

ON KUREPA'S HYPOTHESIS FOR THE LEFT FACTORIAL

Aleksandar Petojević

Abstract. In this paper sequences of integer numbers are defined and their properties are examined. The equivalent of Kurepa's hypothesis for the left factorial is given using the sequence $\{d_n\}$. It is shown that the sequence $\{d_n\}$ the base of papers of [5](G. V. Milovanović) and [8], [9] (Z. Šami). In view of the generalization of Wilson's theorem given in [1] (V. Kirin) it is shown that some results of papers [8] and [9] can be obtained by elementary methods. The problem which is more general then the problem of Kurepa's hypothesis is considered.

1. Introduction

In [2] (Đ. Kurepa), it is defined left factorial $!n$ with $!n = 0! + 1! + 2! + \dots + (n-2)! + (n-1)!$. Also, the hypothesis, which is called latter *Kurepa's hypothesis for left factorial (KH)*, is formulated

$$(1) \quad (!n \ n!) = 2, \quad n \in N, \quad n > 1,$$

where $(!n \ n!)$ is the greatest common divisor for $!n$ and $n!$.

In [2], it is proved that the equivalent assertion for (1) is the assertion that for any prime numbers p , $p > 2$ it applies:

$$(2) \quad !p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

The left factorial in complex domain is defined by

$$(3) \quad !z = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{x^z - 1}{x - 1} dx$$

where z is a complex number, $(\operatorname{Re} z > 0)$.

It is proved that

$$!(z + 1) = \Gamma(z + 1) + !z,$$

Received October 29, 1997

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11A05.

where $\Gamma(z)$ is a gamma function given by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{z-1} dx.$$

Using the function

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1-x}, \quad n \in N_0$$

Z. Šami defined in [8] and [9] the sequence y_n :

$$y_n = f^{(n)}(0),$$

i.e.

$$(4) \quad y_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k!.$$

The terms of sequence y_n are $y_0 = 1, y_1 = 0, y_2 = 1, y_3 = 2, y_4 = 9, y_5 = 44, \dots$

In view of properties of sequence y_n it is proved that for any prime number the following hold:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\frac{(p-1)!}{e} \right] + 1 &\equiv p \pmod{p} \\ \left[\frac{p!}{e} \right] &\equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \\ \left[\frac{(p-1)!}{e} \right] + \left[\frac{(p-2)!}{e} \right] &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ is a function defined by $[x] \in Z$ and $[x] \leq x < [x] + 1$.

The sequence $u_m(x)$, $m \in Z$:

$$u_m(x) = \begin{cases} e^x \int_0^x dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} f(t_m) dt_m, & m > 0 \\ e^x f^{(-m)}(x), & m \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

is defined.

By the sequence $u_m(x)$ the sequence $u_{n,m}$, $n, m \in N$:

$$u_{n,m}(x) = u_m^{(n)}(0),$$

is defined, and it is proved that following statements

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (\exists k)(k \geq p \wedge u_{k,2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}), \text{ for all primes } p \geq 3, \\ u_{p-1,2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \text{ for all primes } p \geq 3, \\ u_{p-2,2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \text{ for all primes } p \geq 3, \\ u_{p+1,2} \not\equiv p+1 \pmod{p^2}, \text{ for all primes } p \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

are equivalent to K. H.

The sequence

$$(8) \quad S_t = t! \sum_{i=0}^t \frac{(-1)^i}{i!}, \quad (i \geq 0), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$(9) \quad S_t = tS_{t-1} + (-1)^t, \text{ for } S_0 = 1$$

is defined by G. V. Milovanović in [5]. The terms of sequence S_t are $S_0 = 1$, $S_1 = 0$, $S_2 = 1$, $S_3 = 2$, $S_4 = 9$, $S_5 = 44$, ... In view of the sequence S_t the function

$$(10) \quad K_m(n) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \binom{m+n}{t+m+1} S_t,$$

is defined and its properties are examined.

Wilson's Theorem: The necessary and the sufficient condition for a number $p > 2$ to be a prime number is for

$$(11) \quad (p-1)! + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

to hold.

V. Kirin, [1], give the generalization of Wilson's Theorem:

Theorem 1. *The necessary and the sufficient condition for a number $n > 2$ to be prime is that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the following holds*

$$(12) \quad (m-1)!(n-m)! + (-1)^{m-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

Two proves of this Theorem are given in [6].

