

This is a repository copy of *X-ray phase-contrast imaging for laser-induced shock waves*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/146341/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Antonelli, L. orcid.org/0000-0003-0694-948X, Barbato, F., Mancelli, D. et al. (14 more authors) (2019) X-ray phase-contrast imaging for laser-induced shock waves. EPL. 35002. ISSN 1286-4854

<https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/125/35002>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

X-ray phase-contrast imaging for laser-induced shock waves

L. ANTONELLI^{1,2}, F. BARBATO³, D. MANCELLI^{4,5}, J. TRELA⁴, G. ZERAOU^{6,7}, G. BOUTOUX⁴, P. NEUMAYER⁸, S. ATZENI¹, A. SCHIAVI¹, L. VOLPE^{6,7}, V. BAGNOUD⁸, C. BRABETZ⁸, B. ZIELBAUER⁸, P. BRADFORD², N. WOOLSEY², B. BORM⁹ and D. BATANI⁴

¹ *Dipartimento SBAI, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", Via Antonio Scarpa 14, 00161, Roma, Italy*

² *York Plasma Institute, Department of Physics, University of York, York, YO10 5DQ, United Kingdom*

³ *Empa - Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 129, CH8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland*

⁴ *Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, CEA, CELIA (Centre Lasers Intenses et Applications), UMR 5107, F-33405 Talence, France*

⁵ *University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), Donostia/San Sebastián, Basque Country, Spain*

⁶ *CLPU, Centro de Láseres Pulsados, Edificio M5. Parque Científico. C/ Adaja, 8. 37185 Villamayor, Salamanca, Spain*

⁷ *Universidad de Salamanca Facultad de Ciencias. Plaza de los Caídos, s/n. 37008 Salamanca, Spain*

⁸ *GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Planckstraße 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

⁹ *Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Straße 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

PACS 52.50.Lp – Heating plasma by shock-wave

PACS 52.38.Mf – Laser ablation laser-plasma interactions

PACS 87.59.-e – X-ray imaging

Abstract – X-ray phase-contrast imaging (XPCI) is a versatile technique with applications in many fields, including fundamental physics, biology and medicine. Where X-ray absorption radiography requires high density ratios for effective imaging, the image contrast for XPCI is a function of the density gradient. In this letter, we apply XPCI to the study of laser-driven shock waves. Our experiment was conducted at the Petawatt High-Energy Laser for Heavy Ion EXperiments (PHLIX) at GSI. Two laser beams were used: one to launch a shock wave and the other to generate an X-ray source for phase-contrast imaging. Our results suggest that this technique is suitable for the study of warm dense matter (WDM), inertial confinement fusion (ICF) and laboratory astrophysics.

1 X-ray phase contrast imaging (XPCI) is based on the contribution to the fields of biology and medicine [6–9], 15
2 phase-shift of X-ray photons induced by a density gradi- but laser-driven XPCI could also be applied to studies of 16
3 ent. In the presence of a density variation, the incident X- warm dense matter (WDM), laboratory astrophysics and 17
4 ray photons are deflected from higher density to lower den- inertial confinement fusion (ICF). The sensitivity of XPCI 18
5 sity regions, generating an intensity fringe at the gradient. to density gradients means it can probe a range of differ- 19
6 Synchrotrons and Free Electron Lasers are ideal experi- ent densities in the same measurement. This is useful for 20
7 mental platforms for XPCI because they can deliver coher- studying hydrodynamic processes at material interfaces, 21
8 ent radiation at high energy (to accentuate the phase-shift such as the Richtmyer - Meshkov and Kelvin - Helmholtz 22
9 and limit photon absorption) and high flux [1–3]. It is also instabilities. What is more, since laser-driven XPCI uses 23
10 possible to use broadband incoherent radiation for phase a high-energy probe, it is also possible to study radiative 24
11 contrast imaging, however the corresponding X-ray source phenomena relevant to astrophysics. Large-scale laser fa- 25
12 must be very small. One method for generating small-scale cilities such as the National Ignition Facility (NIF) [10] 26
13 X-ray sources suitable for XPCI is to use laser-irradiated and Laser Mégajoule (LMJ) [11] enable us to study mat- 27
14 solid targets [4, 5]. XPCI has already made an important ter in extreme conditions and both have dedicated beam- 28




Fig. 1: Experimental set-up showing the short-pulse backlighter and long-pulse drive beams.




