# ON CERTAIN ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING EXPONENTIAL DIVISORS

L. Tóth (Pécs, Hungary)

Dedicated to Professor Imre Kátai on the ocassion of his 65th birthday

### 1. Introduction

Let n > 1 be an integer of canonical form  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$ . The integer d is called an *exponential divisor* of n if  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{c_i}$ , where  $c_i | a_i$  for every  $1 \le i \le r$ , notation:  $d|_e n$ . By convention  $1|_c 1$ . This notion was introduced by M.V. Subbarao [9]. Note that 1 is not an exponential divisor of n > 1, the smallest exponential divisor of n > 1 is its squarefree kernel  $\kappa(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$ .

Let  $\tau^{(e)}(n) = \sum_{d|_e n} 1$  and  $\sigma^{(e)}(n) = \sum_{d|_e n} d$  denote the number and the sum of exponential divisors of n, respectively. The integer  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$  is called exponentially squarefree if all the exponents  $a_i$   $(1 \le i \le r)$  are squarefree. Let  $q^{(e)}$  denote the characteristic function of exponentially squarefree integers. Properties of these functions were investigated by several authors, see [1], [2], [3], [8], [9], [12].

Two integers n, m > 1 have common exponential divisors iff they have the same prime factors and in this case, i.e. for  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}, \ m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{b_i}, \ a_i, \ b_i \geq$ 

The research was supported by the fund of Applied Number Theory Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

> 1 (1 < i < r), the greatest common exponential divisor of n and m is

$$(n,m)_{(e)} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{(a_i,b_i)}.$$

Here  $(1,1)_{(e)} = 1$  by convention and  $(1,m)_{(e)}$  does not exist for m > 1.

The integers n, m > 1 are called *exponentially coprime*, if they have the same prime factors and  $(a_i, b_i) = 1$  for every  $1 \le i \le r$ , with the notation of above. In this case  $(n, m)_{(e)} = \kappa(n) = \kappa(m)$ . 1 and 1 are considered to be exponentially coprime. 1 and m > 1 are not exponentially coprime.

For  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i} > 1$ ,  $a_i \ge 1$   $(1 \le i \le r)$ , denote by  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$  the number of integers  $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{c_i}$  such that  $1 \le c_i \le a_i$  and  $(c_i, a_i) = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ , and let  $\phi^{(e)}(1) = 1$ . Thus  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$  counts the number of divisors d of n such that d and n are exponentially coprime.

It is immediately, that  $\phi^{(e)}$  is a prime independent multiplicative function and for n>1

$$\phi^{(e)}(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \phi(a_i),$$

where  $\phi$  is the Euler-function. Exponentially coprime integers and function  $\phi^{(e)}$  were introduced by J. Sándor [6]. He showed that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \phi^{(e)}(n) \log \log n}{\log n} = \frac{\log 4}{5}.$$

We consider the functions  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{P}$  defined as follows. Let  $\tilde{\sigma}(n)$  be the sum of those divisors d of n such that d and n are exponentially coprime. Function  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is multiplicative and for every prime power  $p^a$ ,

$$\tilde{\sigma}(p^a) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le c \le a \\ (c,a) = 1}} p^c.$$

Here  $\tilde{\sigma}(p)=\tilde{\sigma}(p^2)=p,\ \tilde{\sigma}(p^3)=p+p^2,\ \tilde{\sigma}(p^4)=p+p^3,$  etc.

Furthermore let  $\tilde{P}(n)$  be given by

$$\tilde{P}(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ \kappa(j) = \kappa(n)}} (j, n)_{(c)},$$

representing an analogue of Pillai's function  $P(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (j, n)$ .

Function  $\tilde{P}$  is also multiplicative and for every prime power  $p^a$ ,

$$\tilde{P}(p^a) = \sum_{1 \le c \le a} p^{(c,a)} = \sum_{d|a} p^d \phi(a/d),$$

here  $\tilde{P}(p) = p$ ,  $\tilde{P}(p^2) = p + p^2$ ,  $\tilde{P}(p^3) = 2p + p^3$ ,  $\tilde{P}(p^4) = 2p + p^2 + p^4$ , etc.

We call an integer  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$  exponentially k-free if all the exponents  $a_i$   $(1 \le i \le r)$  are k-free, i.e. are not divisible by the k-th power of any prime  $(k \ge 2)$ . Let  $q_k^{(e)}$  denote the characteristic function of exponentially k-free integers.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the functions  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(n)$ ,  $\tilde{P}(n)$  and  $q_k^{(e)}(n)$ . The estimate given for the sum  $\sum_{n\leq x}q_k^{(e)}(n)$  generalizes the result of J. Wu [12] concerning exponentially squarefree integers. Our main results are formulated in Section 2, their proofs are given in Section 3.