2. Application of generalization of Wilson's Theorem

The statement (5) can be proved using the Theorem 1. Let p be a prime number and $!p \equiv t \pmod{p}$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p-1)! + (p-2)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0! \equiv t \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p-3)! + (p-4)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0! \equiv t \pmod{p} / 2!$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2!(p-3)! + 1 + 2!((p-4)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0!) - 1 \equiv 2!t \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2!((p-4)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0!) - \left(\frac{1!}{1!}\right) \equiv 2!t \pmod{p} / 3$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 3!(p-4)! - 1 + 3!((p-5)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0!) - 3 + 1 \equiv 3!t \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 3!((p-5)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0!) - \left(\frac{3!}{2!}\right) + \left(\frac{3!}{3!}\right) \equiv 3!t \pmod{p} / 4$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 4!(p-5)! + 1 + 4!((p-6)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0!) - \left(\frac{4!}{2!}\right) + \left(\frac{4!}{3!}\right) - \left(\frac{4!}{4!}\right) \equiv 4!t \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 4!((p-6)! + \dots + 2! + 1 + 0!) - \left(\frac{4!}{2!}\right) + \left(\frac{4!}{3!}\right) - \left(\frac{4!}{4!}\right) \equiv 4!t \pmod{p}$$

⋮

after k steps we get

$$(13) \quad k!((p-k-2)! + \dots + 0!) - \left(\frac{k!}{2!}\right) + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{k!}{k!}\right) \equiv k!t \pmod{p}$$

if in expression (13) we take $k = p-2$ we get:

$$(p-2)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots - \frac{1}{(p-3)!} + \frac{1}{(p-2)!}\right) \equiv (p-2)!t \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p-2)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots - \frac{1}{(p-3)!} + \frac{1}{(p-2)!}\right) \equiv (p-2)!t - t + t \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p-2)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots - \frac{1}{(p-3)!} + \frac{1}{(p-2)!}\right) \equiv t \pmod{p}$$

$$(14) \quad (p-2)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots - \frac{1}{(p-3)!} + \frac{1}{(p-2)!}\right) \equiv p \pmod{p}$$

From expansion of the function e^{-1} into series we have

$$(15) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots - \frac{1}{(p-3)!} + \frac{1}{(p-2)!} = 1 - e^{-1} + \frac{e^{-1}}{(p-1)!}$$

where is $e^\alpha < 1$.

If we substitute expression (15) in (14) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) &\Leftrightarrow (p-2)! \left(1 - e^{-1} + \frac{e^\alpha}{(p-1)!} \right) \equiv !p \pmod{p} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (p-2)! - 1 + (p-2)! \left(-e^{-1} + \frac{e^\alpha}{(p-1)!} \right) + 1 \equiv !p \pmod{p} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (p-2)! \left(\frac{e^\alpha}{(p-1)!} - e^{-1} \right) + 1 \equiv !p \pmod{p} / - (p-1) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow -(p-1)! \left(\frac{e^\alpha}{(p-1)!} - e^{-1} \right) - p + 1 \equiv -!p(p-1) \pmod{p} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow -(p-1)! \cdot \frac{e^\alpha}{(p-1)!} + (p-1)! e^{-1} + 1 \equiv !p \pmod{p} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{(p-1)!}{e} + 1 - e^\alpha \equiv !p \pmod{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem has been proved.

Remark. The majority of results given in [8] and [9] can be proved using the above method.

3. The sequence $\{d_n\}$

Definition 1. The sequence of integers $\{d_n\}$ is defined by the following recurrent formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= -1, \\
 d_n &= -(n+1)d_{n-1} - 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

for every natural number n .

The terms of sequence $\{d_n\}$ are $d_1 = -1$, $d_2 = 2$, $d_3 = -9$, $d_4 = 44$, $d_5 = -265$, Sequence $\{d_n\}$ is the union of two disjunctive sub-sequences, a sub-sequence whose terms are negative numbers $\{d_n^-\}$ and a sub-sequence whose terms are positive numbers $\{d_n^+\}$.

In view of (4) and (8) we get that

$$y_n = S_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The Definition 1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+1} &= S_{n+1} = d_n \text{ for } n = 2k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
 y_{n+1} &= S_{n+1} = -d_n \text{ for } n = 2k - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. $d_j \in \{d_n^-\} \iff (d_j \in \{d_n\} \wedge d_j < 0)$.

Definition 3. $d_j \in \{d_n^+\} \iff (d_j \in \{d_n\} \wedge d_j > 0)$.

Consequence 1. Sequence $\{d_n^-\}$ is given by the following recurrent formula:

$$\begin{aligned}d_1^- &= -1, \\d_n^- &= (2n - 1)(2nd_{n-1}^- + 1),\end{aligned}$$

for every natural number n .