Fig. 2: a) XPCI image of plastic wires. The upper part corresponds to the IP detector while the lower part corresponds to the CCD. b) X-ray transmission profile from experimental CCD image (black dots) and synthetic profile (red line). The blue dot-dashed line is the corresponding layout on the IP from the upper part of the image (dashed blue line).

the transverse direction with a correlated phase, defined as:

$$l_t \approx \frac{R_0 \lambda}{s} \quad (1)$$

where R_0 is the distance from source to sample, s is the source size (in our case l_t has a different value in the vertical and horizontal directions due to the source geometry) and λ is the X-ray wavelength. In other words, l_t has to be larger than the scale length for the structure to be resolved. In the case of a laser-induced shock wave, l_t should be of the order of a few microns. Equation 1 gives a criterion to evaluate a source for XPCI which is more restricted than reality [25]. Taking the source dimensions into account, the X-ray wavelength ranged from 1.2 to 2.0 Å, and the distance R_0 was 24.5 cm, the minimum value of l_t is 1 μm in the vertical direction and its maximum is 10 μm in the horizontal direction (where the source size is limited to 5 μm).

A 25 J, 2 ns, 1.06 μm wavelength laser pulse was used to launch a shock wave in a plastic (C_8H_8) cylinder with a diameter of 300 μm. With a focal spot diameter of 50 μm, the intensity was about 3×10^{14} W/cm². We used two different detectors to record our images: an Image Plate (IP) and Andor CCD camera. To remove any contribution coming from the interaction of the long pulse with the target, a 500 μm-thick Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) window and a 40 μm thick Al filter were placed in front of our detectors. The transmission of these filters ranged from 2% at 5 keV to 63% at 10 keV.

We tested our set-up by imaging cylindrical nylon wires with diameters from 120 to 400 μm. The results are shown in Figure 2. The image shows the presence of phase contrast at the edges of the wire and low levels of absorption (around 10% of the incident X-ray radiation below 5 keV). If we consider the transverse profile of one of the wire with respect to the wire axis (represented by black dots in Figure 2b), the phase contrast edges are clearly visible while absorption plays a minor role. The red line in Figure 2b is the synthetic profile calculated using our own code. The code was designed to calculate X-ray absorption and

lines for target probing: the Advanced Radiographic Capability (ARC) [12] and the PETawatt Aquitaine Laser (PETAL) [13]. With the increased precision and detail available through XPCI, the development of XPCI lines on these facilities could open up new possibilities in diagnostic imaging. Though laser-driven X-ray absorption radiography has been successfully demonstrated on many experiments (some examples are reported in [14–20]), XPCI using laser-produced X-ray sources has been less intensively studied. A significant advance in the application of laser-driven bremsstrahlung X-ray sources to XPCI was shown by Workman *et al.* in 2010 [21], however the quality of the images they obtained did not allow for a comprehensive study of shock wave characteristics. In [22], a numerical study of cryogenic beryllium capsules using phase-contrast imaging is presented, however a proof-of-principle laser experiment is necessary to pin down the requirements of a single-shot, laser-produced X-ray source for XPCI. In this letter we present the results of an experiment at the PHELIX facility [23] where XPCI was used to study a laser-induced shock-wave. The total energy delivered by the laser was 50 J, divided equally between the short pulse beam (to generate the X-ray source) and the long pulse beam (to drive the shock wave). The experimental layout can be seen in Figure 1.

A 25 J, 0.5 ps, 1.06 μm wavelength laser pulse was focussed onto a tungsten wire with a 5 μm focal spot, leading to on-target intensities of around 6×10^{19} Wcm⁻². Under these conditions, a large portion ($\sim 10 - 20\%$) of the incident laser energy is transferred to relativistic electrons [24] that propagate through the wire and emit bremsstrahlung radiation. These hot electrons were monitored using a bremsstrahlung cannon and highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) crystal spectrometer. A knife edge was used to characterize the source dimensions on each shot. In the horizontal direction, the source was measured to be 5 μm across (the same as the wire diameter), while the size measured along the wire was 30 μm. The characteristics of our X-ray source are consistent with phase-contrast enhancement. If we assume Fresnel diffraction, the recorded pattern on the detector surface results from the superposition of waves coming from a coherence area commensurate with the transversal coherence length, l_t , which is the minimum distance between two points in

111 phase contrast, taking into account the X-ray spectrum,
 112 source size and spatial intensity distribution and solving
 113 the Kirchoff-Fresnel equation using the Fresnel approxi-
 114 mation [26]. The code works in cylindrical geometry and
 115 it takes into account the source spectra, source size and
 116 detector resolution in a similar way as described in [27,28].
 117 Moreover, the density map associate to the object has to
 118 be provided. We used the mass absorption coefficient for
 119 cold Nylon available in the NIST database [29]. Consider-
 120 ing the experimental limitations (detector resolution, low
 121 photon flux, etc.) there is good agreement between ex-
 122 periment and simulation. The blue dot-dashed lines cor-
 123 respond to a layout of the same wire on the IP. Some of
 124 the details are lost due to the lower resolution, as evinced
 125 by the lower ratio between the diffraction peak maximum
 126 and source intensity. The amplitude of the error bars is
 127 calculated from analysis of fluctuations in source intensity.

