

# Lower bounds for the minimum diameter of integral point sets

HIROSHI NOZAKI

*Department of Mathematics  
Aichi University of Education  
1 Hirosawa, Igaya-cho, Kariya, Aichi 448-8542  
Japan  
hnozaki@auecc.aichi-edu.ac.jp*

## Abstract

We improve a known lower bound for the minimum diameter of integral point sets.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a finite subset in the  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We define

$$A(X) = \{||x - y|| \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\},$$

where  $||(x_1, \dots, x_m)|| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}$ . We call  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  an *integral point set* if all elements in  $A(X)$  are integers. The maximum element in  $A(X)$  is called the *diameter* of an integral point set  $X$ . One of the basic problems is to determine the smallest possible diameter  $d(m, n)$  of integral point sets for fixed dimension  $m$  and cardinality  $n$  of  $X$ . We have a lot of exact values of  $d(m, n)$  for relatively small  $m$  and  $n$ , and an upper bound for  $d(m, n)$  by a constructive method, see [3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11]. For general  $m$  and  $n$ , a known lower bound is only  $n^{1/m} \sqrt{3/2m} < d(m, n)$  [6]. In the present paper, we improve this lower bound. Namely, if  $\binom{m+s-1}{s-1} + \binom{m+s-2}{s-2} < n \leq \binom{m+s}{s} + \binom{m+s-1}{s-1}$  for some  $s \geq 3$ , then

$$s \leq d(m, n). \tag{1}$$

Indeed this bound gives the bound  $n^{1/m} < d(m, n)$ , and it is better than the previous known bound.

We apply the theory on  $s$ -distance sets to prove our lower bound. We call  $X$  an  $s$ -distance set if  $A(X)$  has size  $s$ . The author gave certain values, so called generalized Larman–Rogers–Seidel’s ratios, which play an important role in the study of  $s$ -distance sets [10]. The ratio  $K_i$  of  $X$  with  $A(X) = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$  is

$$K_i = \prod_{j=1, \dots, s, j \neq i} \frac{a_j^2}{a_j^2 - a_i^2}$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Using the integrality of these ratios we can prove the non-existence of some  $s$ -distance sets. Under our assumption, we prove the non-existence of a distance set satisfying  $A(X) \subset \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$  and obtain the bound (1).

## 2 Preliminaries

We prepare some notation and results. A lower bound for the size of an  $s$ -distance set is known.

**Theorem 2.1** ([1, 2]). *Let  $X$  be an  $s$ -distance set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $n$  points. Then  $n \leq \binom{m+s}{s}$ .*

Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$  be independent variables, and let  $\xi_0 = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_m^2$ . We define  $W_l(\mathbb{R}^m)$  to be the linear space spanned by the monomials  $\xi_0^{\lambda_0} \xi_1^{\lambda_1} \dots \xi_m^{\lambda_m}$  with  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \leq l$  and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ . Then it is known that  $\dim W_l(\mathbb{R}^m) = \binom{m+l}{l} + \binom{m+l-1}{l-1}$  [1]. The following lemmas are used later.

**Lemma 2.2** ([10]). *Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in W_l(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $M$  be the square matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is  $p_i(x_j)$ . Then the rank of  $M$  is at most  $\dim W_l(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in W_l(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $M$  be the square matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is  $p_i(x_j)$ . Assume  $n > \dim W_l(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $M$  is symmetric, every diagonal entry of  $M$  is  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and non-diagonal entries are integers. Then  $k$  is an integer.*

*Proof.* By  $n > \dim W_l(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and Lemma 2.2,  $M$  has a zero eigenvalue. We can express

$$M = kI + A,$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix,  $A$  is a symmetric matrix whose diagonal entries are 0, and non-diagonal entries are integers. The eigenvalues of  $A$  are all algebraic integers. Since  $M$  has a zero eigenvalue,  $A$  has the eigenvalue  $-k$ . Therefore  $k$  is an algebraic integer, and  $k$  is a rational integer because  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

## 3 Lower bound on the minimum diameter

The following are known lower bounds for the minimum diameter of integral point sets.

**Theorem 3.1** ([5, 6, 11]). (1)  $\sqrt{\frac{3}{2m}} n^{1/m} < d(m, n)$ .

(2)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} n^{1/2} < d(3, n)$  for  $n \geq 5$ .

(3)  $cn \leq d(2, n)$  for a sufficiently small constant  $c$ .

(4)  $3 \leq d(m, n) \leq 4$  for  $m + 2 \leq n \leq 2m$  and  $d(m, 2m) = 4$ .

We improve the bound (1) in Theorem 3.1. Let  $N(m, s) = \binom{m+s-1}{s-1} + \binom{m+s-2}{s-2}$ . Note that  $\dim W_l(\mathbb{R}^m) = N(m, l+1)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be an integral point set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $n$  points. If  $N(m, s-1) < n \leq N(m, s)$  holds for some  $s \geq 3$ , then we have a lower bound*

$$d(m, n) \geq s.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\binom{m+s-2}{s-2} < N(m, s-1) < n$  and Theorem 2.1, the set  $X$  has at least  $s-1$  distances. If  $X$  has at least  $s$  distances, then the diameter of  $X$  is greater than  $s-1$ . Now we assume  $X$  is an  $(s-1)$ -distance set with distances  $1, 2, \dots, s-1$ . If this assumption makes a contradiction, then this theorem follows.

