## On N consecutive integers in an arithmetic progression

By RONALD EVANS in Champaign (Illinois, U.S.A.)

Let  $B_N(d)$  denote a block  $\{c+d, c+2d, ..., c+Nd\}$  of N consecutive integers in an arithmetic progression. It is known [1] that for each  $N \ge 17$  there exists a block  $B_N(1)$  containing no integer relatively prime to each of the others. One might ask whether a similar result holds for blocks  $B_N(2)$  of odd integers, or in general for blocks  $B_N(d)$ . We shall prove that in fact for any positive integers c and d and for all  $N > N_0(d)$ , there exists a block  $B_N(d)$  whose integers are congruent to  $c \pmod{d}$  which contains no integer relatively prime to each of the others. (This is of course trivial if (c, d) > 1.)

As the assertion is known for d=1, assume  $d \ge 2$  and let  $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k$  be the prime divisors of d. Let r(N) be the number of integers  $b=t_1^{a_1}t_2^{a_2} \ldots t_k^{a_k}$  ( $a_i=0,1,2,\ldots$ ) for which b < N. For a given i, the number of powers  $t_i^{a_i}$  for which  $t_i^{a_i} < N$  is  $\le 1 + \frac{\log N}{\log t_i}$ . Hence  $r(N) \le \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\log N}{\log t_i}\right) \le \left(1 + \frac{\log N}{\log 2}\right)^k$ . Thus for all sufficiently large N,  $r(N) < (\log N)^{k+1}$ . By well-known theorems on distribution of primes, we conclude that for large N,

(1) 
$$\pi(N/2) - \pi(N/4) > 2r(N),$$

(2) 
$$\pi(3N/4) - \pi(N/2) > 4r(N).$$

There exists a prime t such that for all large N,

$$(3) t_k < t < N/4.$$

Choose an integer  $N_0(d)$  so large that (1), (2), and (3) hold for all  $N > N_0(d)$ . Fix  $N > N_0(d)$  and let r = r(N).

Let  $b_1, ..., b_r$  denote the integers  $b = t_1^{q_1} t_2^{q_2} ... t_k^{q_k}$  for which b < N. By (1), we can choose 2r distinct primes  $q_i$  such that

(4) 
$$N/4 < q_i < [N/2]$$
  $(i=1, 2, ..., 2r)$ .

By (2), we can choose 4r distinct primes  $p_t$  such that

(5) 
$$N/2 < p_i < [3N/4]$$
  $(i=1, 2, ..., 4r)$ .

Now let x be a solution of the system

$$(6) x \equiv c \pmod{d}$$

(7)  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for each prime  $p \leq N/2$  such that  $p \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_k, q_1, \dots, q_{2r}\}$ .

(8) 
$$x+db_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q_i}$$
  $(i=1, 2, ..., r)$ 

(9) 
$$x - db_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q_{r+i}}$$
  $(i=1, 2, ..., r)$ 

(10) 
$$x+dq_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$$
  $(i=1, 2, ..., 2r)$ 

(11) 
$$x - dq_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_{2r+i}} \quad (i=1, 2, ..., 2r).$$

(A solution exists as the moduli are relatively prime in view of (3), (4), and (5).) We shall now show that the block  $B_N(d) = \{x - d(N - \lfloor N/2 \rfloor - 1), ..., x + d \lfloor N/2 \rfloor \}$  has the desired properties. That its integers are congruent to  $c \pmod{d}$  follows from (6). To see that  $B_N(d)$  contains no integer relatively prime to each of the others, we will produce, for each  $u \in B_N(d)$ , a corresponding  $v \in B_N(d)$  such that  $v \neq u$  and (u, v) > 1.

If u=x, we may choose v=x+dt by (3) and (7). If  $u=x+db_i$ , we may choose  $v=x+d(b_i-q_i)$  by (4) and (8). If  $u=x-db_i$ , we may choose  $v=x+d(q_{r+i}-b_i)$  by (4) and (9). If  $u=x+dq_i$ , we may choose  $v=x+d(q_i-p_i)$  by (4), (5) and (10). If  $u=x-dq_i$ , we may choose  $v=x+d(p_{2r+i}-q_i)$  by (4), (5), and (11). Every other  $u \in B_N(d)$  has the form  $x \pm dm$ , where m is divisible by a prime  $p \le N/2$  such that  $p \notin \{t_1, \ldots, t_k, q_1, \ldots, q_{2r}\}$ . Hence by (7), we may choose v=x for each of these u.

## References

[1] R. J. Evans, On blocks of N consecutive integers, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76 (1969), 48—49.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61820

(Received September 16, 1970)