128 In Figure 3a, we observe a laser-driven shock wave prop-
 129 agating inside a plastic cylinder. This image was taken 8
 130 ns after the end of the driving pulse using IP as detector.
 131 There is evidence of both absorption and phase-contrast
 132 processes, with the strongest phase-contrast at the target-
 133 vacuum edge (P3) and inside the shocked region (P2).
 134 It is also present on the shock wave front (compressed-
 135 uncompressed interface, P1). XPCI is sensitive to den-
 136 sity variations and can provide information on shock wave
 137 propagation even at moderate laser intensity. The X-ray
 138 intensity inside the shock wave is higher than the vac-
 139 uum background intensity, suggesting that a strong den-
 140 sity gradient is present in the low-density region before
 141 the shock front (P2). To model this internal structure,
 142 we ran a number of simulations using the hydrodynamic
 143 code DUED [30] coupled to the bespoke XPCI simulation
 144 code. As for the nylon wires, we again assumed cold opac-
 145 ity for the Polystyrene. Indeed, the 5 - 10 keV backlighter
 146 photons are mainly absorbed by the K-shell electrons in
 147 the carbon atoms if they are not fully ionized. The typ-
 148 ical temperature of shock target at this intensity is few
 149 eV, with a low degree of ionization. In such case the use
 150 of cold opacity leads to an error no more than 1% [31].
 151 The synthetic radiograph is remapped in a lower resolu-
 152 tion image to match the experimental resolution. Initially,
 153 we assumed a super-Gaussian focal intensity distribution
 154 with a diameter of 50 μm , a square time shape with a
 155 pulse duration of 2 ns and an energy of 25 J (correspond-
 156 ing to the nominal parameters of the laser). Numerical
 157 noise calculated from the experimental measurement was
 158 added to simulation. The experimental noise is measured
 159 directly on the experimental data where the target is not
 160 present. Intensity oscillation follows a Gaussian behaviour
 161 with a FWHM equal to 10%. A deviation from the simu-
 162 lated intensity following such a behaviour is then added
 163 to the synthetic radiograph. The results are shown in Fig-
 164 ure 3b. Although reducing the energy in the simulation
 165 allowed us to match the position of the wavefront on-axis,
 166 the synthetic image looks quite different from the exper-
 167 imental data. Moreover the phase contrast at the simu-

168 lated shock front is higher than in the experiment, which
 169 implies that these simulations have unrealistically steep
 170 density gradients. Figure 4a shows the intensity profile
 171 along the horizontal axis (to help reduce noise, the cen-
 172 tral line was averaged with the two nearest points in the
 173 transverse direction). The numerical profiles were taken
 174 without numerical noise. In this case we introduced the
 175 error bar on the experimental data. Our code reproduces
 176 the peak corresponding to the vacuum-target interface and
 177 also the position of the shock front. The peak intensity is
 178 different, however, and we can deduce that the simulation
 179 is predicting a higher density-ratio between the shocked
 180 and unshocked regions since the width of the simulated
 181 and experimental peaks is comparable. Though signifi-
 182 cant phase-contrast is visible inside the simulated shock,
 183 the structure is not well-reproduced. Instead of a single,
 184 intense peak, the red profile has a weaker, bimodal struc-
 185 ture. In addition, the bright region is much more extended
 186 in the simulation than the experiment. One explanation
 187 for the discrepancy comes directly from the experimental
 188 image: A localized bright region inside the shock wave is
 189 indicative of a strong density gradient which would "de-
 190 flect" photons from the higher density region to the lower
 191 one. This single intensity peak is probably due to the rar-
 192 efaction wave which stands behind the shock front and in-
 193 side the shocked material. Moreover the strong 2D evolu-
 194 tion observed is more consistent with a smaller focal spot.
 195 We could not characterize the focal spot at full power and
 196 there was no phase plate to smooth the focal spot distri-
 197 bution. It is therefore reasonable to expect high intensity
 198 spikes which would affect laser energy deposition. In addi-
 199 tion, considering the wavelength used, we were also more
 200 susceptible to parametric effects which could modify the
 201 energy absorption.

