectively, refecting an individual weight gain of more than 140 g compared to initial body weight (IBW), with no signifcant diferences.

SGR was numerically slightly higher in the FM group $(2.03 \pm 0.04\% \text{ day}^{-1})$ than in the PBM group $(2.00 \pm 0.08\%$ day[−]¹), but again no signifcant diferences in WG and SGR were observed between the fsh fed diferent diets. FCR was similar between the groups, with the FM-fed fish showing a slightly lower value (1.33 ± 0.05) . There were no signifcant diferences in the total length of the fish $(22.91 \pm 0.34 \text{ cm and } 22.70 \pm 0.29 \text{ cm respectively})$ and the standard length between the two experimental groups. The fish fed with the different diets were healthy and showed no visible lesions on their external and internal organs. Analysis of the Fulton's condition factor (CF) revealed no significant differences $(1.40 \pm 0.02 \text{ vs.})$ 1.41 ± 0.02) between the FM and PBM fish groups.

Histomorphology of the anterior and posterior intestine

Gross visual examination of rainbow trout revealed no signifcant diferences in the anterior intestine (AI) and posterior intestine (PI) between fsh fed FM and PBM. However, histological analysis revealed clear diferences in the structure of the intestinal tissue. Samples from PBM-fed fsh showed well-organized and preserved tissue with intact mucosal folds, indicating no signs of damage or inflammation compared to FM-fed fish. The mucosal folds in the AI were more complex and numerous than in the PI, with simple folds interspersed with complex folds in the distal part. The intestinal tissue showed a typical histological organization, with a columnar epithelial layer **Table 7** Intestinal morphological parameters of the anterior and posterior intestine of rainbow trout fed two experimental diets $(n=12)$

Values are presented as mean ± standard error

supported by connective tissue and surrounded by the muscle layer. The epithelial cells were mainly enterocytes with acidic microvilli, basal basophilic nuclei, eosinophilic cytoplasm and - depending on the diet - varying amounts and sizes of clear supranuclear vacuoles. The morphometric measurements of the fsh intestines are shown in Table [7.](#page-8-1) The height of the intestinal villi in the anterior intestine (AI) was significantly greater $(p < 0.05)$ in fsh fed the PBM diet compared to those fed the FM diet, as shown in Table [7;](#page-8-1) Fig. [1.](#page-9-0) There was no significant difference $(p > 0.05)$, the villi width, the lamina propria width (LPW) and the submucosa width (SW) in the AI between the two dietary groups. In the posterior part of the intestine, villus length, width and LPW did not difer significantly $(p > 0.05)$ between the two diet groups. However, the thickness of the submucosa was signifcantly increased in the PBM diet group compared to the FM diet group ($p < 0.05$), indicating a possible inflammatory response (Fig. [1\)](#page-9-0).

Histomorphology of the liver

In both dietary groups, the livers showed normal parenchymal architecture. The structure of the hepatic cord remained regular, with a clear demarcation by sinusoids and continuous bile ducts (Fig. 2). The hepatocytes had a polyhedral shape and showed varying degrees of vacuolization in their cytoplasm. They were organized in anastomosed plates subdivided by sinusoidal capillaries leading to central veins. There were no signs of inflammation or lymphocyte infltration in any of the diets tested. Interestingly, the diets had diferent efects on the hepatocytes.

Fish fed the FM diet showed moderate lipid accumulation, which led to a displacement of the nuclei at

Fig. 1 Standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of anterior and posterior intestinal sections of FM (panels A, B) and PBM (panels C, D). SM, submucosa; LP, lamina propria; FM, fshmeal; PBM, Poultry by-product meal. Scale bar: 1000 μm

the periphery of the hepatocytes (scoring class 2 and 3; Fig. [3\)](#page-10-1). In contrast, the inclusion of 20% PM in the PBM diet had a marked effect on the accumulation of lipid deposits within hepatocytes and resulted in less lipid accumulation in the liver (classifications 2; Fig. [3\)](#page-10-1). This suggests that the PBM group had less lipid deposition and accumulation in their hepatocytes. Interestingly, fish fed the PBM diet showed slight vacuolization of cells in certain regions (Fig. [2\)](#page-10-0). However, this minimal vacuolization had no significant effect on the overall cell structure or physiological morphology of the organs.

Volatile SCFAs in fecal samples

The content of SCFAs, including acetate, propionate, butyrate and isobutyrate, was measured by HPLC in the fecal samples of fsh fed two diferent experimental diets. Table [8](#page-10-2) shows the concentrations of these SCFAs in the samples. Interestingly, the group of fsh fed the 20% PBM diet showed a remarkable increase in acetate (18.73 mmol/L) and butyrate (0.91 mmol/L) compared to the fsh fed the FM diet. In contrast, the levels of propionate and isobutyrate in the fecal samples showed no signifcant diferences between the two experimental groups, as shown in Table [8.](#page-10-2)

Fatty acid composition of fsh fllets

The composition of the fatty acids in the muscle of the rainbow trout is shown in Table [9](#page-11-0). The composition was signifcantly infuenced by diet, with signifcant variations observed for individual FA groups, with the exception of the proportion of heptadecenoic acid (C17:1) and dihomo-γ-linolenic acid (C20:3n6).

The distribution of the fatty acid classes in the fillets is summarized in Table [10](#page-11-1). The sum of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) was signifcantly lower in fsh fed PBM than in fsh fed FM, which had the highest content $(21.82%)$. There was no signifcant diference in the sum of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) between the PBM and FM groups. However, the sum of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), EPA+DHA and PUFAs of the n3 and n6 series were signifcantly higher in the PBM group than in the FM group. The n3/n6 PUFA ratio did not differ significantly between the two groups.

The nutritionally important indices, including the atherogenic (AtheroI), thrombogenic (TI) and hypocholesterolemic/hypercholesterolemic (h/H) ratios, as well as the peroxidation index (PI), are shown in Table [10](#page-11-1). The best nutritional indices (AI, TI and h/H ratio) were observed in the PBM group, while the PI was signifcantly higher than in the FM group.

Fig. 2 Standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of liver from FM (**A**) and PBM fsh (**B**). Scale bar, 100 μm

Fig. 3 Semi-quantitative evaluation of liver fat accumulation in rainbow trout fed the experimental diets FM and PBM. The liver values are given in percentage and were measured in 6 fsh/diet group

Table 8 Volatile SCFA content in fecal samples from two experimental groups

	FM	PRM	p-value
Acetate (C2:0)	$17.56 + 0.30$	$18.73 + 0.20$	< 0.01
Propionate (C3:0)	$3.62 + 0.22$	$3.67 + 0.06$	ns
Butyrate (C4:0)	$0.52 + 0.01$	$0.91 + 0.04$	< 0.0001
Isobutyrate (C4:0)	$0.36 + 0.01$	$0.41 + 0.01$	< 0.01

Values are expressed in millimoles per litre (mmol/L), mean ± SEM (*n* = 12)

The results of the estimated indices of lipid metabolism are shown in Table [11.](#page-11-2) Considering FM and PBM, there were signifcant diferences between the two dietary groups for thioesterase, ∆9 desaturase (C18), and **∆** 9 desaturase $(C16+C18)$, which were significantly higher in the PBM group than in the FM group, and for the estimated activities of elongase and **∆**9 desaturase (C16), which were signifcantly lower in the PBM group than in the FM group. On the other hand, the estimated activities of the enzymes $\Delta 5 + \Delta 6$ desaturase (n6) and $\Delta 5 + \Delta 6$ desaturase n3 were similar in the FM and PBM groups.