Consequence 2. Sequence $\{d_n^+\}$ is given by the following recurrent formula:

$$\begin{aligned}d_1^+ &= 2, \\d_n^+ &= 2n((2n + 1)d_{n-1}^+ + 1),\end{aligned}$$

for any natural number n .

Theorem 2. For every term of sequence $\{d_n\}$, it applies:

- a) $d_n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n - 1}$
- b) $d_n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$
- c) $d_n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n + 1}$

Cosequence 3. For every term of sequence $\{d_n^-\}$, it applies:

- a) $d_n^- + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n - 2}$
- b) $d_n^- \equiv 0 \pmod{2n - 1}$
- c) $d_n^- + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$

Theorem 3. For every term of sequence $\{d_n\}$ it applies:

- a) $d_i > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d_{i+2}}{(|d_i| + |d_{i+1}|)} = i + 2$
- b) $d_i < 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d_{i+2}}{(|d_i| + |d_{i+1}|)} = -(i + 2)$

Consequence 4. For every term of sequence $\{d_n\}$ it applies:

$$d_{i+2} \equiv 0 \pmod{|d_i| + |d_{i+1}|}.$$

4. Equivalent to KH

Theorem 4. Let p be a prime number. Then:

$$!p \equiv -d_{p-2} \pmod{p},$$

where $d_{p-2} \in \{d_n\}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (p-1)! + (p-2)! + (p-3)! + \dots + 2! + 1! + 0! \equiv !p \pmod{p} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-3)! + (p-4)! + \dots + 2! + 1! + 0! \equiv !p \pmod{p} / (p-2) \equiv -2 \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-2)! + (p-2)(p-4)! + \dots + (p-2)1! + (p-2)0! \equiv !p(-2!) \pmod{p} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-2)(p-4)! + \dots + (p-2)1! + (p-2)0! \equiv -1+!p(-2!) \pmod{p} / (p-3) \equiv -3 \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-2)! + (p-2)(p-3)(p-5)! + \dots + (p-2)(p-3)1! + (p-2)(p-3)0! \\
 & \equiv 3+!p(3!) \pmod{p} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-2)(p-3)(p-5)! + \dots + (p-2)(p-3)1! + (p-2)(p-3)0! \\
 & \equiv 2+!p(3!) \pmod{p} / (p-4) \equiv -4 \\
 & \vdots \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-2) \dots (p-(p-4))2! + (p-2) \dots (p-(p-4))1! + (p-2) \dots (p-(p-4))0! \\
 & \equiv d_{p-5}+!p((p-4)!) \pmod{p} / (p-(p-3)) \equiv -(p-3) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p-2)! + \frac{(p-2)!}{2} + \frac{(p-2)!}{2} \equiv -(p-3)d_{p-5}+!p(-(p-3)!) \pmod{p} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \frac{(p-2)!}{2} + \frac{(p-2)!}{2} \equiv -(p-3)d_{p-5} - 1+!p(-(p-3)!) \pmod{p} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (p-2)! \equiv -(p-3)d_{p-5} - 1+!p(-(p-3)!) \pmod{p} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow d_{p-4}+!p(-(p-3)!) \equiv (p-2)! \pmod{p} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow d_{p-4} - 1 \equiv !p(p-3)! \pmod{p} / -(p-2) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow d_{p-3} - 1 \equiv !p(-(p-2)!) \pmod{p} / -(p-1) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow d_{p-2} \equiv !p(p-1)! \pmod{p} \\
 & \Leftrightarrow -d_{p-2} \equiv !p \pmod{p} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4. The sequence of integers $\{d_n\}$ is defined by the following recurrent formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= 0 \\
 a_{n+1} &= -(n+2)a_n - d_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

for every natural number n and $d_n \in \{d_n\}$.

The terms of sequence $\{a_n\}$ are $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1, a_3 = -6, a_4 = 39, \dots$

Theorem 5. For any term of sequence $\{d_n\}$ if applies:

$$d_{j-2} \equiv t \pmod{j} \Rightarrow d_{j+k} \equiv (-1)^k j(k+1)! (t+1) + ja_k + d_k \pmod{j^2}$$

for any integer t and any natural number $k, j > 2$.