202 To test this hypothesis, we performed several simula-
 203 tions where we progressively reduced the laser spot size
 204 from 50 μm down to a 5 μm central spike. Results for
 205 the smallest focal spot are detailed in Figure 3c. Here,
 206 we can distinguish a bright region corresponding to a sin-
 207 gle phase-contrast peak that is broadly consistent with
 208 our experimental results. While the agreement is not per-
 209 fect, this simulation proves that a spike in laser intensity
 210 can dramatically affect the resulting phase-contrast image.
 211 The laser energy was kept at 25 J in these simulations. In
 212 Figure 4b, we present on-axis intensity profiles for the ex-
 213 periment alongside numerical simulations with a smaller
 214 focal spot. A single, intense peak is apparent in the cen-
 215 tral region that is qualitatively consistent with the exper-
 216 iment. One explanation for a smaller focal spot in our exper-
 217 iment could be self-focusing of the laser beam [32,33]. The
 218 laser pulse duration was long ($\tau = 2$ ns), which would
 219 allow the laser to interact with plasma generated earlier in
 220 the interaction. In order to improve the agreement, a more
 221 detailed characterization of the focusing condition is re-
 222 quired. Moreover, the experimental image 3a shows a non
 223 uniform curvature radius of the shock front. This suggest
 224 that we should treat this as a three dimensional problem,




Fig. 3: Comparison between a) XPCI from the experiment, b) A synthetic XPCI image calculated using a specific module coupled to the DUED hydrodynamic code using the nominal focal spot and c) The synthetic XPCI image, using a small focal spot. A numerical noise was added to the images b) and c) according to the experimental measurement.




Fig. 4: Comparison between the profile along the propagation axis of a) XPCI simulation with nominal focal spot dimension, b) reduced focal spot dimension. Image c) shows the profile comparison between the simulations (red and blue lines) with the experiment (black dashed line).




Fig. 5: Synthetic radiographs corresponding to the simulation with a) nominal focal spot and b) reduced focal spot. The image c) compares the intensity profiles along the axis of the image a) (black line) and b) (red line).

using a 3D code with a detailed knowledge of the energy distribution inside the focal spot. However the high quality XPCI images allow a detailed study of the shock shape. By contrast, X-ray absorption radiography does not provide us with the same level of detail. To prove this, we can compare the synthetic absorption radiography of the two simulations. The results are shown in Figure 5a and 5b. It is much harder to identify differences between the simulations using X-ray absorption than with phase contrast imaging (cf. Figure 3b and 3c). In Figure 4c, we show on-axis intensity profiles for the images in Figure 3b and 3c. The red and black absorption profiles are similar, but the red is slightly shifted with the suggestion of a central bump. The energy deposition is different in the two cases and this can cause a difference in the shock velocity. In the case of a small focal spot (red), the 2D effects are stronger and they cause energy to diffuse transverse to the propagation axis. Figure 4 shows the same profile with the phase contrast included. Even accounting for the low resolution of the detector (IP), the structure of the shock wave and the rarefaction wave have been successfully observed. Experimental work has already been done to compare absorption radiography and XPCI in other contexts. In [28], for example, X-ray imaging of a locust demonstrates that XPCI is able to detect features that are completely absent from images made using absorption radiography. The superior sensitivity of XPCI could open up new avenues in the study of warm dense matter, laboratory astrophysics or hydrodynamic instabilities at a variety of densities.

In this work we have presented new XPCI data from the PHELIX laser system at GSI in Germany, using a broadband X-ray source generated by a single laser beam. Our set-up was first tested on static objects and then used to image a laser-driven shock wave. In both cases, phase-contrast at the density interfaces could be clearly discerned. The intrinsic sensitivity of XPCI to density gradients enabled us to observe subtle details in the structure of the shock wave even at low X-ray flux (only 25 J in the backlighter). At larger facilities, where more energetic backlighter beams produce higher X-ray fluxes, the quality of the data would be significantly improved (the signal-to-noise ratio would increase). XPCI is more sensitive to density gradients than X-ray absorption radiography and works well with polychromatic sources. This experiment proved that XPCI can be a useful tool in studies of warm dense matter and high energy density physics, paving the way for testing on large-scale laser facilities.

