

A NOTE CONCERNING THOSE  $n$  FOR WHICH  $\phi(n) + 1$  DIVIDES  $n$

G. L. Cohen

The New South Wales Institute of Technology, Sydney, Australia

S. L. Segal

The University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627  
(Submitted July 1987)

In [3, p. 52], Richard Guy gives the following problem of Schinzel: If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $n = 2$  or  $p$  or  $2p$ , then  $(\phi(n) + 1) | n$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function. Is this true for any other  $n$ ?

We shall show that this question is closely related to a much older problem due to Lehmer [4]: whether or not there exist composite  $n$  such that  $\phi(n) | (n - 1)$ . It will turn out that if there are no such composite  $n$ , then Schinzel's are the only solutions of his problem; if there are other solutions of Schinzel's problem, then they have at least 15 distinct prime factors. Let  $\omega(n)$  denote the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ . More specifically, we shall prove the following.

*Theorem:* Let  $n$  be a natural number and suppose  $(\phi(n) + 1) | n$ . Then one of the following is true.

- (i)  $n = 2$  or  $p$  or  $2p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.
- (ii)  $n = mt$ , where  $m = 3, 4$ , or  $6$ ,  $\gcd(m, t) = 1$ , and  $t - 1 = 2\phi(t)$  [so that  $\omega(t) \geq 14$ ].
- (iii)  $n = mt$ , where  $\gcd(m, t) = 1$ ,  $\phi(m) = j \geq 4$ , and  $t - 1 = j\phi(t)$  [so that  $\omega(t) \geq 140$ ].

*Proof:* Since  $(\phi(n) + 1) | n$ , we have

$$m(\phi(n) + 1) = n \tag{1}$$

for some natural number  $m$ . Let  $t = \phi(n) + 1$  and  $d = \gcd(m, t)$ . Then, using (1) and an easy and well-known result (Apostol [1, p. 28]),

$$\phi(n) = \phi(mt) = \frac{\phi(m)\phi(t)d}{\phi(d)}. \tag{2}$$

Since  $d | m$ , we have  $\phi(d) | \phi(m)$  so that  $\phi(m)/\phi(d)$  is an integer. Then, from (2),  $d | \phi(n)$ ; but, by definition,  $d | (\phi(n) + 1)$ . Hence  $d = 1$ . Thus, we have  $n = mt$ , where

$$t = \phi(n) + 1 = \phi(mt) + 1 = \phi(m)\phi(t) + 1.$$

We cannot have  $t = 1$ . Also,  $t$  is prime if and only if  $\phi(m) = 1$ . In this case,  $m = 1$  or  $2$ , and we have Schinzel's solutions, in (i).

Suppose now that  $t$  is composite. If  $\phi(m) = 2$ , then  $m = 3, 4$ , or  $6$  and  $t - 1 = 2\phi(t)$ . Cohen and Hagis [2] showed in this case that  $\omega(t) \geq 14$ . These are the solutions in (ii). It is impossible to have  $\phi(m) = 3$ , so the only remaining possibility is that  $\phi(m) \geq 4$ , so  $t - 1 = j\phi(t)$ , say, with  $j \geq 4$ . For this equation to hold, Lehmer [4] pointed out that  $t$  must be odd and squarefree, and Lieuwens [5] showed that  $\omega(t) \geq 212$  if  $3 | t$ . (This latter remark applies also to the solution  $n = 4t$  in (ii).) Suppose  $3 \nmid t$ , and write

$$t = \prod_{i=1}^u p_i, \quad 5 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_u,$$

where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_u$  are primes. Then  $p_2 \geq 7, p_3 \geq 11, \dots$ . If  $u \leq 139$ ,

$$4 \leq j = \frac{t-1}{\phi(t)} < \frac{t}{\phi(t)} = \prod_{i=1}^u \frac{p_i}{p_i-1} \leq \frac{5}{4} \frac{7}{6} \frac{11}{10} \dots \frac{811}{810} < 4.$$

(There are 139 primes from 5 to 811, inclusive.) This contradiction shows that  $u = \omega(t) \geq 140$  in this case, giving (iii) and completing the proof.

Using the above and results of Pomerance [6, esp. the Remark] and [7], it is not difficult to show that the number of natural numbers  $n$  such that  $n \leq x$ ,  $(\phi(n) + 1) | n$  and  $n$  is not a prime or twice a prime, is

$$O(x^{1/2} (\log x)^{3.4} (\log \log x)^{-5/6}).$$

### References

1. T. A. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
2. G. L. Cohen & P. Hagis, Jr. "On the Number of Prime Factors of  $n$  if  $\phi(n) | (n-1)$ ." *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* (3) 28 (1980):177-185.
3. R. K. Guy. *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1981.
4. D. H. Lehmer. "On Euler's Totient Function." *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38 (1932):745-751.
5. E. Lieuwens. "Do There Exist Composite Numbers  $M$  for Which  $k\phi(M) = M-1$  Holds?" *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* (3) 18 (1970):165-169.
6. C. Pomerance. "On Composite  $n$  for which  $\phi(n) | n-1$ , II." *Pacific J. Math.* 69 (1977):177-186.
7. C. Pomerance. "Popular Values of Euler's Function." *Mathematika* 27 (1980):84-89.

\*\*\*\*\*

(Continued from page 282)

4. H. T. Freitag P. Filipponi. "On the Representation of Integral Sequences  $\{F_n/d\}$  and  $\{L_n/d\}$  as Sums of Fibonacci Numbers and as Sums of Lucas Numbers." Presented at the Second International Conference on Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications, August 13-16, San Jose, California, U.S.A.
5. P. Filipponi. "A Note on the Representation of Integers as a Sum of Distinct Fibonacci Numbers." *Fibonacci Quarterly* 24.4 (1986):336-343.
6. P. Filipponi & O. Brugia. "On the  $F$ -Representation of Integral Sequences Involving Ratios between Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers." Int. Rept. 3B1586, Fondazione Ugo Bordoni, Roma, 1986.
7. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1969.

\*\*\*\*\*