C12:0=lauric acid; C14:0=myristic acid; C14:1=myristoleic acid; C15:0=pentadecanoic acid; C16:0=palmitic acid; C16:1=palmitoleic acid; C17:0=heptadecanoic acid; C17:1=heptadecenoic acid; C18:0=stearic acid; C18:1n9= oleic acid; C18:1n7=cis-vaccenoic acid; C18:2n6=linoleic acid; C18:3n6=γ-linolenic acid; C18:3n3=*α*-linolenic acid; C20:0=arachidic acid; C20:1n9=eicosaenoic acid; C20:2n6= eicosadienoic acid; C20:3n6=dihomo-γ-linolenic acid; C20:4n3=eicosatetraenoic acid; C20:4n6=arachidonic acid; C20:5n3=eicosapentaenoic acid; C22:0=behenic acid; C22:1n9=erucic acid; C22:5n3=docosapentaenoic acid; C22:6n3=docosaenoic acid; C24:1n9=nervonic acid.

FEEDNETICS™ **validation for trial results**

The validation results showed that the FEEDNETICS™ efectively predicted the growth pattern of rainbow trout

	FM	PBM	p-value
C12:0	0.23 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.00	< 0.01
C14:0	2.36 ± 0.05	0.78 ± 0.01	< 0.0001
C14:1	0.02 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	< 0.001
C15:0	0.17 ± 0.00	0.13 ± 0.00	< 0.0001
C16:0	15.00 ± 0.12	13.25 ± 0.12	< 0.0001
C16:1	3.02 ± 0.09	0.83 ± 0.02	< 0.0001
C17:0	0.16 ± 0.00	0.11 ± 0.00	< 0.0001
C17:1	0.07 ± 0.00	0.07 ± 0.00	ns
C18:0	3.59 ± 0.07	3.01 ± 0.05	< 0.0001
C18:1n9	34.00 ± 0.16	37.09 ± 0.17	< 0.0001
C18:1n7	3.00 ± 0.03	2.28 ± 0.02	< 0.0001
C18:2n6	$12.70 + 0.12$	$14.51 + 0.14$	< 0.0001
C18:3n6	$0.15 + 0.01$	$0.18 + 0.01$	< 0.05
C18:3n3	2.97 ± 0.06	3.30 ± 0.05	< 0.05
C20:0	0.20 ± 0.01	0.26 ± 0.01	< 0.0001
C20:1n9	$1.33 + 0.03$	1.24 ± 0.03	< 0.05
C ₂₀ :2n6	0.57 ± 0.02	0.65 ± 0.02	< 0.01
C20:3n6	0.37 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.02	ns
C20:4n3	0.85 ± 0.04	1.05 ± 0.04	< 0.001
C _{20:4n6}	0.13 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	< 0.01
C20:5n3	4.81 ± 0.11	2.88 ± 0.06	< 0.0001
C22:0	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	< 0.05
C22:1n9	0.28 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.01	< 0.01
C22:5n3	1.29 ± 0.03	0.75 ± 0.02	< 0.0001
C22:6n3	11.91 ± 0.14	15.34 ± 0.11	< 0.0001
C _{24:1n9}	0.70 ± 0.03	1.15 ± 0.04	< 0.0001

Table 9 Fatty acid composition (g/100 g FAMEs) of the fllets of rainbow trout fed with the two experimental diets

Values are presented as mean \pm standard error ($n = 12$). The concentration of fatty acids was expressed in g/100g, where 100g is the sum of the areas of all identifed FAME

fed the experimental diet over a 90-day period with a MAPE of 8% (Fig. 4). The model deviation is likely due to incomplete input data, particularly the exclusion of digestibility data, as well as fatty acid composition, which are key factors infuencing fsh growth and nutrient utilization, respectively.

The comparison between the predicted and observed growth of rainbow trout fed diferent experimental diets over the trial period is presented in Fig. [5.](#page-12-0) A comparison was made between the data from the two experimental feeds and four fsh tanks (FM: tanks 8 A, 8 B and PBM: tanks 9 A, 9 B). The FEEDNETICS[™] model, while overall accurate in predicting growth trends, exhibited a consistent underestimation of fsh body weights across all tanks. Specifcally, the predicted weights for the FM and PBM groups were slightly higher than the actual weights recorded at the end of the trial period. The observed discrepancies may arise from several factors including incomplete input data used in the model.

The values are given as mean \pm standard error ($n = 12$)

∑*SFA* Sum of saturated fatty acids, ∑*MUFA* Sum of monounsaturated fatty acids, ∑*PUFA* Sum of polyunsaturated fatty acids, ∑*n3-PUFA* Sum of polyunsaturated omega-3 fatty acids, ∑*n6-PUFA* Sum of omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids, *DHA* Docosahexaenoic acid, *EPA* Eicosapentaenoic acid, *IA* Atherogenic index, *IT* Thrombogenic index, *h/H ratio* (hypocholesterolemic/hypercholesterolemic ratio), *PI* Peroxidation index

Table 11 Estimated indices of lipid metabolism in rainbow trout fllets fed with the two experimental diets

	FM	PBM	p-value
Thioesterase	$6.38 + 0.56$	$17.12 + 0.91$	< 0.001
Elongase	$0.24 + 0.01$	$0.23 + 0.01$	< 0.05
Δ9 desaturase (C16)	$16.78 + 1.55$	$5.9 + 0.53$	< 0.001
Δ 9 desaturase (C18)	$91.15 + 0.58$	$92.89 + 0.45$	$<$ 0.0001
Δ 9 desaturase (C16 + C18)	$68.28 + 0.96$	$71.20 + 0.92$	< 0.0001
Δ 5 + Δ 6 desaturase n6	$5.24 + 0.47$	$5.32 + 0.62$	0.742
Δ 5 + Δ 6 desaturase n3	$8583 + 119$	$85.17 + 0.85$	0.177

Values are presented as mean \pm standard error ($n = 12$)

Fig. 4 Comparison between the predicted and observed values and the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE)

Fig. 5 Results of model validation for experimental conditions. The graphs show the time series of the predicted and observed average body weight of fsh growth over the experimental period, with the lines representing the fsh growth predicted by the model and the red dots representing the average fsh weight observed in the experiment

Table 12 Validation scenario of the performance of rainbow trout fed with experimental diets using FEEDNETICS™

Indicator	FM	PBM
Average body weight (g/fish)	195.81	184.45
Growth rate - cumulative (%BW/day)	2.18	2.12
FCR (g feed/g BW gain)	1.14	1.23
Economic conversion ratio (€ feed/kg biomass qain)	1.37	147
Total N waste (kg N/ton biomass gain)	52.18	57.98
Total P waste (kg P/ton biomass gain)	5.85	6.28

The comparison of the results from the last sampling period and the predictions are summarized in Table [12](#page-12-1). The results are presented at the end of the forecast period. According to the model, fsh fed the FM diet showed better growth and feed utilization performance compared to fish fed the PBM diet. The predictions show that the average body weight of the FM-fed fsh would reach 195.81 g, while the PBM-fed fsh would weigh 184.45 g on average. In addition, the model predicts a lower FCR of 1.14 for the FM group, while the PBM group had a higher FCR of 1.23, indicating diferences in feed efficiency between the two groups of fish.

However, when the economic performance, represented by the economic conversion rate (ECR) and the water nutrient waste indicators such as total nitrogen

(N) and phosphorus (P) were analyzed, the result changed. From an economic point of view, the FM diet proved to be the more cost-efective option with lower feeding costs compared to PBM diets. According to the predictions of the FEEDNETICS™ model, FM was associated with better performance metrics compared to PBM.

Specifcally, FM was predicted to lead to a 0.09-unit improvement in FCR. In addition, FM was expected to lead to a reduction in total N and P wastes by approximately −5.8 and −0.43 units, respectively, compared to PBM. These results suggest that FM might offer advantages over PBM in aquaculture in terms of feed efficiency and waste reduction.