* * *

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Enabling Research Project: AWP17-ENR-IFE-CEA-01 "Preparation and Realization of European Shock Ignition Experiments" and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not neces-

sarily reflect those of the European Commission. The research leading to these results has received funding from LASERLAB-EUROPE (grant agreement no. 654148, European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme). The authors thanks Dr D Bleiner for having contributed to the purchase of a few targets used in the experiment described in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] SCHROPP A., ET AL., *Scientific reports*, **5** (2015) 11089.
- [2] HAWRELIAK J., ERSKINE D., SCHROPP A., GALTIER E.C., AND HEIMANN P., *AIP Conference Proceedings*, **1793** (2017) 090006.
- [3] NAGLER B., ET AL., *Journal of synchrotron radiation*, **22** (2015) 520-5.
- [4] FOURMAUX S., ET AL., *Optics letters*, **36** (2011) 2426-8.
- [5] KNEIP S., ET AL., *Applied Physics Letters*, **99** (2011) 093701.
- [6] DAVIS T.J., GAO D., GUREYEV T.E., STEVENSON A.W., AND WILKINS S.W., *Nature*, **373** (1995) 11089.
- [7] SNIGIREV A., SNIGIREVA I., KOHN V., KUZNETSOV S., AND SCHELOKOV I., *Review of scientific instruments*, **66** (1995) 5486-92.
- [8] MOMOSE A., TAKEDA T., ITAI Y., AND HIRANO K., *Nature medicine*, **2** (1996) 473-5.
- [9] WILKINS S.W., GUREYEV T.E., GAO D., POGANY A., AND STEVENSON A.W., *Nature*, **384** (1996) 335.
- [10] MILLER G.H., MOSES E.I., AND WUEST C.R., *Optical Engineering*, **43** (2004) 2841-54.
- [11] FLEUROT N., CAVAILLER C., AND BOURGADE J.L., *Fusion Engineering and Design*, **74** (2005) 147-54.
- [12] FLEUROT N., CAVAILLER C., AND BOURGADE J.L., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, **244** (2010) 032003.
- [13] BATANI D., HULIN S., DUCRET J.E., AND DHUMIERES E., *Acta Polytechnica*, **53** (2013) 37.
- [14] BENUZZI-MOUNAIX A., ET AL., *Plasma physics and controlled fusion*, **48** (2006) B347.
- [15] LE PAPE S., ET AL., *Review of Scientific Instruments*, **79** (2008) 106104.
- [16] ANTONELLI, ET AL., *Physical Review E*, **95** (2017) 063205.
- [17] ANTONELLI, ET AL., *Journal of Instrumentation*, **79** (2018) C01013.
- [18] KRITCHER A.L., ET AL., *High Energy Density Physics*, **10** (2014) 27-34.
- [19] DEL SORBO D., ET AL., *Laser and Particle Beams*, **33** (2015) 525-34.
- [20] MORACE A., ET AL., *Physics of Plasmas*, **21** (2014) 102712.
- [21] WORKMAN J., COBBLE J., FLIPPO K., GAUTIER D.C., MONTGOMERY D.S., AND OFFERMANN D.T., *Review of scientific instruments*, **21** (2010) 10E520.
- [22] MONTGOMERY D.S., NOBILE A., AND WALSH P.J., *Review of scientific instruments*, **75** (2004) 3986-8.
- [23] NEUMAYER P., ET AL., *Laser and Particle Beams*, **23** (2005) 385-9.
- [24] SCHÖNLEIN A., ET AL., *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, **114** (2016) 45002.
- [25] WU X., AND LIU H., *Medical physics*, **30** (2003) 2169-79.
- [26] COWLEY J.M., *Diffraction physics*, edited by ELSEVIER, Vol. **9** 1995, p. 481.

- 338 [27] OLIVO A., AND SPELLER R., *Physics in Medicine & Bi-*
339 *ology*, **51** (2006) 3015.
- 340 [28] ARFELLI F., ET AL., *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, **43**
341 (1998) 2845.
- 342 [29] J. H. HUBBELL AND S. M. SELTZER, *National Institute of*
343 *Standards and Technology*, **Report No. NISTIR 5632**
344 (1995) (unpublished).
- 345 [30] ATZENI S., ET AL., *Computer physics communications*,
346 **169** (2005) 153-9.
- 347 [31] FUJIOKA S., ET AL., *Physics of Plasmas*, **10** (2003) 4784-
348 89.
- 349 [32] YOUNG P.E., HAMMER J.H., WILKS S.C., AND KRUEER
350 W.L., *Physics of Plasmas*, **2** (1995) 2825-34.
- 351 [33] YOUNG P.E., BALDIS H.A., DRAKE R.P., CAMPBELL
352 E.M., ESTABROOK K.G., *Physical review letters*, **61**
353 (1988) 2336.