For each  $x \in X$ , we define the polynomial

$$F_x(\xi) = \prod_{j=2}^{s-1} \frac{j^2 - \|x - \xi\|^2}{j^2 - 1},$$

where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$ . Then  $F_x(\xi) \in W_{s-2}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , and the following equalities are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} F_x(x) &= k = \prod_{j=2}^s \frac{j^2}{j^2 - 1}, \\ F_x(y) &= 1 \quad \text{if } y \in X \text{ and } \|x - y\|^2 = 1, \\ F_x(y) &= 0 \quad \text{if } y \in X, x \neq y \text{ and } \|x - y\|^2 \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M$  be the symmetric matrix  $(F_x(y))_{x,y \in X}$ . By  $|X| > N(m, s-1)$ , the matrix  $M$  satisfies the condition in Lemma 2.3. Therefore  $k$  is a rational integer. However

$$k = \prod_{j=2}^{s-1} \frac{j^2}{j^2 - 1} = \frac{2(s-1)!(s-1)!}{s!(s-2)!} = \frac{2(s-1)}{s}$$

is not a rational integer except for  $s = 2$ , because  $\gcd(s-1, s) = 1$ . This is a contradiction, and the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *The minimum diameter  $d(m, n)$  is bounded below by  $n^{1/m}$  for  $n > N(m, 2)$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* There exists  $s \geq 3$  such that  $N(m, s-1) < n \leq N(m, s)$ . Then  $d(m, n) \geq s$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
n \leq N(m, s) &= \binom{m+s-1}{m} + \binom{m+s-2}{m} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{2(s-1)}{m}\right) \binom{m+s-2}{m-1} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{2(s-1)}{m}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{s-1}{i}\right) \\
&< \left(1 + \frac{s-1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{s-1}{1}\right) \\
&< s^m \leq (d(m, n))^m
\end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 4$ . Thus  $n^{1/m} < d(m, s)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** The lower bound  $d(m, n) > n^{1/m}$  is better than the known bound (1) in Theorem 3.1 for  $n > N(m, 2)$ ,  $m \geq 4$ . For  $m = 2, 3$ , we have much better bounds (2), (3) in Theorem 3.1. The bound in Corollary 3.3 is a very rough evaluation of that in Theorem 3.2. For  $s = 3$ , if  $m+2 < n \leq (m+1)(m+4)/2$ , then  $3 \leq d(m, n)$  by Theorem 3.2. This bound coincides with the bound (4) in Theorem 3.1 for  $m+2 < n < 2m$ .

For  $s = 4$  in Theorem 3.2 we can obtain a better bound as follows.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be an integral point sets in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $n$  points. If  $N(m, 3) < n \leq N(m, 4)$  holds, then we have a lower bound*

$$d(m, n) \geq 5.$$

*Proof.* If we show  $A(X)$  is not a subset of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then we obtain the desired bound. Assume  $A(X) \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we define

$$F_x(\xi) = \frac{(3^2 - \|x - \xi\|^2)(4^2 - \|x - \xi\|^2)}{(3^2 - 2^2)(4^2 - 2^2)}.$$

Then  $F_x(\xi) \in W_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , and the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_x(x) &= \frac{12}{5}, \\
F_x(y) &= 2 \text{ if } \|x - y\| = 1, \\
F_x(y) &= 1 \text{ if } \|x - y\| = 2, \\
F_x(y) &= 0 \text{ if } \|x - y\| \neq 1, 2.
\end{aligned}$$

However  $M = (F_x(y))_{x,y \in X}$  satisfies the condition in Lemma 2.3, and  $F_x(x)$  must be an integer, a contradiction. Therefore we obtain the desired bound  $5 \leq d(m, n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** For other  $s$ , we might obtain a better bound by a similar method to the proof in Proposition 3.5.

## References

- [1] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai and D. Stanton, An upper bound for the cardinality of an  $s$ -distance subset in real Euclidean space, II, *Combinatorica* 3 (1983), 147–152.
- [2] A. Blokhuis, A new upper bound for the cardinality of 2-distance sets in Euclidean space, Convexity and graph theory (Jerusalem, 1981), 65–66, *North-Holland Math. Stud.* 87, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [3] H. Harborth and A. Kemnitz, Diameters of integral point sets, *Intuitive geometry (Siófok, 1985)*, 255–266, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* 48, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [4] H. Harborth, A. Kemnitz and M. Möller, An upper bound for the minimum diameter of integral point sets, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 9 (1993) no. 4, 427–432.
- [5] H. Harborth and L. Piepmeyer, Points sets with small integral distances, *Applied geometry and discrete mathematics*, 319–324, *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [6] H.-J. Kanold, Über Punktmengen im  $k$ -dimensionalen euklidischen Raum, *Abh. Braunschwe. Wiss. Ges.* 32 (1981), 55–65.
- [7] S. Kurz, On the characteristic of integral point sets in  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , *Australas. J. Combin.* 36 (2006), 241–248.
- [8] S. Kurz and R. Laue, Bounds for the minimum diameter of integral point sets, *Australas. J. Combin.* 39 (2007), 233–240.
- [9] S. Kurz and A. Wassermann, On the minimum diameter of plane integral point sets, *Ars Combin.* 101 (2011), 265–287.
- [10] H. Nozaki, A generalization of Larman-Rogers-Seidel's theorem, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011), 792–799.
- [11] J. Solymosi, Note on integral distances, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 30 (2) (2003), 337–342.

(Received 2 Aug 2012; revised 14 Feb 2013)