Discussion

Research interest in non-ruminant PAPs has surged, particularly since their recent reintroduction into the EU aquafeed industry. Among these, PBM is widely recognized for its nutritional value, comparable to that of FM. The use of PBM in aquaculture has shown varying degrees of success across diferent species [\[63\]](#page-18-26). Numerous studies have looked at the efects of PBM, but the results of these studies are inconclusive. The results of the present study show that the replacement of FM with PBM efectively fulfls the growth requirements of rainbow trout. This result aligns with numerous studies indicating that

replacing FM with PBM in a ratio of 25–50% is optimal for most carnivorous fsh [\[32\]](#page-17-25). A meta-analysis summarizing the efectiveness of PBM in aquaculture feed formulations used data from 47 published articles covering 33 different fish species $[32]$. The analysis revealed that many freshwater fsh species can tolerate up to 100% FM replacement with PBM, while most fsh species can accept up to 50% PBM in their diet without any issues [\[32\]](#page-17-25).

As [\[64](#page-18-27)] indicates, the composition and digestibility of feather meal can vary significantly. These fluctuations can be attributed to diferences in processing methods and the quality of raw materials. To achieve a more balanced amino acid profle in the diet, FMH should be combined with other protein meals. This combination helps to ensure that the nutritional requirements of the fsh are met more efectively, promoting optimal growth and health. At the end of the experiment, all fish weighed six times their initial weight, regardless of the feed they received, and survival rates were consistent across all groups. However, there were observable trends in growth metrics between PBM-fed and FM-fed fsh. Specifcally, the PBM-fed fsh exhibited a slightly lower WG and SGR compared to the FM-fed fsh. Additionally, there was a trend toward a higher FCR in the PBM-fed fsh, indicating potentially less efficient feed utilization in this group. It is important to note that these diferences, while indicative of a trend, were not statistically signifcant according to the analysis performed. This suggests that, while PBM-fed fsh may have demonstrated a slight decrease in growth performance, the extent of this decrease was not enough to be considered statistically diferent from the FM-fed group. This observation aligns with previous research fndings. For instance, in studies with sea bass, replacing 50% of FM with 20% or 25% PBM resulted in growth comparable to that of fsh fed a high FM control diet [[65](#page-18-28)]. Shapawi et al. [[66](#page-18-29)] also reported no signifcant diferences in growth for juvenile humpback grouper fed diets containing 50% or 75% PBM protein. However, they did observe reduced growth in juvenile fsh fed a diet with 100% PBM protein.

Our previous research on rainbow trout showed that trout fed diets high in PBM (55–70%) exhibited growth rates comparable to those of fsh fed a control diet rich in FM at 37.3% without PBM [\[28\]](#page-17-29). Similar fndings have been reported for marine fsh species such as sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) and red sea bream (*P. major*), where complete replacement of FM with PBM did not negatively afect growth parameters or overall productivity, highlighting the adaptability of these fsh to alternative protein sources [\[23,](#page-17-18) [67](#page-18-30)].

Knowledge of the efects of PBM on fsh morphology and potential health consequences remains limited. The health of the digestive system, including the liver, is crucial for feed digestion and nutrient absorption, signifcantly afecting nutrient utilization [[68\]](#page-18-31). No signifcant changes were observed in the gut and liver of the experimental groups, suggesting that PBM is a safe alternative feed ingredient in aquaculture. These findings are consistent with those of [[65](#page-18-28)], who reported no signifcant histopathological changes in the liver or intestine of European seabass fed diets containing up to 25% PBM.

In our current study, histological analysis of the liver revealed no signifcant diferences in lipid accumulation between the two feeding groups. To our knowledge, there is limited information on the histological analysis of the livers of rainbow trout fed PBM. In [\[69](#page-18-32)], the replacement of FM with PBM in the diet of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) resulted in no detectable effects on the histological examination of liver tissue up to 100% replacement. Similar to our results, the livers showed normally shaped hepatocytes. Conversely [[70](#page-18-33)], reported signifcant lipid accumulation in the liver and histological changes indicative of early steatosis in seabass fed an FM-free diet in which 40% plant protein was replaced with insects or PBM. The combination of insect meal and PBM partially mitigated these effects. In a study by $[42]$ $[42]$, enlarged hepatocytes and liver steatosis were observed in Japanese seabass fed a mixture of 40% PBM, 35% meat and bone meal, 20% spray-dried blood meal, and 5% hydrolyzed feather meal, with hepatocyte vacuoles resembling lipid accumulation.

Furthermore, the inclusion of PBM in the diet had no negative efects on the morphometric indices of the foregut or hindgut, with the exception of villus height in the AI and submucosa width in the PI. PBM has an efect on the anatomical features or dimensions of the digestive tract structures, demonstrating compatibility with the digestive physiology of the organism. However, there were statistically signifcant efects on villus height in the AI of PBM-fed fsh, which had greater villus height compared to FM-fed fsh. In addition, the width of the submucosa in the PI of PBM-fed fsh was signifcantly thicker and larger than that of FM-fed fsh due to the infltration of infammatory cells in the submucosal layer. Despite these changes, the overall histological and morphometric characteristics of the intestinal mucosa were similar between the experimental groups, indicating that PBM was well tolerated by the trout. In line with these results, our recent study [[65\]](#page-18-28) showed that replacing part of the FM with insect meal and PBM had no negative efects on the proximal or distal gut of European seabass. This substitution signifcantly improved all morphometric parameters of the intestine and reduced both the degree of vacuolization and the extent of cellular infltration.

In this study, higher levels of SCFAs, particularly butyrate and acetic acid, were found in the feces of trout fed PBM. This finding is consistent with previous studies in which sea bass fed exuviae or PBM meal also showed increased concentrations of acetate and butyrate in their feces compared to trout fed FM [[63\]](#page-18-26). Similarly, trout fed pupal exuviae meal had the highest concentrations of SCFAs, especially butyrate, in their feces $[71]$ $[71]$. These SCFAs are the main products of bacterial fermentation of chitin produced by chitinolytic bacteria such as *Paenibacillus*, which were also found in greater abundance in gilthead seabream, in the insect meal-fed group [\[72](#page-19-1)]. SCFAs, including butyrate, play a crucial role in fsh gut health, barrier function and mucosal immunity. These compounds have an anti-infammatory efect, which is supported by studies showing that they can promote intestinal health in fish [\[73–](#page-19-2)[76\]](#page-19-3). Butyric acid, an important cross-talk molecule, is known to have several benefcial efects on fsh gut health and immunity [\[77](#page-19-4), [78\]](#page-19-5).

The substitution of FO with VeraMaris[®] algae oil resulted in a signifcant alteration in the fatty acid composition of the fsh fllets. Specifcally, we observed a decrease in the levels of certain PUFAs traditionally found in marine-derived fsh oils, while other benefcial fatty acids were enhanced. This change in fatty acid profle has implications for both fsh health and the nutritional value of the fsh for human consumption. For instance, while the reduction in some omega-3 fatty acids might afect fsh growth and immune function, the inclusion of algae oil introduces a more sustainable source of these essential nutrients. This result aligns with previous fndings that highlight the impact of dietary lipid sources on the fatty acid profle in fsh fllets.

Our analysis of the fatty acids in the PBM diet revealed diferences in the levels of PUFAs compared to the FM diet. The PBM diet had a lower content of n-3 PUFAs, particularly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5n3), while the docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, C22:6n3) levels were not signifcantly diferent between the two diets. Additionally, the PBM diet contained higher levels of n-6 PUFAs, including linoleic acid (C18:2n6). These dietary changes were mirrored in the fatty acid profle of the fsh fllets, demonstrating a notable infuence of the diet on the muscle fatty acid composition after 90 days of feeding Conversely, saturated fatty acids such as myristic acid (C14:0), palmitic acid (C16:0), monounsaturated fatty acids such as C16:1, cis-vaccenic acid (C18:1n7), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA C20:5n3), and docosapentaenoic acid (DPA C22:5n3) decreased signifcantly due to the increased PBM and reduced FM and FO content in the diet.