Our estimates for  $\sum_{n \leq x} (\tilde{\sigma}(n))^u$  and  $\sum_{n \leq x} q_k^{(e)}(n)$  are consequences of a general result due to V. Sita Ramaiah and D. Suryanarayana [7], the proof of which uses the estimate of A. Walfisz [11] concerning k-free integers and is simpler than the proof given by J. Wu [12].

A. Smati and J. Wu [8] deduced some interesting analogues of known results on the divisor function  $\tau(n)$  in case of  $\tau^{(e)}(n)$ . They remarked that their results can be stated also for certain other prime independent multiplicative functions f if f(n) depends only on the squarefull kernel of n.

We point out two such results in case of  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$ . Note that, since  $\phi(1) = \phi(2) = 1$ ,  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$  depends only on the cubfull kernel of n. These results are contained in Section 4. Here some open problems are also stated.

#### 2. Main results

Regarding the average orders of the functions  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(n)$  and  $\tilde{P}(n)$  we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.

$$\sum_{n \le x} \phi^{(e)}(n) = C_1 x + C_2 x^{1/3} + O\left(x^{1/5 + \varepsilon}\right),$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $C_1, C_2$  are constants given by

$$C_1 = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=3}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(a) - \phi(a-1)}{p^a} \right),$$

$$C_2 = \zeta(1/3) \left( 1 + \sum_{a=5}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(a) - \phi(a-1) - \phi(a-3) - \phi(a-4)}{p^{a/3}} \right).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let u > 1/3 be fixed real number. Then

$$\sum_{n \le r} (\tilde{\sigma}(n))^u = C_3 x^{u+1} + O\left(x^{u+1/2} \delta(x)\right),$$

where  $C_3$  is given by

$$C_3 = \frac{1}{u+1} \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\tilde{\sigma}(p^a))^u - p^u(\tilde{\sigma}(p^{a-1}))^u}{p^{a(u+1)}} \right)$$

and

$$\delta(x) = \exp\left(-A(\log x)^{3/5}(\log\log x)^{-1/5}\right),$$

A being a positive constant.

Theroem 3.

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tilde{P}(n) = C_4 x^2 + O\left(x(\log x)^{5/3}\right),\,$$

where the constant  $C_4$  is given by

$$C_4 = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{P}(p^a) - p\tilde{P}(p^{a-1})}{p^{2a}} \right).$$

Concerning the maximal order of the function  $\tilde{P}(n)$  we have

Theorem 4.

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{n \log \log n} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} e^{\gamma},$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

**Theorem 5.** If  $k \geq 2$  is a fixed integer, then

$$\sum_{n \le x} q_k^{(e)}(n) = D_k x + O\left(x^{1/2^k} \delta(x)\right),\,$$

where

$$D_k = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2^k}^{\infty} \frac{q_k(a) - q_k(a-1)}{p^a} \right),$$

 $q_k(n)$  denoting the characteristic function of k-free integers.

In the special case k=2 case this formula is due to J. Wu [12], improving an earlier result of M.V. Subbarao [9].

#### 3. Proofs

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** The Dirichlet series of  $\phi^{(e)}$  is absolutely convergent for Res > 1 and it is of form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^{(e)}(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(3s)V(s),$$

where the Dirichlet series  $V(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(n)}{n^s}$  is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/5$ .

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Let  $\mu_3(n) = \mu(m)$  or 0, according as  $n = m^3$  or not, where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function, and let  $f = \mu_3 * \mu$  in terms of the Dirichlet convolution. Then we can formally obtain the desired expression by taking  $v = \phi^{(e)} * f$ . Both f and v are multiplicative and easy computations show that  $f(p) = f(p^3) = -1$ ,  $f(p^4) = 1$ ,  $f(p^2) = f(p^a) = 0$  for each  $a \ge 5$ , and  $v(p^a) = 0$  for  $1 \le a \le 4$ ,  $v(p^a) = \phi(a) - \phi(a-1) - \phi(a-3) - \phi(a-4)$  for  $a \ge 5$ .