Proof. Let $d_{j-2} \equiv t \pmod{j} / -j$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Leftrightarrow -jd_{j-2} - 1 \equiv -jt - 1 \pmod{j^2} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow d_{j-1} \equiv -jt - 1 \pmod{j^2} / -(j+1) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow -(j+1)d_{j-1} - 1 \equiv (-jt - 1)(-(j+1)) - 1 \pmod{j^2} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow d_j \equiv (jt + 1)(j+1) - 1 \pmod{j^2} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow d_j \equiv j(t+1) \pmod{j^2} / -(j+2) \\
 &\Rightarrow -(j+2)d_j - 1 \equiv j(t+1)(-(j+2)) - 1 \pmod{j^2} \\
 &\Rightarrow d_{j+1} \equiv -2!j(t+1) + 0j + (-1) \pmod{j^2} / -(j+3) \\
 &\Rightarrow -(j+3)d_{j+1} - 1 \equiv (j+3)2!j(t+1) + j + 3 - 1 \pmod{j^2} \\
 &\Rightarrow d_{j+2} \equiv 3!j(t+1) + 1j + 2 \pmod{j^2} / -(j+4) \\
 &\Rightarrow d_{j+3} \equiv -4!j(t+1) + (-6)j + (-9) \pmod{j^2} / -(j+5) \\
 &\Rightarrow d_{j+4} \equiv 5!j(t+1) + 39j + 44 \pmod{j^2} / -(j+6) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\Rightarrow d_{j+k} \equiv ((-1)^k j(k+1)!(t+1) + ja_k + d_k \pmod{j^2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequence 5. For any term of sequence $\{d_n\}$ and natural number $r, j > 2$ is :

$$d_{j-2} \equiv d_{rj-2} \pmod{j}.$$

Proof. Based on Definition 4 and Theorem 5 taking for $k = j - 1, k = 2j - 1, \dots, k = (r - 1)j - 1$, it is obtained in sequence $d_{j-2} \equiv d_{2j-2} \equiv d_{3j-2} \equiv \dots \equiv d_{rj-2} \pmod{j}$.

5. The generalization of problem

Let p be a prime number. We denote

$$Ne(p) = (p-2)! + (p-4)! + (p-6)! + \dots + 3! + 1!$$

$$Pa(p) = (p-1)! + (p-3)! + (p-5)! + \dots + 4! + 2! + 0!$$

In view of the definition of the left factorial the equality

$$!p = Ne(p) + Pa(p).$$

If $Ne(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $Pa(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $!p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Does the converse statement hold? i.e. if $!p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, does equalities $Ne(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $Pa(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ hold?

Let us define two sequences $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$. The sequence $\{f_n\}$ is given by the following recurrent formula:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= -1 \\ f_{n+1} &= (2n-1)2nf_n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The terms of sequence $\{f_n\}$ are $f_1 = -1$, $f_2 = -3$, $f_3 = -37$, $f_4 = -1111$, ... The sequence $\{g_n\}$ is given by the following recurrent formula:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= 1 \\ g_{n+1} &= (2n+1)2ng_n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The terms of sequence $\{g_n\}$ are $g_1 = 1$, $g_2 = 7$, $g_3 = 141$, $g_4 = 5923$, ...

In the same way as it done to prove (5), we derive

Theorem 6. For all a prime number p is

$$g_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv Ne(p) \pmod{p} \wedge f_{\frac{p+1}{2}} \equiv -Pa(p) \pmod{p}.$$

References

- [1] V. Kirin, *A note Wilson theorem*, Glasnik mat. fiz. i astr. Zagreb **17** (1962), 181–182.
- [2] Đ. Kurepa, *On the left factorial function $!n$* , Math. Balcan. **1** (1971), 297–307.
- [3] Đ. Kurepa, *Left factorial function in complex domain*, Math. Balcan. **3** (1973), 297–307.
- [4] Đ. Kurepa, *On some new left factorial proposition*, Math. Balcan. **4** (1974), 383–386.
- [5] G. V. Milovanović, *A sequence of Kurepa's functions*, Scientific Review **19–20** (1996), 137–146.
- [6] A. Petojević, *A Generalization of the Wilson and Leibniz Theorems*, The University Thought (Nat. Sci.) **IV(2)**, (1997), 3–8, Priština, Serbia.
- [7] Z. Šami, *On the M-hypothesis of Đ. Kurepa*, Math. Balcan. **3** (1973), 530–532.
- [8] Z. Šami, *On generalization of functions $n!$ and $!n$* , Publ. Inst. Math. **56 (70)** (1996), 5–14.
- [9] Z. Šami, *A sequence $u_{n,m}$ and Kurepa's hypothesis on left factorial*, Scientific Review **19–20** (1996), 105–113.