In general, the ratio of n-3/n-6 PUFA in the diet increases the more FM is replaced by PBM, as PBM tends to be defcient in n-3 PUFA [[79,](#page-19-6) [80\]](#page-19-7). Indeed, trout fed a diet in which only 20% FM was replaced with PBM

showed increased n-3 and n-6 PUFA levels in their muscles, which is consistent with results in Nile tilapia [[69](#page-18-32)] and juvenile barramundi [[80\]](#page-19-7) fed a diet based on 100% PBM protein. The substitution resulted in a reduction in EPA and DHA, typically abundant in marine-derived FO. However, VeraMaris® algae oil provided an alternative source of omega-3s, which can help partially offset the reduction in EPA and DHA. Despite this shift, it is important to note that algae oil contributes to a more sustainable source of essential fatty acids, which is benefcial for the long-term viability of aquaculture practices.

Studies in humans have linked increased plasma cholesterol levels and an increased risk of cardiovascular disease with the intake of myristic acid $(C14:0)$ intake [\[81](#page-19-8)]. Therefore, reducing myristic acid in the diet could beneft human health. In our study, the PBM-based diet contained lower amounts of myristic acid than the FM-based control diet. For the fsh, a diet low in marine-derived EPA and DHA could afect growth performance, immune function, and overall physiological health. Omega-3 fatty acids are crucial for maintaining cell membrane fuidity, modulating infammatory responses, and supporting proper growth.

For human consumers, the altered fatty acid profle presents a diferent nutritional composition. While the reduction in EPA and DHA might be considered a drawback from a nutritional standpoint, VeraMaris® algae oil does provide a more balanced omega-3 to omega-6 ratio. This balance is important for cardiovascular health and has been linked to various health benefts, including a reduced risk of chronic diseases.

The content of omega-3 fatty acids in trout muscle exceeded 0.6 $g/100$ g, which is considered the standard value for this species $[82]$ $[82]$. The fillets of fish fed PBM contained more total omega-3 (such as EPA and DHA) than those of the FM group. This is likely due to the inclusion of algae oil in the PBM diet, which is also rich in omega-3. Although there was an increase in total omega-3 fatty acids, this did not result in a signifcant diference in the Σ n3/Σn6 FA ratio index, in contrast to the results of [\[80](#page-19-7)]. In contrast, in another study, replacing FM with *Hermetia illucens* larval meal resulted in a consistent increase in omega-6 and a decrease in omega-3 fatty acids in fsh muscle [[83\]](#page-19-10), as well as a decrease in the $\Sigma n3/\Sigma n6$ FA ratio and PUFA/SFA ratio $[84]$ $[84]$. The substitution of FO with VeraMaris® algae oil also has signifcant implications from a sustainability perspective.

Fish is considered a valuable food for the prevention of coronary heart disease in humans, as it has a high content of PUFAs. Lower AtheroI and TI values indicate a lower risk of heart disease. Conversely, a higher h/H ratio is considered beneficial [[80](#page-19-7)]. In our study, PBM diet had lower AI and TI values and a higher h/H ratio than

FM diet, probably due to a higher PUFA content, as also observed by $[83, 85, 86]$ $[83, 85, 86]$ $[83, 85, 86]$ $[83, 85, 86]$ $[83, 85, 86]$ $[83, 85, 86]$ $[83, 85, 86]$ in similar studies. This suggests that consumption of fsh fed a balanced PBM diet could improve the nutritional value of rainbow trout fllet and provide similar health benefts compared to fsh fed FMbased diets.

Animals can convert EFAs to LC-PUFAs depending on the activity level of elongases and desaturases, especially Δ 5 and Δ 6; moreover, the activity level of these enzymes depends on the LC-PUFA richness of the animal's dietary substrate. In fact, the conversion of alphalinolenic acid (18:3n-3) to eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA 20:5n-3) and then to docosahexaenoic acid (DHA 22:6n-3) occurs in many species of freshwater fsh and is signifcantly reduced in marine fsh due to the abundance of EPA and DHA in the marine environment. In contrast, the dietary substrate of freshwater fsh is rich in EFA (linoleic acid and alpha-linolenic acid) and, to a lesser extent, EPA, but not DHA. Consequently, despite their common origin, freshwater fsh difer signifcantly from marine fish in terms of essential fatty acid requirements. This refects the diferent distribution of fatty acids in the two environments and the consequent diferent evolutionary pressures, such as the diferent need to possess enzymes that can complete the conversion of EFAs to LC-PUFAs [[87\]](#page-19-14).

It seems noteworthy to comment on the Δ 5- Δ 6desaturase activity performed on the n3 and n6 series. The differences in the fatty acid profile between the fillets of the FM and PBM groups suggest that the enzymes involved in the desaturation of long-chain and very long-chain fatty acids were modulated by the inclusion of algae in the diet $[88]$ $[88]$. The acidic composition of fillets difered signifcantly between groups; fsh fed algae oil (PBM group) had the highest levels of n3 and n6 PUFA and EPA + DHA, even though Δ 5- Δ 6 desaturase activity, both n3 and n6 series, was similar. Recent studies have investigated the possibility that algae ingested in the diet increase the levels of n3-PUFA in fsh muscle [[89](#page-19-16)[–91](#page-19-17)], due to their fatty acid composition $[92]$ $[92]$ $[92]$. The results of the lipid profle confrmed this hypothesis and encouraged the researchers to conduct further studies on the efects of algae on lipid deposition in rainbow trout.

The FEEDNETICS m application model used to analyze the fsh growth data in our experiment provided valuable insights for validation. Impressively, the FEEDNETICS™ model accurately predicted body weight for this experimental dataset and had a MAPE of around 8%. This emphasizes the reliability of the model in evaluating feeding strategies for trout on commercial farms. However, closer inspection revealed some intriguing discrepancies between the simulation results generated by FEEDNET-ICS™ and the actual observations recorded during the experiment. Interestingly, although the FEEDNETICS[™] simulation results showed a slightly higher average body weight for the FM group than for the PBM group. Indeed, the FEEDNETICS[™] simulation results showed that the average body weight of the FM group was 195.81 g, which was slightly higher than that of the PBM group (with an average body weight of 184.45 g). However, in the experimental trial, the fnal body weight was higher in the FM group (170.80 g) than in the PBM group (166.91 g) . These diferences suggest that although the model provided a good prediction overall, certain factors – such as incomplete input data – may have infuenced its accuracy.

Similarly, the FEEDNETICS[™] simulation yielded an FCR of 1.14 in the FM group and 1.23 in the PBM group, indicating differences in feed efficiency between the two trout groups. In contrast, the experimental trial yielded an FCR of 1.33 in the FM group and 1.37 in the PBM group, in which the FM was replaced by a combination of PM, FMH and omega-3 oil based on natural VeraMaris[®] algae oil. Thus, these FCR values obtained from the actual trial did not match the FCR results predicted by the FEEDNETICS™ simulation. It was expected that FM diet would improve FCR by 0.09 units and reduce total N and P in wastewater by approximately −5.8 and −0.43 units, respectively, compared to PBM. This suggests that FM could provide better feed efficiency and waste reduction in aquaculture.

No signifcant diferences were found between the experimental test and the FEEDNETICS™ simulation in terms of growth. FEEDNETICS[™] predicted that fish fed the FM diet would grow slightly better than those fed the PBM- fed fsh, but the diference was not signifcant. The differences between the FEEDNETICS[™] simulation data and the actual experimental data can be explained by some factors and limitations. One important factor is that the FEEDNETICS™ model did not have data on digestibility, which provides information on how efficiently the fish utilize the nutrients from their feed. This data was not available and was therefore not included in the model. In this scenario, the validation process relied on the default data provided by the model, which is likely to refect generalized assumptions about the growth and nutrient utilization of rainbow trout. The performance of the model can be improved by incorporating more accurate and comprehensive input data specifc to rainbow trout strains and populations.