Since  $|v(p^a)| < 4a$  for  $a \ge 5$ , we obtain that V(s) is absolutely convergent for Res > 1/5.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Lemma 1 shows that  $\phi^{(e)} = v * \tau(1,3,\cdot)$ , where  $\tau(1,3,n) = \sum_{ab^3=n} 1$  for which

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau(1,3,n) = \zeta(3)x + \zeta(1/3)x^{1/3} + O\left(x^{1/5}\right),$$

cf. [4], pp. 196-199. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi^{(e)}(n) = \sum_{d \leq x} v(d) \sum_{e \leq x/d} \tau(1,3,e) =$$

$$= \zeta(3) x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{v(d)}{d} + \zeta(1/3) x^{1/3} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{v(d)}{d^{1/3}} + O\left(x^{1/5 + \varepsilon} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|v(d)|}{d^{1/5 + \varepsilon}}\right),$$

and obtain the desired by usual estimates.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we use the following general result due to V. Sita Ramaiah and D. Suryanarayana [7], Theorem 1.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $k \geq 2$  be a fixed integer,  $\beta > (k+1)^{-1}$  be a fixed real number and g be a multiplicative arithmetic function such that  $|g(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that either

(i)  $|g(p^j) - 1| \le p^{-1}$  for  $1 \le j \le k - 1$ ,  $g(p^k) = 0$  for all primes p, or

(ii) 
$$g(p^j) = 1$$
 for  $1 \le j \le k-1$ ,  $g(p^k) = p^{-\beta}$  for all primes  $p$ .

Then

$$\sum_{n \le x} g(n) = x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(g * u)(n)}{n} + O\left(x^{1/k}\delta(x)\right).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** This is a direct consequence of Lemma 2 of above. Take  $g(n) = (\tilde{\sigma}(n)/n)^u$ . Here g(p) = 1,  $g(p^2) = p^{-u}$ ,  $g(p^a) \leq p^{-au}(p+p^2+\dots+p^{a-1})^u < (p-1)^{-u} \leq 1$  for every  $a \geq 3$ , hence  $0 < g(n) \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Choosing k = 2,  $\beta = u$ , we obtain the given result by partial summation.

**Lemma 3.** The Dirichlet series of  $\tilde{P}(n)$  is absolutely convergent for  $Re \, s > 2$  and it is of form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s-1)\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(3s-2)} W(s),$$

where the Dirichlet series  $W(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(n)}{n^s}$  is absolutely convergent for Res > 3/4.

#### Proof of Lemma 3.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|a} \frac{p^d \phi(a/d)}{p^{as}} \right) =$$

$$= \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi(j) \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^d (js-1)} \right) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(j)}{p^{js-1} - 1} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{\zeta(s-1)\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(3s-2)} W(s),$$

where

$$W(s) := \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \frac{(p^{s-1} - 1)(p^{2s-1} - 1)}{p^{3s-2} - 1} \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(j)}{p^{js-1} - 1} \right),$$

which is absolutely convergent for Res > 3/4.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By Lemma 3,  $\tilde{P} = h * w$ , where

$$h(n) = \sum_{ab^2c^3 = n} abc^2 \mu(c),$$

and obtain the desired result, exactly like in proof of Theorem 2 of [5], using the estimate

$$\sum_{mn^2 \le x} mn = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)x^2 + O(x(\log x)^{2/3})$$

due to Y.-F.S. Pétermann and J. Wu [5], Theorem 1.

Theorem 4 is a direct consequence of the following general result of L. Tóth and E. Wirsing [10], Corollary 1.

**Lemma 4.** Let f be a nonnegative real-valued multiplicative function. Suppose that for all primes p we have  $\rho(p) := \sup_{\nu \geq 0} f(p^{\nu}) \leq (1-1/p)^{-1}$  and that for all primes p there is an exponent  $e_p = p^{o(1)}$  such that  $f(p^{e_p}) \geq 1 + 1/p$ . Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{\log \log n} = e^{\gamma} \prod_{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \rho(p).$$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Apply Lemma 4 for  $f(n) = \tilde{P}(n)/n$ , where  $f(p^a) \le (p + p^2 + \ldots + p^a)p^{-a} < (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$  for every  $a \ge 1$  and  $f(p^2) = 1 + 1/p$ ,

hence we can choose  $e_p = 2$  for all p. Moreover,  $\rho(p) = 1 + 1/p$  for all p and obtain the desired result.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** This follows from Lemma 2 by taking  $2^k$  instead of k, where  $q_k^{(e)}(p) = q_k^{(e)}(p^2) = \ldots = q_k^{(e)}(p^{2^k-1}) = 1$ ,  $q_k^{(e)}(p^{2^k}) = 0$ .