Conclusions

Our study demonstrated that replacing up to 20% of FM in the diet of rainbow trout with a combination of PBM and FMH, along with fully substituting FO with VeraMaris® natural algae oil, does not compromise growth performance, feed conversion, gut morphology

or liver health. Although there was a reduction in PUFAs in the fllets, the nutritional quality indices of fsh muscle improved in PBM-fed fish. This included lower AtheroI and TI indices, as well as a higher h/H ratio, which suggests potential health benefts for human consumers, such as a reduced risk of cardiovascular diseases. These fndings indicate that PBM and natural algal oil are promising and viable alternatives to FM and FO in trout nutrition, enhancing the sustainability and potential health benefts of trout production. Furthermore, the use of $FEDNETICSTM$ supports environmentally friendly and economically viable practices in fsh farming by identifying sustainable feed ingredients like PBM and natural algal oil. It also aids in developing feeding strategies that optimize growth performance while minimizing environmental impact.

Abbreviations

Acknowledgements

I.H. and V.K. are doctorate students of the PhD in Life Sciences and Biotechnology" at the "University of Insubria", Varese, Italy. We extend our sincere appreciation to SPAROS R&D, Portugal, for generously granting us access to their web-based mathematical model, FEEDNETICS™ tool. Their support enabled us to utilize advanced technology for analyzing and predicting fsh growth performance, which signifcantly contributed to the success of our study. Additionally, we are grateful for their valuable insights and guidance in interpreting the data obtained from the FEEDNETICS $^{T\overline{M}}$ platform. Their expertise and assistance have been indispensable in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of our research fndings.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: G.T., B.C.; methodology: G.T., I.H., M.A., V.K., L.G., M.O., R.A., and S.R.; software, I.H., validation: I.H., V.K., and G.T.; formal analysis: I.H., M.A., M. O., and L.G.; investigation: I.H., S.R., and G.T.; resources: G.T. and B.C.; data curation: I.H., L.G., M.A., M.O., R.A., and S.R., writing-original draft preparation, I.H., and G.T; writing-review and editing: G.T., B.C., M.A.; supervision: G.T.; project administration: G.T.; funding acquisition, G.T., and B.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Research was supported by two projects: (1) Project "BIO=C=O", PON-MISE I&C 2014–2020 FESR, ASSE 6 REACT Priorità di investimento 13. i Azione I, "Finanziato nell'ambito della risposta dell'Unione alla pandemia di COVID-19". Project codex N. F/200078/03/X45, CUP B41B20000280005; (2) I-FISH. Protocollo nr: 414352—del 07/12/2023—AOO_IAI—AOO_Incentivi Fondo per la Crescita Sostenibile-Accordi per l'innovazione di cui al D.M. 31 Dicembre 2021 e D.D. 14 Novembre 2022.

Data availability

Data is provided within the manuscript or supplementary information fles.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The care and handling of the animals in this study strictly adhered to the ARRIVE guidelines and complied with the European Union Directive (2010/63/ EU), which establishes rules for the ethical treatment of animals in scientifc research. This directive, officially adopted by the Italian government under Art. 31 of DLgs no. 26/2014, sets crucial standards for animal welfare. The specifc animal care protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Turin; Italy (Protocol no 14380) granted on 19/03/2019). The animals were privately owned by the farm "Fattoria del Pesce" in Cerano, Novara, Italy, and we obtained informed consent from the owner to use the animals in our study. To minimize the stress and discomfort of the fsh during sampling, a tricaine methanesulfonate solution (MS-222, Sigma-Aldrich, Spain; 100 mg/L) was used for anesthesia prior to sampling. This precaution was taken to ensure the welfare and health of the animals throughout the research process.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Biotechnology and Life Sciences, University of Insubria, Varese 21100, Italy. ² Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Messina, Messina, Italy.³ Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Turin, Grugliasco, TO, Italy.

Received: 28 June 2024 Accepted: 9 October 2024 Published online: 17 October 2024

References

- 1. Hardy RW. Utilization of plant proteins in fish diets: effects of global demand and supplies of fshmeal. Aquac Res. 2010;41(5):770–6. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02349.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02349.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02349.x)
- 2. Péron G, François Mittaine J, Le Gallic B. Where do fshmeal and fsh oil products come from? An analysis of the conversion ratios in the global fshmeal industry. Mar Policy. 2010;34(4):815–20. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2010.01.027) [1016/j.marpol.2010.01.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2010.01.027).
- 3. Lazzarotto V, Corraze G, Leprevost A, Quillet E, Dupont-Nivet M, Médale F. Three-year breeding cycle of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed a plant-based diet, totally free of marine resources: consequences for reproduction, fatty acid composition and progeny survival. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(2):e0117609. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117609.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117609)
- 4. Ytrestøyl T, Aas TS, Åsgård T. Utilisation of feed resources in production of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in Norway. Aquaculture. 2015;448:365–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.06.023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.06.023)
- 5. Naylor RL, Goldburg RJ, Primavera JH, Kautsky N, Beveridge MCM, Clay J, Folke C, Lubchenco J, Mooney H, Troell M. Efect of aquaculture on world fsh supplies. Nature. 2000;405(6790):1017–24. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/35016500) [35016500.](https://doi.org/10.1038/35016500)
- 6. Gatlin IIIDM, Barrows FT, Brown P, Dabrowski K, Gaylord TG, Hardy RW, Herman E, Hu G, Krogdahl Å, Nelson R, et al. Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review. Aquac Res. 2007;38(6):551–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01704.x>.
- 7. Colombo SM. Physiological considerations in shifting carnivorous fshes to plant-based diets. Fish Physiol. 2020;38:53–82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.fp.2020.09.002) [bs.fp.2020.09.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.fp.2020.09.002)
- 8. Aragão C, Gonçalves AT, Costas B, Azeredo R, Xavier MJ, Engrola S. Alternative proteins for fsh diets: implications beyond growth. Animals. 2022;12(9):1211. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12091211.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12091211)
- 9. Cardinaletti G, Di Marco P, Daniso E, Messina M, Donadelli V, Finoia MG, Petochi T, Fava F, Faccenda F, Contò M, et al. Growth and welfare of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in response to graded levels of insect and poultry by-product meals in fshmeal-free diets. Animals. 2022;12(13):1698.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12131698>.
- 10. Davis DA, Arnold CR. Replacement of fsh meal in practical diets for the pacifc white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture. 2000;185(3– 4):291–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00354-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00354-3).
- 11. Hernández C, Olvera-Novoa M, Hardy RW, Hermosillo A, Reyes C, Gonzalez B. Complete replacement of fsh meal by porcine and poultry by-product meals in practical diets for fngerling Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus: Digestibility and growth performance. Aquac Nutr. 2009;16;44– 53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2008.00639.x>.
- 12. Hegedüs M, Bokori J, Andrásofszky E, Kövári L. Optimizing protein quality of mixtures of blood meal, feather meal and bone meal. Acta Vet Hung. 1990;38(3):143–52.
- 13. Fasakin EA, Serwata RD, Davies SJ. Comparative utilization of rendered animal derived products with or without composite mixture of soybean meal in hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus×Oreochromis mossambicus*) diets. Aquaculture. 2005;249(1–4):329–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquac](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.059) [ulture.2005.02.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.059).
- 14. Yu HR, Zhang Q, Cao H, Wang XZ, Huang GQ, Zhang BR, Fan JJ, Liu SW, Li WZ, Cui Y. Apparent digestibility coefficients of selected feed ingredients for juvenile snakehead, *Ophiocephalus Argus*. Aquac Nutr. 2013;19(2):139– 47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2012.00947.x>.
- 15. González-Rodríguez Á, Celada JD, Carral JM, Sáez-Royuela M, García V, Fuertes JB. Evaluation of poultry by-product meal as partial replacement of fsh meal in practical diets for juvenile tench (*Tinca Tinca L*). Aquac Res. 2016;47(5):1612–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12622>.
- 16. Campos I, Matos E, Marques A, Valente LMP. Hydrolyzed feather meal as a partial fshmeal replacement in diets for European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. Aquaculture. 2017;476:152–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.04.024) [aquaculture.2017.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.04.024).
- 17. Yu Y. Replacement of fsh meal with poultry by-product meal and hydrolyzed feather meal in feeds for fnfsh. In: "Alternative Protein Sources in Aquaculture Diets". Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2008. ISBN 978-1-00-342121-4.
- 18. Zho QC, Zhao J, Li P, Wang HL, Wang LG. Evaluation of poultry by-product meal in commercial diets for juvenile cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). Aquaculture. 2011;322–323:122–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.09.042) [2011.09.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.09.042).
- 19. Psofakis P, Karapanagiotidis IT, Malandrakis EE, Golomazou E, Exadactylos A, Mente E. Efect of fshmeal replacement by hydrolyzed feather meal on growth performance, proximate composition, digestive enzyme activity, haematological parameters and growth-related gene expression of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). Aquaculture. 2020;521:735006. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735006) doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735006.
- 20. Karapanagiotidis IT, Psofakis P, Mente E, Malandrakis E, Golomazou E. Efect of fshmeal replacement by poultry by-product meal on growth performance, proximate composition, digestive enzyme activity,