## 4. Further results and problems

The next result in an analogue of the exponential divisor problem of Titchmarsh, see Theorem 1 of [8]. The proof is the same using that  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$  is a prime independent multiplicative function depending only on the squarefull (cubfull) kernel of n and that  $\phi^{(e)}(p^a) = \phi(a) \leq a$  for every  $a \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 6.** For every fixed B > 0

$$\sum_{p < x} \phi^{(e)}(p-1) = C_5 \operatorname{li} x + O(x/(\log x)^B),$$

where

$$C_5 = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(k) - 1}{p^k} \right).$$

Let  $\omega(n)$  and  $\Omega(n)$  denote, as usual, the number of prime factors of n and the number of prime power factors of n, respectively.

**Theorem 7.** A maximal order of  $\Omega(\phi^{(e)}(n))$  is  $2(\log n)/5\log\log n$ .

This can be obtained by the same arguments as those given in the proof of Theorem 3 (i) of [8]. Here the upper bound is attained for  $n_k = (p_1 \dots p_k)^5$ , where  $p_k$  is the k-th prime.

**Problem 1.** Determine a maximal order of  $\omega(\phi^{(e)}(n))$ .

Since  $\tilde{\sigma}(n) \leq n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}(p) = p$  for all primes p, it is clear that a maximal order of  $\tilde{\sigma}(n)$  is n.

**Problem 2.** Determine a minimal order of  $\tilde{\sigma}(n)$ .

J. Sándor [6] considered in fact the function  $\varphi_e(n)$  defined as the number of integers 1 < a < n for which a and n are exponentially coprime (n > 1) and  $\varphi_e(1) = 1$ . Although  $\varphi_e(p^a) = \phi^{(e)}(p^a) = \phi(a)$  for any prime power  $p^a$ , functions  $\varphi_e$  and  $\phi^{(e)}$  are not the same. Take for example  $n = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ , then

numbers a < n exponentially coprime to n are  $a = 2 \cdot 3$ ,  $2^2 \cdot 3$ ,  $2^4 \cdot 3$ , hence  $\varphi_e(2^3 \cdot 3^2) = 3 \neq 2 \cdot 1 = \phi(3)\phi(2) = \varphi_e(2^3) \cdot \varphi_e(3^2)$ .

Therefore,  $\varphi_e$  is not multiplicative and  $\varphi_e(n) \ge \phi^{(e)}(n)$  for every  $n \ge 1$ .

**Problem 3.** What can be said on the order of the function  $\varphi_e(n)$ ?

#### References

- [1] Fabrykowski J. and Subbarao M.V., The maximal order and the average order of multiplicative function  $\sigma^{(e)}(n)$ , Théorie des nombres. Proc. of the Int. Conf. Québec, 1987, de Gruyter, Berlin New York, 1989, 201-206.
- [2] Kátai I. and Subbarao M.V., On the iterates of the sum of exponential divisors, Math. Pannon., 10 (1999), 153-158.
- [3] **Kátai I. and Subbarao M.V.,** On the distribution of exponential divisors, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp.*, **22** (2003), 161-180.
- [4] Krätzel E., Lattice points, Kluwer, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1988.
- [5] Pétermann Y.-F.S. and Wu J., On the sum of exponential divisors of an integer, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 77 (1997), 159-175.
- [6] Sándor J., On an exponential totient function, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., 41 (1996), 91-94.
- [7] Sita Ramaiah V. and Suryanarayana D., On a method of Eckford Cohen, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 6 (1-B) (1982), 1235-1251.
- [8] Smati A. and Wu J., On the exponential divisor function, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, **61** (1997), 21-32.
- [9] Subbarao M.V., On some arithmetic convolutions, The theory of arithmetic functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 251, Springer, 1972, 247-271.
- [10] **Tóth L. and Wirsing E.,** The maximal order of a class of multiplicative arithmetical functions, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp.*, **22** (2003), 353-364.
- [11] Walfisz A., Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie, Mathematische Forschungsberichte XV, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1963.
- [12] Wu J., Problème de diviseurs exponentiels et entiers exponentiellement sans facteur carré, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, 7 (1995), 133-141.

# (Received September 18, 2004)

L. Tóth Institute of Mathematics and Informatics University of Pécs Ifjúság u. 6. H-7624 Pécs, Hungary ltoth@ttk.pte.hu