haematological parameters and gene expression of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). Aquac Nutr. 2019;25(1):3–14. [https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.](https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12824) [12824](https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12824).

- 21. Fontinha F, Magalhães R, Moutinho S, Santos R, Campos P, Serra CR, Aires T, Oliva-Teles A, Peres H. Efect of dietary poultry meal and oil on growth, digestive capacity, and gut microbiota of Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles. Aquaculture. 2021;530:735879. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735879) [1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735879.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735879)
- 22. Psofakis P, Meziti A, Berillis P, Mente E, Kormas KA, Karapanagiotidis IT. Efects of dietary fshmeal replacement by poultry by-product meal and hydrolyzed feather meal on liver and intestinal histomorphology and on intestinal microbiota of Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata*). Appl Sci. 2021;11(19): 8806. [https://doi.org/10.3390/app11198806.](https://doi.org/10.3390/app11198806)
- 23. Dawson MR, Alam MS, Watanabe WO, Carroll PM, Seaton PJ. Evaluation of poultry by-product meal as an alternative to fsh meal in the diet of juvenile black sea bass reared in a recirculating aquaculture system. North Am J Aquac. 2018;80(1):74–87. [https://doi.org/10.1002/naaq.10009.](https://doi.org/10.1002/naaq.10009)
- 24. Takakuwa F, Murashita K, Noguchi Y, Inui T, Watanabe K, Sugiyama S, Yamada S, Biswas A, Tanaka H. Effects of long-term feeding of fishmealfree diet on growth parameters, bile acid status, and bile acid-related gene expression of yearling red sea bream *pagrus major* (Temminck & Schlegel, 1843). Aquaculture. 2023;570: 739444. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739444) [aquaculture.2023.739444.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739444)
- 25. Burr GS, Wolters WR, Barrows FT, Hardy RW. Replacing fshmeal with blends of alternative proteins on growth performance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and early or late stage juvenile atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture. 2012;334–337:110–6. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.12.044) [1016/j.aquaculture.2011.12.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.12.044).
- 26. Hatlen B, Jakobsen JV, Crampton V, Alm M, Langmyhr E, Espe M, Hevrøy EM, Torstensen BE, Liland N, Waagbø R. Growth, feed utilization and endocrine responses in atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets added poultry by-product meal and blood meal in combination with poultry oil. Aquac Nutr. 2015;21(5):714–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12194>.
- 27. Barreto-Curiel F, Parés-Sierra G, Correa-Reyes G, Durazo-Beltrán E, Viana MT. Total and partial fshmeal substitution by poultry by-product meal (Petfood grade) and enrichment with acid fsh silage in aquafeeds for juveniles of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Lat Am J Aquat Res. 2016;44(2):327–35. <https://doi.org/10.3856/vol44-issue2-fulltext-13>.
- 28. Rimoldi S, Terova G, Ascione C, Giannico R, Brambilla F. Next generation sequencing for gut microbiome characterization in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed animal by-product meals as an alternative to fshmeal protein sources. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(3): e0193652. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193652) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193652](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193652).
- 29. Randazzo B, Zarantoniello M, Gioacchini G, Cardinaletti G, Belloni A, Giorgini E, Faccenda F, Cerri R, Tibaldi E, Olivotto I. Physiological response of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to graded levels of *Hermetia illucens* or poultry by-product meals as single or combined substitute ingredients to dietary plant proteins. Aquaculture. 2021;538: 736550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736550>.
- 30. Maiolo S, Parisi G, Biondi N, Lunelli F, Tibaldi E, Pastres R. Fishmeal partial substitution within Aquafeed formulations: Life Cycle Assessment of Four Alternative protein sources. Int J Life Cycle Assess. 2020;25:1455–71. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-020-01759-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-020-01759-z)
- 31. Maiolo S, Cristiano S, Gonella F, Pastres R. Ecological sustainability of aquafeed: an emergy assessment of novel or underexploited ingredients. J Clean Prod. 2021;294: 126266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126266) [126266.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126266)
- 32. Galkanda-Arachchige HSC, Wilson AE, Davis DA. Success of fshmeal replacement through poultry by-product meal in aquaculture feed formulations: a meta-analysis. Rev Aquac. 2020;12(3):1624–36. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12401) [org/10.1111/raq.12401.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12401)
- 33. Yu H, Li M, Yu L, Ma X, Wang S, Yuan Z, Li L. Partial replacement of fshmeal with poultry by-product meal in diets for coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutc*h) post-smolts. Animals. 2023;13(17): 2789. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13172789) [ani13172789.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13172789)
- 34. Franks B, Ewell C, Jacquet J. Animal welfare risks of global aquaculture. Sci Adv. 2021;7(14):eabg0677.<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg0677>.
- 35. Vis JW van de, Kolarevic J, Stien LH, Kristiansen TS, Gerritzen MA, Braak K van de, Abbink W, Saether BS, Noble C. Welfare of farmed fsh in diferent production systems and operations. In: The welfare of fsh. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 323–361.
- 36. Glencross B, Baily J, Berntssen M, Hardy R, Mackenzie S, Tocher D. Risk assessment of the use of alternative animal and plant raw material resources in aquaculture feeds. Rev Aquac. 2019;12(2):703–58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12347) [org/10.1111/raq.12347.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12347)
- 37. Ciji A, Akhtar MS. Stress management in aquaculture: a review of dietary interventions. Rev Aquac. 2021;13(4):2190–247. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12565) [raq.12565.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12565)
- 38. Martínez-Llorens S, Baeza-Ariño R, Nogales-Mérida S, Jover-Cerdá M, Tomás-Vidal A. Carob seed germ meal as a partial substitute in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) diets: amino acid retention, digestibility, gut and liver histology. Aquaculture. 2012;338–341:124–33. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.01.029) [10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.01.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.01.029).
- 39. Ye H, Zhou Y, Su N, Wang A, Tan X, Sun Z, Zou C, Liu Q, Ye C. Efects of replacing fsh meal with rendered animal protein blend on growth performance, hepatic steatosis and immune status in hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus*♀ *× Epinephelus lanceolatus*♂). Aquaculture. 2019;511: 734203.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734203>.
- 40. Zhou Z, Yao W, Ye B, Wu X, Li X, Dong Y. Efects of replacing fshmeal protein with poultry by-product meal protein and soybean meal protein on growth, feed intake, feed utilization, gut and liver histology of hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* ♀ *× Epinephelus lanceolatus* ♂) juveniles. Aquaculture. 2020;516: 734503. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquac](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734503) [ulture.2019.734503](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734503).
- 41. Chaklader MR, Siddik MAB, Fotedar R. Total replacement of fshmeal with poultry by-product meal afected the growth, muscle quality, histological structure, antioxidant capacity and immune response of juvenile barramundi, *lates calcarifer*. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(11): e0242079. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242079) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242079](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242079).
- 42. Hu L, Yun B, Xue M, Wang J, Wu X, Zheng Y, Han F. Effects of fish meal quality and fsh meal substitution by animal protein blend on growth performance, fesh quality and liver histology of Japanese seabass (*Lateolabrax japonicus*). Aquaculture. 2013;372–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.10.025) [aquaculture.2012.10.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.10.025).
- 43. Føre M, Frank K, Norton T, Svendsen E, Alfredsen JA, Dempster T, Eguiraun H, Watson W, Stahl A, Sunde LM, et al. Precision fsh farming: a new framework to improve production in aquaculture. Biosyst Eng. 2018;173:176– 93. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2017.10.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2017.10.014)
- 44. Zhou C, Xu D, Lin K, Sun C, Yang X. Intelligent feeding control methods in aquaculture with an emphasis on fsh: a review. Rev Aquac. 2018;10(4):975–93. [https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12218.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12218)
- 45. Lugert V, Thaller G, Teten J, Schulz C, Krieter J. A review on fsh growth calculation: multiple functions in fsh production and their specifc application. Rev Aquac. 2016;8(1):30–42. [https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12071.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12071)
- 46. Chary K, Brigolin D, Callier MD. Farm-scale models in fsh aquaculture – an overview of methods and applications. Rev Aquac. 2022;14(4):2122–57. [https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12695.](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12695)
- 47. Soares FMRC, Nobre AMD, Raposo AIG, Mendes RCP, Engrola SAD, Rema PJAP, Conceição LEC, Silva TS. Development and application of a mechanistic nutrient-based model for precision fsh farming. J Mar Sci Eng. 2023;11(3):472. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse11030472>.
- 48. Nutrient requirements of fsh and shrimp; National Academies Press: Washington, D.C., 2011. ISBN 978-0-309-16338-5.
- 49. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 21st ed. Gaithersburg, MD, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists International; 2019.
- 50. Oteri M, Di Rosa AR, Lo Presti V, Giarratana F, Toscano G, Chiofalo B. Black soldier fly larvae meal as alternative to fish meal for aquaculture feed. Sustainability. 2021;13(10):5447.<https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105447>.
- 51. Caballero MJ, Izquierdo MS, Kjørsvik E, Fernández AJ, Rosenlund G. Histological alterations in the liver of sea bream, Sparus Aurata L., caused by short- or long-term feeding with vegetable oils. Recovery of normal morphology after feeding Fish Oil as the Sole lipid source. J Fish Dis. 2004;27(9):531–41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2004.00572.x>.
- 52. Rodrigues S, Antunes SC, Nunes B, Correia AT. Histological alterations in gills and liver of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) after exposure to the antibiotic oxytetracycline. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2017;53:164–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2017.05.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2017.05.012)
- 53. Ruiz A, Andree KB, Sanahuja I, Holhorea PG, Calduch-Giner JÀ, Morais S, Pastor JJ, Pérez-Sánchez J, Gisbert E. Bile salt dietary supplementation promotes growth and reduces body adiposity in gilthead seabream (*Sparus Aurata*). Aquaculture. 2023;566:739203. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.739203) [aquaculture.2022.739203.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.739203)
- 54. Escafre AM, Kaushik S, Mambrini M. Morphometric evaluation of changes in the digestive tract of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) due to fsh meal replacement with soy protein concentrate. Aquaculture. 2007;273(1):127–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.09.028.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.09.028)
- 55. Chlebicz-Wójcik A, Śliżewska K. The efect of recently developed synbiotic preparations on dominant fecal microbiota and organic acids concentrations in feces of piglets from nursing to fattening. Animals. 2020;10(11): 1999.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10111999>.
- 56. Folch J, Lees M, Sloane Stanley GH. A simple method for the isolation and purifcation of total lipides from animal tissues. J Biol Chem. 1957;226(1):497–509. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)64849-5.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)64849-5)
- 57. Christie WW. Preparation of Ester Derivatives of Fatty Acids for Chromatographic Analysis. Adv Lipid Methodol. 1993;2:69–111.
- 58. Ulbricht TL, Southgate DA. Coronary heart disease: seven dietary factors. Lancet (London England). 1991;338:985–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(91)91846-m) [0140-6736\(91\)91846-m](https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(91)91846-m).
- 59. Santos-Silva J, Bessa RJB, Santos-Silva FJLPS. Efect of genotype, feeding system and slaughter weight on the quality of light lambs: II. Fatty acid composition of meat. Livest Prod Sci. 2002;77(2–3):187–94. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(02)00059-3) [org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(02\)00059-3.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(02)00059-3)
- 60. Luciano G, Pauselli M, Servili M, Mourvaki E, Serra A, Monahan FJ, Lanza M, Priolo A, Zinnai A, Mele M. Dietary olive cake reduces the oxidation of lipids, including cholesterol, in lamb meat enriched in polyunsaturated fatty acids. Meat Sci. 2013;93(3):703–14.
- 61. Mattioli S, Duarte JMM, Castellini C, D'Amato R, Regni L, Proietti P, Businelli D, Cotozzolo E, Rodrigues M, Dal Bosco A. Use of olive leaves (whether or not fortifed with sodium selenate) in rabbit feeding: Efect on performance, carcass and meat characteristics, and estimated indexes of fatty acid metabolism. Meat Sci. 2018;143:230–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.05.010) [meatsci.2018.05.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.05.010)
- 62. Hammer Øyvind, Harper DAT Ryan. Past: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. Palaeontol Elect. 2001;4(1):9.
- 63. Cardinaletti G, Di Marco P, Daniso E, Messina M, Donadelli V, Finoia MG, Petochi T, Fava F, Faccenda F, Contò M, Cerri R, Volpatti D, Bulfon C, Mandich A, Longobardi A, Marino G, Fernanda L, Rodriguez P, Parisi G. Growth and welfare of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in response to graded levels of insect and poultry by-product meals in fshmeal-free diets. Animals. 2022;12(13): 1698. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12131698.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12131698)
- 64. Pfeuti G, Longstaffe J, Brown LS, Shoveller AK, Taylor CM, Bureau DP. Disulphide bonds and cross-linked amino acids may afect amino acid utilization in feather meal fed to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquac Res. 2019;50(8):2081–95.<https://doi.org/10.1111/are.14079>.
- 65. Rimoldi S, Di Rosa AR, Armone R, Chiofalo B, Hasan I, Saroglia M, Kalemi V, Terova G. The replacement of fsh meal with poultry by-product meal and insect exuviae: effects on growth performance, gut health and microbiota of the European seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*. Microorganisms. 2024;12(4):744.<https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12040744>.
- 66. Shapawi R, Ng WK, Mustafa S. Replacement of fsh meal with poultry byproduct meal in diets formulated for the humpback grouper, *Cromileptes altivelis*. Aquaculture. 2007;273(1):118–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquac](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.09.014) [ulture.2007.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.09.014).
- 67. Sabbagh M, Schiavone R, Brizzi G, Sicuro B, Zilli L, Vilella S. Poultry by-product meal as an alternative to fsh meal in the juvenile gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) diet. Aquaculture. 2019;511: 734220. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734220) [org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734220](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734220).
- 68. Caimi C, Gasco L, Biasato I, Malfatto V, Varello K, Prearo M, Pastorino P, Bona MC, Francese DR, Schiavone A, et al. Could dietary black soldier fy meal inclusion afect the liver and intestinal histological traits and the oxidative stress biomarkers of siberian sturgeon (Acipenser Baerii) juveniles? Animals. 2020;10(1):155. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10010155.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10010155)
- 69. Aydin B, Gümüş E, Balci BA. Efect of dietary fsh meal replacement by poultry by-product meal on muscle fatty acid composition and liver histology of fry of nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Actinopterygii: Perciformes: Cichlidae). Acta Ichthyol Piscat. 2015;45(4):343–51. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2015.45.4.02) [org/10.3750/AIP2015.45.4.02](https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2015.45.4.02).
- 70. Donadelli V, Di Marco P, Mandich A, Finoia MG, Cardinaletti G, Petochi T, Longobardi A, Tibaldi E, Marino G. Effects of dietary plant protein replacement with insect and poultry by-product meals on the liver health and serum metabolites of sea bream (*Sparus Aurata*) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Animals. 2024;14(2):241. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14020241) [020241.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14020241)
- 71. Rimoldi S, Ceccotti C, Brambilla F, Faccenda F, Antonini M, Terova G. Potential of shrimp waste meal and insect exuviae as sustainable sources of chitin for fsh feeds. Aquaculture. 2023;567:739256. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739256) [1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739256.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739256)
- 72. Rimoldi S, Di Rosa AR, Oteri M, Chiofalo B, Hasan I, Saroglia M, Terova G. The impact of diets containing hermetia illucens meal on the growth, intestinal health, and microbiota of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). Fish Physiol Biochem. 2024;1–22. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-024-01314-9) [s10695-024-01314-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-024-01314-9).
- 73. Canani RB, Costanzo MD, Leone L, Pedata M, Meli R, Calignano A. Potential beneficial effects of butyrate in intestinal and extraintestinal diseases. World J Gastroenterol. 2011;17(12):1519–28. [https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v17.i12.1519) [v17.i12.1519.](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v17.i12.1519)
- 74. Terova G, Díaz N, Rimoldi S, Ceccotti C, Gliozheni E, Piferrer F. Efects of sodium butyrate treatment on histone modifcations and the expression of genes related to epigenetic regulatory mechanisms and immune response in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) fed a plant-based diet. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(7): e0160332. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160332) [pone.0160332](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160332).
- 75. Tran NT, Li Z, Wang S, Zheng H, Aweya JJ, Wen X, Li S. Progress and perspectives of short-chain fatty acids in aquaculture. Rev Aquac. 2020;12:283–98.<https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12317>.
- 76. Tran NT, Liang H, Li J, Deng T, Zhang M, Li S. Health benefts of butyrate and its producing bacterium, *Clostridium butyricum*, on aquatic animals. Fish Shellfsh Immunol Rep. 2023;4: 100088. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsirep.2023.100088) [fsirep.2023.100088.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsirep.2023.100088)
- 77. Abdel-Latif HMR, Abdel-Tawwab M, Dawood MAO, Menanteau-Ledouble S, El-Matbouli M. Benefts of dietary butyric acid, sodium butyrate, and their protected forms in aquafeeds: a review. Rev Fish Sci Aquac. 2020;28(4):421–48. [https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2020.1758899.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2020.1758899)
- 78. Tawfck MM, Xie H, Zhao C, Shao P, Farag MA. Inulin fructans in diet: role in gut homeostasis, immunity, health outcomes and potential therapeutics. Int J Biol Macromol. 2022;208:948–61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.03.218) [2022.03.218](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.03.218).
- 79. Norambuena F, Hermon K, Skrzypczyk V, Emery JA, Sharon Y, Beard A, Turchini GM. Algae in fsh feed: performances and fatty acid metabolism in juvenile atlantic salmon. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(4): e0124042. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124042) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124042](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124042).
- 80. Siddik MAB, Chungu P, Fotedar R, Howieson J. Bioprocessed poultry byproduct meals on growth, gut health and fatty acid synthesis of juvenile barramundi, *Lates Calcarifer* (Bloch). PLoS ONE. 2019;14(4):e0215025. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215025>.
- 81. Briggs MA, Petersen KS, Kris-Etherton PM. Saturated fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: replacements for saturated fat to reduce cardiovascular risk. Healthcare. 2017;5(2):29.<https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare5020029>.
- 82. Estévez A, Frade P, Ferreira M, Regueiro L, Alvarez M, Blanco B, Fernández L, Soula M. Efects of alternative and sustainable ingredients on rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) growth, muscle composition and health. Aquac J. 2022;2(2):37–50. <https://doi.org/10.3390/aquacj2020004>.
- 83. Renna M, Schiavone A, Gai F, Dabbou S, Lussiana C, Malfatto V, Prearo M, Capucchio MT, Biasato I, Biasibetti E, et al. Evaluation of the suitability of a partially defatted black soldier fy (*Hermetia illucens L*) Larvae meal as ingredient for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss Walbaum*) diets. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2017;8:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-017-0191-3) [s40104-017-0191-3.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-017-0191-3)
- 84. Józefak A, Nogales-Mérida S, Mikołajczak Z, Rawski M, Kierończyk B, Mazurkiewicz J. The utilization of full-fat insect meal in rainbow trout () nutrition: the efects on growth performance, intestinal microbiota and gastrointestinal tract histomorphology. Ann Anim Sci. 2019;19(3):747–65. [https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2019-0020.](https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2019-0020)
- 85. Belforti M, Gai F, Lussiana C, Renna M, Malfatto V, Rotolo L, De Marco M, Dabbou S, Schiavone A, Zoccarato I, et al. Tenebrio molitor meal in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) diets: efects on animal performance, nutrient digestibility and chemical composition of fllets. Ital J Anim Sci. 2015;14(4):4170. [https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2015.4170.](https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2015.4170)
- 86. Terova G, Moroni F, Antonini M, Bertacchi S, Pesciaroli C, Branduardi P, Labra M, Porro D, Ceccotti C, Rimoldi S. Using glycerol to produce European sea bass feed with oleaginous microbial biomass: efects on growth performance, flet fatty acid profle, and FADS2 gene expression. Front Mar Sci. 2021;8: 715078. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.715078>.
- 87. Tocher DR. Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in Teleost Fish. Rev Fish Sci. 2003;11(2):107–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713610925>.
- 88. Peixoto MJ, Salas-Leitón E, Pereira LF, Queiroz A, Magalhães F, Pereira R, Abreu H, Reis PA, Gonçalves JFM, Ozório RODA. Role of dietary seaweed supplementation on growth performance, digestive capacity and immune and stress responsiveness in European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Aquacult Rep. 2016;3:189–97. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2016.03.005) [2016.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2016.03.005).
- 89. Dantagnan P, Hernandez A, Borquez A, Mansilla A. Inclusion of macroalgae meal (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) as feed ingredient for rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss): effect on flesh fatty acid composition. Aquac Res. 2009;41(1):87–94. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02308.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02308.x)
- 90. Guroy B, Ergun S, Merrifeld DL, Guroy D. Efect of autoclaved Ulva meal on growth performance, nutrient utilization and fatty acid profle of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Aquacult Int. 2013;21:605–15. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-012-9592-7) doi.org/10.1007/s10499-012-9592-7.
- 91. Wilke T, Faulkner S, Murphy L, Kealy L, Kraan S, Brouns F. Seaweed enrichment of feed supplied to farm-raised Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) is associated with higher total fatty acid and LC n-3 PUFA concentrations in fsh fesh. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol. 2015;117(6):767–72. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201400166) [1002/ejlt.201400166](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201400166).
- 92. Holdt SL, Kraan S. Bioactive compounds in seaweed: functional food applications and legislation. J Appl Phycol. 2011;23:543–97. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9632-5) [org/10.1007/s10811-010-9632-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9632-5)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.